

Novi, MI
Trends over Time

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

# **Summary**

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2018 ratings for the City of Novi to its previous survey results in 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014, and 2016. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Novi represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than seven percentage points between the 2016 and 2018 surveys, otherwise the comparisons between 2016 and 2018 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Novi for 2018 generally remained stable. Of the 128 items for which comparisons were available, 110 items were rated similarly in 2016 and 2018; one item showed a decrease in ratings and 17 showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- While most ratings of Community Characteristics remained stable from 2016 to 2018, there were five aspects that increased. Novi residents gave higher ratings to the cleanliness of the natural environment, ease of walking, the overall economic health of the city, employment opportunities and to healthcare in 2018 compared to 2016. No aspects of Community Characteristics decreased during this time period.
- Within Governance, there were no decreases from 2016 to 2018, and nine aspects increased. Mobility ratings were on the rise, including traffic enforcement, snow removal, and traffic signal timing. Residents gave more positive marks to garbage collection, recycling, utility billing and emergency preparedness. Within Education and Enrichment, there was an increase in positive ratings for special events in Novi in 2016 compared to 2018. Further, the overall quality of customer service provided by City of Novi employees was regarded more highly by residents in 2018 than in 2016.
- The majority of ratings within Participation remained stable from 2016 to 2018, but a few changes were observed. Fewer Novi residents reported that they had volunteered in the past 12 months in 2018 compared to 2016. Ratings for the overall sense of community increased in 2018. Further, more Novi residents reported that they had made efforts to conserve water and felt more positively about their personal economic outlook in 2018 compared to 2016. The proportion of respondents with positive economic outlooks is much higher than the baseline survey in 2006.

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Pe	rcent rat	ing posi	tively (e.	g., exce	llent/god	od)	2018 rating compared			Comparison	to benchmar	k		
	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	to 2016	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Overall quality of life	88%	92%	93%	92%	95%	95%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
Overall image	88%	88%	89%	91%	92%	93%	92%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Place to live	93%	97%	94%	97%	98%	96%	95%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
Neighborhood	88%	86%	90%	90%	89%	89%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Place to raise children	92%	95%	92%	93%	95%	95%	95%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Place to retire	55%	59%	70%	68%	62%	66%	70%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Overall appearance	82%	86%	89%	91%	93%	87%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher

Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet

		Pe	ercent ra		tively (e. omewha		llent/goo	d,	2018 rating compared			Compar	rison to ber	nchmark		
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	to 2016	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
	Overall feeling of safety	NA	NA	NA	NA	96%	95%	96%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Higher
	Safe in neighborhood	97%	94%	97%	98%	95%	97%	98%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	97%	93%	96%	96%	96%	95%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Overall ease of travel	NA	NA	NA	NA	83%	69%	71%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Paths and walking trails	NA	46%	52%	53%	58%	57%	57%	Similar	NA	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Ease of walking	45%	50%	57%	58%	58%	52%	60%	Higher	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Travel by bicycle	34%	39%	46%	48%	50%	45%	50%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Travel by public transportation	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	24%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower
	Travel by car	50%	64%	65%	72%	75%	61%	62%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Public parking	NA	NA	NA	NA	82%	73%	71%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
Mobility	Traffic flow	27%	44%	44%	55%	55%	35%	39%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Natural Environment	Overall natural environment	NA	71%	81%	81%	85%	81%	75%	Similar	NA	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar

# The National Citizen Survey $\mbox{^{TM}}$

		Pe	ercent ra		itively (e. omewha		llent/goo	d,	2018 rating compared			Compar	rison to be	nchmark		
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	to 2016	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
	Cleanliness	NA	88%	93%	93%	95%	86%	94%	Higher	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Highe
	Air quality	NA	NA	NA	NA	92%	83%	87%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Simila
	Overall built environment	NA	NA	NA	NA	76%	67%	65%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Simila
	New development in Novi	73%	78%	78%	77%	68%	67%	66%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Affordable quality housing	39%	57%	69%	70%	60%	48%	52%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Simila
Built	Housing options	NA	83%	75%	83%	75%	71%	73%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Environment	Public places	NA	NA	NA	NA	74%	71%	74%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Overall economic health	NA	NA	NA	NA	92%	86%	95%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	NA	NA	NA	NA	49%	46%	45%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Business and services	NA	85%	85%	88%	83%	82%	80%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Cost of living	NA	NA	NA	NA	54%	47%	51%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Shopping opportunities	95%	94%	95%	95%	94%	92%	93%	Similar	Much higher						
	Employment opportunities	45%	44%	50%	55%	66%	67%	74%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Much higher
	Place to visit	NA	NA	NA	NA	72%	76%	71%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Simila
Economy	Place to work	73%	77%	77%	83%	84%	82%	84%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Health and wellness	NA	NA	NA	NA	88%	85%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Simila
	Preventive health services	NA	76%	84%	85%	85%	80%	87%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Health care	72%	71%	83%	81%	86%	79%	88%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Highe
	Food	79%	81%	86%	83%	83%	79%	85%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Recreation	Recreational opportunities	68%	70%	71%	80%	77%	72%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Simila
and Wellness	Fitness opportunities	NA	NA	NA	NA	80%	75%	74%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Simila
Education	Education and enrichment opportunities	NA	NA	NA	NA	92%	90%	91%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Highe
and Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	NA	74%	77%	83%	76%	78%	83%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Simila

		Pe	ercent ra		itively (e omewha		llent/goo	od,	2018 rating compared			Compar	rison to ber	nchmark		
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	to 2016	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
	Cultural/arts/music activities	59%	55%	60%	74%	64%	64%	66%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Adult education	NA	NA	NA	NA	76%	79%	83%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
	K-12 education	90%	88%	89%	92%	93%	94%	95%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Much higher	Much higher
	Child care/preschool	52%	57%	71%	68%	78%	74%	76%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Social events and activities	NA	60%	71%	80%	65%	63%	67%	Similar	NA	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Neighborliness	NA	NA	NA	NA	70%	70%	74%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Openness and acceptance	79%	83%	78%	85%	83%	82%	78%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	NA	68%	75%	73%	75%	64%	71%	Similar	NA	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Community Engagement	Opportunities to volunteer	NA	70%	73%	76%	74%	70%	77%	Similar	NA	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar

Table 3: Governance General

	Pe	rcent rat	ing posi	tively (e	.g., exce	llent/goo	od)	2018 rating			Compariso	n to benchm	ark		
	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	compared to 2016	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Services provided by Novi	81%	80%	88%	90%	89%	83%	90%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
Customer service	83%	84%	87%	89%	85%	80%	88%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Higher
Value of services for taxes paid	56%	51%	63%	69%	65%	61%	66%	Similar	Similar	Lower	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Overall direction	62%	67%	72%	80%	83%	70%	67%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
Welcoming citizen involvement	62%	56%	59%	72%	72%	63%	69%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
Confidence in City government	NA	NA	NA	NA	75%	66%	68%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar
Acting in the best interest of Novi	NA	NA	NA	NA	78%	68%	66%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar
Being honest	NA	NA	NA	NA	78%	70%	69%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar
Treating all residents fairly	NA	NA	NA	NA	81%	73%	74%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
Services provided by the Federal Government	42%	36%	40%	38%	47%	41%	47%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar

Table 4: Governance by Facet

		Pe	rcent rat	ting posi	tively (e	.g., exce	llent/god	od)	2018 rating			Compari	son to benc	hmark		
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	compared to 2016	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
	Police	87%	88%	92%	93%	88%	89%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
	Fire	93%	92%	97%	95%	95%	95%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Ambulance/EMS	90%	91%	98%	93%	94%	96%	92%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Crime prevention	86%	79%	85%	89%	86%	86%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Fire prevention	85%	88%	85%	90%	90%	90%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Safety	Emergency preparedness	NA	64%	74%	78%	74%	65%	80%	Higher	NA	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Traffic enforcement	75%	81%	77%	77%	80%	73%	81%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
	Street repair	51%	50%	47%	51%	40%	37%	38%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Street cleaning	60%	64%	71%	66%	60%	58%	59%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Street lighting	56%	59%	62%	64%	68%	59%	64%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Snow removal	63%	60%	63%	70%	67%	61%	73%	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Sidewalk maintenance	57%	61%	62%	68%	64%	60%	65%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Traffic signal timing	46%	54%	53%	57%	54%	46%	59%	Higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
•	Garbage collection	NA	NA	81%	NA	NA	NA	94%	Higher	NA	NA	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Recycling	66%	65%	70%	75%	68%	74%	84%	Higher	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Yard waste pick-up	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	86%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Drinking water	NA	81%	NA	NA	79%	79%	85%	Similar	NA	Much higher	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Higher
Natural	Natural areas preservation	NA	56%	73%	70%	70%	58%	55%	Similar	NA	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Environment	Open space	NA	NA	NA	NA	64%	54%	49%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Storm drainage	69%	69%	78%	78%	76%	73%	76%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Sewer services	NA	79%	NA	NA	85%	81%	87%	Similar	NA	Higher	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Power utility	NA	NA	NA	NA	75%	82%	86%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Utility billing	NA	NA	NA	NA	72%	72%	83%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Built Environment	Land use, planning and zoning	42%	54%	61%	61%	64%	57%	56%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar

# The National Citizen Survey $\mbox{^{TM}}$

		Pe	rcent rat	ting posi	tively (e	.g., exce	llent/god	od)	2018 rating			Compari	son to benc	hmark		
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	compared to 2016	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
	Code enforcement	69%	63%	70%	73%	74%	65%	69%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Cable television	52%	48%	50%	66%	61%	56%	53%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Economy	Economic development	64%	60%	62%	73%	77%	74%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	City parks	78%	80%	81%	87%	85%	82%	86%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Recreation programs	72%	75%	77%	82%	79%	85%	82%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Recreation and	Recreation centers	70%	75%	76%	84%	77%	77%	80%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Wellness	Health services	NA	NA	NA	NA	87%	82%	89%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Higher
	Special events	NA	NA	NA	NA	72%	65%	77%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Education and Enrichment	Public libraries	77%	84%	94%	93%	92%	91%	91%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
Community Engagement	Public information	65%	69%	77%	84%	80%	82%	78%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar

Table 5: Participation General

rabic bi rarcicip															
	Percent	rating pos	sitively (e.	g., always,	sometime/	s, more th	nan once								
			a	month, ye	es)			2018 rating			Comparis	on to bench	mark		
	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	compared to 2016	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Sense of										Much		Much			
community	63%	74%	73%	75%	70%	64%	72%	Higher	Similar	higher	Higher	higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
										Much	Much	Much			
Recommend Novi	NA	92%	96%	95%	97%	96%	93%	Similar	NA	higher	higher	higher	Higher	Higher	Similar
Remain in Novi	NA	86%	85%	90%	92%	89%	87%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Contacted Novi										Much	Much	Much			
employees	54%	52%	40%	38%	37%	36%	40%	Similar	NA	lower	lower	lower	Lower	Similar	Similar

Table 6: Participation by Facet

		Perce	nt rating	positively than on	/ (e.g., a ce a mor		metimes,	more	2018 rating compared to			Compa	arison to be	enchmark		
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	2016	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
	Stocked supplies for an emergency	NA	NA	NA	NA	26%	22%	27%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Lower	Lower
	Did NOT report a crime	NA	NA	NA	NA	85%	88%	90%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Higher	Higher
Safety	Was NOT the victim of a crime	92%	90%	92%	94%	93%	91%	95%	Similar	NA	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Used public transportation instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much lower
	Carpooled instead of driving alone	NA	NA	NA	NA	28%	30%	31%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Lower	Lower
Mobility	Walked or biked instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	NA	55%	53%	51%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Conserved water	NA	NA	NA	NA	74%	76%	84%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Made home more energy efficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	78%	75%	78%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Natural Environment	Recycled at home	72%	78%	69%	77%	78%	84%	88%	Similar	NA	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Did NOT observe a code violation	NA	NA	NA	NA	68%	68%	71%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
Built Environment	NOT under housing cost stress	NA	70%	76%	74%	75%	75%	81%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Higher
	Purchased goods or services in Novi	NA	NA	NA	NA	98%	99%	97%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Economy will have positive impact on income	11%	9%	24%	28%	40%	30%	42%	Higher	NA	Much lower	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
Economy	Work in Novi	NA	NA	NA	NA	21%	26%	29%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much lower	Lower	Lower
•	Used Novi recreation centers	48%	45%	44%	51%	47%	48%	49%	Similar	NA	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Visited a City park	70%	77%	71%	76%	66%	73%	78%	Similar	NA	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	NA	NA	NA	NA	86%	86%	87%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Recreation and Wellness	Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	NA	NA	NA	NA	82%	90%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar

		Perce	nt rating	positively than on	y (e.g., a ce a mor		metimes,	more	2018 rating compared to			Compa	rison to be	nchmark		
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	2016	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
	In very good to excellent health	NA	NA	NA	NA	68%	70%	69%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Used Novi public libraries	69%	71%	71%	74%	64%	70%	69%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	NA	NA	NA	NA	35%	40%	41%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Similar	Similar
Education and Enrichment	Attended a City- sponsored event	NA	NA	NA	NA	35%	36%	43%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Lower	Lower
	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	NA	NA	NA	NA	15%	16%	14%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Lower
	Contacted Novi elected officials	NA	NA	NA	NA	7%	11%	10%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Volunteered	33%	30%	27%	30%	29%	30%	22%	Lower	NA	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower	Lower
	Participated in a club	NA	NA	17%	21%	22%	18%	20%	Similar	NA	NA	Much lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Talked to or visited with neighbors	NA	NA	NA	NA	87%	89%	89%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Done a favor for a neighbor	NA	NA	NA	NA	74%	80%	77%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Attended a local public meeting	23%	25%	21%	18%	16%	19%	18%	Similar	NA	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Watched a local public meeting	45%	42%	33%	25%	18%	14%	16%	Similar	NA	Lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower	Similar
	Read or watched local news	NA	NA	NA	NA	78%	80%	80%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Community Engagement	Voted in local elections	77%	82%	71%	68%	79%	74%	80%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar