

## GREATOAKS INDUSIRIALPARCEL 1

J SP19-35
cityofnovi.org

## GREATOAKS INDUSIRIALPARCEL 1, JSP 19-35

Public hearing at the request of Hillside Investments for Preliminary Site Plan, Special Land Use Permit, Wetland Permit, Woodland Permit and Storm Water Management Plan approval for a new 98,650 square foot speculative building for research \& development, manufacturing or warehouse uses. The subject property is approximately 20 acres and is located in Section 9, north of Twelve Mile Road and west of West Park Drive. The southem portion of the site is zoned I-1, Light Industrial District and the northem portion is zoned I-2, General Industrial District.

## Required Action

Approve or deny the Preliminary Site Plan, Special Land Use Permit, Wetland Pemit, Woodland Permit and Storm WaterManagement plan.

| REVIEW | RESULT | DATE | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planning | Approval recommended | 6-12-20 | - Special Land Use pemit approval required <br> - Variance for building height in I-1 District (Applic a nt will corect in FSP - not requested); <br> - Variance for parking setback in the I-2 District (Applic ant will correct in FSP - not requested); <br> - Request to landbank parking spaces in excess of the required minimum (Supported by staff); <br> - Items to be addressed by the applic ant prior to Electronic Stamping Set approval |
| Engineering | Approval recommended | 6-5-20 | - Items to be addressed by the applic ant priorto Final Site Plan approval |
| Landsc aping | Approval recommended | 5-13-20 | - Waiver for 16 consec utive parking spaces without a landsc ape island (Applic ant will correct in FSP - not requested); <br> - Waiver for lack of greenbelt berm (Applic a nt will correct in FSP - not requested); <br> - Waiver for lack of access drive perimeter trees along the westside of the driveway (Applic a nt will correct in FSP - not requested); <br> - Itemsto be addressed by the applic ant prior to Final Site Plan approval |
| Wetlands | Approval recommended | 6-10-20 | - Non-minor Wetland Permit required <br> - Wetland buffer authorization <br> - Items to be addressed by the applic ant prior to Final Site Plan approval |
| Woodlands | Approval Not recommended | 6-10-20 | - Developer to comply with Woodland Protection Ordinance for all trees determined to meet regulated status (Applic ant will correct on FSP as |


|  |  |  | indic ated in response letter) <br> - Woodland permit required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Traffic | Approval <br> recommended | $6-5-20$ | - Items to be addressed by the applic ant prior to Final <br> Site Plan approval |
| Traffic <br> Impact <br> Statement | Approval <br> recommended | $3-2-20$ | - Addendum to the TIS Report should address <br> changes antic ipated for 12 Mile Road (Provided by <br> applic ant) |
| Faфade | Approval <br> recommended | $6-10-20$ | - Section 9 waiver for underage of Brick |
| Fire | Approval with <br> conditions | $5-12-20$ | - Itemsto be addressed by the applic ant prior to Final <br> Site Plan approval |

## MOTION SHEET

## Approval - Special Land Use Permit

In the matter of Great Lakes Industrial Parcel 1 J SP19-35, motion to approve the Special Land Use permit based on the following findings:
a. The applicant states possible uses could include research \& development, manufacturing, or warehouse, which are special land uses in the l-1 Light Industrial district when they a but a residential district.
b. If a manufacturing or warehouse tenant is to occupy the site, a noise analysis subject to the standards of Section 5.14.10.B. shall be submitted to the Community Development Department for evaluation prior to occupancy. Research and development tenants shall submit a noise impact statement to the Community Development Department for evaluation prior to occupancy.
c. Relative to other fea sible uses of the site:

1. The proposed use will not cause any detrimental impact on existing thoroughfares (Traffic impacts will be similar to other uses that could be developed by-right in the l-1 District. A right tum taper is proposed);
2. The proposed use will not cause any detrimental impact on the capabilities of public services and facilities (because there is adequate capacity in the public services and this area is planned for Industrial use.);
3. The proposed use is compatible with the natural features and characteristics of the land (because the proposed building will mostly be constructed on an area formerly used as a golf range, the impacts on existing regulated woodlands or wetlands are minimized.);
4. The proposed use is compatible with adjacent uses of land (because the existing adjacent uses are also industrial and the residentially zoned properties to the south have been vacant for several years.);
5. The proposed use is consistent with the goals, objectives and recommendations of the City's Master Plan for Land Use (It complies with the goal that recommends supporting growth of new businesses in the city);
6. The proposed use will promote the use of land in a socially and economically desirable manner (Future tenants will be able to expand operations and offer employment to a greater number of people.);
7. The proposed use is (1) listed among the provision of uses requiring special land use review as set forth in the various zoning districts of this Ordinance, and (2) is in hamony with the purposes and conforms to the applicable site design regulations of the zoning district in which it is located. (Both statements are true when considering the applicant has agreed to make changes to bring several deviations into conformance as described in their response letter.)
8. (additional comments here if any)
(This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Article 3.1.5, Article 4, Article 5 and Article 6 of the Zoning Ordinance and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance.)
-AND-

## Approval - Preliminary Site Plan

In the matter of Great Lakes Industrial Parcel 1 JSP19-35, motion to approve the Preliminary Site Plan based on and subject to the following:
a. A section 9 wa iver is requested for the underage of brick ( $30 \%$ minimum required, $29 \%$ on South, $19 \%$ on West, $22 \%$ on East and $24 \%$ on North façade proposed)
because the combination of other masonry materials proposed will bring the percentage to approximately $30 \%$, which is hereby granted;
b. The findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters and the conditions and the items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan; and
c. (additional conditions here if any)
(This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Article 3, Article 4, and Article 5 of the Zoning Ordinance and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance.)

## -AND-

## Approval - Wetland Permit

In the matter of Great Lakes Industrial Parcel 1 J SP19-35, motion to approve the Wetland Pemit based on and subject to the following:
a. The findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan; and
b. (additional conditions here if any)
(This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Chapter 12, Article V of the Code of Ordinances and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance.)
-AND-

## Approval - Woodland Permit

In the matter of Great Lakes Industrial Parcel 1 JSP19-35, motion to approve the Woodland Permit based on and subject to the following:
a. The regulated tree count shall be updated to reflect all trees determined to be subject to regulation under the Woodland Protection Ordinance by the City's environmental consultant as indicated in the applicant's response letter;
b. The findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan; and
c. (additional conditions here if any)
(This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Chapter 37 of the Code of Ordinances and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance.)

- AND -


## Approval - Stormwater Management Plan

In the matter of Great Lakes Industrial Parcel 1 JSP19-35, motion to approve the Stormwater Management Plan based on and subject to the following:
a. The findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan; and
b. (additional conditions here if any)
(This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Chapter 11 of the Code of Ordinances and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance.)

## Denial - Special Land Use Permit

In the matter of Great Lakes Industrial Parcel 1 J SP19-35, motion to deny the Special Land Use permit for the following reasons... (because it is not in compliance with the Ordinance.)

## Denial - Preliminary Site Plan

In the matter of Great Lakes Industrial Parcel 1 J SP19-35, motion to deny the Preliminary Site Plan...(because the plan is not in compliance with Article 3, Article 4, and Article 5 of the Zoning Ordinance and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance.)
-AND-

## Denial- Wettand Permit

In the matter of Great Lakes Industrial Parcel 1 JSP19-35, motion to deny the Wetland Permit... (because the plan is not in compliance with Chapter 12, Article V of the Code of Ordinances and all other applic able provisions of the Ordinance.)
-AND-

## Denial- Woodland Permit

In the matter of Great Lakes Industrial Parcel 1 JSP19-35, motion to deny the Woodland Pemit... (because the plan is not in compliance with Chapter 37 of the Code of Ordinances and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance.)
-AND-

## Denial - Stormwater Management Plan

In the matter of Great Lakes Industrial Parcel 1 J SP19-35, motion to deny the Stormwater Management Plan...(because the plan is not in compliance with Chapter 11 of the Code of Ordinances and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance.)
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## PLAN REVIEW CENIER REPORT

J une 12, 2020
Planning Review
Great Oaks Industrial Park 1, Spec Building
J SP 19-35
cityofnovi.org

## PEITIIONER

Hillside Investments

## REVIEW TYPE

Revised Preliminary Site Plan

## PROPERTY CHARACTERISIICS



## PROJ ECTSUMMARY

The applicant is proposing a new 98,650 square foot Research/Development/Office building on an approximately 20 acre parcel previously used as a golf driving range. Associated parking areas and a stormwater detention basin are also proposed. The parcel is on the north side of Twelve Mile Road, west of West Park Drive. The proposed speculative building does not have an identified tenant at this time. The site is zoned $I-1$ : Light Industrial and I-2: General Industrial District. The future land use map indic ates Industrial, Research, Development and Technology for the southem portion of the property, and Heavy Industrial for the northem 2/3 of the property.

## RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Preliminary Site Plan is recommended with the condition that the applicant agrees to correct the waivers and variances identified in this in other review letters. Altematively the applicant should request formal approval of any waivers and variances that cannot be corrected. The plan mostly conforms to the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance, with deviations identified below. All reviews except Woodlands recommend approval. Planning Commission approval of the Special Land Use Permit, Preliminary Site Plan, Wetland Permit, Woodland Permit, and Stormwater Management Plan is required.

## ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS

This project was reviewed for conformance with the Zoning Ordinance with respect to Article 3 (Zoning Districts), Article 4 (Use Standards), Article 5 (Site Standards), and any other applicable provisions of the Zoning Ordinance. Please see the attached chart for information pertaining to ordinance requirements. Items in bold below must be addressed and incorporated as part of the Final Site Plan submittal:

1. Special Land Use Permit: Section 6.2.C of the Zoning Ordinance outlines specific factors the Planning Commission shall consider in the review of the Special Land Use Permit request:
i. Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use will cause any detrimental impact on existing thoroughfares in terms of overall volumes, capacity, safety, vehicular tuming pattems, intersections, view obstructions, line of sight, ingress and egress, acceleration/deceleration lanes, off-street parking, off-street loading/unloading, tra vel times and thoroughfare level of service. Traffic impacts have been evaluated by the City's consultant See the Traffic review letter for detailed comments. The Road Commission for Oakland County is developing plans for improvements to Twelve Mile Road.
ii. Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use will cause any detrimental impact on the capabilities of public services and facilities, including water service, sanitary sewer senvice, storm water disposal and police and fire protection to service existing and planned uses in the area. The plans show the applicant will extend the necessary water main and sanitary sewer facilities to serve the development at their expense.
iii. Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use is compatible with the natural features and characteristics of the land, including existing woodlands, wetlands, watercourses and wild life habitats. There are several small wetlands identified that will be impacted, but the amount of area impacted does not require mitigation under the City's Ordinance. As this is a redevelopment of a site previously used as a driving range, there are minimal trees in the area currently proposed fordevelopment
iv. Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use is compatible with adjacent uses of land in terms of location, size, character, and impact on adjacent property or the surrounding neighborhood. The existing adjacent uses are also industrial and/or planned for Office, Research and Technology uses.
v. Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use is consistent with the goals, objectives and recommendations of the City's Master Plan for Land Use. It complies with the goal that recommends supporting growth of new businesses. The Future Land Use map indicates Industrial, Research, Development and Technology for this area, whic $h$ is the use proposed.
vi. Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use will promote the use of land in a socially and economically desirable manner. The redevelopment of the site will improve the tax base and provide employment As the building does not have an identified tenant, specific details of the proposed us are not available.
vii. Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use is (1) listed among the provision of uses requiring special land use review as set forth in the various zoning districts of this Ordinance, and (2) is in harmony with the purposes and conforms to the applicable site design regulations of the zoning district in which it is located. Research and Development facilities are allowed as a Special land use in the I-1 zoning district when adjacent to residential districts. The applic ant is seeking deviations from required conditions.
2. Land Use: As a tenant has not been identified for this facility, the applicant shall note that any future user of the building is subject to the standards and definition of "Research and Development' as provided in the Zoning Ordinance.
3. Context Plan: Staff would like to understand the overall layout planned for the "Great Oaks Industrial Park" in order to identify any possible conflic ts in access points, parcel lines, utilities, etc. The project appears to be a stand-alone, self-suffic ient building, but future development of the remainder of the parcel to the north as well as the relationship of the parcels to the east would be helpful to consider this project in the larger context.
4. Zoning District Boundaries: The zoning district lines must be shown on the plan to detemine where the split between the I-1 and the I-2 Districts lies. Several of the development standards are different between the two districts, including maximum building height, building setbacks and parking setbacks. The portions of the site in the I-1 District must conform to its requirements, and the portion of the site in the $\mathbf{I - 2}$ District is expected to conform with its requirements. Therefore the zoning district boundary must be shown on the plan. It appears that the building height exceeds the 40 foot maximum in the l-1 District, and that approximately $\mathbf{1 7}$ parking spaces on the east side of the site in the l-2 District are within the $\mathbf{2 0}$ foot parking setback. The applic ant shall either revise the plan to meet these ordinance requirements, or seek a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals.
5. Twelve Mile Improvements: The Road Commission for Oakland County (RCOC) has been exploring options to improve Twelve Mile Road in the vicinity of the subject project. A final design for a 4-lane boulevard plan has recently been released that shows a break in the boulevard, with a "loon" (tuming bump-out) on both the north and south side, near the subject property. The applicant has modified the layout and site configuration to avoid conflicts with the proposed road improvements.
6. Accessory Structures (Sec 4.19.2.1): A transformer is now shown in the rear yard near the dumpster. Location meets the 20 foot setback requirement and screening is required.
7. Parking Calculations (Sec. 5.2.12.E.): The ordinance requirements for industrial or research establishments with accessory offices is one space for each 700 sf of Useable Floor Area. Using this formula, the Zoning Ordinance requires 113 parking spaces for this project. The applicant proposes to provide 198 parking spaces, or $75 \%$ more than required. Staff encourages the applicant to reduce or land bank excess parking spaces in order to reduce the impervious coverage on the site.
8. Bicycle Parking Accessibility (Sec. 5.16): The ordinance states bicycle parking spaces must be accessible via a 6 -foot wide clear path from the street. Although the sidewalk along the south and west sides of the building are 7 feet wide, the path would be reduced to 5 feet clear when vehic les are present in the adjacent 17 foot length parking spaces. These sidewalks shall be widened to 8 feet wide to account for the $\mathbf{2}$-foot vehicle overhang. Altematively, the parking spaces could be lengthened to 19 feet with a $\mathbf{6}$-inch curb. The sidewalk leading from the $\mathbf{1 2}$ Mile ROW should also be widened to $\mathbf{6}$ feet
9. Project and Street Naming Committee: The name of the development, "Great Oaks Industrial Park," requires approval by the Project and Street Naming Committee. The application has now been received.
10. Plan Review Chart: There are additional minor clarific ations requested in the Plan Review Chart. Please refer to the chart for additional details.
11. OtherReviews:
a. Engineering Review: Additional comments to be addressed with the Final Site Plan. Engineering recommends approval.
b. Landscape Review: Landscape recommends approval with comments to be addressed in Final Site Plan Submittal. Refer to review letter and chart for more comments.
c. Wetlands Review: Impacts to Wetlands have been provided in the latest submittal. Wetlands recommend approval, with additional comments to be addressed in the Final Site Plan submittal.
d. Woodlands Review: ECT does not recommend approval for Woodlands at this time. Refer to review letterformore details.
e. Traffic Review: Traffic review recommends approval of the revised Preliminary Site Plan, with additional comments to be addressed with Final Site Plan.
f. Traffic Impact Study: The TIS was reviewed and AECOM recommends approval, with comments to be addressed in an update to be provided to the city.
g. Facade Review: The proposed design will require a Section 9 waiver for not meeting the requirements of the façade ordinance. Façade consultant recommends approval of the waiver. See letter for additional details.
h. Fire Review: Fire recommends conditional approval. Additional comments to be addressed with Final Site Plan.

## NEXTSTEP: PLANNING COMMISSION MEIING

This Site Plan is scheduled to go before Planning Commission for public hearing on June 24, 2020 at 7:00 p.m. Please provide via email the following by noon on June 18, 2020, if you wish to keep this schedule:

1. Site Plan submittal in PDF format (maximum of 10MB). NO CHANGES MADE. (This has been received)
2. A response letter addressing ALL the comments from AL the review letters and a request for waivers/variances a syou see fit.
3. A color rendering of the Site Plan (Optional to be used for Planning Commission presentation).
4. A sample board of building materials as required by our Façade Consultant.

## FNALSITE PLAN SUBMITTAL

After receiving Planning Commission's approval of the Preliminary Site Plan, please follow the Final Site Plan Checklist and submit for approval:

1. Six copies of Final Site Plan sets ( $24^{\prime \prime} \times 36$ ", folded) addressing all comments from Preliminary review,
2. Response letter addressing $A \amalg$ comments from $A L$ the review letters and refer to sheet numbers where the change is reflected.
3. Fina I Site Plan Application

## EIECTRONIC STAMPING SETSUBMITIALAND RESPONSE LEIIER

After rec eiving Final Site Plan a pproval, plans addressing the comments in all of the staff and consultant review letters should be submitted electronically for informal review and approval prior to printing Stamping Sets. A letter from either the applic ant or the applic ant's representative addressing comments in this and other review letters and associated charts is to be submitted with the electronic stamping set. This letter should address all comments in ALL letters and AL charts and refer to sheet numbers where the change is reflected.

## STAMPING SETAPPROVAL

Stamping sets will be required for this project. After having received all of the review letters from City staff the applicant should make the appropriate changes on the plans and submit $\mathbf{1 0}$ size $\mathbf{2 4 \prime \prime} \times \mathbf{3 6}$ " copies with original signature and original seals on the cover sheet (subsequent pages may use electronic seal with signature), to the Community Development Department for final Stamping Set approval.

If required, drafts for all legal documents with a legal transmittal are to be submitted along with stamping sets.

## SIGNAGE

Exterior Signage is not regulated by the Planning Division or Planning Commission. Sign permit applications that relate to construction of a new building or an addition to an existing building may submitted, reviewed, and approved as part of a site plan application. Proposed signs shall be shown on the preliminary site plan. Altematively, an applicant may choose to submit a sign application to the Building Official for administrative review. Following preliminary site plan approval, any application to amend a sign permit or for a new or additional sign shall be submitted to the Building Official. Please contact the Ordinance Division 248.735.5678 for information regarding sign permits.

## PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEEIING

A Pre-Construction meeting is required forthis project. Prior to the sta rt of a ny work on the site, PreConstruction (Pre-Con) meetingsmust be held with the applicant's contractor and the City'sconsulting engineer. Pre-Con meetings are generally held after Stamping Sets have been issued and prior to the start of any work on the site. There are a variety of requirements, fees and permitsthat must be issued before a Pre-Con can be scheduled, so it is recommended you contact Sarah Marchioni [248.347.0430 or smarchioni@cityofnovi.org] in the Community Development Department once you receive Final Site Plan approval. Any questions regarding the Pre-Con should be directed to Sarah.

## CHAPIER 26.5

Chapter 26.5 of the City of Novi Code of Ordinances generally requires all projects be completed within two years of the issuance of any starting permit. Please contact Sarah Marchioni at 248-347-0430 for additional information on starting permits. The applicant should review and be aware of the requirements of $C$ hapter 26.5 before starting construction.

If the applicant has any questions conceming the above review or the process in general, do not hesitate to contact me at 248.347.0484 or lbell@cityofnovi.org.


Lindsay Bell, AICP - Senior Pla nner

cityofnovi.org

## PLANNING REVIEW CHART

Review Date:
Review Type:
Project Name:
Location:
Plan Date:
Prepared by:

J une 12, 2020
Revised Preliminary Site Plan
Great Oaks Industrial Park 1, J SP19-35
North of Twelve Mile Rd, West of West Park Dr (22-09-300-032)
J anuary 31, 2020 (not updated)
Lindsay Bell, Planner
E-mail: lbell@cityofnovi.org Phone: 248.347.0484

## Bold

Underline

## Bold and Underline

Italics

To be addressed with the next submittal
To be addressed with final site plan submittal
Requires Planning Commission and/orCity Council Approval
To be noted

| Item | Required Code | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zoning and Use Requirements |  |  |  |  |
| MasterPlan (adopted July $26,2017)$ | Industrial Research Development and Technology/ Heavy Industrial | Research \& Development | Yes | 98,650 sf proposed: <br> 70,610 shop $+28,040$ sf office |
| Area Study | N/A |  | NA |  |
| Zoning <br> (Effective <br> J anuary 8, 2015) | I-1: Light Industria I District and I-2: General Industrial | No Change | Yes |  |
| Uses Permitted (Sec 3.1.18.C) | R\&D treated as Special Land Use when adjacent to residential, otherwise as Principle Permitted Use | R\&D, RA zoning to the south (although planned for office, R\&D, Tech) | Yes | Special Land Use permit required due to adjacent residential district to the south (see Planning Letter for disc ussion of SU considerations) |
| Non-Residential Open Storage (Sec 3.14.1.B.iv) | Permitted as Special Land Use when conducted in conjunction with and accessory to otherwise permitted use in I-1 | Not proposed | NA |  |
| Height, bulk, density and area limitations (Sec 3.1.18) |  |  |  |  |
| Frontage on a Public Street (Sec. 5.12) | Frontage on a Public Street is required | Frontage on Twelve Mile Road | Yes |  |
| Access to Major Thoroughfare (Sec. 5.13) | Vehicular access shall be provided only to an existing or planned major thoroughfare or freeway service drive OR access driveway on other street type is not a cross street from existing or planned single-fa mily uses | Driveway onto Twelve Mile Arterial/Major Thoroughfare | Yes |  |


| Item | Required Code | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Zoning Lot Size for each Unit in Ac (Sec 3.6.2.D) | Except where otherwise provided in this Ordinance, the minimum lot area and width, and the maximum percent of lot coverage shall be determined on the basis of off-street parking, loading, greenbelt screening, yard setback or usable open space | 20.04 ac res gross; 8.18 a cres net | Yes | Is a lot split planned? |
| Minimum Zoning LotSize for each Unit: Width in Feet |  |  | NA |  |
| Open Space Area | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Maximum \% of Lot Area Covered (By All Buildings) | (Sec 3.6.2.D) | 23.7\% | Yes |  |
| Building Height (Sec. 3.1.18.D) | 40 ft . (I-1 max height) <br> 60 ft . (I-2 max height) | 45 ft | No | Max. Building height exceeded for l-1 district portion of building; This would require approval of a variance by ZBA |
| Building Setbacks (Sec 3.1.18.D) I-1 District/ I-2 District |  |  |  |  |
| Front (south) | 40 ft / / 100 ft | 118 ft . | Yes |  |
| Rear (north) | 20 ft . / 50 ft | 210 ft . | Yes |  |
| Side (east) | 20 ft . / 50 ft | 82.13 ft . | Yes |  |
| Side (west) | 20 ft . / 50 ft | 91.5 ft . | Yes |  |
| Parking Setback (Sec 3.1.18.D)\& Refer to applic able notes in Sec 3.6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Front (south) | 40 ft . (See 3.6.2.E) | 40 ft . | Yes | The " 20 ' setback" label on the east side of the site is not shown correctly; while the side yard parking setback is $10^{\prime}$ for the I-1 District, it is $\mathbf{2 0}^{\prime}$ in the $\mathbf{I - 2}$ District so the parking spaces within the 20 foot setback north of the district line are not in compliance (variance required forapprox. 17 spaces) |
| Rear (north) | 20 ft / / 50 ft . | $>200 \mathrm{ft}$. | Yes |  |
| Side (east) | 10 ft . / 20 ft in l -2 | 12-17 ft. | No |  |
| Side (west) | 10 ft . / 20 ft in $\mathrm{l}-2$ | 20 ft . | Yes |  |
| Note To District Standards (Sec 3.6.2) |  |  |  |  |
| Exterior Side Yard Abutting a Street (Sec 3.6.2.C) | All exterior side yards a butting a street shall be provided with <br> a setback equal to front yard. |  | NA |  |
| Off-Street Parking in Front Yard (Sec 3.6.2.E) | Off-street parking is allowed in front yard if: <br> - the site is a minimum 2 acre site, <br> - does not extend into the minimum required front yard | Parking proposed in front yard <br> -Meets (8+acres) <br> -Provided - 40 ft proposed | Yes |  |


| Item | Required Code | Proposed | Meets <br> Code | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | setback of the district, <br> - ca nnot occ upy more than <br> 50\% of the area between <br>  <br> bldg. setback, <br> - must be screened by brick <br> wall or landscaped berm 2.5 <br> ft tall <br> - lighting compatible with <br> surrounding neighborhood | -15.70\% per <br> calculation <br> provided | -No berm or wall <br> shown | -TBD |


| Item | Required Code | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Parking Spaces <br> Industrial or research Establishments \& related offices (Sec.5.2.12.E) | One space foreach 700 sf usable floor area OR 5 spaces plus 1 foreach 1.5 employees on largest shift (whic hever is greater) <br> 98,650 sf proposed, $80 \%$ usable: $78,920 / 700=113$ <br> Required Parking: 113 Spaces | Total Pa rking Proposed = 198 spaces <br> Spec building employee count unknown | Yes | $74 \%$ more spaces than required - consider reducing or land banking excess parking to reduce impervious coverage |
| Parking Space Dimensions and Maneuvering Lanes (Sec. 5.3.2) | - $90^{\circ}$ Parking: $9 \mathrm{ft} . \times 19 \mathrm{ft}$. <br> - 24 ft . two way drives <br> - 9 ft. x 17 ft. parking spaces allowed along 7 ft . wide interior sidewa lks a slong as detail indicates a 4 " curb at these locations and along landscaping | 24 ft . drives min proposed <br> $9 \mathrm{ft} . \times 17 \mathrm{ft}$. spaces proposed aswell as 9 X19ft spaces | Yes |  |
| Parking stall located adjacent to a parking lot entrance(public orprivate) (Sec. 5.3.13) | Shall not be located closer than twenty-five (25) feet from the street right-of-way (ROW) line, street ea sement or sidewalk, whichever is c loser | Minimum distance is maintained | Yes |  |
| End Islands (Sec. 5.3.12) | - End Isla nds with la ndsc a ping and raised curbs are required at the end of all parking bays that abut traffic circulation aisles. <br> - The end islands shall generally be at least 8 feet wide, have an outside radius of 15 feet, and be constructed 3 ' shorter than the adjacent parking stall as illustrated in the Zoning Ordinance | Some end islands abutting traffic circulation aisles may not be 3' shorter than adjacent parking stall | Yes | NOTE: Interior parking islands can be the same length as the adjacent spaces, while end islands abutting traffic circulation aisles must be $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ shorter |
| Bamier Free <br> Spaces <br> Bamier Free <br> Code | For 198 spaces, 6 barier free required | 6 ba mier free shown | Yes |  |
| Bamier Free Space Dimensions Barner Free Code | - $8^{\prime}$ wide with an $8^{\prime}$ wide access aisle forvan accessible spaces <br> - 8' wide with a $5^{\prime}$ wide access aisle for regular accessible spaces | 2 van accessible shown 4 regular $B F$ shown | Yes |  |
| Bamier Free Signs Bamier Free Code | One sign for each accessible parking space. | Shown | Yes |  |


| Item | Required Code | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum number of Bicycle Parking (Sec. 5.16.1) | $5 \%$ of required auto spaces, min 2 spaces <br> 113 required auto $=6$ spaces | 6 proposed | Yes |  |
| Bicycle Parking General requirements (Sec. 5.16) | - Located along principal building entrance approach, clearly visible <br> - No farther than 120 ft. from the entrance being served <br> - When 4 or more spaces are required for a building with multiple entrances, the spacesshall be provided in multiple locations <br> - Spacesto be paved and the bike rack shall be inverted " $U$ " design min. of 36 " tall <br> - Shall be accessible via 6 ft . paved access from street | Two locations noted: near front entrance; one behind building <br> Rack Design shown <br> Both bike parking via 7' sidewalk, but 2' caroverhang will leave $5^{\prime}$ 'clear | Yes <br> Yes <br> Yes <br> No | Widen sidewalk to bike parking to $8^{\prime}$ to leave $6^{\prime}$ clear path when cars are present; 6 ft sidewalk from ROW required |
| Bicycle Parking Lot layout (Sec 5.16.6) | Parking space width: 6 ft . One tier width: 10 ft . <br> Two tier width: 16 ft . <br> Maneuvering lane width: 4 ft . Parking space depth: 2 ft . single, $2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. double | Layout shown | Yes |  |
| Loading Spaces (Sec. 5.4.3) | Loading area in the rearyard, unless a butting residential or interior side yard if adjacent to I, EXPO or EXO district | Truck well in rear (north) yard | Yes |  |
| Accessory Stuctures |  |  |  |  |
| Dumpster (Sec 4.19.2.F) | - Located in rearyard <br> - Attached to the building or no closer than 10 ft . from build ing if not attached <br> - Not located in parking setback <br> - If no setback, then it cannot be any closer than 10 ft , from property line. <br> - Away from Ba mier free Spaces | Dumpster enclosure in rear yard outside of parking setback, away from BF spaces | Yes |  |



| Item | Required Code | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protecting current and future residential uses from adverse impact Sec 3.14.3.A | The scale, size, building design, façade materials, landsc aping and activity of the use is such that current and future residential uses will be protected from adverse impacts. | No homes currently adja cent, however residential zoning to the south | Yes? |  |
| Long term truck parking Sec 3.14.3.B | No long term delivery truck parking on site | Noted sheet C-3.0 | Yes |  |
| Performance standards Sec 3.14.3.C | The lighting, noise, vibration, odor and other possible impacts are in compliance with standards and intent of the artic le and performance standards of Section 5.14 | Noted sheet C-3.0 | Yes |  |
| Storage and/ use of material Sec 3.14.3.D | The storage and/or use of any volatile, flammable or other materials shall be fully identified in application and shall comply with any city ordinances regarding toxic or hazardous materials. | Note on plan | Yes |  |
| Hazardous material checklist Sec 3.14.3.E | Compliance with City's haza rdous materials checklist | Checklist provided | Yes |  |
| Sidewalks and Pathways |  |  |  |  |
| ARICLEXI. OFFROAD NONMOTORIZED FACILIES Sec. 11-256. Requirement. (c) \& Sub. Ord. Sec. 4.05, | - In the case of new streets and roadwaysto be constructed as part of the project, a sidewalk shall be provided on both sides of the proposed street or roadway. <br> - Sidewalks along arterials and collectors shall be 6 feet or 8 feet wide as designated by the "Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan," but not a long industrial service streets per Subd ivision Ordinance. <br> - Whereas sidewalks a long local streets a nd private roadways shall be five (5) feet wide. | NA <br> 6' Sidewalk shown along 12 Mile <br> NA | Yes |  |
| Pedestrian Connectivity | - Whether the traffic circulation features within the site and parking areas are designed to assure | Sidewalks proposed on S, W and Esides of building and from | Yes | Widen sidewalk from ROW onto site to 6 ' and note dimension on the plan |


| Item | Required Code | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | safety and convenience of both vehicularand pedestrian traffic both within the site and in relation to access streets <br> - Building exits must be connected to sidewalk system or parking lot. | sidewalk in ROW into the site |  |  |
| Lighting and Photometric Plan (Sec. 5.7) |  |  |  |  |
| Intent(Sec. 5.7.1) | Establish a ppropriate minimum levels, prevent unnecessary glare, reduce spilloveronto adjacent properties \& reduce unnec essary transmission of light into the night sky | Provided | Yes |  |
| Lighting Plan (Sec. 5.7.A.i) | Site plan showing location of all existing \& proposed build ings, landsc a ping, streets, drives, parking areas \& exterior lighting fixtures | Provided | Yes |  |
| Building Lighting (Sec. 5.7.2.A.iii) | Relevant building elevation dra wings showing all fixtures, the portions of the walls to be illuminated, illuminance levels of walls and the aiming points of any remote fixtures. | Not provided | No | Provide illuminance levels of exterior walls as required |
| Lighting Plan (Sec.5.7.2.A.ii) | Specific ations for all proposed \& existing lighting fixtures | Provided | Yes | Provide lighting hours of operation |
|  | Photometric data | Provided | Yes |  |
|  | Fixture height | 20-25 ft | Yes |  |
|  | Mounting \& design | Provided | Yes |  |
|  | Glare control devices (Also see Sec. 5.7.3.D) | Provided | Yes |  |
|  | Type \& color rendition of lamps | Provided | Yes |  |
|  | Hours of operation | Not provided | No |  |
| Maximum Height (Sec. 5.7.3.A) | Height not to exceed maximum height of zoning district ( 40 ft .) (or 25 ft . where adjacent to residential districts or uses) | 20-25 ft. | Yes |  |
| Standard Notes (Sec. 5.7.3.B) | - Electric al senvice to light fixtures shall be placed underground <br> - Flashing light shall not be permitted <br> - Only necessary lighting for sec urity purposes \& limited operations shall be permitted after a site's hours | Provided | Yes |  |


| Item | Required Code | Proposed | Meets <br> Code | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | of operation |  | No? | Provide details ofsecurity <br> lighting proposed |
| Security Lighting <br> (Sec. 5.7.3.H) | - All fixtures shall be located, <br> shielded, and a imed at the <br> areas to be sec ured. <br> Lighting for <br> secures mounted on the purposes <br> build ing and designed to <br> shall be directed <br> illuminate the facade are <br> only onto the <br> area to be <br> secured. | Not provided |  | N. |


| Item | Required Code | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General layout and dimension of proposed physical improvements | Location of all existing and proposed buildings, proposed building heights, building layouts, (floor area in square feet), location of proposed parking and parking layout, streets and drives, and indicate square footage of pavement area (indicate public orprivate). | Provided | Yes |  |
| Ec onomic Impact Information | - Total cost of the proposed building \& site improvements <br> - Number of anticipated jobs created (during construction \& a fter build ing is occupied, if known). |  | No | Provide requested information for Planning Commission's consideration |
| Development and Street Names | Development and street names must be approved by the Street Naming Committee before Preliminary Site Plan approval | Name approval for Industrial Park required | No | Contact Madeleine Kopko at 248-347-0475 to schedule a meeting with the Committee |
| Development/ Business Sign | Signage if proposed requires a permit. Can be considered during site plan review process or independently. | None shown | NA | For sign permit information contact Maureen Underhill $\underline{248-735-5602 .}$ |

## NOTES:

1. This table is a working summary chart and not intended to substitute for any Ordinance or City of Novi requirements or standards.
2. The section of the applicable ordinance orstandard is indic ated in parenthesis. Please refer to those sections in Article 3, 4, and 5 of the zoning ordinance for further details.
3. Please include a written response to any points requining clarific ation or for a ny corresponding site plan modifications to the City of Novi Planning Department with future submittals.


## PLAN REVIEW CENTER REPORT

June 5, 2020

## Engineering Review

Great Oaks Industrial Park 1
JSP19-0035

## Applicant

Hillside Investments

## Review Type

Revised Preliminary Site Plan

## Property Characteristics

- Site Location:
- Site Size:
- Plan Date:
- Design Engineer:

North of Twelve Mile Road, West of West Park Drive 20.04 acres

01/31/2020
PEA, Inc.

## Project Summary

- Construction of an approximately 98,650 square-foot industrial office building and associated parking. Site access would be provided via Twelve Mile Road.
- Water service would be provided by a 16 -inch extension from the existing 24 -inch water main along the west side of West Park Drive. Seven (7) hydrants are also proposed. No water service or fire protection leads are shown at this time.
- Sanitary sewer service would be provided by a 6-inch lead to the subject property from a 10 -inch sewer main extension along the south side of Twelve Mile Road from the existing 10 -inch sanitary sewer stub across from West Park Drive.
- Storm water would be collected by a single storm sewer collection system and discharged to an on-site detention basin.


## Recommendation

Approval of the Preliminary Site Plan and Preliminary Storm Water Management Plan is recommended, with comments to be addressed at the time of Final Site Plan submittal.

## Comments:

The Preliminary Site Plan does meet the general requirements of Chapter 11 of the Code of Ordinances, the Storm Water Management Ordinance and the Engineering Design Manual. The following should be addressed prior to submittal of the Final Site Plan:

## General

1. The City benchmark shall be corrected from 666.29 to 966.29 . Additionally, the 0.15 ' conversion is unnecessary, since the site datum appears to be NAVD88, which is the City's benchmark datum as well. Make this correction on all applicable sheets.
2. Provide a minimum of two ties to established section or quarter section corners.
3. All work within the right-of-way will require a permit from RCOC and the City of Novi.
4. Provide a traffic control plan for the proposed road work activity.
5. Provide a construction materials table on the Utility Plan listing the quantity and material type for each utility (water, sanitary and storm) being proposed.
6. Provide a utility crossing table indicating that at least 18 -inch vertical clearance will be provided, or that additional bedding measures will be utilized at points of conflict where adequate clearance cannot be maintained.
7. Provide a note stating if dewatering is anticipated or encountered during construction a dewatering plan must be submitted to the Engineering Division for review.
8. Generally, all proposed trees shall remain outside utility easements. Where proposed trees are required within a utility easement, the trees shall maintain a minimum 5 -foot horizontal separation distance from any existing or proposed utility.
9. Show the locations of all light poles on the utility plan and indicate the typical foundation depth for the pole to verify that no conflicts with utilities will occur. Light poles in a utility easement will require a License Agreement.

## Water Main

10. Show the domestic water service and fire lead to the building on the utility plan.
11. A tapping sleeve, valve and well is required at the connection to the existing water main.
12. Provide a profile for all proposed water main 8 -inch and larger.
13. All water main, on-site and off-site, should be located within a 20 -foot wide water main easement or public right-of-way. Any off-site legal documents must be approved by the City prior to approval of the Stamping Set.
14. Three (3) sealed sets of revised utility plans along with the MDEGLE permit application (06/12 rev.) for water main construction and the Streamlined

Water Main Permit Checklist should be submitted to the Engineering Division for review when no further design changes are anticipated. An electronic plan can be sent to Kate Richardson at krichardson@cityofnovi.org for review prior to printing hard copies. Utility plan sets shall include only the cover sheet, any applicable utility sheets and the standard detail sheets.

## Sanitary Sewer

15. Provide a sanitary sewer basis of design for the development on the utility plan sheet.
16. Extend the sanitary sewer on the south side of Twelve Mile to the western boundary of the site's property line.
17. Illustrate all pipes intersecting with manholes on the sanitary profiles.
18. All sanitary sewer main, on-site and off-site, should be located within a 20 -foot wide water main easement or public right-of-way. Any off-site legal documents must be approved by the City prior to approval of the Stamping Set.
19. Three (3) sealed sets of revised utility plans along with the MDEGLE permit application (01/18 rev.) for sanitary sewer construction and the Streamlined Sanitary Sewer Permit Certification Checklist should be submitted to the Engineering Division for review, assuming no further design changes are anticipated. Utility plan sets shall include only the cover sheet, any applicable utility sheets and the standard detail sheets.

## Storm Sewer

20. Provide a four-foot deep sump in the last storm structure prior to discharge to the storm water basin.
21. Illustrate all pipes intersecting storm structures on the storm profiles.
22. Provide a schedule listing the casting type and other relevant information for each proposed storm structure on the utility plan. Round castings shall be provided on all catch basins except curb inlet structures.
23. Label all roof conductors and provide material and sizing information.

## Storm Water Management Plan

24. The Storm Water Management Plan for this development shall be designed in accordance with the Storm Water Ordinance and Chapter 5 of the new Engineering Design Manual.
25. Consider revising the detention basin grades to eliminate the need for riprap on the north side of the proposed road. When this road is extended the riprap will be removed and the pond may need to be regraded.
26. A 4-foot wide safety shelf is required one-foot below the permanent water surface elevation within the basin.
27. Show the drainage pattern that the basin outlet flow follows. If the volume and/or rate of discharge increases to any off-site property then an off-site drainage easement will be required.
28. Provide a 5 -foot wide stone bridge/access route allowing direct access to the standpipe from the bank of the basin during high-water conditions (i.e. stone 6-inches above high water elevation). Provide a detail and/or note as necessary.
29. Provide a soil boring in the vicinity of the storm water basin to determine soil conditions and to establish the high water elevation of the groundwater table.
30. Provide supporting calculations for the runoff coefficient determination.

## Paving \& Grading

31. The widening of Twelve Mile Road is in the planning stage and any additional impacts to the site plan design will be communicated with the applicant. No revisions are anticipated at this time.
a. Depending on the final road design, the amount of right-of-way required may decrease from the currently proposed 90 -foot half-width right-of-way and vary in width across the property's frontage.
32. Site grading shall be limited to $1 \mathrm{~V}: 4 \mathrm{H}$ (25-percent), excluding landscaping berms. Numerous areas appear to exceed this standard.
33. The minimum emergency access easement width shall be 25 feet. Dimension this information on the plans.
34. Provide a detail of the permanent "break-away" gate that is in accordance with Figure VIII-K in Section 11-194 of the Code of Ordinance.
35. Provide the dimension of the internal sidewalk that connects to the Twelve Mile Road sidewalk.
36. The internal sidewalks that connect bicycle parking to adjacent facilities should have a minimum 6-foot wide clear path. The 2 -foot vehicle overhang cannot encroach into this space. At a minimum, the western and southern sidewalks around the building should be widened to 8 feet wide.
37. Provide a minimum of 6 spot elevations where the sidewalk crosses the emergency access drive (one at each corner and two in the center of the driveway on each side of the pathway). Spot elevations shall be provided to demonstrate a level landing adjacent to each side of the pathway crossing.
38. Provide a note on the plan stating that the emergency access gate is to be installed and closed prior to the issuance of Temporary Certificate of Occupancy.
39. The barrier-free ramps shall comply with current MDOT specifications for ADA Sidewalk Ramps. Provide the latest version of the MDOT standard detail for detectable surfaces.
a. Label specific ramp locations on the plans where the detectable warning surface is to be installed.
b. Specify the product proposed and provide a detail for the detectable warning surface for barrier free ramps. The product shall be the concreteembedded detectable warning plates, or equal, and shall be approved by the Engineering Division. Stamped concrete will not be acceptable.
40. All off-site grading will require a temporary construction easement from the neighboring property owners and the easement should be approved by the City before the Stamping Set is approved.
41. The end islands shall conform to the City standard island design, or variations of the standard design, while still conforming to the standards as outlined in Section 2506 of Appendix A of the Zoning ordinance (i.e. 2' minor radius, 15' major radius, minimum 8' wide, 3 ' shorter than adjacent 19' stall).
42. Provide the standard MDOT detail ' $M$ ' approach at the Twelve Mile Road driveway.
43. Either remove the paving details on sheet C-9.0 or update them to match the City's Standard Paving Details.

## Soil Erosion and Sediment Control

43. SESC permit is required. A full review has not been completed at this time. The review checklist detailing all SESC requirements is attached to this letter. Please address the comments below and submit a SESC permit application under separate cover. The application can be found on the City's website at http://cityofnovi.org/Reference/Forms-and-Permits.aspx.

## Off-Site Easements

44. All off-site utility easements anticipated must be executed prior to final approval of the plans. At the time of Final Site Plan submittal, drafts of the easements and a recent title search should be submitted to the Community Development Department as soon as possible for review, and shall be approved by the Engineering Division and the City Attorney prior to executing the easements.
45. Approval from the neighboring property owners for the work associated with the off-site water main and sanitary sewer shall be forwarded to the Engineering Division prior to Final Site Plan approval.

## The following must be submitted with the Final Site Plan:

46. A letter from either the applicant or the applicant's engineer must be submitted with the Final Site Plan highlighting the changes made to the plans addressing each of the comments listed above and indicating the revised sheets involved. Additionally, a statement must be provided stating that all changes to the plan have been discussed in the applicant's response letter.
47. An itemized construction cost estimate must be submitted to the Community Development Department for the determination of plan review and construction inspection fees. This estimate should only include the civil site work and not any costs associated with construction of the building or any demolition work. The estimate must be itemized for each utility (water, sanitary, storm sewer), on-site paving (square yardage), right-of-way paving (including proposed right-of-way), grading, and the storm water basin (basin construction, control structure, pre-treatment structure and restoration).

## The following must be submitted at the time of Stamping Set submittal:

48. A draft copy of the Storm Drainage Facility Maintenance Easement Agreement (SDFMEA), as outlined in the Storm Water Management Ordinance, must be submitted to the Community Development Department. Once the agreement is approved by the City's Legal Counsel, this agreement will then be sent to City Council for approval/acceptance. The SDFMEA will then be recorded at the office of the Oakland County Register of Deeds. This document is available on our website.
49. A draft copy of the 20 -foot wide easement for the water main to be constructed on the site must be submitted to the Community Development Department.
50. A draft copy of the 20 -foot wide easement for the sanitary sewer to be constructed on the site must be submitted to the Community Development Department.
51. A draft copy of the 25 -foot wide emergency access easement site must be submitted to the Community Development Department.
52. A draft copy of the warranty deed for the additional proposed right-of-way along Twelve Mile Road must be submitted for review and acceptance by the City.
53. Executed copies of any required off-site legal documents must be submitted to the Community Development Department.
a. This includes the additional right-of-way, sanitary sewer easements, water main easement or drainage easements necessary to complete the site work.

## The following must be addressed prior to construction:

54. A pre-construction meeting shall be required prior to the commencement of any site work. Please contact Sarah Marchioni in the Community Development Department to setup a meeting (248-347-0430).
55. A City of Novi Grading Permit will be required prior to any grading on the site. This permit will be issued at the pre-construction meeting (no application fee).
56. An NPDES permit must be obtained from the MDEGLE since the site is over 5 acres in size. The MDEGLE requires an approved plan to be submitted with the Notice of Coverage.
57. A Soil Erosion Control Permit must be obtained from the City of Novi. Contact Sarah Marchioni in the Community Development Department (248-347-0430) for forms and information.
58. A permit for work within the right-of-way of Twelve Mile Road must be obtained from the City of Novi. The application is available from the City Engineering Division and should be filed at the time of Final Site Plan
submittal. Please contact the Engineering Division at 248-347-0454 for further information.
59. A permit for work within the right-of-way of Twelve Mile Road must be obtained from the Road Commission for Oakland County (RCOC). Please contact the RCOC (248-858-4835) directly with any questions. The applicant must forward a copy of this permit to the City. Provide a note on the plans indicating that all work within the road right-of-way will be constructed in accordance with RCOC standards.
60. A permit for water main construction must be obtained from the MDEGLE. This permit application must be submitted through the Engineering Division at the City of Novi.
61. A permit for sanitary sewer construction must be obtained from the MDEGLE. This permit application must be submitted through the Engineering Division at the City of Novi.
62. Construction Inspection Fees will be determined once the construction cost estimate is submitted and must be paid prior to the pre-construction meeting.
63. A storm water performance guarantee, equal to 1.2 times the amount required to complete storm water management and facilities (as specified in the Storm Water Management Ordinance) must be posted with Community Development.
64. A street sign financial guarantee in an amount to be determined ( $\$ 400$ per traffic control sign proposed) must be posted with Community Development.

To the extent this review letter addresses items and requirements that require the approval of/or a permit from an agency or entity other than the City, this review shall not be considered an indication or statement that such approvals or permits will be issued.

Please contact Kate Richardson at (248) 347-0586 with any questions.


Kate Richardson, EIT
Plan Review Engineer
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { cc: } & \text { Lindsay Bell, Community Development } \\ & \text { Ben Croy, PE; Engineering } \\ & \text { Victor Boron, Engineering }\end{array}$ <br> \title{
PLAN REVI EN CENTER REPORT <br> \title{
PLAN REVI EN CENTER REPORT <br> May 13, 2020 <br> Revised Preliminary Site Plan - Landscaping Great Oaks Industrial Building <br> Great Oaks Industrial Building
}

## Great Oak Industrial Build

## Review Type

Revised Preliminary La ndsc ape Review
」 ob \#
J SP 19-0035

## Property Characteristics

- Site Location:

46844 West Twelve Mile Road

- Site Acreage: 8.18 ac.
- Site Zoning:
- Adja cent Zoning: North: I-2; East, West: I-1, I-2; South: OST, R-A
- Plan Date: 8/19/2019


## Ordinance Considerations

This project was reviewed for conformance with Chapter 37: Woodland Protection, Zoning Article 5.5 Landscape Standards, the Landsc ape Design Manual and any other applic able provisions of the Zoning Ordinance. Items in bold below must be addressed and incorporated as part of the Final Site Plan submittal (except the item related to the Landscape Waiver). Please follow guidelines of the Zoning Ordinance and Landscape Design Guidelines. This review is a summary and is not intended to substitute for any Ordinance.

## Recommendation

This project is recommended for approval for Preliminary Ste Plan, provided the landscape waiver is granted or the layout is modified to remove the need for it. The other revisions noted can be addressed on the Final Site Plans.

## LANDSCAPE WAIVERS REQURED BY PROPOSED LAYOUT:

- Landscape waiver for 16 consecutive parking spaces without a landsc ape isla nd with a tree, in the souther most bay. Not supported by staff.
- Lack of the required greenbelt berm. Not supported by staff.
- Lack of access drive perimeter trees a long the west side of the new drive. Not supported by staff.

Please revise the layout, grading and/or landscape plan to remove these waiver requests or list them on Sheet L-1.0.

## Ordinance Considerations

Existing and proposed overhead and underground utilities, inc luding hydrants. (LDM 2.e.(4))

1. Provided
2. Please be sure that trees are properly distanced from the overhead wires if they are to remain, or use sub-canopy trees if necessary.

Existing Trees (Sec 37 Woodland Protection, Preliminary Site Plan checklist \#17 and LDM 2.3 (2))

1. Provided
2. Woodland replacement calculations and trees are also provided.

Adjacent to Residential - Buffer (Zoning Sec. 5.5.3.B.ii and iii)
The project is not adjacent to residentially-zoned property

Adjacent to Public Rights-of-Way - Berm/Wall, Buffer and Street Trees (Zoning Sec. 5.5.3.B.ii, iii)

1. Most of the required trees are provided. 4 additional trees are required (1 canopy and 3 subc anopy trees) and should be provided on Final Site Plans.
2. Please add the required $\mathbf{3}$ foot minimum height undulating bem along the Twelve Mile Road greenbelt
3. The street trees may need to be changed to subcanopy trees due to overhead wires at a rate of 1.5 subcanopy trees percanopy tree required. See the landscape chart for more details.

Parking Lot Landscaping (Zoning Sec. 5.5.3.C.)

1. All required parking lot interior and perimeter trees are provided.
2. The access drive along the west side needs to have deciduous canopy trees provided along its west side at a rate of $\mathbf{1 / 3 5}$ If. Since the drive and parking lot are within 22 feet of each other, the parking lot perimeter trees along the drive can also count toward the requirement for that side of the road.

Building foundation Landsc aping (Zoning Sec 5.5.3.D)

1. Based on the building perimeter, 7512sf of landscape area is required and 7830sf will be provided.
2. Please provide detailed foundation planting planswith Final Site Plans.

Plant List (DM 2.h. and t.), Section 37-8

1. Provided
2. 11 of 14 species used $(79 \%)$ are native to Michigan.
3. The proposed tree diversity meets the standards of the Landscape Design Manual Section 4.
4. Please use buroak or some other native species on the Woodland Replacement Chart in the Woodlands Protection ordinance as a substitute for River Birch, which is not on the chart.

Planting Notations and Details (DM)
Provided

## Storm Basin Landscape (Zoning Sec 5.5.3.E.iv and LDM 3)

1. Please identify all area s of the site with Phragmites a ustralis.
2. If there is a ny on the site, please provide plans for its complete removal per the MDEGLE.
3. If there isn't any please note that on the plans.

Ingation (DM 1.a.(1)(e) and 2.s)

1. The proposed landscaping must be provided with sufficient water to become established and survive over the long term.
2. Please provide an imigation plan or note how this will be accomplished if an irigation plan is not provided on Final Site Plans. An actual irigation plan could be provided in the electronic stamping set if desired.

If the applicant has any questions conceming the above review or the process in general, do not hesitate to contact me at 248.735.5621 or meader@cityofnovi.org.


Rick Meader - Landscape Architect

## LANDSCAPE REVIEW SUMMARY CHART- PREIMINARY STIE PLAN REVIEW

Review Date: May 13, 2020
Project Name: J SP19-0035: Great O a ks Building
Plan Date: J anuary 31, 2020
Prepared by: Rick Meader, Landscape Architect E-mail: meader@cityofnovi.org;
Phone: (248) 735-5621
Items in Bold need to be addressed by the applicant before approval of the Preliminary Site Plan. Underlined items need to be addressed for Final Site Plan.

## LANDSCAPE WAIVERS REQUIRED BY PROPOSED LAYOUT:

- Landscape waiver for 16 consecutive parking spaces without a landscaped island in the southem most bay. Not supported by staff.
- Lack of the required greenbelt berm. Not supported by staff.
- Lack of access drive perimeter trees a long the west side of the new drive. Not supported by staff.

Please revise the layout, grading and/or landscape plans to remove these waiver requests or list them on Sheet L-1.0.

| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landscape Plan Requirements (LDM (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Landscape Plan (Zoning Sec 5.5.2, DM 2.e.) | - New commercial or residential developments <br> - Addition to existing build ing greater than $25 \%$ inc rease in overall footage or 400 SF whichever is less. <br> - $1^{\prime \prime}=20^{\prime}$ minimum with proper North. Variations from this scale can be approved by LA <br> - Consistent with plans throughout set | Scale 1" $=40$ | Yes | Please use a smaller <br> scale ( $1^{\prime \prime}=20^{\prime}$ or $1^{\prime \prime}=30^{\prime}$ ) <br> for the detailed <br> foundation planting <br> designs when they are provided. |
| Project Information (DM 2.d.) | Name and Address | Location map | Yes |  |
| Owner/ Developer Contact Information (DM 2.a.) | Name, address and telephone number of the ownerand developeror association | Yes | Yes |  |
| Landscape Architect contact information (DM 2.b.) | Name, Address and telephone number of RLA/PLA/ШA who created the plan | Firm name, LA seal | Yes |  |
| Sealed by LA. <br> (DM 2.g.) | Requires original signature | Seal provided | Yes | Live signature required on stamping sets |


| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miss Dig Note (800) 482-7171 (மM.3.a.(8)) | Show on all plan sheets | Yes | Yes |  |
| Zoning (LD 2.f.) | Include all adjacent zoning | Parcel: I-1/I-2 <br> Proposed: I-1 <br> North: I-2 <br> East, West: I-1, I-2 <br> South: 12 Mile Rd, OST, RA | Yes |  |
| Survey information (DM 2.c.) | - Legal description or boundary line survey <br> - Existing topography | Sheets C-1.0-C-1.2 | Yes |  |
| Existing plant material Existing woodlands or wetlands <br> (DM 2.e.(2)) | - Show location type and size. Label to be saved or removed. <br> - Plan shall state if none exists. | - Tree survey, removals, calculations are provided. <br> - SheetsT-1.0-T-1. 2 | Yes |  |
| Soil types (DM.2.r.) | - As determined by Soils survey of Oakland county <br> - Show types, boundaries | - Types are listed on Sheet C-3.0 and L-1. <br> - No boundaries are provided. | No | Please show soil boundaries on C-1.0 or L-1. 0 |
| Existing and proposed improvements (DM 2.e.(4)) | Existing and proposed buildings, easements, parking spaces, vehicular use areas, and R.O.W | Yes | Yes |  |
| Existing and proposed utilities (DM 2.e.(4)) | - Overhead and underground utilities, including hydrants <br> - Light posts | - Existing and proposed utilities shown on Landscape Plan. <br> - No light postsare shown. | - Yes <br> - No | Please add all proposed light posts to plan and resolve any tree/pole conflicts. |
| Proposed grading. 2' contour minimum (DM 2.e.(1)) | Provide proposed contours at 2' interval | - Proposed contours and spot elevations on Sheet C-4 <br> - No greenbelt berm is proposed. | - Yes <br> - No | 1. Please add the required greenbelt berm. <br> 2. No berm is required along new access drive on west - lower it to improve growing conditions for perimetertrees planted there. |
| Snow deposit (DM.2.q.) | Show snow deposit areas on plan | Yes | Yes |  |

## LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENIS

Parking Area Landscape Requirements LDM 1.c. \& Calc ulations (LDM 2.o.)
General requirements
(DM 1.c)

| - Clear sight distance <br> within parking islands <br> - No evergreen trees | Yes | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets <br> Code | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name, type and <br> number of ground <br> cover (LM 1.c.(5)) | Asproposed on planting <br> islands | Seed is indic ated <br> on islands. | Yes |  |

General (Zoning Sec 5.5.3.C.ii)

| Parking lot Islands $(a, b . i)$ | - A minimum of 200 SF to qualify <br> - A minimum of 200sf unpaved area per tree planted in an island <br> - 6 " curbs <br> - Isla nds minimum width $10^{\prime} \mathrm{BOC}$ to BOC | Islands are suffic iently large. | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curbs and Parking stall reduction (c) | Parking stall can be reduced to 17' and the curb to 4" adjacent to a sidewalk of minimum 7 ft. | Spaces along outer edge are 17 ft with 4" curbs | Yes |  |
| Contiguous space limit (i) | Maximum of 15 contiguous spaces | - 15 is maximum bay length <br> - Interior isla nd on southemmost bay with walk does not have sufficient green space ora tree but bays on either side of it total 16 spaces. | - Yes <br> - No | Ether shorten one of the bays on either side of the path in the southemmost parking bay so there is just a total of 15 spaces on eitherside of the pathway, or add area and a tree to the island with the pathway to bring that area into compliance and avoid needing a landscape waiver. |
| Plantings around Fire Hydrant (d) | No plantings with matured height greater than 12 ' within 10 ft . of fire hydrants | No trees are located closer than 10' from hydrants or other utility structures. | Yes | Please adjust the hydrant island on the west side of the west parking lot to allow a tree to be located inside that island, not at the perimeter. |
| Landscaped area (g) | Areas not dedicated to parking use ordriveways exc eeding 100 sq. ft. shall be landscaped | Yes | Yes |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clear Zones (LDM } \\ & \text { 2.3.(5)) } \end{aligned}$ | 25 ft comerclearance required. Referto Zoning Section 5.5.9 | City of Novi clear vision zone is provided at 12 Mile Road entry. | No | 1. Please indicate clear vision zone per RCOC regulations for 12 Mile Road entry. Their rules are shown at the end of this chart). <br> 2. If RCOC does not |


| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets <br> Code | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | allow some orall of <br> the Haggerty Road |
| street trees, the |  |  |  |  |
| disallowed trees do |  |  |  |  |
| not need to be |  |  |  |  |
| planted, but |  |  |  |  |
| documentation of |  |  |  |  |
| that ruling must be |  |  |  |  |
| provided. |  |  |  |  |

Category 1: For OS-1, OS-2, OSC, OST, B-1, B-2, B-3, NCC, EXPO, FS, TC, TC-1, RC, Special Land Use ornonresidential use in any Rdistrict (Zoning Sec 5.5.3.C. iii)

| $\mathrm{A}=$ Total square footage of vehic ular use areasup to 50,000sf $\times 7.5 \%$ | - $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{x}$ sf $* 7.5 \%=\mathrm{A}$ sf <br> - $50,000 * 7.5 \%=3750$ sf | NA | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B = Total square footage of additional paved vehicular use areas (not including A or B) over 50,000 SF) x1\% | - $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{x} \mathrm{sf}^{*} 1 \%=\mathrm{B}$ sf <br> - $(x x x-50000) * 1 \%=x x$ sf | NA |  |  |

Category 2: For: I-1 and I-2 (Zoning Sec 5.5.3.C .iii)

| A. =Total square <br> footage of vehic ular <br> use area up to 50,000 <br> sf $x 5 \%$ | A $=x$ sf $* 5 \%=$ A sf <br> A $=50000 * 5 \%=2500$ sf |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B =Total square <br> footage of additional <br> paved vehic ularuse <br> areasover 50,000 SF x | B=x sf $* 0.5 \%=$ B SF <br> B $=29,758 * 0.5 \%=149$ sf |  |  |  |
| 0.5\% |  |  |  |  |

## All Categories

| $C=A+B$ <br> Total square footage of landscaped islands | $2500+149=2649$ SF | 6134 sf | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{C} / 200$ <br> Number of canopy trees required | - $\mathrm{xx} / 200=\mathrm{xx}$ trees <br> - $2648 / 200=13$ trees | 13 trees | Yes | Please move the parking lot tree at the southeast comer of the building 10 feet or so to the west to widen the angle of view to the building address. |
| Parking Lot Perimeter Trees | - 1 Canopy tree per 35 If <br> - 1929lf/ $35=55$ trees | 47 trees plus 8 double-counted canopy trees in greenbelt | No |  |
| Access way perimeter | - 1 canopy tree per 35 If on each side of road, less widths of access drives. | - 2 trees for east entry from Twelve Mile Road <br> - No trees provided | - Yes <br> - No | 1. Please add calculations and deciduouscanopy trees along the west |


| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | East entry drive <br> - $821 \mathrm{f} / 35=2$ trees <br> Access Drive: <br> - $605 / 35=17$ trees (only required along west side as the east side's requirement is met by the parking lot perimeter trees. | for west side of access drive |  | side of the access drive at 1 tree per 35 If. <br> 2. Due to the 22 feet separation between the parking lot and access drive, the parking lot penimeter treescan also count toward the trees required for the east side of the drive. <br> 3. A landscape waiver would be required to not provide the trees along the west side. It would not be supported by staff. |
| Parking land banked | NA | No |  | Asthere is such a large excess number of parking spaces provided versus required, please consider land-banking some spaces. |

## Berms, Walls and ROW Planting Requirements

## Berms

- All berms shall have a maximum slope of $33 \%$. Gradual slopes are encouraged. Show 1 ft . contours
- Berm should be located on lot line except in conflict with utilities.
- Berms should be constructed with 6" of top soil.

Residential Adjacent to Non-residential (Sec 5.5.3.A) \& (DM 1.a)

| Berm requirements (Zoning Sec 5.5.A) | No berm is required asit does not abut residential | None | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planting requirements (மM 1.a.) | LDM Novi Street Tree List | NA |  |  |
| Adjacent to Public Rights-of-Way (Sec 5.5.B) and (LDM 1.b) |  |  |  |  |
| Berm requirements <br> (Zoning Sec <br> 5.5.3.A.(5)) | An undulating berm a minimum of 3 feet high with a 3 foot wide crest is required in the 12 Mile Road greenbelt | None | No | Please provide the required berm. A landscape waiver would be required to not provide it That request would not be supported by staff. |
| Cross-Section of Berms (LDM 2.j) |  |  |  |  |
| Slope, height and width | - Label contour lines <br> - Maximum 33\% <br> - Min. 3 feet flat horizontal a rea | No |  | Please provide berm cross section. |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Item } & \text { Required } & \text { Proposed } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Meets } \\ \text { Code }\end{array} & \text { Comments } \\ \hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { - Minimum 3 feet high } \\ \text { - Constructed of loam } \\ \text { with 6' top layer of } \\ \text { topsoil. }\end{array} & & \text { NA } & \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Type of Ground } \\ \text { Cover }\end{array} & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Overhead utility lines } \\ \text { and 15 ft. setback from } \\ \text { edge of utility or 20 ft. } \\ \text { setback from closest } \\ \text { pole }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Overnead lines are } \\ \text { indic ated a long 12 } \\ \text { Mile Road }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { If the overnead lines are } \\ \text { to remain as shown, } \\ \text { please move the trees }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { away from them and/or }\end{array}\right]$

## Walls (IDM 2.k \& Zoning Sec 5.5.3.vi)

| Material, heightand <br> type of construction <br> footing | Freesta nding walls <br> should have brick or <br> stone exterior with <br> ma sonry or conc rete <br> interior | No walls are <br> proposed |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Walls greater than 3 <br> $1 / 2 \mathrm{ft}$ should be <br> designed and sealed <br> by an Engineer |  | NA |  |  |

ROW Landscape Screening Requirements(Sec 5.5.3.B. ii)

| Greenbelt width $(2)(3)(5)$ | Pa rking: 20 ft . No Pkg: 25 ft | 40 ft between parking and future 90' ROW | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min. berm crest width | 12 Mile Road: 3 ft Interior Drive: None req. | 12 Mile Road: <br> None Interior Drive: 1 ft | No | Please provide the required undulating berm facing 12 Mile Road within the greenbelt. |
| Min. berm height (9) | 12 Mile Road: 3 ft Interior Drive: None req. | 12 Mile Road: None Interior Drive: 3 ft | No | See above |
| 3' wall | (4)(7) | No |  |  |
| Canopy deciduous or large evergreen trees Notes (1) (10) | 12 Mile Road: <br> - Adj to Parking: 1 tree per 40 If <br> - $(405-20) / 40=10$ trees <br> West of access drive: <br> - 1 tree per 60 ft <br> - $60 / 60=1$ tree <br> Interior Drive: None req. | 10 deciduous canopy trees between the drives | Yes | 1. Please revise the calculation. <br> 2. Please add calculations and the required tree for the west side of the new access road. |
| Sub-canopy deciduous trees Notes (2)(10) | 12 Mile Road: <br> - Adj to Parking: 1 tree per 35 If <br> - $(405-20) / 35=11$ trees <br> West of access drive: | 10 subc anopy trees between the drives | No | 1. Please revise the calculations <br> 2. Please add calculations and the required tree for the west side of the new |


| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 1 tree per 40 ft <br> - $60 / 40=2$ trees <br> Interior Drive: None req. |  |  | accessroad. |
| Canopy deciduous trees in area between sidewalk and curb (Novi Street Tree List) | 12 Mile Road: <br> - Parking \& No Parking: 1 tree per 45 If <br> - $(495-28-20) / 45=10$ trees <br> Interior Drive: None req. (but accessway perimeter trees are required - see below) | 7 canopy trees | TBD | 1. Please deduct the width of the RCOC clear vision from frontage for basis of calculation. <br> 2. It would be helpful to include the proposed plansfor widening 12 Mile on the plans, including utility lines if they are a vailable to be sure where the street treescan be located. As it is, it looks like the trees are just 5 feet away from an overhead utility line. <br> 3. Subcanopy trees may need to be provided at a rate of 1.5 subcanopy trees per required canopy tree if the trees will be within 15 feet of overhead lines. |

## Non-Residential Zoning Sec 5.5.3.E.iii \& LDM 1.d (2)

Refer to Planting in ROW, building foundation landscape, parking lot landsc aping and LDM

| Screening of outdoor storage, loading/ unloading (Zoning Sec. 3.14, 3.15, 4.55, 4.56, 5.5) |  | Loading zone to be screened by building and foundation landscaping | TBD | Please use upright evergreens in foundation area adjacent to loading docks when foundation plantings a re proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transformers/ Utility boxes (DM 1.e from 1 through 5) | - A minimum of 2 ft . separation between boxand the plants <br> - Ground cover below 4 " is allowed up to pad. <br> - No plant materials within 8 ft . from the doors | It appears that there may be a transformer at the north end of the build ing that is properly screened | TBD | When transformer locations are fina lized, screening shrubs per standard detail are required. |
| Building Foundation Landscape Requirements (Sec 5.5.3.D) |  |  |  |  |
| Interior site landscaping SF | - Equals to entire perimeter of the | 7830 SF | TBD | 1. Shaded areas indicate that |


| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | building, less with of man doors and vehic ular doors, $x 8$ with a minimum width of 4 ft . <br> - $\mathrm{A}=939$ If $\mathrm{x} 8 \mathrm{ft}=7512 \mathrm{SF}$ |  |  | suffic ient area is provided. <br> 2. Please provide detailed planting plansfor foundation planting with final site plans. <br> 3. Foundation plantings are to be included in cost estimate. |
| Zoning Sec 5.5.3.D.ii. All items from (b) to (e) | If visible from public street a minimum of $60 \%$ of the exterior building perimeter should be covered in green space | It a ppears that 95\% of the building frontages facing 12 Mile Road will be landscaped. | Yes |  |
| Detention/ Retention Basin Requirements (Sec. 5.5.3.Eiv) |  |  |  |  |
| Planting requirements (Sec. 5.5.3.E.iv) | - Clusters of la rge native shrubs shall cover 70$75 \%$ of the basin rim area <br> - 10 " to 14 " tall grass a long sides of basin <br> - Referto wetland for basin mix | The proposed shrubs provide the required coverage. | Yes | Please cluster shrubs along the high water line. |
| Phragmites Control (Sec 5.5.6.C) | - Any and all populations of Phragmites a ustralis on site shall be included on tree survey. <br> - Treat populations per MDEQ guidelines and requirements to eradicate the weed from the site. | None indicated | TBD | 1. Please survey the site forany populations of Phragmites australis and submit plans for its removal. <br> 2. If none is found, please indic ate that on the survey. |

## LANDSC APING NOTES, DEIAILS AND GENERALREQUREMENTS

Landscape Notes - Utilize City of Novi Standard Notes

| Installation date <br> (DM 2.I. \& Zoning <br> Sec 5.5.5.B) | Provide intended date | Between Mar 15 <br> and Nov 15. | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  <br> Statement of intent <br> (DM 2.m \& Zoning <br> Sec 5.5.6) | - Include statement of <br> intent to install and <br> guarantee all <br> materials for 2 years. <br> - Include a minimum <br> one cultivation in <br> June, J uly and August <br> for the 2-year warranty <br> period. | Yes |  |  |
| Plant source <br> (DM 2.n \& LDM | Shall be northem nursery <br> grown, No.1 grade. | Yes | Yes |  |


| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.a.(2)) |  |  |  |  |
| Imigation plan (DM 2.s.) | A fully a utomatic inigation system or a method of providing suffic ient water for plant esta blishment and survival is required on Final Site Plans. | No |  | 1. Please add imigation plan or information as to how plants will be watered suffic iently for establishment and long-term survival. <br> 2. If xerisc a ping is used, please provide information about plantingsincluded. |
| Other information (DM 2.u) | Required by Planning Commission | NA |  |  |
| Establishment period (Zoning Sec 5.5.6.B) | 2 yr . Guarantee | Yes | Yes |  |
| Approval of substitutions. <br> (Zoning Sec 5.5.5.E) | City must approve any substitutions in writing pror to installation. | Yes | Yes |  |

## Plant List (LDM 2.h., 4) - Inc lude all cost estimates

| Quantities and sizes | Referto LDM suggested plant list | Yes | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root type |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Botanic al and common names |  | - 11 of 14 (79\%) of species used are native to MI <br> - Tree diversity is satisfac tory per LDM Sect 4. | Yes | 1. When foundation plantings are added, please keep the mix of native species used to at least 50\%. <br> 2. Please substitute a native species such as bur oak for the River Birch woodland replacement trees, which is not on the woodland replacement chart. |
| Type and a mount of lawn |  | Seed | Yes |  |
| Cost estimate (DM 2.t) | For all new plantings, mulch and sod as listed on the plan | Yes | Yes |  |
| Planting Details/ Info (LDM 2.i) - Utilize City of Novi Standard Details |  |  |  |  |
| Canopy Deciduous Tree | Referto LDM fordetail drawings | Yes | Yes |  |
| Evergreen Tree |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Multi-stem Tree |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Shrub |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Perennial/ |  | Yes | Yes |  |


| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets Code | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground Cover |  |  |  |  |
| Tree stakes and guys. (Wood stakes, fabric guys) |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Tree protection fencing | Loc ated at Critic al Root Zone (1' outside of dripline) | Yes | Yes |  |
| Other Plant Material Requirements (LDM 3) |  |  |  |  |
| General Conditions (DM 3.a) | Plant materials shall not be planted within 4 ft . of property line | Yes-a note indic ates this and all plantings a re away from the property line. | Yes |  |
| Plant Materials \& Existing Plant Material (DM 3.b) | Clearly show trees to be removed and trees to be saved. | Yes | Yes |  |
| Landscape tree credit(LDM3.b.(d)) | - Substitutions to landsc ape standards for preserved canopy trees outside woodlands/ wetlands should be approved by LA. <br> - Referto Landscape tree Credit Chart in LD | No |  |  |
| Plant Sizes for ROW, Woodland replacement and others (LD 3.c) | 2.5" canopy trees 6 6' evergreen trees | On plant list |  |  |
| Plant size credit (DM3.c.(2)) | NA | No |  |  |
| Prohibited Plants (DM 3.d) | No plants on City Invasive Spec ies List | None are proposed | TBD |  |
| Recommended trees for planting under overhead utilities (DM 3.e) | Label the distance from the overhead utilities | Overhead lines are shown along southem property line. | Yes | See notes above regarding overhead line along 12 Mile Road. |
| Collected or Transplanted trees (DM 3.f) |  | None |  |  |
| Nonliving Durable Material: Mulch (LDM 4) | - Trees shall be mulched to 3 "depth and shrubs, groundcoversto 2" depth <br> - Specify natural color, finely shredded hardwood bark mulch. Include in cost | Yes | Yes |  |


| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets <br> Code | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | estimate. |  |  |  |
| NOTES: |  |  |  |  |

## NOTES:

1. This table is a working summary chart and not intended to substitute for any Ordinance or City of Novi requirements or standards.
2. The section of the applic able ordinance orstandard is indic ated in parenthesis. For the landscape requirements, please see the Zoning Ordinance landscape section 5.5 and the Landscape Design Manual for the a ppropriate items under the applic able zoning classification.
3. Please include a written response to a ny points requining clanfic ation or for any corresponding site plan modifications to the City of Novi Planning Department with future submittals.

FIGURE 6. 1


WEILAND REVIEW

ECT Project No. 200154-0200
June 10, 2020
Ms. Barbara McBeth, AICP
City Planner
Community Development Department
City of Novi
45175 W. Ten Mile Road
Novi, Michigan 48375
Re: $\quad$ Great Oaks Industrial Park 1 (JSP19-35)
Wetland Review of the Revised Preliminary Site Plan (PSP20-0039)
Dear Ms. McBeth:
Environmental Consulting \& Technology, Inc. (ECT) has reviewed the Revised Preliminary Site Plan (PSP20-0039) for the proposed Great Oaks Industrial Park 1 project prepared by PEA, Inc. dated January 31, 2020 (Plan). The Plan date does not appear to be updated from the Preliminary Site Plan submittal. The Plan was reviewed for conformance with the City of Novi Wetland and Watercourse Protection Ordinance and the natural features setback provisions in the Zoning Ordinance.

ECT currently recommends approval of the Preliminary Site Plan (PSP20-0039) for Wetlands contingent on the applicant addressing the items noted in the Wetland Comments Section of this letter prior to receiving Wetland approval of the Final Site Plan.

| Item | Required/Not Required/Not Applicable |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wetland Permit (specify Non-Minor or Minor) | Required (Non-Minor) |
| Wetland Mitigation | Not Likely Required (To Be Determined) |
| Wetland Buffer Authorization | Required |
| EGLE Permit | Likely (To Be Determined) |
| Wetland Conservation Easement | Not Required |

The proposed project is located north of Twelve Mile Road and west of West Park Drive in Section 9. The proposed project includes a portion of Parcel 50-22-09-300-032 and the project site is listed as 20.04 acres (gross). It appears as if proposed grading for the project extends onto the parcels to the east and to the west. Novi Crushed Concrete is located to the west and Great Oaks Landscape Associates, Inc. is located to the east. The current use of the subject property is a driving range facility (Novi Oaks Golf and Sport Center).

The project continues to include the construction of a 98,650 square-foot light industrial building, associated parking and utilities, and a stormwater detention area in the northern portion of the proposed site. The proposed limits of disturbance do not appear to extend any further north than the existing open area associated with the current golf driving range facility.

Great Oaks Industrial Park 1 (JSP19-35)
Wetland Review of the Revised Preliminary Site Plan (PSP20-0039)
June 10, 2020
Page 2 of 9

The City of Novi’s Regulated Wetland \& Woodland Map indicates areas of City-Regulated Wetland in the northern section of the subject property (see Figure 1). This area of wetland appears to be located outside of the proposed limits of disturbance for the project. It should be noted that the Plan (including the Wetland Impact Plan; Sheet C-4.0) includes several wetlands (Wetlands A, C, D, E, F, I, J, and K) within or directly adjacent to the proposed limits of disturbance area. The Davis Drain is adjacent to the subject property to the east. It can be noted that Wetlands A, B , C, D, and E are all located on the north section of the property; north of the proposed limits of disturbance.

## Wetland Evaluation

ECT's in-office review of available materials included the City of Novi Regulated Wetland/Watercourse and Regulated Woodlands maps (see Figure 1, attached), USGS topographic quadrangle map, NRCS soils map, USFWS National Wetland Inventory map, and historical aerial photographs. ECT has not completed an on-site wetland verification. Wetland delineations and verifications should be conducted during the growing season (May 1 through October 15). The wetland boundaries currently indicated on the Plan can be used for initial planning purposes.

The Wetland Delineation Report prepared by PEA, Inc. dated March 19, 2020 indicates the following regarding the existing wetland areas:

- Wetland A (6,400 SF/0.15-acre), forested/scrub-shrub;
- Wetland B (2,863 SF/0.06-acre), forested/scrub-shrub;
- Wetland C (4,673/0.11-acre), scrub-shrub/emergent;
- Wetland D ( $2,265 \mathrm{SF} / 0.05-$ acre $)$, forested/scrub-shrub;
- Wetland E (4,239 SF/0.10-acre), forested;
- Wetland F (7,373 SF/0.17-acre), forested/scrub-shrub;
- Wetland G (540 SF/0.01-acre), emergent/scrub-shrub;
- Wetland H (1,937 SF/0.04-acre), scrub-shrub/forested; and
- Wetland I/J (3,683 SF/0.08-acre), emergent/scrub-shrub.


## Wetland Impact Review

The Wetland Impact Plan; Sheet C-4.0 indicates the proposed wetland impact areas and impact volumes to the existing wetlands. The proposed development as shown requires the filling of some areas of existing wetland and 25 -foot wetland setback.

The following table summarizes the proposed wetland impacts as listed on the Wetland Impact Plan:
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Table 1. Proposed Wetland Impacts

| Wetland | City Reg? | MDEQ Reg? | Wetland Area (OnSite) | Impact Area |  | Impact <br> Volume <br> Cubic <br> Yards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Acre | Square Feet | Acre |  |
| A | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Likely | 0.15 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| B | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Likely | 0.06 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| C | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Not Likely | 0.11 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| D | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Likely | 0.05 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| E | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Likely | 0.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| F | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Likely | 0.17 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| G | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Likely | 0.01 | 540 | 0.01 | 10 |
| H | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Likely | 0.04 | 1,937 | 0.04 | 1,059 |
| I | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Likely | 0.06 | 2,688 | 0.06 | 367 |
| J | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Likely | 0.02 | 995 | 0.02 | 125 |
| K | Yes, City Regulated /Essential | Likely | 0.02 | 822 | 0.02 | 16 |
| TOTAL | -- | -- | 0.79 | 6,982 | 0.16 | 1,577 |

With regard to the 25 -foot wetland setbacks, the Plan appears to propose encroachment into several of the wetland setback areas for the purpose of building and parking area construction. The following table summarizes the proposed wetland setback impacts as listed on the Plan:
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Table 2. Proposed 25-Foot Wetland Buffer Impacts

| Wetland <br> Buffer | Existing Wetland Buffer Area |  | Permanent Buffer Impact Area |  | Temporary Buffer Impact Area |  | Purpose of Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Square <br> Feet | Acre | Square Feet | Acre | Square Feet | Acre |  |
| A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Provided } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Provided } \end{gathered}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| B | Not Provided | Not Provided | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| C | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Provided } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Provided } \end{gathered}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| D | Not Provided | Not Provided | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| E | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Provided } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Provided } \end{gathered}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| F | Not Provided | Not Provided | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| G | 4,551 | 0.10 | 4,551 | 0.10 | N/A | N/A | Parking area construction |
| H/I/J | 24,875 | 0.57 | 24,875 | 0.57 | N/A | N/A | Parking area construction |
| K | 3,800 | 0.09 | 3,800 | 0.09 | N/A | N/A | Site Grading |
| TOTAL | -- | -- | 33,226 | 0.76 | N/A | N/A | -- |

## Wetland Mitigation Review

In general, it can be noted that in those cases where an activity results in the impact to wetland areas of 0.25 -acre or greater that are deemed essential under City of Novi Ordinance subsection 12-174(b) mitigation shall be required. The applicant shall submit a mitigation plan which provides for the establishment of replacement wetlands at a ratio of 1:1 through 2:1 times the area of the natural wetland impaired or destroyed, if impacts meet or exceed the 0.25 -acre threshold (emergent and scrub-shrub wetlands are generally mitigated at a 1.5 -to- 1 ratio, forested wetlands are mitigated for at a 2.0 -to- 1 ratio, and open water areas are mitigated for at a 1.0 -to- 1 ratio). The Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy's (EGLE) threshold for the requirement of wetland mitigation is 0.3 -acre of wetland impacts.

The current Plan proposes a total wetland impact of 6,982 square feet ( 0.16 -acre). As such, wetland mitigation is not required by the City of Novi Wetland Ordinance.

## Regulatory Status - EGLE

Based on a review of the applicant's wetland delineation report, the on-site wetland areas are considered to be essential/regulated by the City of Novi as they appear to meet the essentiality criteria listed in the City's Wetland Ordinance (namely stormwater storage and wildlife habitat).

EGLEgenerally regulates wetlands that are within 500 feet of an inland lake, pond, or stream, or within 1,000 feet of a Great Lake, Lake St. Clair, the St. Clair River, or the Detroit River. Isolated wetlands five (5) acres in size or greater are also regulated. EGLE may also exert regulatory control over isolated wetlands less than five acres in size "...if the department determines that protection of the area is essential to the
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preservation of the natural resources of the state from pollution, impairment, or destruction and the department has notified the owner".

Wetlands A, B, D, E, G, and H appear likely to be regulated by EGLE as they appear to be within 500 feet of a stream/drain. Of these, the Plan currently proposes impacts to Wetland G and Wetland H. It is the applicant's responsibility to contact EGLE in order to confirm the regulatory authority with respect to the on-site wetland areas.

## Regulatory Status - City of Novi

The City of Novi Wetland and Watercourse Protection Ordinance (City of Novi Code of Ordinances, Part II, Chapter 12, Article V.; Division 2.) describes the regulatory criteria for wetlands and review standards for wetland permit applications. The City of Novi regulates wetlands that are: (1) contiguous to a lake, pond, river or stream, as defined in Administrative Rule 281.921; (2) two (2) acres in size or greater; or (3) less than two (2) acres in size but deemed essential to the preservation of the natural resources of the city under the criteria set forth in subsection 12-174(b). Wetlands deemed regulated by the City of Novi require the approval of a use permit for any proposed impacts to the wetland.

As noted above, based on a review of the applicant's wetland delineation report, the on-site wetland areas are considered to be essential/regulated by the City of Novi as they appear to meet the essentiality criteria listed in the City's Wetland Ordinance (namely stormwater storage and wildlife habitat).

Any proposed use of the wetlands will require a City of Novi Wetland Use Permit as well as an Autborization to Encroach the 25-Foot Natural Features Setback for any proposed impacts to the 25 -foot wetland buffers. The applicant is urged to minimize impacts to on-site wetlands and wetland setbacks to the greatest extent practicable. The City regulates wetland buffers/setbacks. Article 24, Schedule of Regulations, of the Zoning Ordinance states that:
"There shall be maintained in all districts a wetland and waterourse setback, as provided herein, unless and to the extent, it is determined to be in the public interest not to maintain such a setback. The intent of this provision is to require a minimum setback from wetlands and watercourses".

## City of Novi Wetland Ordinance Requirements

The City of Novi Wetland and Watercourse Protection Ordinance (City of Novi Code of Ordinances, Part II, Chapter 12, and Article V) describes the regulatory criteria for wetlands and review standards for wetland permit applications.

As stated in the Ordinance, it is the policy of the city to prevent a further net loss of those wetlands that are: (1) contiguous to a lake, pond, river or stream, as defined in Administrative Rule 281.921; (2) two (2) acres in size or greater; or (3) less than two (2) acres in size, but deemed essential to the preservation of the natural resources of the city under the criteria set forth in subsection 12-174(b).

The wetland essentiality criteria as described in the Wetland and Watercourse Protection Ordinance are included below. Wetlands deemed essential by the City of Novi require the approval of a use permit for any proposed impacts to the wetland:

All noncontiguous wetland areas of less than two (2) acres which appear on the weetlands inventory map, or which are otherwise identified during a field inspection by the city, shall be analyzed for the purpose of determining whether such
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areas are essential to the preservation of the natural resources of the city....In making the determination, the city shall find that one (1) or more of the following exist at the particular site:
(1) The site supports state or federal endangered or threatened plants, fish or wildlife appearing on a list specified in Section 36505 of the Natural Resources Environmental Protection Act (Act 451 of 1994) 历previously section 6 of the endangered species act of 1974, Act No. 203 of the Public Acts of 1974, being section 229.226 of the Michigan Compiled Laws].
(2) The site represents what is identified as a locally rare or unique ecosystem.
(3) The site supports plants or animals of an identified local importance.
(4) The site provides groundwater recharge documented by a public agency.
(5) The site provides flood and storm control by the bydrologic absorption and storage capacity of the wetland.
(6) The site provides wildlife babitat by providing breeding, nesting or feeding grounds or cover for forms of wildlife, waterfowl, including migratory waterfowl, and rare, threatened or endangered wildlife species.
(7) The site provides protection of subsurface water resources and provision of valuable watersheds and recharging groundwater supplies.
(8) The site provides pollution treatment by serving as a biological and chemical oxidation basin.
(9) The site provides erosion control by serving as a sedimentation area and filtering basin, absorbing silt and organic matter.
(10) The site provides sources of nutrients in water food cycles and nursery grounds and sanctuaries for fish.

After determining that a wetland less than two (2) acres in size is essential to the preservation of the natural resources of the city, the wetland use permit application shall be reviewed according to the standards in subsection 12-174 (a).

## Wetland and Watercourse Comments

The following are repeat comments from our Wetland Review of the Preliminary Site Plan (PSP20-0013) letter dated February 27, 2020. The current status of each comment follows in bold italics. ECT recommends that the Applicant address the items noted below in subsequent site plan submittals:

1. If they have not already done so, the applicant should have a wetland delineation conducted by a qualified wetland consultant. A wetland boundary determination report shall be provided to the City when available.

This comment has been satisfactorily addressed. A copy of the Wetland Delineation Report prepared by PEA, Inc. dated March 19, 2020 has been provided.
2. ECT encourages the Applicant to minimize impacts to on-site wetlands, wetland setbacks, and watercourses to the greatest extent practicable.

This comment still applies. The current Plan proposes 0.16-acre of wetland impact and 0.76acre of permanent impact to the on-site 25-foot wetland setbacks.
3. It should be noted that neither the existing wetland areas nor the proposed area of impact (square foot or acres) to these wetlands, have been quantified/indicated on the Plan. It can also be noted that the existing wetlands and the proposed project limits of disturbance boundary are not both clearly shown
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on the same Plan sheet. ECT requests that the applicant clarify which on-site wetlands will be impacted by the proposed project.

## This comment has been satisfactorily addressed. The required information has been shown on the Wetland Impact Plan (Sheet C-4.0).

4. The applicant shall indicate the following information on subsequent site plans:
a. Area (square feet or acres) of all existing, on-site wetland areas;
b. The area (square feet or acres) and volume (cubic yards) of all proposed wetland impacts;
c. Area (square feet or acres) of all existing, on-site 25 -foot wetland buffer areas;
d. Area (square feet or acres) of all wetland buffer impacts (both permanent and temporary);
e. The proposed impacts to wetlands and 25 -foot wetland setbacks shall be indicated on the Plan on the same sheet at the proposed site plan, not just on the existing conditions/demo plan.

This comment has been satisfactorily addressed. The required information has been shown on the Wetland Impact Plan (Sheet C-4.0).
5. It appears as though a City of Novi Wetland Use Permit and possibly an EGLE Wetland Permit and a would be required for any proposed impacts to on-site wetlands. A City of Novi Authorization to Encroach the 25-Foot Natural Features Setback would be required for any proposed impacts to on-site 25 -foot wetland buffers.

## This comment still applies.

6. It should be noted that it is the Applicant's responsibility to confirm the need for a Permit from EGLE for any proposed wetland impacts. Final determination as to the regulatory status of any on-site wetlands (if applicable) shall be made by EGLE. The Applicant should provide a copy of EGLE Wetland Use Permit application to the City (and our office) for review and a copy of the approved permit upon issuance. A City of Novi Wetland Permit cannot be issued prior to receiving this information.

## This comment still applies.

7. The Plan should address how any temporary impacts to wetland buffers shall be restored, if applicable. Specifically, the Plan should indicate what seed mix will be used to restore the areas of temporary wetland buffer impact. This shall be incorporated into the Landscape Plans.

This comment is no longer applicable. All proposed impacts to the on-site wetlands and 25foot wetland setbacks appear to be permanent and will not require restoration/re-seeding.

## Wetland Conclusion

The project site appears to contain wetlands that are regulated by the City of Novi, and potentially by EGLE. Any proposed impacts to on-site wetlands will require a City of Novi Wetland and Watercourse Use Permit, and an Authorization to Encroach the 25 -Foot Natural Features Setback for any proposed impacts to the 25 -foot wetland buffers. The project may require a Wetland Use Permit from EGLE. Any correspondence with EGLE pertaining to a permit application for this proposed project should be shared with the Community
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Development Department. A City of Novi Wetland Permit cannot be issued prior to receiving this information.

## Recommendation

ECT currently recommends approval of the Preliminary Site Plan (PSP20-0013) for Wetlands contingent on the applicant addressing the items noted in the Wetland Comments Section of this letter prior to receiving Wetland approval of the Final Site Plan.

If you have any questions regarding the contents of this letter, please contact us.
Respectfully submitted,
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING \& TECHNOLOGY, INC.


Pete Hill, P.E.
Senior Associate Engineer
cc: Lindsay Bell, City of Novi Planner
Madeleine Kopko, City of Novi Planning Assistant
Rick Meader, City of Novi Landscape Architect

Attachments: Figure 1 - City of Novi Regulated Wetland and Woodland Map
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Figure 1. City of Novi Regulated Wetland \& Woodland Map (approximate project area is shown in red). Regulated Woodland areas are shown in green and Regulated Wetland areas are shown in blue.

ECT Project No. 200154-0300
June 10, 2020
Ms. Barbara McBeth, AICP
City Planner
Community Development Department
City of Novi
45175 W. Ten Mile Road
Novi, Michigan 48375
Re: $\quad$ Great Oaks Industrial Park 1 (JSP19-0035)
Woodland Review of the Revised Preliminary Site Plan (PSP20-0039)

## Dear Ms. McBeth:

Environmental Consulting \& Technology, Inc. (ECT) has reviewed the Revised Preliminary Site Plan (PSP20-0039) for the proposed Great Oaks Industrial Park 1 project prepared by PEA, Inc. dated January 31, 2020 (Plan). The Plan date does not appear to be updated from the Preliminary Site Plan submittal. The Plan was reviewed for conformance with the City of Novi Woodland Protection Ordinance Chapter 37.

ECT currently does not recommend approval of the Revised Preliminary Plan (PSP20-0039) for Woodlands. The Applicant shall address the items noted in the Woodland Comments Section of this letter prior to receiving Woodland approval of the Preliminary Site Plan.

The following woodland related items are required for this project:

| Item | Required/Not Required/Not Applicable |
| :--- | :--- |
| Woodland Permit | Required |
| Woodland Fence | Required |
| Woodland Conservation Easement | Required |

The proposed project is located north of Twelve Mile Road and west of West Park Drive in Section 9. The proposed project includes a portion of Parcel 50-22-09-300-032 and the project site is listed as 20.04 acres (gross). Novi Crushed Concrete is located to the west and Great Oaks Landscape Associates, Inc. is located to the east. The current use of the subject property is a driving range facility (Novi Oaks Golf and Sport Center).

The project continues to include the construction of a 98,650 square-foot light industrial building, associated parking and utilities, and a stormwater detention area in the northern portion of the proposed development site. The proposed limits of disturbance do not appear to extend any further north than the existing open area associated with the current golf driving range facility. The City of Novi's Regulated Wetland \& Woodland Map indicates areas of City-Regulated Woodland in the northern section, and along the eastern section, of the subject property (see Figure 1). The majority of this area of woodland appears to be located Blvd., Suite 300 Ann Arbor, MI 48105
outside of the proposed limits of disturbance for the project as a large portion of the subject site has been cleared or previously disturbed.

Great Oaks Industrial Park 1 (JSP19-35)
Woodland Review of the Revised Preliminary Site Plan (PSP20-0039)
June 10, 2020
Page 2 of 9
It should be noted that the purpose of the City of Novi Woodland Protection Ordinance (Chapter 37) is to:

- Provide for the protection, preservation, replacement, proper maintenance and use of trees and woodlands located in the city in order to minimize disturbance to them and to prevent damage from erosion and siltation, a loss of wildlife and vegetation, and/ or from the destruction of the natural habitat. In this regard, it is the intent of this chapter to protect the integrity of woodland areas as a whole, in recognition that woodlands serve as part of an ecosystem, and to place priority on the preservation of woodlands, trees, similar woody vegetation, and related natural resources over development when there are no location alternatives;
- Protect the woodlands, including trees and other forms of vegetation, of the city for their economic support of local property values when allowed to remain uncleared and/or unharvested and for their natural beauty, wilderness character of geological, ecological, or historical significance; and
- Provide for the paramount public concern for these natural resources in the interest of health, safety and general welfare of the residents of the city.


## City of Novi Woodland Review Standards \& Woodland Permit Requirements

Based on Section 37-29 (Application Review Standards) of the City of Novi Woodland Ordinance, the following standards shall govern the grant or denial of an application for a use permit required by this article:

No application shall be denied solely on the basis that some trees are growing on the property under consideration. However, the protection and conservation of irreplaceable natural resources from pollution, impairment, or destruction is of paramount concern. Therefore, the preservation of woodlands, trees, similar woody vegetation, and related natural resources shall have priority over development when there are location alternatives.

In addition,
"The removal or relocation of trees shall be limited to those instances when necessary for the location of a structure or site improvements and when no feasible and prudent alternative location for the structure or improvements can be bad without causing undue bardship".

A Woodland Permit from the City of Novi would be required for proposed impacts to any trees 8 -inch diameter-at-breast-height ( DBH ) or greater and located within an area designated as City Regulated Woodland, or any tree 36 -inches DBH regardless of location on the site. Such trees shall be relocated or replaced by the permit grantee. All deciduous replacement trees shall be two and one-half ( $21 / 2$ ) inches caliper or greater and count at a 1 -to- 1 replacement ratio and all coniferous replacement trees shall be six (6) feet in height (minimum) and count at a 1.5 -to- 1 replacement ratio. All Woodland Replacement trees shall be species that are listed on the City's Woodland Tree Replacement Chart (attached). It should be noted that the City's Woodland Ordinance does not include any exemptions for "poor" or "very poor" tree conditions. There is a definition of a "dead" tree, and this assessment is to be made during the growing season. Per the City's Woodland Ordinance:

Dead tree means a tree having no more than zero (0) to fifteen (15) percent of the canopy with leaves. This determination shall be made during the regular growing season.

In addition, there are no exemptions within the Woodland Ordinance for any individual tree species being exempt from replacement.
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## Woodland Evaluation

ECT's in-office review of available materials included the City of Novi Regulated Wetland/Watercourse and Regulated Woodlands maps (see Figure 1, attached), USGS topographic quadrangle map, NRCS soils map, USFWS National Wetland Inventory map, and historical aerial photographs. It should be noted that a large portion of the proposed project's limits of disturbance contains previously disturbed areas that do not contain existing trees. In terms of habitat quality and diversity of tree species, the overall subject site contains trees in fair condition. In terms of a scenic asset, wildlife habitat, wind block, noise buffer or other environmental asset, the forested areas located on the subject site appear to be considered to be of fair quality.

The current Plan includes a Tree Preservation Plan (Sheet T-1.0) that indicates the locations of the surveyed trees as well as which existing trees are proposed for removal. The Plan also includes a Tree Preservation List (Sheet T-1.1) that provides tree tag number, species, diameter, condition of the surveyed trees on the site, save/remove status, regulatory status, and the number of Woodland Replacement Credits required for each tree proposed for removal. In general, the on-site trees consist of eastern cottonwood (Populus deltoides), black walnut (Juglans nigra), bitternut hickory (Carya cordiformis), sugar maple (Acer saccharum), American elm (Ulmus americana), Siberian elm (Ulmus pumila), white pine (Pinus strobus), boxelder (Acer negundo), basswood (Tilia americana), red oak (Quercus rubra), green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica), silver maple (Acer saccharinum), black cherry (Prunus serotina), and several other species.

As noted above, the northern section (and a section along the eastern side of the site) is mapped as Regulated Woodland on the City of Novi's Regulated Woodland Map. There are a number of trees to be removed for the proposed development. While some of these trees indicated for removal fall outside of the City of Novi's mapped Woodland Boundaries, the City's Woodland Ordinance contains the following:

Where uncertainty exists with respect to the boundaries of designated woodland areas shown on the regulated woodland map, the following rules shall apply:

- Distances not specifically indicated on the map shall be determined by the scale on the map;
- Where physical or natural features existing on the ground are at variance with those shown on the regulated woodland map, or in other circumstances where uncertainty exists, the community development director or his or her designee shall interpret the woodland area boundaries;
- On any parcel containing any degree of regulated woodland, the applicant shall provide site plan documentation showing the locations, species, size and condition of all trees of eight-inch caliper or larger. Existing site understory trees, shrubs and ground cover conditions must be documented on the site plan or woodland use permit application plan in the form of a brief narrative. The woodland conditions narrative should include information regarding plant species, general quantities and condition of the woodland vegetation

In our review of the Preliminary Site Plan, ECT noted that it is our opinion that all of the surveyed trees on the Plan within the project's proposed limits of disturbance should be considered as Regulated Woodland area. As such, there are physical and natural features existing on the site that are at variance with those shown on the regulated woodland map. The eight (8) northern white cedar trees (Trees \#1501 to \#1508) along the existing golf tee box area were previously planted and should therefore be exempted from replacement.
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The Woodland Ordinance also defines Woodland Areas as:

> All lands (including all trees, shrubs and ground cover thereon regardless of size) which are subject to this chapter under section $37-4$ as designated on the regulated woodland map and/or on an approved site plan. Woodlands areas are identified by such factors as: soil quality, habitat quality, tree species and diversity, health and vigor of tree stand, understory species and quality, presence of wildlife, and other factors such as the value of the woodland area as a scenic asset, wind block, noise buffer, healthy environment, and the value of historic or specimen trees.

The proposed Plan includes the removal of City-regulated trees as indicated below.

## Proposed Woodland Impacts and Woodland Replacements

Based on a review of the Tree Replacement Calculations on the Tree Preservation Plan (Sheet T-1.0), a total of eighteen (18) City-Regulated trees are proposed for removal requiring twenty-eight (28) Woodland Replacement Credits.

As noted above, the Plan includes the removal of trees located within existing wooded areas on the subject site that are not currently designated as City-Regulated Woodland. Based on a review of the Tree Preservation List (Sheet T-1.1), the Plan includes the removal of fifty-seven (57) trees that are not located within an area currently designated as City-Regulated Woodland. As noted above, eight (8) of these trees are northern white cedar trees that were previously planted along the existing golf tee areas. These 8 trees should be exempt from replacement. Based on diameter, these additional forty-nine (49) trees would require a total of fifty-nine (59) Woodland Replacement Credits if they were located within an area mapped as City-Regulated Woodland. The applicant should review and revise the woodland removal and replacement information provided on the Plan.

The following tree removals by diameter are currently indicated on the Plan:

- Stems to be Removed 8 " to 11 ": $9 \times 1$ replacement (Requiring 9 Replacements)
- Stems to be Removed $11^{\prime \prime}$ to $20^{\prime \prime}$ : $8 \times 2$ replacements (Requiring 16 Replacements)
- Stems to be Removed 20" to 30 ": $1 \times 3$ replacements (Requiring 3 Replacements)
- Stems to be Removed $30^{\prime \prime}+: \quad 0 \times 4$ replacements (Requiring 0 Replacements)
- Total Stems Removed:


## Total Woodland Replacement Credits Required: 28 Replacements

The Plan notes that the following Woodland Replacement tree material is proposed:

- 25 - 2.5-inch deciduous trees ( 25 Woodland Replacement Credits @ 1:1 replacement ratio);
- 5 - evergreen trees (3.3 Woodland Replacement Credits @ 1.5:1 replacement ratio);
- 30 Woodland Replacement Trees (28.3 Woodland Replacement Credits)

These Woodland Replacement Trees are proposed around the stormwater detention basin in the northern section of the site. The Landscape Plan (Sheet L-1.0) indicates the proposed locations and species of the Woodland Replacement Trees.
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The following Woodland Replacement Trees are proposed in the Replacement Plant lists:

- 6 - sugar maple (Acer saccharum), 5 Credits;
- 9 - river birch (Betula nigra), 7 Credits;
- 5 - American beech (Fagus grandifolia), 7 Credits;
- 5 - swamp white oak (Quercus bicolor), 6 Credits:
- Subtotal 25 credits (deciduous tree planting)
- 5 - white pine (Pinus strobus), 3.3 Credits (1.5-to-1);
- Subtotal 3.3 (evergreen tree planting)

It should be noted that river birch (Betula nigra) is not a species that is approved for use as Woodland Replacement Credit on the City's Woodland Tree Replacement Chart. If the applicant would like to continue to plant birch trees, the following species are acceptable as Woodland Replacement Trees:

- yellow birch (Betula alleghaniensis);
- paper birch (Betula papyrifera).


## Woodland Review Comments

The following are repeat comments from our Woodland Review of the Preliminary Site Plan (PSP20-0013) letter dated February 27, 2020. The current status of each comment is listed in bold italics. Please consider the following comments when preparing subsequent site plan submittals:

1. ECT encourages the Applicant to minimize impacts to on-site woodlands to the greatest extent practicable and attempt to incorporate natural features into the site plan.

## This comment still applies.

2. The Plan includes the removal of trees that are not located within areas currently designated as CityRegulated Woodland. It is ECT's opinion that additional on-site trees should be considered Regulated and require Woodland Replacement Credits for their removal.

Based on a review of the Tree Preservation List (Sheet T-1.1), the Plan includes the removal of fifty-seven (57) trees that are not located within an area currently designated as City-Regulated Woodland. As noted above, eight (8) of these trees are northern white cedar trees that were previously planted along the existing golf tee areas. These 8 trees should be exempt from replacement. Based on diameter, these additional forty-nine (49) trees would require a total of fifty-nine (59) Woodland Replacement Credits if they were located within an area mapped as City-Regulated Woodland. The applicant should review and revise the woodland removal and replacement information provided on the Plan.

## This comment still applies and has not been addressed on the Plan.

3. The currently proposed Woodland Replacement Trees are proposed around the stormwater detention basin in the northern section of the site. The Landscape Plan (Sheet L-1.0) indicates the proposed locations and species of the Woodland Replacement Trees. It should be noted that the Landscape Plan
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appear to show only 22 of the 25 proposed deciduous Woodland Replacement trees. This shall be reviewed and revised as necessary on subsequent site plan submittals.

## This comment has been satisfactorily addressed.

4. It should be noted that river birch (Betula nigra) is not a species that is approved for use as Woodland Replacement Credit on the City's Woodland Tree Replacement Chart. Please make a substitution to an approved tree from the City's list. If the applicant would like to continue to plant birch trees, the following species are acceptable as Woodland Replacement Trees:
a. yellow birch (Betula alleghaniensis);
b. paper birch (Betula papyrifera).

## This comment has not been addressed.

5. A Woodland Permit from the City of Novi would be required for proposed impacts to any trees 8 -inch diameter-at-breast-height (DBH) or greater and located within an area designated as City Regulated Woodland, or any tree 36 -inches DBH regardless of location on the site. Such trees shall be relocated or replaced by the permit grantee. All deciduous replacement trees shall be two and one-half ( $2^{1 / 2}$ ) inches caliper or greater and count at a 1 tree-to- 1 Woodland Replacement credit ratio and all coniferous replacement trees shall be six (6) feet in height (minimum) and count at a 1.5 tree-to-1 Woodland Replacement credit ratio. All Woodland Replacement trees shall be species that are listed on the City's Woodland Tree Replacement Chart (attached).

## This comment still applies.

6. A Woodland Replacement Performance financial guarantee for the planting of on-site replacement trees will be required. This financial guarantee will be based on the number of on-site woodland replacement trees (credits) being provided at a per tree value of $\$ 400$. Based on the current Plan, this Woodland Replacement Performance Guarantee would be $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 2 0 0}$ ( 28 Woodland Replacement Credits Required $x \$ 400 /$ Credit). As noted above, it is ECT's opinion that all of the areas containing surveyed trees on the Plan, including within the project's proposed limits of disturbance, should be considered as Regulated Woodland area. This would add a total of 49 additional trees to be removed requiring 59 Woodland Replacement Credits.

This comment still applies. As such, the Woodland Replacement Performance Guarantee would be $\$ 23,600$ (as opposed to $\$ 11,200$ ).
7. Based on a successful inspection of the installed on-site Woodland Replacement trees, the Woodland Replacement financial guarantee will be returned to the Applicant. A Woodland Maintenance financial guarantee in the amount of twenty-five percent $(25 \%)$ of the original Woodland Replacement financial guarantee will then be provided by the applicant. This Woodland Maintenance financial guarantee will be kept for a period of 2 -years after the successful inspection of the on-site woodland replacement tree installation.

## This comment still applies.
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8. The Applicant will be required to pay the City of Novi Tree Fund at a value of $\$ 400 /$ credit for any Woodland Replacement Tree Credits that cannot be placed on-site.

## This comment still applies.

9. The Applicant shall provide preservation/conservation easements as directed by the City of Novi Community Development Department for any areas of woodland replacement trees to be installed in a currently non-regulated woodland area. The applicant shall demonstrate that the all proposed woodland replacement trees will be guaranteed to be preserved as planted with a conservation easement or landscape easement to be granted to the City. This language shall be submitted to the City Attorney for review. The executed easement must be returned to the City Attorney within 60 days of the issuance of the City of Novi Woodland permit. These easement areas shall be indicated on the Plan.

## This comment still applies.

## Recommendation

ECT currently does not recommend approval of the Revised Preliminary Plan (PSP20-0039) for Woodlands. The Applicant shall address the items noted in the Woodland Comments Section of this letter prior to receiving Woodland approval of the Preliminary Site Plan.

If you have any questions regarding the contents of this letter, please contact us.
Respectfully submitted,

## ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING \& TECHNOLOGY, INC.



Pete Hill, P.E.
Senior Associate Engineer
cc: Lindsay Bell, City of Novi Planner
Madeleine Kopko, City of Novi Planning Assistant
Rick Meader, City of Novi Landscape Architect
Attachments: Figure 1 - City of Novi Regulated Wetland and Woodland Map Woodland Tree Replacement Chart
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Figure 1. City of Novi Regulated Wetland \& Woodland Map (approximate project area is shown in red). Regulated Woodland areas are shown in green and Regulated Wetland areas are shown in blue.
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## Woodland Tree Replacement Chart

(from Chapter 37 Woodlands Prote"ction) - Revised 5/7/2018
(All canopy trees to be $2.5^{\prime \prime}$ cal or larger, evergreens as listed)

| Common Name | Botanical Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Black Maple | Acer nigrum |
| Striped Maple | Acer pennsylvanicum |
| Red Maple | Acer rubrum |
| Sugar Maple | Acer saccharum |
| Mountain Maple | Acer spicatum |
| Ohio Buckeye | Aesculus glabra |
| Downy Serviceberry | Amelanchier arborea |
| Smooth Shadbush | Amelanchier laevis |
| Yellow Birch | Betula alleghaniensis |
| Paper Birch | Betula papyrifera |
| American Hornbeam | Carpinus caroliniana |
| Bitternut Hickory | Carya cordiformis |
| Pignut Hickory | Carya glabra |
| Shagbark Hickory | Carya ovata |
| Northern Hackberry | Celtis occidentalis |
| Eastern Redbud | Cercis canadensis |
| Pagoda Dogwood | Cornus alternifolia |
| Flowering Dogwood | Cornus florida |
| American Beech | Fagus grandifolia |
| Thornless Honeylocust | Gleditsia triacanthos inermis |
| Kentucky Coffeetree | Gymnocladus diocus |
| Walnut | Juglans nigra or Juglans cinerea |
| Eastern Larch | Larix laricina |
| Tuliptree | Liriodendron tulipfera |
| Tupelo | Nyssa sylvatica |
| American Hophornbeam | Ostrya virginiana |
| White Spruce_(1.5:1 ratio) (6' ht.) | Picea glauca |
| Black Spruce_(1.5:1 ratio) (6' ht.) | Picea mariana |
| Red Pine_(1.5:1 ration) (6' ht.) | Pinus resinosa |
| White Pine_(1.5:1 ratio) (6' ht.) | Pinus strobus |
| American Sycamore | Platanus occidentalis |
| Black Cherry | Prunus serotina |
| White Oak | Quercus alba |
| Swamp White Oak | Quercus bicolor |
| Scarlet Oak | Quercus coccinea |
| Shingle Oak | Quercus imbricaria |
| Burr Oak | Quercus macrocarpa |
| Chinkapin Oak | Quercus muehlenbergii |
| Red Oak | Black Oak |
| American Basswood | Tilia rubra |

TRAFFC REVIEW
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Southfield
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## Project name:

JSP19-35 Great Oaks Revised Preliminary Site Plan Traffic Review

## To:

Barbara McBeth, AICP
City of Novi
4517510 Mile Road
Novi, Michigan 48375

## From:

AECOM
Date:
June 5, 2020

## CC:

Lindsay Bell, Madeleine Kopko, Kate
Richardson, Victor Boron

## Memo

Subject: JSP19-35 Great Oaks Revised Preliminary Site Plan Traffic Review

The preliminary site plan was reviewed to the level of detail provided and AECOM recommends approval for the applicant to move forward with the condition that the comments provided below are adequately addressed to the satisfaction of the City.

## GENERAL COMMENTS

1. The applicant, Hillside Investments, is proposing a 98,650 SFT Research and Development facility on the north side of 12 Mile Road between Beck Road and West Park Drive.
2. 12 Mile Road is under the jurisdiction of Oakland County.
3. The parcel is currently zoned I-1 (Light Industrial) and no zoning changes are proposed.
4. There are no traffic related waivers/variances required at this time.

## TRAFFIC IMPACTS

1. AECOM performed an initial trip generation based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition, as follows.

ITE Code: 760 - Research and Development Center
Development-specific Quantity: 98,650 square feet
Zoning Change: N/A
Trip Generation Summary

|  | Estimated Trips | Estimated Peak- <br> Direction Trips | City of Novi <br> Threshold | Above <br> Threshold? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak-Hour <br> Trips | 41 | 31 | 100 | No |
| PM Peak-Hour <br> Trips | 48 | 41 | 100 | No |
| Daily (One- <br> Directional) Trips | 1214 | N/A | 750 | Yes |

2. The number of trips exceeds the City's threshold of more than 750 trips per day or 100 trips per either the AM or PM peak hour. AECOM recommends performing the following traffic impact study in accordance with the City's requirements.

Trip Impact Study Recommendation
Type of Study:
Justification
The daily trips projected for the development exceed the City threshold for TIS conducting a TIS. The applicant has submitted a TIS, which was reviewed in a separate letter.

## EXTERNAL SITE ACCESS AND OPERATIONS

The following comments relate to the external interface between the proposed development and the surrounding roadway(s).

1. The applicant is proposing one (1) driveway on 12 Mile Road. An emergency access drive is also proposed to the west.
a. The proposed radii is in compliance with Figure IX. 1 of the City's Code of Ordinances.
b. The applicant has indicated the width of the main driveway to be 30 ' which is consistent with Figure IX.1.
2. A right turn taper is proposed for 12 Mile Road. The applicant should refer to Figure IX. 11 of the City's Code of Ordinances for the standard tangent and taper lengths.
3. The applicant should submit proposed 12 Mile Road revisions to the Road Commission for Oakland County for their review and approval.
4. The applicant has included sight distance measurements for the driveway proposed on 12 Mile Road that is in compliance with Figure VIII-E of the City's Code of Ordinances.
5. The applicant should dimension driveway spacing along 12 Mile Road to ensure compliance with Section 11.216.d of the City's Code of Ordinances which requires 150' between near approach curb to near approach curb between driveways on the same side of the street.
6. There is not existing sidewalk along 12 Mile Road. The applicant is proposing including sidewalk along the length of the property to be consistent with the non-motorized master plan.
a. The applicant has indicated the sidewalk is to be 6 ' in width.
b. The applicant has indicated proposed sidewalk ramps at the driveway and have included the latest Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) ramp details.

## INTERNAL SITE OPERATIONS

The following comments relate to the on-site design and traffic flow operations.

1. General Traffic Flow
a. The applicant has indicated a loading zone of $2,245.44 \mathrm{SF}$, which meets the requirements for a loading zone as put forth in Section 5.4.2 of the Zoning Ordinance. The applicant should include truck turning movements to ensure the loading zone is accessible by trucks expected to utlize this area.
b. The applicant has indicated aisle widths throughout the site, which meet the minimum requirement of 24 '.
c. The applicant has included dimensions for the radii of the proposed end islands throughout the site but should provide widths as well to ensure compliance with City requirements as stated in Section 5.3.12 of the Zoning Ordinance.
i. Note that all end islands adjacent to a travel way shall be constructed three (3) feet shorter than the adjacent parking space.
ii. The islands that are internal to parking bays (that is, have parking on parallel sides and provided to separate 15 space parking bays) are not required to be 3 ' shorter than the adjacent parking space and may be the same length.
d. The applicant has indicated one trash receptacle location on the north side of the parking lot.
i. The applicant could provide trash collection vehicle turning movements to ensure access.
2. Parking Facilities
a. The applicant is proposing 198 parking spaces. The applicant should refer to Section 5.2.12 of the City's Zoning Ordinance as well as the Planning Review Letter for parking quantity requirements.
b. The applicant has ensured that there are no more than 15 parking spaces adjacent to each other without an island.
c. The applicant has indicated 17 ' long parking spaces, measured to front of curb.
i. The applicant has provided curb heights throughout the site that are generally in compliance with the City's requirements.
3. A curb is required at all parking spaces. The accessible parking spaces are currently proposed with no curb, with ramps to either side of the barrier free parking spaces. The applicant should modify the plans to include a curb at these spaces
4. The integral curb and sidewalk detail on sheet C-9.0 indicates 6 " height but the plans show 4 " with a 17 ' long parking space abutting a 7 ' wide sidewalk.
ii. The applicant has proposed six (6) accessible spaces, with two (2) designated as van accessible.
5. Six (6) barrier free spaces are required for 198 total spaces with one (1) of the available spaces being van accessible. The applicant has indicated sufficient accessible parking spaces.
d. Six (6) bicycle parking spaces are required per Section 5.16.1 of the City's Zoning Ordinance and the applicant is proposing six (6) spaces.
i. The applicant has indicated the location, detail, and layout of the bicycle parking racks.
6. The applicant has indicated the height of the rack in the detail, which complies with the 36 " minimum height.
7. The applicant should modify the quantity of bicycle parking racks required from three (3) to four (4), to be consistent with the layouts provided. The provided layouts indicate two (2) racks per location. Alternatively, the applicant could revise the number of spaces per location to be consistent with 3 bicycle parking racks with four (4) spaces at one location and two (2) at the other.
ii. A 6' clear path from the bicycle parking areas to adjacent facilities, sidewalk or roadway, is required. The applicant is currently proposing a 5 ' clear path, when the 2 ' vehicle overhang is removed from the 7 ' sidewalk proposed. The applicant should widen the sidewalks that connect the bicycle parking to the adjacent facilities in order to be in compliance.
iii. Refer to Section 5.16 of the City's Zoning Ordinance for more information regarding the City requirements.
8. Sidewalk Requirements
a. The applicant has indicated where sidewalks are proposed on the site along with dimensions.
i. The applicant has included a sidewalk connection to the facilities from the street and should dimension the width.
ii. Sidewalks throughout the site meet the required minimum of 5 ' wide.
b. The applicant has labeled sidewalk ramps on the plans and have included the latest Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) detail.

## SIGNING AND STRIPING

1. All on-site signing and pavement markings shall be in compliance with the Michigan Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD). The following is a discussion of the proposed signing and striping.
a. The applicant has provided a signing table that includes quantities and proposed sizes, but does not have MMUTCD codes for all proposed signs. The codes for the stop and no outlet signs should be added.
b. The applicant should include signing for the emergency access drive as required in Figure VIII-K of the City's Code of Ordinances.
2. The applicant has provided the following notes and details related to the proposed signing.
a. Single signs with nominal dimensions of $12^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ or smaller in size shall be mounted on a galvanized 2 lb . U-channel post. Multiple signs and/or signs with nominal dimension greater than 12 " $\times 18$ " shall be mounted on a galvanized 3 lb . or greater U-channel post as dictated by the weight of the proposed signs.
b. The applicant should indicate a bottom height of 7 ' from final grade for all signs installed.
c. The applicant should indicate that all signing shall be placed 2 ' from the face of the curb or edge of the nearest sidewalk to the near edge of the sign.
d. Traffic control signs shall use the FHWA Standard Alphabet series.
e. Traffic control signs shall have High Intensity Prismatic (HIP) sheeting to meet FHWA retroreflectivity requirements.
3. The applicant should include parking space striping notes to indicate that:
a. The standard parking spaces shall be striped with four (4) inch white stripes.
b. The accessible parking space and associated aisle should be striped with four (4) inch blue stripes.
c. Where a standard space is adjacent to an accessible space, abutting blue and white stripes shall be installed.
4. The applicant has provided a detail for the proposed international symbol for accessibility pavement markings that may be placed in the accessible parking space. The symbol shall be white or white with a blue background and white border with rounded corners.
5. The applicant has provided a crosswalk pavement marking detail.

Should the City or applicant have questions regarding this review, they should contact AECOM for further clarification.
Sincerely,

## AECOM



Patricia Thompson, EIT
Traffic Engineer
Paula K. Johnson
Paula K. Johnson, PE
Senior Transportation Engineer
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27777 Franklin Road
Southfield
MI, 48034
USA
aecom.com
Project name:
JSP19-35 Great Oaks Industrial Park 1 Traffic
Impact Study Review Letter

## From:

AECOM
Date:
March 2, 2020

## Memo

Subject: JSP19-35 Great Oaks Industrial Park 1 Traffic Impact Study Review Letter

The traffic impact study (TIS) for the Great Oaks Industrial Park 1 development was reviewed to the level of detail provided and AECOM recommends approval of the TIS; however, the applicant should review the comments provided below and provide an addendum to the City.

## GENERAL COMMENTS

1. The memo will provide comments on a section-by-section basis following the format of the submitted report.

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

1. The project is proposed on the north side of 12 Mile Road, between Beck Road and West Park Drive.
2. The development is proposed as a 98,650 SFT research and development facility.
3. The TIS examines the traffic conditions on 12 Mile Road and at the intersections of 12 Mile Road with Beck Road and West Park Drive.
4. RCOC is planning to implement a boulevard design on this stretch of roadway.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

1. The study intersections are 12 Mile Road with the following roads/driveways: Beck Road, West Park Drive, and the Site Driveway.
2. The preparer utilized AM and PM weekday traffic counts provided by RCOC. These counts were conducted on Tuesday, June 4, 2019.
3. Of the three roadways examined, Beck Road, classified as a minor arterial, has the most traffic with 23,300 vehicles as the AADT. West Park Drive has the least at 13,000 vehicles per day.
4. All three intersections are T-intersections with 12 Mile Road being the thru street at West Park Drive and the Site Driveway and Beck Road being the thru street at its intersection with 12 Mile Road.
5. The preparer included the l-96 \& Beck Road interchange in the Synchro models developed for simulation purposes. 2016 traffic volumes were balanced to match the 2019 traffic count data from RCOC.
6. The preparer produced a SimTraffic model utilized MDOT's Electronic Traffic Control Device Guidelines to run the simulations.
7. NB Beck Road experiences a land drop approximately 300 feet north of the intersection with Beck Road, reducing the utilization of the outside through lane.
8. The existing conditions at 12 Mile and West Park Drive have all southbound approaches operating at LOS F nduring the PM peak, with delay of up to 3 minutes. At 12 Mile and Beck, the WB left turn movement has an LOS of $F$ during the PM peak, with 98.2 seconds delay.
a. In both cases, the volume to capacity ratio exceeds 1. Queues do not dissipate and remain through the PM peak period.

## BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

1. The following background developments were considered for this TIS:
a. Novi Corporate Campus
b. Dixon Meadows Residential
c. Fountain View Medical Office
d. A123
e. Amson-Nasser Office and R\&D
2. The buildout year used for this study is 2021.
3. A ambient background growth rate of $0.5 \%$ per year was used for this study.
a. This value is consistent with MDOT's approach for growth for projects in Southeast Michigan.
4. PM Peak LOS remain consistent with existing conditions, with increases in delay but no additional LOS F approaches. In the AM peak period, the SB left turn from West Park Drive experiences LOS F with a delay of 97.2 seconds.
a. As with the PM peak periods, these queues do not dissipate until the peak period has ended.
5. The applicant did not examine mitigation methods for the area due to a feasibility study undertaken by RCOC to determine if widening 12 Mile Road is feasible.

## SITE TRIP GENERATION

1. The total trips expected from the development is 1,214 trips, with a maximum of 48 additional trips during the $P M$ peak and 41 additional trips during the AM peak.
2. The preparer used the assumption that employee passenger car trips would navigate to and from the site from the Beck Road and I-96 interchange. 60\% of the total trips were assumed to travel to or from the site via Beck Road south of 12 Mile Road.

## AUXILIARY LANE ANALYSIS

1. The preparer has indicated that a left turn lane is warranted at the site driveway due to expected driveway volumes and volume on 12 Mile Road.
2. The preparer has indicated that a right turn taper is warranted at the site driveway.
3. The preparer should re-examine whether a right turn taper or a right turn lane will be warranted when 12 Mile Road becomes a boulevard and the driveway becomes right-in/right-out only due to the median.

## FUTURE TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

1. The preparer indicates that there is a small increase in delay for several approaches with the addition of the site traffic, however it is not significant and does not change the LOS for any approach.
2. Left turns out of the site driveway ate predicted to be LOS F with a delay of 50 seconds or more during both AM and PM peak periods.
3. During PM peak periods, the site driveway will likely be blocked by the WB vehicle queue on 12 Mile Road frequently.

## FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS

1. The preparer has indicated that minor signal timing adjustments at the 12 Mile and Beck Road intersection could reduce the queueing on WB 12 Mile Road to keep the queue from blocking the site driveway.
2. The preparer does not acknowledge the adaptive nature of the signals that exist according to the SCATS program.
a. However, the impacts of including SCATS would only reduce the expected delay and improve LOS.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. The Great Oaks facility would not change the LOS of any of the approaches or intersections in the surrounding area. However, the site driveway is expected to operate at LOS F for left turns during both peak periods.
a. Southbound Park Drive at 12 Mile currently operates at LOS F during the PM peak.
b. Westbound 12 Mile at Beck currently operates at LOS F during the PM peak.
2. Both a left turn lane and a right turn taper are warranted at the site driveway.
a. The removal of left turns with proposed upgrades to 12 Mile Road should be examined in an addendum to determine if a right turn taper or lane will be warranted when the improvements are complete.

Should the City or applicant have questions regarding this review, they should contact AECOM for further clarification.
Sincerely,

## AECOM



Josh A. Bocks, AICP, MBA
Senior Transportation Planner/Project Manager


Patricia A. Thompson, EIT
Traffic Engineer

June 10, 2020
Status: Approved, Section 9 Waiver recommended for underage of Brick
City of Novi Planning Department 45175 W. 10 Mile Rd.
Novi, MI 48375-3024
Attn: Ms. Barb McBeth - Director of Community Development

## Re: FACADE ORDINANCE Preliminary Site Plan <br> Great Oaks Industrial Park 1, JSP19-35 (Revised) <br> Façade Region: 1, Zoning District: I-1

Dear Ms. McBeth:
The following is the Facade Review for the above referenced project based on the revised drawings prepared by Faudie Architects dated 6/1/20. The revision consists primarily of increasing the height of the shop area of the building from $29^{\prime}-4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ to $43^{\prime}-8$ ". The revised and previous percentages of materials for each façade are shown on the table below (revised/previous). The maximum percentages allowed by the Ordinance Section 5.15 are shown in the right-hand column. Materials in non-compliance with the Façade Chart are highlighted in bold. The sample board required by Section 5.15.4.D was not provided at the time of this review.

| Façade Region 1 | South (Front) | West | East | North | Ordinance <br> Maximum <br> (Minimum) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brick | 29\%/49\% | 19\%/16\% | 22\%/21\% | 24\%/18\% | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \quad(30 \% \\ \text { Min. }) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stone | 9\%/14\% | 1\%/2\% | 0\%/0\% | 0\%/0\% | 50\% |
| Split Faced CMU | 0\%/0\% | 6\%/9\% | 7\%/8\% | 6\%/8\% | 10\% |
| Flat Metal Panels \& ACM | 48\%/13\% | 49\%/42\% | 50\%/45\% | 48\%/50\% | 50\% |
| Spandrel Glass | 2\%/3\% | 0\%/3\% | 0\%/0\% | 0\%/0\% | 50\% |
| Polymer Siding | 12\%/21\% | 3\%/3\% | 2\%/3\% | 0\%/0\% | 25\% |
| C-Brick | 0\% | 22\%/25\% | 19\%/23\% | 22\%/24\% | 25\% |

Recommendation - As shown above the minimum percentage of Brick (30\%) is not provided on all facades. In this case the combined percentages of masonry materials (Brick, Stone and Split faced CMU) is approximately $30 \%$ on these facades. This proposed combination of materials will enhance the overall design and will have an overall aesthetic value equal to or greater than $30 \%$ Brick. Therefore, it is our recommendation that the design is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Façade Ordinance and that a Section 9 Waiver be granted for the underage of Brick on all facades.

A material sample board showing carefully coordinated colors should be provided as required by Section 5.15.4.D of the Ordinance.

## Notes to the Applicant:

1. It should be noted that all roof top equipment must be screened from view from all vantage points both on-site and off-site using materials in compliance with the Façade Ordinance.
2. Inspections - The Façade Ordinance requires inspection(s) for all projects. Materials displayed on the approved sample board (in this case the adjacent existing material) will be compared to materials to be installed. It is the applicant's responsibility to request the inspection of each façade material at the appropriate time. Inspections may be requested using the Novi Building Department's Online Inspection Portal with the following link. Please click on "Click here to Request an Inspection" under "Contractors", then click "Façade".

## http://www.cityofnovi.org/Services/CommDev/OnlineInspectionPortal.asp.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please do not hesitate to call.
Sincerely,
DRN \& Architects PC


Douglas R. Necci, AIA


May 12, 2020

CITY COUNCIL

## Mayor

Bob Gatt

Mayor Pro Tem Dave Staudt

Andrew Mutch

Laura Marie Casey
Kelly Breen
Hugh Crawford
Justin Fischer

City Manager
Peter E. Auger
Director of Public Safety
Chief of Police
David E. Molloy
Fire Chief
Jeffery R. Johnson
Assistant Chief of Police Erick W. Zinser

Assistant Chief of Police
Scott R. Baetens

Assistant Fire Chief John B. Martin

Novi Public Safety Administration
45125 Ten Mile Road Novi, Michigan 48375
248.348.7100
248.347.0590 fax
cityofnovi.org

TO: Barbara McBeth- City Pla nner Lindsay Bell-Plan Review Center Madeleine Kopko-Planning Assistant

RE: Great Oaks Twelve Mile

PSP\# 20-0039
PSP\# 20-0013
PSP\# 20-0006

## Project Description:

Build a 98,650 S.Q.F.T. 2 story struc ture off of Twelve Mile west of Samuel Linden Ct.

## Comments:

- All fire hydrants MUSTbe installed and operational prior to a ny combustible material is brought on site. IFC 20153312.1
- The ability to serve at least two thousand $(2,000)$ gallons per minute in single-family detached residential; three thousand $(3,000)$ gallons per school areas; and at least four thousand $(4,000)$ gallons per minute in office, industrial and shopping centers is essential. (D.C.S. Sec.11-68(a))
- Hydrants shall be spaced approximately three hundred (300) feet apart on line in commercial, industrial, and multiple-residential areas. In cases where the buildings within developments are fully fire suppressed, hydrants shall be no more than five hundred (500) feet apart. The spacing of hydrants around commercial and/or industrial developments shall be considered as individual cases where special circumstances exist upon consultation with the fire chief. (D.C.S. Sec. 11-68 (f)(1)c)
- Comected 5/14/2020 KSP-Fire Hydrant lead that is greater than 25' MUSTbe at least an 8" main. South east comer of structure has a" fire hydrant lead. City of Novi Ordinance 11-68(c)(1)(c)
- Comected 2/12/20 KSP-Proximity to hydrant: In any building or structure required to be equipped with a fire department connection, the connection shall be located within one hundred (100) feet of a fire hydrant. (Fire Prevention Ord. Sec. 15-17)
- Comected 2/12/2020 KSP-An unobstructed outside tuming radius of 50 feet minimum and an inside tuming radius of 30 feet maximum are to be provided at intersections of private or public roadways and cul-de-sacs. (IFC 2015 503.2.4)
- Emergency access drive tuming to the west doesn't meet city standards. Fire apparatus access drives to and from buildings through parking lots shall have a minimum fifty (50) feet outside and thirty (30) feet tuming radius and designed to support a minimum of thirty-five (35) tons. (D.C.S. Sec 11-239(b)(5))
- The tree on the Landscape Plan \#L-1 will be blocking visual site for the address and FDC strobe when the tree matures.
- A hazardouschemical survey is required to be submitted to the Planning \& Community Development Department for distribution to the Fire Department at the time any Preliminary Site Plan is submitted for review and approval. Definitions of chemic al types can be obtained from the Fire Department at (248) 735-5674.
- Corrected 5/14/20 KSP-MUST provide a secondary access drive (emergency access) to the site. Drive MUSTbe at least 20' wide.
- The fire lead a nd domestic lead for the structure MUSTbe put on the plansfor review.
- Fire Hydrant lead that is greater than 25' MUSTbe at least an 8" main. North east comer of structure has a fire hydrant lead $>25^{\prime}$ with no labeling of size. City of Novi Ordinance 11-68(c)(1)(c)
- The two fire hydrants on the 16 " main that is off of Twelve Mile Rd need to be tumed 180 degrees to service the main road and not the property.


## Recommendation:

APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS


Kevin S. Pierce-Fire Marshal City of Novi - Fire Dept.
cc: file

## APPUCANTRESPONSE LEIIER

June 18, 2020
PEA Project No: 2019-230
City of Novi Project No: JSP19-35
Lindsay Bell, AICP | Senior Planner
City of Novi Community Development Department
45175 West 10 Mile Road
Novi, Michigan, 48375

## Re: Great Oaks Industrial Park No: 1 <br> Novi, Michigan

Dear Ms. Bell:
In response to the Revised Preliminary Site Plan review letters received from various City Departments, we offer the following responses to those comments that require change or clarification:

## Planning Review (June 12, 2020)

## Special Land Uses:

- A tenant has not been identified for this property yet, although the applicant has submitted numerous proposals to several different types of companies that have shown interest in this site. Anticipated uses for this site are research \& development, light industrial, manufacturing, and warehousing. It is anticipated that any of these uses will have a component of professional office use along with them.


## Planning Chart:

- (Building Height) The proposed building height will be reduced to meet the requirements of the zoning district; therefore, a variance will not be required.
- (Parking Setback) The proposed parking located in the required parking setback will be shifted to meeting the dimensional requirement, therefore a variance will not be required.
- (Number of Parking Spaces) The proposed parking spaces will be reduced to very near the ordinance required amount and the excess will be land banked.
- (Bicycle Parking General Requirements) The width of the sidewalk to the bike parking will be increased to 8'.
- (Pedestrian Connectivity) The width of the sidewalk to the ROW will be 6' wide and will be noted on the plan.
- (Building Lighting) Lighting levels will be provided for the exterior walls.
- (Lighting Plan) The lighting hours of operation will be added to the plans.
- (Security Lighting) The details of the security lighting will be added to the plans.
- (Economic Impact Information) Total cost and site improvements is $\$ 12-\$ 15 \mathrm{M}$, depending on the final interior buildout cost. The project is anticipated to create 125 jobs during construction and 100200 permanent jobs once the building is completed.
- (Development and Street Names) A Project and Street Naming Committee Application has been filed.


## Engineering Review (June 5, 2020):

- All the items noted in the review letter will be addressed prior to the submittal of the final site plan.


## Landscaping Review (May 13, 2020):

## Landscaping Report:

## Landscape Waivers

- The site landscape plan layout will be revised prior to final site plan submittal to eliminate the need for the landscape waiver for 16 consecutive parking spaces without a landscape island with a tree. NO WAIVER REQUESTED
- The required landscape berm along 12 Mile Road will be added to the plan prior to final site plan submittal. NO WAIVER REQUESTED
- Perimeter trees will be added along the west side of the access drive will be added prior to final site plan submittal. NO WAIVER REQUESTED


## Landscaping Chart:

- (Soil Types) Soil boundaries will be added to the plan prior to final site plan submittal.
- (Existing and Proposed Utilities) Proposed light post will be added to the plan prior to final site plan submittal.
- (Contiguous Space Limit) An adjustment will be made to have a maximum of 15 parking spaces on either side of the pathway.
- (Plantings Around Fire Hydrant) The hydrant island along the west side of the west parking area will be adjusted prior to final site plan submittal to allow for a tree to be located within the island.
- (Clear Zones) The requested "clear vision" zones per RCOC requirements for the 12 Mile Road entry will be added to the plans prior to final site plan submittal.
- (Number of Canopy Trees Required) The parking lot tree at the southeast corner of the building will be moved 10 feet prior to final site plan submittal.
- (Access Way Perimeter) Calculations and deciduous canopy trees along the west will be added.
- (Berm Requirements) Required berm will be provided
- (Slope, Height, and Width) Cross section will be provided
- (Setbacks from Utilities) The existing overhead lines are proposed to remain, we will relocation the trees and our use canopy trees.
- (Canopy Deciduous | Large Evergreen Trees) The calculations for the trees along the west side of the access drive will be added to the plans prior to final site plan submittal.
- (Building Foundation Landscape Requirements) Details for the foundation plants will be added to the plans prior to final site plan submittal.
- (Detention Basin Requirements) The plans will be revised prior to final site plan submittal to cluster scrubs along the high-water line. Phragmites will be surveyed prior to submittal.
- (Irrigation Plan) Irrigation plan will be added to the plan set prior to final site plan submittal.
- (Plant List) The plans will provide for a mix of native species for the foundation plantings.
- (Plant List) The bur oak will be substituted for the river birch.


## ECT Wetland Review (June 10, 2020):

Comments will be addressed prior to the submittal of the final site plan.

## ECT Woodland Review (June 10, 2020):

Regarding the tree removal proposed outside of the City's Regulated Woodland, the developer is willing to provide woodland replacements for the trees being removed. The other comments noted in the review letter will addressed prior to the submittal of the final site plan.

## Traffic Review (June 5, 2020):

External Site Access and Operations

1. a. No comment necessary.
b. No comment necessary.
2. Comment noted.
3. We will submit plans to RCOC for review.
4. No comment necessary.
5. The driveway spacing dimension will be added to the plans prior to the submittal of the final site plans.
6. a. No comment necessary.
b. No comment necessary.

Internal Site Operations

1. a. Comment noted. The requested truck turning movements will be added to the plans prior to the final site plan submittal.
b. No comment necessary.
c. No comment necessary.
d. No comment necessary.
2. a. No comment necessary.
b. No comment necessary.
c. Noted comments will be added and/or revised prior to final site plan submittal.
d. Noted comments will be added and/or revised prior to final site plan submittal.
3. a. No comment necessary.

Signing and Striping

1. No comment necessary.
a. The MMUTCD codes will be added to the plan prior to final site plan submittal.
b. The requested emergency access drive signage will be added to the plans prior to final site plan submittal.
2. The requested information will be added to the plans prior to final site plan submittal.
3. The requested information will be added to the plans prior to final site plan submittal.
4. No comment necessary
5. No comment necessary.

## Façade Review:

No additional comments. A "Section 9 Waiver" is requested for this project.

## Fire Department Review (August 12, 2019):

All Fire Department comments will be addressed on the final site plan submittal.

If there are any further questions, please contact this office.
Sincerely,
PEA, Inc.


James P. Butler, PE
President

IRAFFC IMPACTSTUDY

BERGMANN
ARCHITECTS ENGINEERS PLANNERS

## To: Mr. David Hardin <br> Hillside Investment

Date: May 8, 2020

From: Steven J. Russo, PE Transportation Engineer

Re: Great Oaks - City of Novi, MI Traffic Impact Study (TIS)

## INTRODUCTION

This memorandum presents the results of the Traffic Impact Study (TIS) for the proposed Great Oaks Research \& Development (R\&D) facility in the City of Novi, Oakland County, Michigan. The subject site is located on the north side of 12 Mile Road approximately 1,000 feet west of W Park Drive and is currently occupied by the Novi Oaks Golf \& Sport Center. The project will include construction of a 98,650 square feet (SF) R\&D facility. Existing access for the site is provided via a single driveway to 12 Mile Road which will be relocated approximately 100 feet west of the existing driveway location. Additionally, a secondary emergency only access drive will be provided to 12 Mile Road.

The study section of 12 Mile Road is under the jurisdiction of the Road Commission for Oakland County (RCOC) and a TIS is required for permitting of site access. Additionally, in accordance with Chapter 5 of the City of Novi Site Plan and Development Manual, a TIS is required for site plan approval.

The purpose of this TIS is to evaluate traffic operations on the adjacent roadways with and without the proposed project and to determine if any improvements or modifications are necessary to facilitate site generated traffic. In particular, access operations to 12 Mile Road were analyzed to determine appropriate lane configurations as well as traffic control to safely and efficiently process site traffic. Specifically, the intersections of 12 Mile Road with Beck Road and W. Park Drive were evaluated for this TIS.

This TIS has been prepared in accordance with the methodologies and practices published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The zoning ordinances, guidelines, and standards of the City of Novi and RCOC were referenced as applicable. Additionally, Bergmann solicited input regarding the scope of work from the City of Novi and RCOC to gather understanding of what was required with respect to this TIS, which the City (via their traffic consultant AECOM) provided. This memorandum is intended for use by the City and RCOC to guide decisions related to development project approvals, access permitting, and identifying future roadway improvements.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

This site is currently occupied by the Novi Oaks Golf \& Sport Center and the proposed redevelopment project is subject to review by the City of Novi. Vehicle transportation for the facility will be provided via 12 Mile Road, Beck Road, and W. Park Drive. Regional transportation is provided via I-96, which has an interchange with Beck Road approximately 750 feet south of 12 Mile Road. The study intersections are identified below and further details on the study network are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Roadway Summary

| Roadway Data | 12 Mile Road | Beck Road | W. Park Drive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Functional Class | Principal Arterial | Minor Arterial | Minor Arterial |
| Direction | E-W | N-S | N-S |
| Speed Limit (mph) | 45 | 40 | 45 |
| Jurisdiction | RCOC | City | City |
| Cross Section | $2-$ Lane | 2 -Lane | 3 -Lane |
| AADT | 17,000 | 23,300 | 13,000 |
| AM Peak Hour Volume | 1,432 | 2,332 | 1,129 |
| PM Peak Hour Volume | 1,721 | 2,018 | 1,298 |

The intersection of 12 Mile Road \& Beck Road is a traffic signal-controlled T-intersection with lagging protected only left-turn phasing for the SB approach and right turn overlap phasing for the NB approach. The intersection operates on the RCOC Sydney Coordinated Adaptive Traffic System (SCATS) adaptive traffic signal system with vehicle actuation provided for all approaches and movements via video detection. No pedestrian facilities are provided at the intersection.

The intersection of 12 Mile Road \& W. Park Drive is traffic signal controlled with lagging permissiveprotected left-turn phasing for the EB and WB approaches. The intersection operates on the RCOC SCATS adaptive traffic signal system with vehicle and pedestrian actuation provided for all approaches and movements. Marked crosswalks are also provided for all legs connecting sidewalks in all four quadrants of the intersection.

Existing weekday AM (7:00 to 9:00) and PM (4:00 to 6:00) turning movement counts for the study intersections were provided by RCOC. These counts were collected at the study intersections on Tuesday, June 4, 2019 during typical traffic conditions while schools were in session and avoiding adverse weather conditions. The weekday AM and PM peak hours of existing road traffic were identified at each of the individual study intersections. Specific traffic generators were identified as sink / source locations between each intersection, and thru traffic volumes were balanced upward across the network. In general, the existing peak hours were determined to occur between 7:30 to 8:30 AM and 4:30 to 5:30 PM. The existing peak hour traffic volumes are shown on the attached Figure 1.

The study intersections were modeled using Synchro traffic analysis software based on the existing intersection geometry and peak hour traffic volumes. Peak hour factors were modeled by intersection approach. Existing AM and PM peak hour vehicle delays and Levels of Service (LOS) were calculated based on the methodologies of the Highway Capacity Manual, 6 th Edition (HCM6).

Typically, LOS D is considered acceptable, with LOS A representing minimal delay, and LOS F indicating failing conditions and/or volume exceeding capacity. Simulations of the study network were also observed using SimTraffic, in order to identify potential issues related to vehicle queuing, traffic flow between intersections, and the overall study network. Given the close proximity and interaction with the 12 Mile Road \& Beck Road intersection, the I-96 \& Beck Road Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) was included in the Synchro models for simulation purposes only. Traffic volumes for the interchange were obtained from a previous TIS completed in 2016 and the volumes through the interchange were balanced upward to 2019 levels based on the traffic count data provided by RCOC.

The SimTraffic model was calibrated based on the actual and simulated number of entering vehicles in accordance with the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Electronic Traffic Control Device Guidelines. To complete this process, five simulations of each peak period were performed and the average of the volumes for each turning movement was reported in the SimTraffic vehicles exited report. These volumes were then compared to actual traffic volumes collected at each intersection and considered validated when the field counts, and model results were within the greater of $\pm 10$ percent or $\pm 20$ vehicles.

At the intersection of 12 Mile Road \& Beck Road, a far-side lane drop for NB Beck Road occurs approximately 300 feet north of the intersection. The reduction in the number of through lanes immediately after a signalized intersection does not provide adequate distance for vehicles to merge downstream of the intersection, reducing the lane utilization of the outside through lane. In order to accurately reflect this in the models, the mandatory and positioning distance at the lane drop location north of the intersection were adjusted along with their corresponding driver adjustment factors in SimTraffic.

Table 2: Existing Traffic Conditions

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approach | \% | $1 \quad r$ | Approach | \% | 1 | $r$ |
| 1. 12 Mile Rd and Park Drive | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { EB } & 25.1 \\ C \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 29.7 13.9 <br> C B | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline & 16.1 \\ \mathrm{~EB} & \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.5 \\ c \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | WB $\begin{gathered}20.2 \\ C\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 20.1 20.5 <br> C $C$ | WB $\begin{gathered}22.5 \\ C\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 25.1 $C$ | 15.5 B |
| Signalized | $$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.0 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.4 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 51.9 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $0$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline & 45.0 \\ \text { SB } & D \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.2 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $30.3$ | SB 163.9 <br>  $F$ | $\begin{gathered} 183.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 142.8 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Overall | 30.2 | LOS C | Overall | 74.0 | LOS | E |
| 2. 12 Mile Rd and Beck Rd | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { WB } & 42.3 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.9 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.4 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | WB90.8 <br> F | $\begin{gathered} 98.2 \\ F \end{gathered}$ |  | 28.6 $C$ |
|  | NB $\begin{gathered}\text { 41.5 } \\ \text { D }\end{gathered}$ |  | 40.9 42.3 <br> $D$ $D$ | $$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 43.1 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.7 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline & 16.4 \\ \text { SB } & B \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.1 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { SB } & 25.6 \\ C \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.3 \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.1 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Overall | 33.4 | LOS C | Overall | 53.3 | LOS | D |

The results of the existing conditions analysis, as summarized in Table 2, indicate that the signalized study intersections currently operate at an acceptable level with an overall LOS D or better during both peak hours, with the exception of the 12 Mile Road \& W. Park Drive intersection which operates at an overall LOS E during the PM peak hour. Additionally, the following approaches and movements currently operate at a LOS E or F during the peak hours:

- The WB left-turn movement at the signalized intersection of 12 Mile Road \& Beck Road which currently operates at a LOS F during the PM peak hour with a volume to capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio greater than 1.0.
- The SB approach at the signalized intersection of 12 Mile Road \& W. Park Drive / Keystone Medical Center Drive which operates at a LOS F during the PM peak hour with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0.

Review of network simulations indicates generally acceptable traffic operations during the AM peak hour. During the PM peak hour, long vehicle queues are observed for the approaches and movements indicated above to operate at a LOS F. These queues do not dissipate and are present throughout the duration of the peak hour.

## BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

Traffic impact studies typically include an evaluation of traffic operations in the future as they would be without the proposed development. This "background" condition serves to identify any mitigation that may be required regardless of the project, and as a baseline for comparison of future buildout conditions. This scenario is comprised of existing traffic conditions plus ambient traffic growth plus traffic from approved developments in the study area that have yet to be constructed. At the time of this study the following background developments were identified by the City of Novi for inclusion in this study:

- Novi Corporate Campus
- Dixon Meadows Residential
- Fountain View Medical Office
- A123
- Amson-Nasser Office and R\&D

The vehicle trips that would be generated by the background developments were assigned to the study intersections based on the respective traffic study completed for each development. Where a traffic study was not completed for the development, the number of vehicle trips was forecast based on data published by ITE in Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition and assigned to the study road network based on existing traffic patterns. It is important to note that based on the location and access points of the background developments, not all site-generated background trips will travel through the study intersections.

In addition to background developments, an ambient growth factor is applied to existing traffic volumes to account for future projects in the study area and population increases, as well as growth in regular traffic volumes due to development projects outside the study area. In order to determine the applicable traffic growth rate for the existing traffic volumes to the 2021 buildout year, historical traffic volume data at the intersection of 12 Mile Road \& W. Park Drive was reviewed. The results of this analysis indicate that traffic volumes at the intersection increased at an annual rate of approximately $0.35 \%$ per year from 2012 - 2018. Therefore, an ambient background growth rate of $0.5 \%$ per year was utilized for this study. MDOT has consistently applied this growth rate for other projects in Southeast Michigan and across the State, and this rate was therefore applied to the 2019 traffic volumes for a period of two years. The resulting background peak hour traffic volumes are summarized on the attached Figure 2.
Lastly, RCOC has a planned roadway project to reconstruct the study section of 12 Mile Road to a median divided four-lane boulevard with indirect left turns accommodated via median crossovers. Therefore, these improvements were also incorporated in the background conditions analysis.

The boulevard configuration of 12 Mile Road was modeled in Synchro according to the guidelines set forth by MDOT in the Electronic Traffic Control Device Guidelines. Traffic signal timings were modeled per traffic signal timing permits provided by MDOT. As part of these improvements, the crossovers along 12 Mile Road east and west of Park Drive along with the intersection of WB 12 Mile Road \& Park Drive were assumed to be signalized. For these signalized intersections, current HCM6 methodology does not support the intersection configurations and non-NEMA phasing. Therefore, HCM results for the signalized study intersections were reported based on HCM 2000 calculations. This methodology has been discussed previously with MDOT and determined acceptable for TIS purposes.

Background AM and PM peak hour vehicle delays and LOS were calculated based on the methodologies of the HCM6 and HCM 2000 and are shown in Table 3. These calculations indicate all study intersection approaches and movements will operate acceptably at a LOS D or better during the AM peak hour. During the PM peak hour, the signalized study intersection of 12 Mile Road \& Beck Road will operate at an overall LOS E with the WB left-turn movement continuing to operate at a LOS F with a v/c ratio greater than 1.0.

Table 3: Background Traffic Conditions


Review of network simulations shows long vehicle queues for the WB left turn movement at the intersection of 12 Mile Road $\&$ Beck Road during the PM peak hour. This queue does not dissipate and is present throughout the duration of the peak period. Additionally, a long vehicle queue is observed for the SB right-turn movement from Park Drive to WB 12 Mile Road during the PM peak hour.

## FUTURE IMPROVEMENT

In order to improve traffic operations to a LOS D or better for all intersection approaches and movements in the background condition, mitigation measures were investigated. First, signal timing adjustments were investigated at the intersections of 12 Mile Road with Beck Road and W. Park Drive. However, it was determined that signal timing adjustments at these intersections alone would not address the operational deficiencies previously identified. Subsequently, geometric improvements were investigated.

At the intersection of 12 Mile Road \& Beck Road, RCOC should consider the construction of a SB left-turn lane within the existing concrete median area and convert the existing SB left-turn lane into a through lane. This will help to increase capacity at the intersection, particularly during the PM peak hour, allowing additional green time to be given to the WB approach.
At the intersection of WB 12 Mile Road \& W. Park Drive, RCOC should consider constructing dual right turn lanes on the SB Park Drive approach as part of the planned roadway improvement project to increase capacity and shorten vehicle queues. With these improvements, all study intersection approaches and movements would operate acceptably at a LOS D or better as summarized in Table 4. Additionally, review of network simulations indicate acceptable traffic operations and significant vehicle queues are not observed. As these improvements are not currently planned, the future conditions analysis does not assume they are in place.

Table 4: Background Traffic Conditions with Improvements

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approach | $\square$ | 1 | $\beta$ | Approach | $\square$ | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| 1. WB 12 Mile Rd \& W. Park Drive | WB $\begin{array}{cc}4.2 \\ \text { A }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ | WB $\begin{gathered}9.9 \\ \text { A }\end{gathered}$ |  | 15.0 B | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Signalized | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { SB } & 26.5 \\ & C\end{array}$ |  |  | 26.5 $C$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { SB } & 30.0 \\ & C\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Overall | 15.2 | LOS | B | Overall | 18.1 | LOS | B |
| 2. 12 Mile Rd \& Beck Rd | WB $\begin{gathered}46.4 \\ \text { D }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.5 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 36.2 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | WB $\begin{gathered}37.7 \\ \text { D }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.6 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 21.4 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { NB } & 37.9 \\ & \text { D }\end{array}$ |  | 35.9 D | $\begin{gathered} 40.1 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | NB $\begin{array}{cc}\text { 44.3 } \\ & \text { D }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 46.0 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |
|  | SB $\begin{gathered}\text { 9.8 } \\ \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.7 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ A \end{gathered}$ |  | SB $\begin{array}{cc}19.8 \\ \\ \text { B }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.7 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Overall | 30.1 | LOS | C | Overall | 35.0 | LOS | C |

## SITE TRIP GENERATION

The number of AM and PM peak hour vehicle trips that would be generated by the proposed development were forecast based on the rates and equations published by ITE in Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The site trip generation forecast for the proposed facility expansion is shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Site Trip Generation

|  | ITE |  | Average | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Code | Amount | Units | Daily | In | Out | Total | In |
| Out | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research and Development Center | 760 | 98,650 | SF | 1,214 | 31 | 10 | 41 | 7 |

The vehicle trips that would be generated by the proposed expansion were assigned to the study road network based on existing traffic patterns and ITE methodologies. These methods indicate that new site trips will enter the network in the direction of current traffic patterns and return to their direction of origin. Existing traffic patterns are assumed to accurately reflect the relationship between residential areas and employment centers in this region, as well as traffic flows specific to this site. Specifically, employee passenger car vehicle trips during the weekday AM and PM peaks are assumed to travel with a pattern that is gravitated towards entering the site in the morning the Beck Road \& I-96 interchange and leaving in the afternoon towards the Beck Road \& I-96 interchange. Given this, traffic volumes on the study road network indicate the directional distributions for site-generated traffic summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: Site Trip Distribution

| To/From | Via | AM | PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Beck Road | $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| North | Beck Road | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| North | W. Park Drive | $17 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| East | 12 Mile Road | $\underline{13 \%}$ | $\underline{19 \%}$ |
|  |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

The site-generated vehicle trips were assigned to the study road network based on this trip distribution pattern as shown on the attached Figure 3. The site-generated trips were added to the background traffic volumes to calculate the future peak hour traffic volumes shown on the attached Figure 4.

## AUXILIARY LANE ANALYSIS

In order to determine the configuration of the proposed site driveway with 12 Mile Road, warrants for right turn lanes were evaluated in accordance with the RCOC Permit Specifications and Guidelines. Evaluation of the forecast site traffic volume assignments versus 24 -hour volumes on 12 Mile Road indicate that a right turn taper only is warranted at the site driveway. The applicable warrant evaluation is attached.

## FUTURE TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

Future peak hour vehicle delays and LOS with the proposed development were calculated based on the planned lane configurations and traffic control, the proposed site access plan, and future traffic volumes. The results of the future conditions analysis are summarized in Table 7.

Table 7: Future Traffic Conditions

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approach | ¢ | $\uparrow$ | $r$ | Approach | 7 | $\uparrow$ | $r$ |
| 1. WB 12 Mile Rd \& W. Park Drive | WB $\begin{gathered}6.8 \\ \text { A }\end{gathered}$ |  | 16.5 B | 1.1 A | WB $\begin{gathered}27.8 \\ \text { C }\end{gathered}$ |  | 43.3 D | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |
| Signalized | SB $\begin{gathered}\text { 30.1 } \\ \text { C }\end{gathered}$ |  |  | 30.1 $C$ | SB $\begin{array}{cc}47.3 \\ \text { D }\end{array}$ |  |  | 47.3 D |
|  | Overall | 18.3 | LOS | B | Overall | 35.7 | LOS | D |
| 2. 12 Mile Rd \& Beck Rd | WB $\begin{gathered}41.6 \\ \text { D }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.3 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.5 \\ D \end{gathered}$ | WB $\begin{gathered}154.9 \\ \mathrm{~F}\end{gathered}$ | 170.1 F |  | 29.1 $C$ |
| Signalized | NB $\begin{gathered}41.9 \\ \\ \text { D }\end{gathered}$ |  | 38.8 D | 45.2 D | NB41.5 <br>  |  | 42.9 D | 39.4 <br> D |
|  | SB $\begin{gathered}18.6 \\ \\ \text { B }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.0 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { SB } & 26.4 \\ & \text { C }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.9 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.8 \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Overall | 34.5 | LOS | C | Overall | 78.4 | LOS | E |
| 3. EB 12 Mile Rd \& Keystone Medical Center Dr <br> STOP Unsignalized | EB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  |
|  | NB $\begin{gathered}18.2 \\ \\ \text { C }\end{gathered}$ |  |  | 18.2 $C$ | NB $\begin{gathered}13.3 \\ \\ \\ \text { B }\end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13.3 B |
| 4. WB 12 Mile Rd \& EB to WB XO E. of Park Drive Signalized | WB $\begin{gathered}3.7 \\ \text { A }\end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.7 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | WB $\begin{gathered}6.6 \\ \text { A }\end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.6 \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | NB $\begin{gathered}49.7 \\ \text { D }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.7 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  |  | NB $\begin{gathered}\text { 51.4 } \\ \text { D }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 51.4 \\ \mathrm{D} \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | Overall | 19.5 | LOS | B | Overall | 14.8 | LOS | B |
| 5. EB 12 Mile Rd \& WB to EB XO W. of Park Drive Signalized | EB19.7 <br>  |  | $\begin{gathered} 19.7 \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  | EB $\begin{gathered}17.3 \\ \text { B }\end{gathered}$ |  | 17.3 B |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lc}\text { SB } & 25.3 \\ \text { C }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.3 \\ C \end{gathered}$ |  |  | SB $\begin{array}{cc}47.7 \\ \text { D }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.8 \\ D \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | Overall | 21.5 | LOS | C | Overall | 31.0 | LOS | C |
| 6. WB 12 Mile Rd \& EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive <br> STOP Unsignalized | WB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  |
|  | NB $\begin{gathered}10.5 \\ \text { B }\end{gathered}$ |  |  | 10.5 B | NB $\begin{gathered}14.8 \\ \text { B }\end{gathered}$ |  |  | 14.8 B |
| 7. EB 12 Mile Rd \& WB to EB XO E. of Beck Road <br> STOP Unsignalized | EB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  |
|  | SB $\begin{gathered}\text { 14.0 } \\ \\ \text { B }\end{gathered}$ |  |  | 14.0 B | SB10.7 <br>  |  |  | 10.7 B |
| 8. WB 12 Mile Rd \& Site Drive | WB | Free |  |  | WB | Free |  |  |
| STOP Unsignalized | SB $\begin{gathered}10.2 \\ \\ \text { B }\end{gathered}$ |  |  | 10.2 B | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { SB } & 15.6 \\ \\ C\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 15.6 \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |

The results of this analysis indicate that all study intersection approaches and movements would continue to operate in a manner similar to background conditions. Comparison of background and future vehicle
delays indicate little appreciable difference (less than four seconds per vehicle overall) in traffic operations at the signalized study intersections. Therefore, this project would have no discernable impact on the adjacent road network.

Future traffic operations were also evaluated at the proposed site driveway to 12 Mile Road. The results of this analysis indicate all approaches and movements would operate acceptably at a LOS C or better during both peak periods. Review of network simulations indicate future traffic operations which are similar to background conditions with long vehicle queues continued to be observed for the WB approach at the intersection of 12 Mile Road \& Beck Road during the PM peak hour. This queue does not dissipate and is present throughout the duration of the peak hour.

At the proposed site driveway to 12 Mile Road, network simulations indicate acceptable traffic operations during the AM peak hour with vehicles able to enter and exit the site with minimal delays. During the PM peak hour, the WB vehicle queue from the intersection of 12 Mile Road $\&$ Beck Road frequently extends back past the proposed site driveway blocking driveway movements.

## FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS

In order to mitigate traffic operations in the future condition at the intersection of 12 Mile Road $\&$ Beck Road during the PM peak hour, signal cycle length and timing changes were investigated. The results of this analysis indicate that minor signal timing adjustments at the intersection would provide improved overall operations from LOS E to an acceptable LOS D as summarized in Table 8.

Table 8: Future Traffic Conditions with Improvements


Review of network simulations with the optimized signal timings continues to indicate long vehicle queues for several approaches and movements at the intersection during the PM peak hour; however, traffic operations for the proposed site driveway to 12 Mile Road would be acceptable as WB vehicle queues from the signalized intersection of 12 Mile Road \& Beck Road would no longer block the proposed site driveway.

## CONCLUSIONS

Based on the information outlined herein regarding the proposed development and resulting traffic operations, there would be no discernable impact to traffic operations on the adjacent road network. With minor signal timing optimization at the study intersections, the site driveway to 12 Mile Road will also operate acceptably. This conclusion is based on the following key items:

- The signalized study intersection of 12 Mile Road \& W. Park Drive currently operates at an overall LOS E during the PM peak hour. Additionally, several study intersection approaches and movements currently operate at a LOS E or F.
- Additional traffic volumes from background developments and ambient traffic growth will result in degraded operations at the intersection of 12 Mile Road \& Beck Road.
- Future planned roadway improvements to reconstruct the study section of 12 Mile Road to a median divided four-lane boulevard with indirect left turns will help to improve intersection operations at the intersection of 12 Mile Road \& W. Park Drive; however, RCOC should consider constructing dual right turn lanes on the SB Park Drive approach as part of the planned roadway improvements.
- Future vehicle delays indicate little appreciable difference (less than four seconds per vehicle overall) in traffic operations at the signalized study intersections relative to background conditions.
- All approaches and movements at the STOP controlled site driveway approach to 12 Mile Road will operate at a LOS C or better during the peak hours.
- A right-turn taper only is warranted at the proposed site driveway.

The referenced traffic data, calculations, and analysis results are attached. Please direct any questions regarding this memorandum to Bergmann.

Attached: Figures 1-4
Existing Traffic Volume Data
Synchro and SimTraffic Results
Right Turn Lane Warrant
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## Study Name Beck Rd \& 12 Mile Rd AM <br> Start Date 06/04/2019 <br> Start Time 6:00 AM <br> Site Code 1241-6483-00/0100/0006

## Type Road

Classification Totals

|  | Beck Road |  |  |  | Twelve Mile Road |  |  |  | Beck Road |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn |
| 6:00 AM | 0 | 130 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 47 | 111 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:15 AM | 0 | 172 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 64 | 154 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:30 AM | 0 | 174 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 118 | 194 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:45 AM | 0 | 253 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 144 | 267 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 287 | 26 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 167 | 218 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 282 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 202 | 212 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 295 | 25 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 195 | 247 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 262 | 35 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 212 | 313 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 294 | 18 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 197 | 268 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 249 | 42 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 248 | 238 | 0 | 1 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 287 | 24 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 196 | 204 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 240 | 36 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 196 | 230 | 0 | 0 |

## Study Name Beck Rd \& 12 Mile Rd PM <br> Start Date 06/04/2019 <br> Start Time 4:00 PM <br> Site Code 1241-6483-00/0100/0006

## Type Road

## Classification Totals

|  | Beck Road |  |  |  | Twelve Mile Road |  |  |  | Beck Road |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 263 | 12 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 104 | 209 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 251 | 20 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 118 | 212 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 238 | 19 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 111 | 224 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 246 | 21 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 129 | 194 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 247 | 18 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 116 | 203 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 240 | 22 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 115 | 229 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 265 | 28 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 107 | 220 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 212 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 106 | 218 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 182 | 18 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 102 | 201 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 202 | 26 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 99 | 234 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:30 PM | 0 | 157 | 19 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 97 | 254 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:45 PM | 0 | 139 | 25 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 95 | 227 | 0 | 0 |

## Study Name W Park Dr \& 12 Mile Rd AM <br> Start Date 06/04/2019

Start Time 6:00 AM
Site Code 1241-6483-00/0100/0006

Type Road

|  | W Park Dr |  |  |  | Twelve Mile Rd |  |  |  | Parking Lot Drwy |  |  |  | Twelve Mile Rd |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn |
| 6:00 AM | 21 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 12 | 0 |
| 6:15 AM | 19 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 16 | 0 |
| 6:30 AM | 59 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 27 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 60 | 41 | 0 |
| 6:45 AM | 45 | 2 | 71 | 0 | 31 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 76 | 59 | 0 |
| 7:00 AM | 55 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 16 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 128 | 54 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 64 | 1 | 102 | 0 | 37 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 152 | 58 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 69 | 1 | 99 | 0 | 38 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 147 | 57 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 71 | 4 | 97 | 0 | 68 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 160 | 71 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 71 | 1 | 91 | 0 | 53 | 67 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 132 | 58 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 49 | 5 | 117 | 0 | 46 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 203 | 62 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 59 | 3 | 116 | 0 | 35 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 184 | 49 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 47 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 38 | 59 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 182 | 44 | 0 |

## Study Name W Park Dr \& 12 Mile Rd PM <br> Start Date 06/04/2019

Start Time 4:00 PM
Site Code 1241-6483-00/0100/0006

Type Road

|  | W Park Dr |  |  |  | Twelve Mile Rd |  |  |  | Parking Lot Drwy |  |  |  | Twelve Mile Rd |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn |
| 4:00 PM | 79 | 1 | 79 | 0 | 67 | 165 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 94 | 29 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 79 | 1 | 74 | 0 | 53 | 187 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 89 | 50 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 94 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 72 | 190 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 76 | 38 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 73 | 3 | 112 | 0 | 61 | 181 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 93 | 47 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 132 | 3 | 112 | 0 | 72 | 172 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 82 | 61 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 98 | 1 | 119 | 0 | 65 | 186 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 92 | 39 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 68 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 66 | 174 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 49 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 61 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 84 | 184 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 87 | 44 | 0 |
| 6:00 PM | 55 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 91 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 36 | 0 |
| 6:15 PM | 27 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 90 | 180 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 83 | 36 | 0 |
| 6:30 PM | 40 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 97 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 32 | 0 |
| 6:45 PM | 36 | 1 | 64 | 0 | 56 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 42 | 0 |

## Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections

| Control Delay (s/veh) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq \mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $>\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| $\leq 10$ | A | F |
| $>10-20$ | C | F |
| $>20-35$ | D | F |
| $>35-55$ | E | F |
| $>55-80$ | F | F |
| $>80$ |  |  |

LOS A describes operations with a control delay of $10 \mathrm{~s} /$ veh or less and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short. If LOS A is the result of favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping.

LOS B describes operations with control delay between 10 and 20 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0 . This level is typically assigned when the volume-to capacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A.

LOS C describes operations with control delay between 20 and $35 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate. individual cycle failures (i.e., one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping.

LOS D describes operations with control delay between 35 and $55 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.

LOS E describes operations with control delay between 55 and 80 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable, and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent.

LOS F describes operations with control delay exceeding 80 s/veh or a volume-to-capacity ratio greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue.

A lane group can incur a delay less than $80 \mathrm{~s} /$ veh when the volume-to-capacity ratio exceeds 1.0. This condition typically occurs when the cycle length is short, the signal progression is favorable, or both. As a result, both the delay and volume-to-capacity ratio are considered when lane group LOS is established. A ratio of 1.0 or more indicates cycle capacity is fully utilized and represents failure from a capacity perspective (just as delay in excess of $80 \mathrm{~s} /$ veh represents failure from a delay perspective).

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council.

## Level of Service Criteria for Two-Way-Stop-Controlled Intersections

| Control Delay (s/veh) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq \mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $>\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| $\leq 10$ | A | F |
| $>10-15$ | B | F |
| $>15-25$ | C | F |
| $>25-35$ | D | F |
| $>35-50$ | E | F |
| $>50$ | F | F |

LOS for TWSC intersection is determined by the computed or measured control delay. For motor vehicles, LOS is determined for each minor-street movement (or shared movement), as well as the major-street left turns. LOS is not defined for the intersection as a whole or for major-street approaches for three primary reasons: (a) major street through vehicles are assumed to experience zero delay; (b) the disproportionate number of major-street through vehicles at a typical TWSC intersection skews the weighted average of all movements, resulting in very low overall average delay for all vehicles; and (c) the resulting low delay can mask LOS deficiencies of minor movements. LOS F is assigned to a movement if its volume-to-capacity ratio exceeds 1.0 , regardless of the control delay.

The LOS criteria for TWSC intersections differ somewhat from the criteria used for signalized intersections, primarily because user perceptions differ among transportation facility types. The expectation is that a signalized intersection is designed to carry higher traffic volumes and will present greater delay than an unsignalized intersection. Unsignalized intersections are also associated with more uncertainty for users, as delays are less predictable than they are at signals.

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council.

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 个 | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | 1 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 240 | 679 | 34 | 13 | 226 | 202 |  | 3 | 2 | 421 | 13 | 250 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 240 | 679 | 34 | 13 | 226 | 202 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 421 | 13 | 250 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 273 | 772 | 39 | 15 | 257 | 230 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 443 | 14 | 263 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 563 | 949 | 804 | 246 | 834 | 707 | 254 | 316 | 237 | 495 | 26 | 484 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.04 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1875 | 1969 | 1668 | 1875 | 1969 | 1668 | 1102 | 1044 | 783 | 1409 | 85 | 1596 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 273 | 772 | 39 | 15 | 257 | 230 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 443 | 0 | 277 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1875 | 1969 | 1668 | 1875 | 1969 | 1668 | 1102 | 0 | 1828 | 1409 | 0 | 1681 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 7.8 | 33.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 7.8 | 33.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 30.3 | 0.0 | 13.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.43 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 563 | 949 | 804 | 246 | 834 | 707 | 254 | 0 | 554 | 495 | 0 | 509 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.49 | 0.81 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.54 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 568 | 949 | 804 | 361 | 834 | 707 | 254 | 0 | 554 | 495 | 0 | 509 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 13.1 | 22.1 | 13.7 | 18.4 | 19.1 | 19.3 | 34.9 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 29.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.6 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 3.0 | 15.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 5.4 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 13.8 | 29.7 | 13.9 | 18.5 | 20.1 | 20.5 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 30.3 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | C | B | B | C | C | C | A | C | D | A | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1084 |  |  | 502 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 720 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 25.1 |  |  | 20.2 |  |  | 28.2 |  |  | 45.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |



## Notes

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

|  | 7 | 4 |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | F | 44 | 「で | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 428 | 46 | 1066 | 852 | 120 | 1100 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 428 | 46 | 1066 | 852 | 120 | 1100 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  | No |  |  | No |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 481 | 52 | 1171 | 936 | 126 | 1158 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 596 | 273 | 1582 | 1242 | 454 | 1418 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.72 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3638 | 1668 | 3839 | 2937 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 481 | 52 | 1171 | 936 | 126 | 1158 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1819 | 1668 | 1870 | 1468 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 12.7 | 2.7 | 30.0 | 30.7 | 5.5 | 40.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 12.7 | 2.7 | 30.0 | 30.7 | 5.5 | 40.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 596 | 273 | 1582 | 1242 | 454 | 1418 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.81 | 0.19 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 0.28 | 0.82 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 1088 | 499 | 1739 | 1365 | 454 | 1418 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 40.3 | 36.1 | 37.8 | 38.0 | 30.8 | 9.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.6 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 5.3 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 5.7 | 1.1 | 15.6 | 12.7 | 2.4 | 14.6 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 42.9 | 36.4 | 40.9 | 42.3 | 31.1 | 14.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D | D | D | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 533 |  | 2107 |  |  | 1284 |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 42.3 |  | 41.5 |  |  | 16.4 |
| Approach LOS | D |  | D |  |  | B |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 29.7 | 47.8 |  | 22.5 |  | 77.5 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | ＊ 5.5 | ＊ 5.5 |  | ＊ 6.1 |  | ＊ 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 6.5 | ＊ 47 |  | ＊ 30 |  | ＊ 59 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋l1），s | 7.5 | 32.7 |  | 14.7 |  | 42.0 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 9.6 |  | 1.6 |  | 8.8 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 33.4 |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | F' | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | 1 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 185 | 343 | , | 5 | 729 | 270 | 15 | 11 | 5 | 428 | 7 | 397 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 185 | 343 | 4 | 5 | 729 | 270 | 15 | 11 | 5 | 428 | 7 | 397 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 201 | 373 | 4 | 5 | 767 | 284 | 19 | 14 | 6 | 510 | 8 | 473 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | , | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 313 | 1067 | 904 | 584 | 1005 | 851 | 72 | 318 | 136 | 399 | 7 | 400 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.04 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1875 | 1969 | 1668 | 1875 | 1969 | 1668 | 914 | 1308 | 560 | 1392 | 28 | 1645 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 201 | 373 | 4 | 5 | 767 | 284 | 19 | 0 | 20 | 510 | 0 | 481 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1875 | 1969 | 1668 | 1875 | 1969 | 1668 | 914 | 0 | 1868 | 1392 | 0 | 1673 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 5.0 | 10.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 31.3 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 24.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 5.0 | 10.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 31.3 | 10.0 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 24.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.30 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 313 | 1067 | 904 | 584 | 1005 | 851 | 72 | 0 | 454 | 399 | 0 | 406 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.64 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.76 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.28 | 0.00 | 1.18 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 368 | 1067 | 904 | 699 | 1005 | 851 | 72 | 0 | 454 | 399 | 0 | 406 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(1) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 17.6 | 12.9 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 19.6 | 14.5 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 40.4 | 0.0 | 37.9 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 2.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 143.4 | 0.0 | 105.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 2.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 21.2 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 20.5 | 13.8 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 25.1 | 15.5 | 51.9 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 183.8 | 0.0 | 142.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | B | B | B | C | B | D | A | C | F | A | F |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 578 |  |  | 1056 |  |  | 39 |  |  | 991 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 16.1 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 40.2 |  |  | 163.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 13.1 | 56.9 |  | 30.0 | 9.9 | 60.1 |  | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | * 5.9 | * 5.9 |  | * 5.7 | * 5.9 | *5.9 |  | * 5.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 10 | *48 |  | * 24 | * 10 | * 48 |  | * 24 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time ( $\left.\mathrm{g}_{-} \mathrm{c}+11\right)$, s | 7.0 | 33.3 |  | 26.3 | 2.1 | 12.7 |  | 26.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.2 | 5.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 74.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

|  | 7 | $4$ |  |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 71 | F | 44 | 「が | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 1044 | 125 | 833 | 474 | 78 | 982 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1044 | 125 | 833 | 474 | 78 | 982 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  | No |  |  | No |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 1123 | 134 | 877 | 499 | 82 | 1034 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 1015 | 466 | 1244 | 977 | 408 | 1191 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.61 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3638 | 1668 | 3839 | 2937 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 1123 | 134 | 877 | 499 | 82 | 1034 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1819 | 1668 | 1870 | 1468 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 27.9 | 6.3 | 22.6 | 16.0 | 3.6 | 43.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 27.9 | 6.3 | 22.6 | 16.0 | 3.6 | 43.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 1015 | 466 | 1244 | 977 | 408 | 1191 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 1.11 | 0.29 | 0.70 | 0.51 | 0.20 | 0.87 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 1015 | 466 | 1814 | 1424 | 408 | 1191 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 36.0 | 28.3 | 39.8 | 36.8 | 32.0 | 16.4 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 62.1 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 8.7 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 20.3 | 2.4 | 11.8 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 19.5 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 98.2 | 28.6 | 43.1 | 38.7 | 32.3 | 25.1 |
| LnGrp LOS | F | C | D | D | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1257 |  | 1376 |  |  | 1116 |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 90.8 |  | 41.6 |  |  | 25.6 |
| Approach LOS | F |  | D |  |  | C |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 27.2 | 38.8 |  | 34.0 |  | 66.0 |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | ＊ 5.5 | ＊ 5.5 |  | ＊ 6.1 |  | ＊ 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 6.5 | ＊ 49 |  | ＊ 28 |  | ＊ 61 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋l1），s | 5.6 | 24.6 |  | 29.9 |  | 45.7 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 8.6 |  | 0.0 |  | 6.9 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 53.3 |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

1: Keystone Medical Center Drive/Park Drive \& Twelve Mile Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 236 | 668 | 32 | 12 | 232 | 200 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 425 | 12 | 241 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 236 | 668 | 32 | 12 | 232 | 200 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 425 | 12 | 241 |
| Input Volume | 240 | 681 | 34 | 13 | 226 | 202 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 421 | 13 | 250 |
| \% of Volume | 98 | 98 | 95 | 94 | 103 | 99 | 31 | 62 | 133 | 101 | 91 | 96 |

1: Keystone Medical Center Drive/Park Drive \& Twelve Mile Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 2064 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 2064 |
| Input Volume | 2089 |
| \% of Volume | 99 |

## 2: Beck Road \& Twelve Mile Road Performance by movement

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 425 | 44 | 1065 | 834 | 110 | 1089 | 3567 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 425 | 44 | 1065 | 834 | 110 | 1089 | 3567 |
| Input Volume | 428 | 46 | 1066 | 852 | 120 | 1100 | 3612 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 96 | 100 | 98 | 92 | 99 | 99 |

13: WB I-96 On-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | WBT | NWL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 231 | 218 | 449 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 231 | 218 | 449 |
| Input Volume | 237 | 228 | 465 |
| \% of Volume | 98 | 96 | 97 |

19: WB I-96 Off-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | WBL | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 484 | 704 | 1188 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 484 | 704 | 1188 |
| Input Volume | 499 | 716 | 1214 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 98 | 98 |

## 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 544 | 397 | 941 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 544 | 397 | 941 |
| Input Volume | 552 | 394 | 946 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 101 | 100 |

7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-Ramp Perfori

| Movement | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | NEL | SWL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 218 | 635 | 608 | 675 | 551 | 489 | 3176 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 218 | 635 | 608 | 675 | 551 | 489 | 3176 |
| Input Volume | 228 | 650 | 600 | 691 | 552 | 499 | 3220 |
| \% of Volume | 96 | 98 | 101 | 98 | 100 | 98 | 99 |

7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | WBR | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 705 | 1196 | 1283 | 3184 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 705 | 1196 | 1283 | 3184 |
| Input Volume | 716 | 1212 | 1291 | 3218 |
| \% of Volume | 98 | 99 | 99 | 99 |

7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | EBR | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 395 | 853 | 1162 | 2410 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 395 | 853 | 1162 | 2410 |
| Input Volume | 394 | 878 | 1191 | 2462 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 97 | 98 | 98 |

7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 1901 | 1294 | 232 | 3427 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1901 | 1294 | 232 | 3427 |
| Input Volume | 1925 | 1301 | 237 | 3463 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 99 | 98 | 99 |

8001: Beck Road Performance by movement

| Movement | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 1110 | 1198 | 2308 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1110 | 1198 | 2308 |
| Input Volume | 1112 | 1220 | 2332 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 98 | 99 |

8002: Twelve Mile Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 957 | 467 | 1424 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 957 | 467 | 1424 |
| Input Volume | 984 | 474 | 1457 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 99 | 98 |

9001: Dummy Node A \& Twelve Mile Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | WBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 932 | 16 | 472 | 5 | 1425 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 932 | 16 | 472 | 5 | 1425 |
| Input Volume | 954 | 19 | 478 | 5 | 1456 |
| \% of Volume | 98 | 84 | 99 | 95 | 98 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 5389 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 5389 |
| Input Volume | 37385 |
| $\%$ of Volume | 14 |

Intersection: 1: Keystone Medical Center Drive/Park Drive \& Twelve Mile Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 272 | 456 | 125 | 106 | 216 | 126 | 19 | 24 | 389 | 236 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 103 | 271 | 20 | 13 | 97 | 46 | 1 | 2 | 230 | 60 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 222 | 410 | 81 | 57 | 177 | 87 | 8 | 14 | 355 | 130 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1279 |  |  | 1233 |  |  | 221 |  | 1221 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 400 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 125 |  | 25 | 125 |  | 125 | 75 |  | 400 | 1 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 45 | 1 |  | 4 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 21 | 123 | 10 |  | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 |  |

Intersection: 2: Beck Road \& Twelve Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | B6 | B6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | R | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 232 | 204 | 90 | 310 | 258 | 241 | 198 | 222 | 272 | 30 | 155 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 127 | 118 | 24 | 265 | 148 | 104 | 65 | 109 | 215 | 2 | 46 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 199 | 182 | 64 | 326 | 239 | 178 | 135 | 201 | 309 | 20 | 148 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 788 | 788 | 788 | 224 | 224 | 224 | 224 | 190 | 190 | 94 | 94 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 35 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 6 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 170 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 75 | 0 | 36 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 13: WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 19: WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 19 | 150 | 114 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 15 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 13 | 92 | 60 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 655 | 655 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 2 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 6 | 0 |

Intersection: 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 34 | 45 | 24 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 24 | 43 | 17 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 456 | 456 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 25: Bend

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served |  | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 130 | 257 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 | 74 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 82 | 201 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 27: Bend

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 36 | 16 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 8 | 8 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 138 | 138 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-I

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | NE | NE | SW | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | L | L | T | T | L | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 186 | 195 | 196 | 179 | 201 | 183 | 197 | 322 | 342 | 245 | 297 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 98 | 136 | 139 | 120 | 158 | 131 | 150 | 174 | 214 | 136 | 160 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 173 | 210 | 201 | 177 | 206 | 190 | 206 | 304 | 353 | 217 | 247 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 126 | 126 | 126 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 318 | 318 | 235 | 235 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 6 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 31 | 16 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 18 | 43 | 42 | 46 | 100 | 52 | 64 | 6 | 12 | 1 | 3 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 320 | 264 | 125 | 133 | 67 | 113 | 70 | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 154 | 143 | 55 | 63 | 6 | 22 | 5 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 306 | 244 | 121 | 123 | 41 | 77 | 31 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 219 | 219 | 105 | 105 | 167 | 167 | 167 | 167 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 12 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 44 | 9 | 8 | 15 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 325 | 75 | 143 | 111 | 90 | 99 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 145 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 40 | 42 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 267 | 20 | 66 | 50 | 85 | 89 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 283 |  | 392 | 392 | 126 | 126 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 8 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 251 | 189 | 25 | 8 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 105 | 30 | 1 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 270 | 137 | 18 | 5 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 167 | 167 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 12 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 114 | 4 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 | 100 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8001: Beck Road

| Movement | B6 | B6 | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 330 | 244 | 74 | 358 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 143 | 40 | 9 | 55 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 384 | 175 | 63 | 304 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 190 | 190 |  | 588 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 6 | 1 |  | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 34 | 3 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 21 |

Intersection: 8002: Twelve Mile Road
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 9001: Dummy Node A \& Twelve Mile Road

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: }113
```

1: Keystone Medical Center Drive/Park Drive \& Twelve Mile Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicles Exited | 180 | 329 | 4 | 5 | 744 | 267 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 424 | 7 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 180 | 329 | 4 | 5 | 744 | 267 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 424 | 7 |
| Input Volume | 185 | 345 | 4 | 5 | 729 | 270 | 15 | 11 | 5 | 428 | 7 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 95 | 100 | 100 | 102 | 99 | 98 | 82 | 114 | 99 | 97 |

1: Keystone Medical Center Drive/Park Drive \& Twelve Mile Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 2382 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 2382 |
| Input Volume | 2402 |
| $\%$ of Volume | 99 |

## 2: Beck Road \& Twelve Mile Road Performance by movement

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 1001 | 1 | 120 | 856 | 456 | 79 | 1001 | 3514 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1001 | 1 | 120 | 856 | 456 | 79 | 1001 | 3514 |
| Input Volume | 1044 | 1 | 125 | 848 | 474 | 78 | 982 | 3553 |
| \% of Volume | 96 | 133 | 96 | 101 | 96 | 101 | 102 | 99 |

## 13: WB I-96 On-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | WBT | NWL | NWT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 571 | 341 | 0 | 912 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 571 | 341 | 0 | 912 |
| Input Volume | 595 | 344 | 2 | 940 |
| \% of Volume | 96 | 99 | 0 | 97 |

19: WB I-96 Off-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | WBL | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 340 | 311 | 651 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 340 | 311 | 651 |
| Input Volume | 343 | 318 | 661 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 98 | 98 |

## 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 142 | 285 | 427 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 142 | 285 | 427 |
| Input Volume | 135 | 284 | 418 |
| \% of Volume | 105 | 100 | 102 |

7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-Ramp Perfor

| Movement | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | NEL | SWL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 340 | 846 | 677 | 756 | 142 | 339 | 3100 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 340 | 846 | 677 | 756 | 142 | 339 | 3100 |
| Input Volume | 344 | 855 | 672 | 760 | 135 | 343 | 3109 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 99 | 101 | 100 | 105 | 99 | 100 |

7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | WBR | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 310 | 992 | 1430 | 2732 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 310 | 992 | 1430 | 2732 |
| Input Volume | 318 | 993 | 1431 | 2742 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | EBR | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 283 | 1185 | 1105 | 2573 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 283 | 1185 | 1105 | 2573 |
| Input Volume | 284 | 1198 | 1112 | 2594 |
| \% of Volume | 100 | 99 | 99 | 99 |

7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 1302 | 1449 | 572 | 3323 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 1302 | 1449 | 572 | 3323 |
| Input Volume | 1311 | 1450 | 595 | 3356 |
| \% of Volume | 99 | 100 | 96 | 99 |

8001: Beck Road Performance by movement

| Movement | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 964 | 1074 | 2038 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 964 | 1074 | 2038 |
| Input Volume | 958 | 1060 | 2018 |
| \% of Volume | 101 | 101 | 101 |

8002: Twelve Mile Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 538 | 1167 | 1705 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 538 | 1167 | 1705 |
| Input Volume | 556 | 1170 | 1725 |
| \% of Volume | 97 | 100 | 99 |

9001: Dummy Node A \& Twelve Mile Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 514 | 20 | 1153 | 25 | 1712 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 514 | 20 | 1153 | 25 | 1712 |
| Input Volume | 533 | 20 | 1149 | 28 | 1730 |
| \% of Volume | 96 | 101 | 100 | 90 | 99 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Vehicles Exited | 5248 |
| Hourly Exit Rate | 5248 |
| Input Volume | 36493 |
| $\%$ of Volume | 14 |

Intersection: 1: Keystone Medical Center Drive/Park Drive \& Twelve Mile Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 190 | 248 | 121 | 106 | 871 | 275 | 60 | 34 | 525 | 1145 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 91 | 140 | 6 | 5 | 418 | 172 | 14 | 7 | 459 | 724 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 162 | 230 | 46 | 41 | 733 | 355 | 42 | 26 | 631 | 1515 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1279 |  |  | 1233 |  |  | 221 | 1221 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 125 |  | 25 | 125 |  | 125 | 75 | 39 | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 36 | 0 |  | 37 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 2: Beck Road \& Twelve Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | B10 | B10 | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | T | T | R | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 854 | 847 | 157 | 180 | 173 | 308 | 264 | 127 | 80 | 145 | 287 |
| T | 167 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Queue (ft) | 676 | 689 | 72 | 80 | 82 | 236 | 122 | 60 | 37 | 63 | 247 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 1002 | 994 | 135 | 237 | 239 | 330 | 232 | 104 | 70 | 120 | 308 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 788 | 788 | 788 | 135 | 135 | 224 | 224 | 224 | 224 | 190 | 190 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 39 | 38 |  | 27 | 28 | 27 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 24 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 150 | 148 |  | 157 | 160 | 89 | 7 |  |  | 0 | 130 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 13: WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NW |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 4 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 3 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 527 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 19: WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Intersection: 25: Bend
```

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 133 | 243 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 34 | 103 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 99 | 228 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 27: Bend

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 171 | 136 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 45 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 171 | 80 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 138 | 138 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 7 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-I

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | NE | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | L | L | T | T | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 190 | 213 | 194 | 189 | 198 | 194 | 188 | 70 | 102 | 167 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 156 | 168 | 154 | 148 | 169 | 130 | 142 | 27 | 47 | 84 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 218 | 219 | 207 | 201 | 204 | 191 | 201 | 61 | 91 | 148 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 126 | 126 | 126 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 318 | 318 | 235 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 27 | 25 | 17 | 35 | 48 | 12 | 15 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 109 | 99 | 70 | 124 | 172 | 42 | 55 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 143 | 109 | 78 | 48 | 115 | 159 | 59 | 79 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 57 | 45 | 7 | 6 | 19 | 42 | 5 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 105 | 90 | 37 | 27 | 77 | 117 | 30 | 44 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 219 | 219 | 105 | 105 | 167 | 167 | 167 | 167 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 224 | 176 | 220 | 146 | 53 | 61 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 100 | 37 | 50 | 19 | 14 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 180 | 127 | 163 | 87 | 41 | 43 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 283 |  | 392 | 392 | 126 | 126 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 9 | 2 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 208 | 161 | 8 | 20 | 26 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 51 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 164 | 65 | 6 | 10 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 167 | 167 |  | 224 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 17 | 0 |  |  | 100 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 8001: Beck Road

| Movement | B6 | B6 | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 319 | 254 | 100 | 510 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 89 | 22 | 10 | 119 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 309 | 133 | 67 | 412 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 190 | 190 |  | 588 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 19 | 2 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 10 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 52 |

Intersection: 8002: Twelve Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 323 | 118 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 114 | 48 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 434 | 158 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 412 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 8 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 96 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 1 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 7 | 2 |

Intersection: 9001: Dummy Node A \& Twelve Mile Road

| Movement | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 567 | 100 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 84 | 35 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 454 | 96 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1279 | 271 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 2155


|  | $\bigcirc$ | 4 |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 7 | 44 | 「「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 457 | 50 | 1077 | 979 | 138 | 1111 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 457 | 50 | 1077 | 979 | 138 | 1111 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  | No |  |  | No |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 513 | 56 | 1184 | 1076 | 145 | 1169 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 630 | 289 | 1669 | 1310 | 393 | 1399 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.71 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 3638 | 1668 | 3839 | 2937 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 513 | 56 | 1184 | 1076 | 145 | 1169 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1819 | 1668 | 1870 | 1468 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 13.6 | 2.9 | 30.1 | 35.5 | 6.6 | 42.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 13.6 | 2.9 | 30.1 | 35.5 | 6.6 | 42.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 630 | 289 | 1669 | 1310 | 393 | 1399 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.81 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.82 | 0.37 | 0.84 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 1088 | 499 | 1739 | 1365 | 393 | 1399 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 39.8 | 35.4 | 36.5 | 38.8 | 33.9 | 10.3 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 2.6 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 6.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 6.0 | 1.2 | 15.5 | 14.9 | 3.0 | 15.9 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 42.4 | 35.7 | 39.0 | 44.6 | 34.4 | 16.3 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D | D | D | C | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 569 |  | 2260 |  |  | 1314 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 41.8 |  | 41.7 |  |  | 18.3 |
| Approach LOS | D |  | D |  |  | B |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), s | 26.5 | 50.1 |  | 23.4 |  | 76.6 |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ) , s | * 5.5 | * 5.5 |  | * 6.1 |  | * 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 6.5 | * 47 |  | * 30 |  | * 59 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 8.6 | 37.5 |  | 15.6 |  | 44.3 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 7.1 |  | 1.7 |  | 8.1 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 34.3 |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | 890 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 0 | 286 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | 286 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  |
|  |  | NB |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | C |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 286 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.042 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 18.1 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - |





|  | 7 | 4 |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F | 44 | 「で | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 1184 | 142 | 841 | 510 | 85 | 992 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1184 | 142 | 841 | 510 | 85 | 992 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  | No |  |  | No |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 1273 | 153 | 885 | 537 | 89 | 1044 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 1015 | 466 | 1261 | 990 | 399 | 1191 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.61 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3638 | 1668 | 3839 | 2937 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 1273 | 153 | 885 | 537 | 89 | 1044 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1819 | 1668 | 1870 | 1468 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 27.9 | 7.3 | 22.8 | 17.3 | 3.9 | 44.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 27.9 | 7.3 | 22.8 | 17.3 | 3.9 | 44.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 1015 | 466 | 1261 | 990 | 399 | 1191 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 1.25 | 0.33 | 0.70 | 0.54 | 0.22 | 0.88 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 1015 | 466 | 1814 | 1424 | 399 | 1191 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 36.0 | 28.6 | 39.6 | 37.1 | 32.5 | 16.6 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 122.6 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 9.2 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 28.9 | 2.8 | 11.9 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 20.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 158.7 | 29.0 | 42.9 | 39.3 | 32.8 | 25.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | F | C | D | D | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1426 |  | 1422 |  |  | 1133 |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 144.8 |  | 41.5 |  |  | 26.4 |
| Approach LOS | F |  | D |  |  | C |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 26.8 | 39.2 |  | 34.0 |  | 66.0 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | ＊ 5.5 | ＊ 5.5 |  | ＊ 6.1 |  | ＊ 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 6.5 | ＊ 49 |  | ＊ 28 |  | ＊ 61 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 5.9 | 24.8 |  | 29.9 |  | 46.6 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 8.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 6.7 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 74.2 |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | 549 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 0 | 480 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | 480 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  |
|  |  | NB |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | 13.2 |  |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 0 | $B$ |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 480 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.083 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 13.2 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.3 | - | - |




Intersection: 1: WB 12 Mile Road \& Park Drive

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 164 | 139 | 72 | 361 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 73 | 50 | 18 | 167 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 140 | 117 | 51 | 309 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 439 | 439 | 439 | 726 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Beck Road \& 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | B10 | B10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | R | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 215 | 226 | 64 | 299 | 275 | 148 | 153 | 284 | 279 | 131 | 162 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 119 | 109 | 19 | 270 | 151 | 75 | 82 | 177 | 229 | 24 | 65 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 191 | 187 | 53 | 320 | 247 | 117 | 126 | 299 | 326 | 105 | 170 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 731 | 731 | 731 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 192 | 192 | 94 | 94 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 35 | 2 |  |  | 31 | 17 | 9 | 7 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 180 | 9 |  |  | 192 | 105 | 57 | 46 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (ven) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Keystone Medical Center Drive \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 23 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 247 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 4: EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 104 | 68 | 128 | 87 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 36 | 16 | 43 | 68 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 78 | 49 | 100 | 78 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 536 | 536 |  | 23 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 67 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 166 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 450 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 124 | 126 | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 99 | 107 | 59 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 140 | 141 | 67 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 56 | 56 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 24 | 26 | 60 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 132 | 145 | 315 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 7: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served |  |  |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |  |  |  |
| Average Queue (t) |  |  |  |
| 95th Queue (ft) |  |  |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Intersection: 19 | 96 | -Ra |  |
| Movement | WB | WB | WB |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 12 | 34 | 12 |
| Average Queue (t) | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 | 27 | 14 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 655 | 655 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 60 | 130 | 33 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 20 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 96 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 456 | 456 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |  |

Intersection: 25: Bend

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served |  | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 90 | 216 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 | 71 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 74 | 182 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 27: Bend

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 37 | 15 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 8 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 138 | 138 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 104: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 231 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 112 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 208 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 225 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 |

Intersection: 105: WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 433 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 205 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 357 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 450 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |

Intersection: 106: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 138 | 155 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 32 | 46 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 98 | 118 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 580 | 580 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 107: WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road \& WB 12 Mile Road
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-I

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | NE | NE | SW | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | L | L | T | T | L | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 179 | 191 | 194 | 180 | 195 | 193 | 192 | 338 | 445 | 242 | 317 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 98 | 131 | 155 | 123 | 157 | 136 | 150 | 227 | 294 | 153 | 179 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 174 | 201 | 211 | 177 | 204 | 196 | 202 | 363 | 480 | 244 | 287 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 126 | 126 | 126 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 318 | 318 | 235 | 235 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 6 | 13 | 19 | 16 | 30 | 18 | 21 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 4 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 19 | 39 | 58 | 53 | 99 | 60 | 69 | 4 | 56 | 1 | 9 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 280 | 294 | 140 | 132 | 54 | 97 | 65 | 90 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 143 | 170 | 64 | 78 | 4 | 19 | 8 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 260 | 289 | 125 | 132 | 26 | 65 | 38 | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 219 | 219 | 105 | 105 | 167 | 167 | 167 | 167 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 19 | 22 | 11 | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 339 | 24 | 100 | 125 | 102 | 95 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 164 | 1 | 9 | 20 | 50 | 49 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 304 | 17 | 50 | 76 | 97 | 92 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 283 |  | 392 | 392 | 126 | 126 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 15 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 247 | 214 | 35 | 9 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 111 | 52 | 1 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 270 | 185 | 19 | 6 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 167 | 167 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 10 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 102 | 8 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 | 100 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8001: Beck Road

| Movement | B10 | B10 | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 334 | 284 | 98 | 270 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 162 | 51 | 16 | 54 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 411 | 208 | 80 | 249 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 192 | 192 |  | 588 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 8 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 43 | 6 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 8 | 19 |

Intersection: 8002: EB 12 Mile Road \& 12 Mile Road/WB 12 Mile Road
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Intersection: 9001: Dummy Node A \& EB 12 Mile Road

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Intersection: 9002: WB 12 Mile Road \& Dummy Node B
```

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Network Summary |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 2094 |

Intersection: 1: WB 12 Mile Road \& Park Drive

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 472 | 479 | 72 | 700 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 286 | 284 | 26 | 383 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 492 | 490 | 61 | 700 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 439 | 439 | 439 | 726 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 10 | 8 |  | 8 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 45 | 39 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Beck Road \& 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | B10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 823 | 815 | 184 | 298 | 245 | 106 | 107 | 144 | 293 | 166 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 775 | 773 | 73 | 243 | 133 | 55 | 54 | 60 | 252 | 85 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 877 | 868 | 153 | 327 | 235 | 90 | 90 | 117 | 304 | 185 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 731 | 731 | 731 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 192 | 192 | 94 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 74 | 72 |  | 30 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 23 | 12 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 329 | 320 |  | 100 | 7 |  |  | 0 | 125 | 64 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Keystone Medical Center Drive \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | EB | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 105 | 29 | 49 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 1 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 58 | 21 | 38 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 558 | 558 | 247 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 4: EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 302 | 297 | 261 | 85 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 89 | 81 | 64 | 67 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 232 | 235 | 186 | 80 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 536 | 536 |  | 23 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 450 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (ven) |  | 2 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 5: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 117 | 117 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 56 | 67 | 59 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 117 | 125 | 66 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 56 | 56 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 10 | 62 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 20 | 28 | 289 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 137 | 154 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 54 | 57 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 153 | 161 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 56 | 56 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 39 | 41 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 250 | 260 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 7: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Intersection: 13: WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NW |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 15 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 527 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 19: WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 25: Bend

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served |  | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 134 | 321 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 34 | 118 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 102 | 253 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 27: Bend

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 199 | 151 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 46 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 167 | 73 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 138 | 138 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 7 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 104: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 272 | 178 | 143 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 96 | 16 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 220 | 149 | 108 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 439 | 439 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 10 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 225 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 4 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 17 |  |  |

Intersection: 105: WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 524 | 548 | 561 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 287 | 166 | 170 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 469 | 537 | 543 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 6 | 9 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 450 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 10 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 45 |  |

Intersection: 106: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 37 | 46 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 20 | 24 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 580 | 580 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 107: WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 716 | 714 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 483 | 492 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 951 | 954 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 611 | 611 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 56 | 56 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 365 | 366 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 68 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |

Intersection: 7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-I

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | NE | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | L | L | T | T | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 208 | 191 | 198 | 193 | 206 | 180 | 190 | 84 | 117 | 166 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 165 | 161 | 164 | 163 | 177 | 127 | 141 | 24 | 48 | 86 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 227 | 221 | 217 | 211 | 205 | 186 | 201 | 63 | 96 | 147 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 126 | 126 | 126 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 318 | 318 | 235 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 41 | 24 | 23 | 53 | 64 | 12 | 16 |  |  | 235 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 170 | 100 | 93 | 204 | 248 | 45 | 60 |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (ven) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 176 | 124 | 83 | 96 | 164 | 202 | 52 | 70 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 66 | 52 | 11 | 10 | 57 | 84 | 3 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 131 | 94 | 56 | 47 | 155 | 186 | 23 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 219 | 219 | 105 | 105 | 167 | 167 | 167 | 167 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 11 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 212 | 184 | 321 | 302 | 53 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 97 | 67 | 99 | 80 | 10 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 172 | 189 | 317 | 274 | 37 | 43 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 283 |  | 392 | 392 | 126 | 126 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 44 | 3 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 229 | 105 | 16 | 13 | 5 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 58 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 179 | 46 | 12 | 9 | 4 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 167 | 167 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 27 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8001: Beck Road

| Movement | NB | B10 | B10 | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |  | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 8 | 328 | 262 | 75 | 374 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 101 | 28 | 12 | 77 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 | 328 | 148 | 72 | 316 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 94 | 192 | 192 |  | 588 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 5 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 23 | 2 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 6 |

Intersection: 8002: EB 12 Mile Road \& 12 Mile Road/WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 251 | 253 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 189 | 187 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 313 | 310 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 160 | 160 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 71 | 66 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 474 | 440 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 9001: Dummy Node A \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queueu (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 9002: WB 12 Mile Road \& Dummy Node B

| Movement | WB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 502 | 515 | 239 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 250 | 252 | 102 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 633 | 635 | 252 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 422 | 422 | 238 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 42 | 43 | 19 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 266 | 270 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 5373



* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Intersection: 1: WB 12 Mile Road \& Park Drive

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 143 | 100 | 66 | 214 | 194 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 54 | 26 | 18 | 92 | 58 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 115 | 73 | 50 | 165 | 129 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 440 | 440 | 440 | 721 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 6 | 0 |

Intersection: 2: Beck Road \& 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | B10 | B10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | R | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 211 | 198 | 92 | 312 | 256 | 139 | 146 | 248 | 248 | 188 | 30 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 125 | 111 | 23 | 271 | 145 | 81 | 90 | 134 | 142 | 77 | 3 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 197 | 181 | 64 | 324 | 239 | 122 | 134 | 236 | 229 | 166 | 26 | 16 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 731 | 731 | 731 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 192 | 192 | 192 | 94 | 94 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 36 | 1 |  |  | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 188 | 7 |  |  | 32 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Beck Road \& 12 Mile Road

| Movement | B10 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 19 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 94 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 3: Keystone Medical Center Drive \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 27 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 247 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 4: EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 96 | 82 | 107 | 75 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 32 | 11 | 38 | 67 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 75 | 45 | 86 | 76 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 536 | 536 |  | 23 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 66 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 450 | 165 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 121 | 128 | 74 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 95 | 103 | 58 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 144 | 143 | 65 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 56 | 56 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 21 | 26 | 58 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 117 | 143 | 307 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
```

Intersection: 7: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Intersection: 13: WB I-96 On-Ramp
```

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 19: WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 58 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 43 | 32 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 655 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 150 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |

Intersection: 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Intersection: 25 : Bend |


| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 101 | 243 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 68 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 68 | 183 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 27: Bend

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 34 | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 13 | 17 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 138 | 138 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 104: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 228 | 91 | 47 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 104 | 4 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 195 | 55 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 439 | 439 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 225 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 105: WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 416 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 216 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 347 | 38 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 536 | 536 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 106: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 124 | 142 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 35 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 78 | 102 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 580 | 580 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 107: WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | NE | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | L | L | T | T | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 186 | 206 | 203 | 179 | 192 | 185 | 194 | 302 | 339 | 228 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 107 | 155 | 170 | 113 | 157 | 141 | 153 | 140 | 193 | 121 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 184 | 216 | 218 | 166 | 205 | 198 | 203 | 239 | 292 | 193 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 126 | 126 | 126 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 318 | 318 | 235 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 27 | 37 | 13 | 31 | 24 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 20 | 85 | 117 | 42 | 101 | 81 | 73 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 301 | 321 | 113 | 109 | 61 | 106 | 94 | 107 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 173 | 174 | 31 | 50 | 3 | 21 | 12 | 18 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 313 | 280 | 86 | 104 | 28 | 71 | 54 | 69 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 219 | 219 | 105 | 105 | 167 | 167 | 167 | 167 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 43 | 18 | 6 | 7 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 306 | 148 | 242 | 277 | 74 | 73 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 141 | 16 | 54 | 70 | 24 | 26 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 247 | 100 | 214 | 223 | 60 | 58 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 283 |  | 392 | 392 | 126 | 126 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 15 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 248 | 213 | 25 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 118 | 48 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 276 | 179 | 18 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 167 | 167 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 12 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 129 | 6 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8001: Beck Road

| Movement | B10 | B10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 324 | 276 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 160 | 54 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 401 | 215 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 192 | 192 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 6 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 35 | 4 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 8002: EB 12 Mile Road \& 12 Mile Road/WB 12 Mile Road

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Intersection: 9001: Dummy Node A \& EB 12 Mile Road
```

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 9002: WB 12 Mile Road \& Dummy Node B
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 1761

Intersection: 1: WB 12 Mile Road \& Park Drive

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 316 | 295 | 72 | 297 | 259 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 159 | 151 | 27 | 152 | 128 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 264 | 246 | 58 | 254 | 229 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 440 | 440 | 440 | 721 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |

Intersection: 2: Beck Road \& 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | B10 | B10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | R | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 521 | 522 | 137 | 306 | 263 | 119 | 108 | 191 | 272 | 234 | 122 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 314 | 323 | 50 | 275 | 153 | 65 | 62 | 91 | 206 | 146 | 14 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 482 | 492 | 109 | 320 | 248 | 102 | 97 | 185 | 290 | 220 | 66 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 731 | 731 | 731 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 |  | 192 | 192 | 94 | 94 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 56 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 187 | 10 |  |  | 0 | 49 | 6 | 3 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Keystone Medical Center Drive \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 46 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 38 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 247 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 4: EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 177 | 180 | 182 | 80 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 74 | 56 | 55 | 66 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 144 | 125 | 120 | 79 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 536 | 536 |  | 23 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 71 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 152 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 450 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 117 | 119 | 67 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 52 | 58 | 58 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 114 | 120 | 64 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 56 | 56 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 8 | 10 | 60 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 22 | 29 | 280 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 7: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NW |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 51 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 527 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 19: WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 125 | 109 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 17 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 125 | 88 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 655 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 5 | 0 |

Intersection: 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 25: Bend

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 219 | 257 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 38 | 110 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 124 | 242 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 27: Bend

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 217 | 178 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 75 | 23 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 228 | 114 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 138 | 138 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 20 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |

Intersection: 104: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 207 | 46 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 86 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 174 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 439 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 225 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 0 |

Intersection: 105: WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 451 | 114 | 110 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 251 | 11 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 412 | 140 | 113 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 536 | 536 | 536 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 106: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 49 | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 23 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 580 | 580 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 107: WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-I

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | NE | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| irections Served | L | T | T | L | L | T | T | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 200 | 210 | 204 | 185 | 190 | 187 | 194 | 100 | 115 | 182 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 154 | 175 | 170 | 141 | 167 | 139 | 152 | 35 | 48 | 98 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 218 | 221 | 219 | 199 | 205 | 198 | 202 | 81 | 94 | 161 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 126 | 126 | 126 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 318 | 318 | 235 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 23 | 40 | 29 | 30 | 44 | 16 | 18 |  | 235 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 95 | 164 | 117 | 117 | 171 | 61 | 70 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 252 | 165 | 127 | 98 | 91 | 118 | 82 | 93 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 117 | 65 | 35 | 19 | 15 | 35 | 8 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 281 | 153 | 116 | 69 | 65 | 99 | 42 | 59 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 219 | 219 | 105 | 105 | 167 | 167 | 167 | 167 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 21 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 192 | 190 | 330 | 312 | 79 | 71 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 97 | 55 | 104 | 80 | 29 | 30 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 177 | 177 | 316 | 258 | 64 | 64 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 283 |  | 392 | 392 | 126 | 126 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 3 | 39 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 253 | 156 | 15 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 134 | 14 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 286 | 87 | 8 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 167 | 167 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 23 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 153 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 100 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 8001: Beck Road

| Movement | B10 | B10 | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 340 | 258 | 24 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 128 | 30 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 372 | 156 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 192 | 192 | 588 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 20 | 2 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |

Intersection: 8002: EB 12 Mile Road \& 12 Mile Road/WB 12 Mile Road
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Intersection: 9001: Dummy Node A \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Intersection: 9002: WB 12 Mile Road \& Dummy Node B |


| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 68 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 238 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 1837


|  | 7 | $4$ |  |  | $t$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{17}$ | 「 | 44 | 「で | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 463 | 51 | 1077 | 998 | 141 | 1111 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 463 | 51 | 1077 | 998 | 141 | 1111 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  | No |  |  | No |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 520 | 57 | 1184 | 1097 | 148 | 1169 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 637 | 292 | 1679 | 1318 | 384 | 1395 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.71 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3638 | 1668 | 3839 | 2937 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 520 | 57 | 1184 | 1097 | 148 | 1169 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1819 | 1668 | 1870 | 1468 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 13.8 | 2.9 | 30.1 | 36.3 | 6.8 | 42.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 13.8 | 2.9 | 30.1 | 36.3 | 6.8 | 42.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 637 | 292 | 1679 | 1318 | 384 | 1395 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.82 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.83 | 0.39 | 0.84 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 1088 | 499 | 1739 | 1365 | 384 | 1395 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 39.7 | 35.2 | 36.3 | 38.9 | 34.3 | 10.4 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.6 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 6.1 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 6.1 | 1.2 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 3.1 | 16.1 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 42.3 | 35.5 | 38.8 | 45.2 | 35.0 | 16.6 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D | D | D | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 577 |  | 2281 |  |  | 1317 |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 41.6 |  | 41.9 |  |  | 18.6 |
| Approach LOS | D |  | D |  |  | B |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 26.0 | 50.4 |  | 23.6 |  | 76.4 |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | ＊ 5.5 | ＊ 5.5 |  | ＊ 6.1 |  | ＊ 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 6.5 | ＊ 47 |  | ＊ 30 |  | ＊ 59 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 8.8 | 38.3 |  | 15.8 |  | 44.6 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 6.6 |  | 1.8 |  | 8.0 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 34.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | 892 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 0 | 285 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | 285 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  |
|  |  | NB |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | C |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 285 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.042 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 18.2 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - |





| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | - | 294 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 673 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.036 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.5 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | 647 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 647 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 6.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 3.52 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | 404 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | 483 | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 404 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | 404 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 483 | - |


| Approach | EB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 14 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | -404 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | -0.008 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | -14 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | 个. | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 513 | 31 | 0 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 513 | 31 | 0 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 10 | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 89 | 89 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 576 | 35 | 0 | 11 |


| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | 288 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | 709 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | 709 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 10.2 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  | $B$ |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -709 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.015 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -10.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - |



|  | $\bigcirc$ | 4 |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 44 | 「で | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 1209 | 146 | 841 | 514 | 86 | 992 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1209 | 146 | 841 | 514 | 86 | 992 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  | No |  |  | No |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 | 1969 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 1300 | 157 | 885 | 541 | 91 | 1044 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 1015 | 466 | 1262 | 991 | 399 | 1191 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.61 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3638 | 1668 | 3839 | 2937 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 1300 | 157 | 885 | 541 | 91 | 1044 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1819 | 1668 | 1870 | 1468 | 1875 | 1969 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 27.9 | 7.5 | 22.8 | 17.4 | 4.0 | 44.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 27.9 | 7.5 | 22.8 | 17.4 | 4.0 | 44.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 1015 | 466 | 1262 | 991 | 399 | 1191 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 1.28 | 0.34 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 0.23 | 0.88 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 1015 | 466 | 1814 | 1424 | 399 | 1191 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 36.0 | 28.7 | 39.6 | 37.2 | 32.6 | 16.6 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 134.0 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 9.2 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 30.5 | 2.9 | 11.9 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 20.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 170.1 | 29.1 | 42.9 | 39.4 | 32.9 | 25.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | F | C | D | D | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1457 |  | 1426 |  |  | 1135 |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 154.9 |  | 41.5 |  |  | 26.4 |
| Approach LOS | F |  | D |  |  | C |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 26.8 | 39.2 |  | 34.0 |  | 66.0 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | ＊ 5.5 | ＊ 5.5 |  | ＊ 6.1 |  | ＊ 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 6.5 | ＊ 49 |  | ＊ 28 |  | ＊ 61 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 6.0 | 24.8 |  | 29.9 |  | 46.6 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 8.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 6.7 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 78.4 |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | 556 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 0 | 475 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | 475 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  |
|  |  | NB |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | 13.3 |  |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 0 |  |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 475 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.084 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 13.3 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.3 | - | - |





| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | - | 699 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 699 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 6.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 3.52 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | 374 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | 454 | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | 374 | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 374 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 454 | - |
| Stage 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB | NB |  |  |
| Approach | 0 | 14.8 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, S | $B$ |  |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 374 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.015 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 14.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Conflictingor2 Flow All | - | 0 | 323 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 323 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 6.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 3.52 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | 646 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | 706 | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | 646 | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 646 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | 706 | - |


| Approach | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 10.7 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | -646 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | -0.02 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | -10.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - |
| Q | 0.1 |



| Major/Minor | Major2 | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | 698 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | 383 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | 383 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 0 | 15.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -383 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.116 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -15.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - |
| C | 0.4 |  |

Intersection: 1: WB 12 Mile Road \& Park Drive

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 166 | 149 | 70 | 433 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 71 | 62 | 20 | 181 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 138 | 123 | 53 | 338 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 439 | 439 | 439 | 726 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Beck Road \& 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | B10 | B10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | R | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 210 | 201 | 67 | 310 | 286 | 147 | 154 | 281 | 286 | 132 | 165 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 118 | 107 | 17 | 274 | 156 | 77 | 86 | 193 | 230 | 34 | 79 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 187 | 172 | 47 | 320 | 258 | 118 | 127 | 314 | 330 | 123 | 190 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 731 | 731 | 731 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 192 | 192 | 94 | 94 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 41 | 2 |  | 0 | 43 | 18 | 13 | 12 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 216 | 12 |  | 0 | 266 | 116 | 77 | 77 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Keystone Medical Center Drive \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 23 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 22 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 247 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 4: EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 100 | 61 | 95 | 82 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 30 | 15 | 40 | 68 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 72 | 47 | 84 | 77 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 536 | 536 |  | 23 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 69 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 172 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 450 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 130 | 138 | 67 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 100 | 108 | 58 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 143 | 142 | 64 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 56 | 56 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 23 | 28 | 59 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 130 | 153 | 311 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 41 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 12 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 7: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 25 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 31 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 8: WB 12 Mile Road \& Site Drive

| Movement | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 14 | 22 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 7 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 10 | 24 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 224 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 10 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |

Intersection: 13: WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 19: WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | B26 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 47 | 78 | 298 | 166 | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 9 | 49 | 31 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 61 | 78 | 278 | 177 | 67 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 655 | 655 |  | 254 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 2 | 35 | 6 |  |

Intersection: 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 39 | 134 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 28 | 96 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 456 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |


| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served |  | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 98 | 273 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 84 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 82 | 214 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 27: Bend

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 26 | 9 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 11 | 6 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 138 | 138 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 104: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 232 | 38 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 113 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 204 | 27 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 439 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 225 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 |  |

Intersection: 105: WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 468 | 120 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 216 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 388 | 119 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 450 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 |  |

Intersection: 106: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 113 | 121 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 42 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 91 | 106 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 580 | 580 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (ven) |  |  |

Intersection: 107: WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | NE | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | L | L | T | T | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 192 | 202 | 204 | 180 | 189 | 188 | 194 | 373 | 448 | 249 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 106 | 143 | 160 | 124 | 159 | 134 | 153 | 236 | 315 | 157 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 184 | 210 | 217 | 182 | 209 | 191 | 205 | 374 | 489 | 265 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 126 | 126 | 126 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 318 | 318 | 235 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 18 | 25 | 18 | 32 | 17 | 22 | 3 | 23 | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 23 | 58 | 79 | 60 | 107 | 57 | 73 | 9 | 69 | 9 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 344 | 328 | 142 | 141 | 79 | 105 | 58 | 68 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 182 | 194 | 73 | 88 | 8 | 25 | 5 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 340 | 343 | 141 | 137 | 47 | 81 | 30 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 219 | 219 | 105 | 105 | 167 | 167 | 167 | 167 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 19 | 12 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 73 | 48 | 15 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 326 | 88 | 153 | 155 | 102 | 94 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 143 | 6 | 20 | 35 | 45 | 48 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 258 | 44 | 89 | 116 | 92 | 96 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 283 |  | 392 | 392 | 126 | 126 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 253 | 214 | 49 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 131 | 60 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 294 | 197 | 31 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 167 | 167 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) | 13 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 141 | 8 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 8001: Beck Road

| Movement | B10 | B10 | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 335 | 297 | 100 | 568 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 190 | 62 | 22 | 114 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 436 | 232 | 96 | 396 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 192 | 192 |  | 588 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 9 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 50 | 9 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 | 7 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 21 | 43 |

Intersection: 8002: EB 12 Mile Road \& 12 Mile Road/WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Intersection: 9001: Dummy Node A \& EB 12 Mile Road |
| Movement |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 9002: WB 12 Mile Road \& Dummy Node B
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 2590

Intersection: 1: WB 12 Mile Road \& Park Drive

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 526 | 520 | 155 | 765 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 351 | 354 | 31 | 490 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 582 | 576 | 97 | 841 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 439 | 439 | 439 | 726 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 29 | 30 |  | 16 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 135 | 137 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Beck Road \& 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | B10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 826 | 817 | 246 | 289 | 257 | 110 | 92 | 170 | 279 | 164 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 772 | 771 | 78 | 242 | 124 | 55 | 54 | 70 | 238 | 80 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 911 | 899 | 191 | 328 | 225 | 92 | 88 | 137 | 316 | 184 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 731 | 731 | 731 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 192 | 192 | 94 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 77 | 77 |  | 29 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 22 | 12 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 349 | 347 |  | 100 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 121 | 67 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Keystone Medical Center Drive \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | EB | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 407 | 361 | 103 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 66 | 28 | 24 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 349 | 198 | 72 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 558 | 558 | 247 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 5 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 28 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 4: EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 517 | 493 | 467 | 80 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 166 | 153 | 121 | 65 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 411 | 406 | 349 | 76 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 536 | 536 |  | 23 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 4 | 0 | 77 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 450 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 6 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 22 | 3 |  |

Intersection: 5: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 120 | 117 | 76 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 60 | 68 | 58 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 124 | 125 | 69 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 56 | 56 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 12 | 11 | 62 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 35 | 32 | 289 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 154 | 152 | 48 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 68 | 69 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 171 | 172 | 37 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 56 | 56 | 12 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 51 | 51 | 26 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 323 | 329 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 31 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 8: WB 12 Mile Road \& Site Drive

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 374 | 372 | 68 | 216 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 209 | 210 | 9 | 115 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 478 | 478 | 51 | 256 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 278 | 278 |  | 209 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 53 | 53 |  | 38 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 338 | 344 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 10 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 24 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 2 |  |  |

Intersection: 13: WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NW |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 11 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 527 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 19: WB I-96 Off-Ramp
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage BIk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Intersection: 25: Bend
```

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served |  | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 115 | 281 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 32 | 103 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 91 | 226 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 27: Bend

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 212 | 180 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 45 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 168 | 80 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 138 | 138 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 7 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 104: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 343 | 433 | 376 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 163 | 93 | 22 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 342 | 378 | 162 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 439 | 439 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 14 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 74 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 225 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 22 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 91 | 3 |  |

Intersection: 105: WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 524 | 577 | 581 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 311 | 261 | 251 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 537 | 689 | 669 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 4 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 37 | 11 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 450 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 3 | 16 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 20 | 73 |  |

Intersection: 106: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 12 | 153 | 147 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 12 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 8 | 85 | 83 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 580 | 580 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 275 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 107: WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 224 | 721 | 720 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 58 | 540 | 543 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 220 | 964 | 963 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 611 | 611 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 65 | 67 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 444 | 456 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 74 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 9 |  |

Intersection: 7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-I

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | NE | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | L | L | T | T | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 218 | 195 | 202 | 193 | 202 | 180 | 187 | 88 | 113 | 167 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 172 | 158 | 167 | 162 | 176 | 131 | 145 | 25 | 43 | 93 |
| 1179 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 225 | 221 | 213 | 211 | 204 | 187 | 198 | 62 | 89 | 154 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 126 | 126 | 126 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 318 | 318 | 235 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 51 | 22 | 24 | 54 | 67 | 13 | 17 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 209 | 91 | 97 | 213 | 261 | 50 | 66 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 111 | 60 | 72 | 183 | 214 | 65 | 86 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 60 | 49 | 5 | 8 | 66 | 91 | 5 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 113 | 92 | 30 | 38 | 193 | 223 | 32 | 43 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 219 | 219 | 105 | 105 | 167 | 167 | 167 | 167 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 29 | 48 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 207 | 199 | 376 | 321 | 56 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 97 | 97 | 124 | 86 | 12 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 172 | 230 | 360 | 290 | 39 | 38 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 283 |  | 392 | 392 | 126 | 126 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 17 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 72 | 2 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 192 | 76 | 35 | 62 | 71 | 68 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 46 | 4 | 10 | 17 | 14 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 145 | 43 | 55 | 96 | 123 | 62 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 167 | 167 |  |  | 223 | 223 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 2 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 14 | 0 |  |  | 7 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 8001: Beck Road

| Movement | B10 | B10 | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 324 | 234 | 100 | 398 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 96 | 32 | 9 | 67 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 318 | 154 | 61 | 259 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 192 | 192 |  | 588 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 19 | 2 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 6 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 31 |

Intersection: 8002: EB 12 Mile Road \& 12 Mile Road/WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 246 | 240 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 199 | 198 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 305 | 300 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 160 | 160 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 76 | 72 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 514 | 491 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 9001: Dummy Node A \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 9002: WB 12 Mile Road \& Dummy Node B

| Movement | WB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 198 | 196 | 252 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 112 | 113 | 136 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 239 | 240 | 299 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 89 | 89 | 238 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 60 | 63 | 33 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 403 | 419 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 7439


* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Intersection: 1: WB 12 Mile Road \& Park Drive

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 293 | 305 | 76 | 756 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 179 | 185 | 32 | 571 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 278 | 286 | 63 | 897 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 439 | 439 | 439 | 726 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 31 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Beck Road \& 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | B10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 815 | 823 | 154 | 299 | 268 | 103 | 107 | 172 | 291 | 168 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 633 | 640 | 72 | 259 | 146 | 62 | 58 | 69 | 262 | 125 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 946 | 946 | 141 | 325 | 243 | 94 | 94 | 136 | 293 | 199 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 731 | 731 | 731 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 192 | 192 | 94 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 31 | 32 |  | 43 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 39 | 31 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 137 | 144 |  | 145 | 9 |  |  | 2 | 208 | 166 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Keystone Medical Center Drive \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 49 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 41 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 247 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 4: EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 177 | 171 | 166 | 87 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 76 | 58 | 55 | 68 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 146 | 128 | 125 | 80 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 536 | 536 |  | 23 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 72 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 156 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 450 |  |

Intersection: 5: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 117 | 117 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 51 | 62 | 58 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 107 | 113 | 62 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 56 | 56 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 8 | 60 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 19 | 23 | 279 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 20 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 12 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 7: EB 12 Mile Road \& WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 31 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 8: WB 12 Mile Road \& Site Drive

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 41 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 222 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 13: WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NW |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 39 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 527 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 19: WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 12 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 655 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 21: EB I-96 Off-Ramp

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Intersection: 25: Bend
```

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 221 | 305 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 34 | 108 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 116 | 237 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 547 | 547 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 27: Bend

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 214 | 179 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 73 | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 216 | 120 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 138 | 138 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 16 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 104: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO. E. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 208 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 85 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 168 | 27 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 439 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 225 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  |

Intersection: 105: WB to EB XO. W. of Park Drive \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 363 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 234 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 334 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 450 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 106: EB 12 Mile Road \& EB to WB XO W. of Park Drive

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 38 | 45 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 23 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 580 | 580 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 107: WB to EB XO. E. of Beck Road \& WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 45 | 355 | 361 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 61 | 73 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 275 | 299 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 611 | 611 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 8 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |  |

Intersection: 7001: EB I-96 Off-Ramp/WB I-96 Off-Ramp \& Beck Road \& EB I-96 On-Ramp/WB I-96 On-I

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | NE | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | L | L | T | T | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 206 | 204 | 199 | 198 | 214 | 201 | 196 | 84 | 105 | 190 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 171 | 153 | 160 | 169 | 178 | 146 | 161 | 208 | 49 | 91 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 227 | 231 | 222 | 211 | 206 | 199 | 204 | 66 | 90 | 161 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 126 | 126 | 126 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 318 | 318 | 235 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 55 | 23 | 24 | 62 | 72 | 16 | 21 |  | 235 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 227 | 92 | 97 | 240 | 280 | 61 | 80 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7002: Beck Road \& WB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | R | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 204 | 179 | 108 | 72 | 207 | 229 | 89 | 103 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 79 | 65 | 14 | 11 | 94 | 115 | 9 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 188 | 142 | 68 | 48 | 228 | 256 | 46 | 69 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 219 | 219 | 105 | 105 | 167 | 167 | 167 | 167 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 17 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 66 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7003: Beck Road \& EB I-96 Off-Ramp

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 176 | 200 | 422 | 409 | 57 | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 97 | 115 | 188 | 152 | 12 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 160 | 256 | 481 | 432 | 40 | 40 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 283 |  | 392 | 392 | 126 | 126 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 18 | 7 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 29 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 118 | 8 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 7004: Beck Road \& WB I-96 On-Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 226 | 130 | 61 | 116 | 212 | 114 | 10 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 84 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 19 | 7 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 225 | 77 | 58 | 106 | 136 | 75 | 7 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 167 | 167 |  |  | 223 | 223 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) | 9 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 61 | 1 |  |  | 7 | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 100 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8001: Beck Road

| Movement | B10 | B10 | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 333 | 266 | 124 | 614 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 129 | 35 | 32 | 303 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 369 | 169 | 121 | 727 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 192 | 192 |  | 588 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 5 | 1 |  | 15 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 24 | 3 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 26 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 137 |

Intersection: 8002: EB 12 Mile Road \& 12 Mile Road/WB 12 Mile Road

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 228 | 220 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 79 | 85 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 244 | 246 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 160 | 160 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 17 | 18 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 111 | 117 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 9001: Dummy Node A \& EB 12 Mile Road

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 9002: WB 12 Mile Road \& Dummy Node B

| Movement | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 7 | 65 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 5 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 89 | 238 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 3093

## RGURE 6-3
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