

TO:
FROM:
THROUGH:
SUBJECT: JSP 18-32 SRI VENKATESWARA CULTURAL CENTER: PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN EXTENSION

DATE: SEPTEMBER 23, 2022
cityofnovi.org

The subject property is located in Section 16, south of Grand River Avenue and west of Taft Road, in residential acreage (RA) zoning district. The applicant has received Preliminary Site Plan approval for the cultural center. The applicant is requesting an extension in order to have more time to finalize the plans for Phase II. The subject property is approximately 10.11 acres.

The Planning Commission held a public hearing and approved the Preliminary Site Plan and Storm Water Management Plan at the October 3, 2018 meeting. This approval is valid for two years.

The applicant has received administrative Final Site Plan approval, but has yet to submit final stamping sets for the Cultural Center the project. The applicant is requesting a final one-year extension of Preliminary Site Plan approval until October 2, 2023, as they are not yet ready to commence construction on the development. The Zoning Ordinance allows for three, one-year extensions of Preliminary and Final Site Plan approvals.

At this time, the Planning staff is not aware of any changes to the ordinances, or surrounding land uses, which would affect the approval of the requested extension for one year. Approval of the extension of Preliminary Site Plan is recommended by staff.

## Attachments:

1. Letter of request for extension dated September 23, 2022 from Praveen Manyam, Manyam Group LLC
2. A copy of approved Preliminary Site Plan
3. Action Summary from October 3, 2018 Planning Commission meeting
4. Minutes from October 3, 2018 Planning Commission meeting

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION LETTER

MANYAM GROUP $l l c$
architectural design \& consulting • project management
512 N. Franklin St., Suite B, Frankenmuth, MI 48734-1154 Tel: 989.652.3030

September 23, 2022
Lindsay Bell, Planner
City of Novi
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375

Dear Lindsay,

## RE: SRI VENKATESWARA TEMPLE AND CULTURAL CENTER PHASE II DEVELOPMENT JSP 18-32 : SITE PLAN REVIEW

The Sri Venkateswara Temple and Cultural Center wishes to request for a final extension of time for the submission of the Final Stamping Set of drawings for the abovementioned site plan review.

This is a request for a final extension. Our prior needs were based upon our coordination with our Civil Engineer on our project and needing the time to get the information from him due to restructuring and closure of the original civil engineer's office. Since then, we have been able to coordinate and acquire much of the information for submission that was needed; however, in working towards the final with the relevant departments of the City, there are a few more notes and adjustments/clarifications that are necessary to finalize this submission. We now have an engineer that is available to work with us to identify and complete the necessary information to make the Stamping Set complete.

We do not have the original CAD files from the previous engineer and so we are working through that to come up with a way to bring this all together cohesively to meet the required notes for the stamping set. We have started this process and do request a little more time to get the new engineer fully familiar with what is needed and close out the project.

Thank you,
MANYAM GROUP LLD


Praveen Manyam

PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN
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PC ACTION SUMMARY

# PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION SUMMARY 

CITY OF NOVI

RegularMeeting
October 3, 2018 7:00 PM
Council Chambers| Novi Civic Center 45175 W. Ten Mile (248) 347-0475

CALTO ORDER
The meeting wascalled to orderat 7:00 PM.

ROLCAL
Present: Member Anthony, Member Avdoulos, Member Lynch, Member Maday, Chair Pehrson

Absent: MemberGreco (excused)
Also Present: Barbara Mc Beth, City Planner, Sri Komaragiri, Planner, Lindsay Bell, Planner; Rick Meader, Landsc a pe Architect; Beth Sa a rela, City Attomey

## APPROVALOF AGENDA

Motion to approve the October 3, 2018 Planning Commission Agenda. Motion camied 5-0.
PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. VIШAS ATSTONEBROOK JSP17-62

Public hearing at the request of Pulte Homes of Michigan, UC for approval of the Special Land Use Permit, Preliminary Site Plan, wetlands permit, woodlands permit and Stormwater Management Plan. The subject property is a pproximately 26 acres and is located on the east side of Wixom Road, north of Eleven Mile Road in Section 17. The applicant is proposing a 43 duplex ( 86 total units) "age-targeted" ranch style housing units. The subject property is currently zoned l-2, General Industrial with Planned Suburban Low-Rise Overlay. The development also proposes a shared public entrance to Wild life Woods Park, which is zoned RA and some related minor parking improvements within the park.

In the matter of Villas at Stonebrook JSP17-62, motion to approve the Special Land Use Permit based on and subject to the following:
a. The proposed use will not cause any detrimental impact on existing thoroughfares (as the results of the TIA indicated that the development and adjacent roadways will experience ac ceptable levels-of-senvice and delays);
b. The proposed use will not cause any detrimental impact on the capabilities of public sevices and facilities;
c. The proposed use is compatible with the natural features and characteristics of the land (because necessary mitigation measures are proposed for the proposed impacts to natural features on the site);
d. The proposed use is compatible with adjacent uses of land (because the proposed use conforms to the PSLR agreement and all standards for a two family detached home);
e. The proposed use is consistent with the goals, objectives, and recommendations of the City's Master Plan for Land Use;
f. The proposed use will promote the use of land in a socially and economically desirable manner;
g. The proposed use is (1) listed among the provision of uses requiring special land use review as set forth in the various zoning districts of this Ordinance, and (2) is in harmony with the purposes and conforms to the applicable site design regulations of the zoning district in which it is located.
This motion is made because the plan is othenvise in compliance with Article 3, Article 4, Article 5, and Article 6 of the Zoning Ordinance and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

In the matter of Villas at Stonebrook J SP17-62, motion to approve the Preliminary Site Plan, described as the altemate plan, with a maximum total of 42 buildings/84 units, based on and subject to the findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters and the conditions and the items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan. This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Article 3, Article 4, and Article 5 of the Zoning Ordinance and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

In the matter of Villas at Stonebrook JSP17-62, motion to approve the Wetland Permit based on and subject to the findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan. This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Chapter 12, Artic le V of the Code of Ordinances and all other applic able provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

In the matter of Villas at Stonebrook JSP17-62, motion to approve the Woodland Permit based on and subject to the findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan. This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Chapter 37 of the Code of Ordinances and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance. Motion carried 5-0.

In the matter of Villas at Stonebrook JSP17-62, motion to approve the Stomwater Management Plan based on and subject to the findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan. This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Chapter 11 of the Code of Ordinances and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

## MATIERS FOR CONSIDERATION

1. SRI VENKATESWARA CULTURALCENTERJSP18-32

Consideration at the request of Manyam Group for Preliminary Site Plan and Storm Water Management plan approval. The subject property contains 9.65 ac res and is located in Section 16, on the west side of Taft Road and south of Grand River

Avenue. The site conta ins the Sri Venkateswara Temple build ing, which received Special Land Use and Site Plan approval in 2009. The applicant is proposing to construct phase 2 of the project: a 24,136 square foot, 2 -story Cultural Center on the eastem portion of the site. The parking, drive areas, and utilities were previously constructed in phase 1, with only minor changes proposed now.

In the matter of Si Venkateswara Cultural Center JSP18-32, motion to approve the Preliminary Site Plan based on and subject to the following:
a. Landscape waiverfrom Section 5.5.3.D fordeficiency of the required foundation plantings ( $73 \%$ provided, $75 \%$ required) because additional landscaping is provided in altemate locations, which is hereby granted;
b. Landscape waiverfrom Section 5.5.3.D for location of foundation plantings away from the building because the area is close to the building and will beautify the entryway, whic $h$ is hereby granted;
c. The applic ant providing the required 3250 gallons per minute fire flow as required by IFC 2012 Table B105.1 and the Fre Chief approving all hydrant locations;
d. The findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters and the conditions and the items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan.
This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Article 3, Article 4, and Article 5 of the Zoning Ordinance and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

In the matter of Si Venkateswara Cultural Center JSP18-32, motion to approve the Stomwater Management Plan based on and subject to the findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan. This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Chapter 11 of the Code of Ordinances and all other applic able provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

## ADJ OURNMENT

The meeting was adjoumed at 7:30 PM.
*Actual language of the motions subject to review.

PC MINUTES

## PLANNING COMMISSION MINUIES <br> CITY OFNOVI

RegularMeeting
October 3, 2018 7:00 PM
Council Chambers| Novi Civic Center 45175 W. Ten Mile (248) 347-0475

## CALTO ORDER

The meeting wascalled to orderat 7:00 PM.

## ROLCAL

| Present | MemberAnthony, Member Avdoulos, Member Lynch, Member |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maday, ChairPehrson |  |
| Absent | Member Greco (excused) |
| Also Present | Barbara Mc Beth, City Planner, Sri Koma ragiri, Planner, Lindsay Bell, <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Planner, Rick Meader, Landscape Architect; Beth Sa a rela, City <br> Attomey |

## PLEDGE OF AUEGIANCE

Member Maday led the meeting attendees in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

## APPROVALOF AGENDA

Moved by Member Lynch and seconded by MemberAvdoulos.

## VOICE VOTE TO APPROVE THE OCTOBER 3, 2018 AGENDA MOTION MADE BY MEMBER LYNCH AND SECONDED BY MEMBER AVDOULOS.

Motion to approve the October 3, 2018 Planning Commission Agenda. Motion camed 5-0.

## AUDIENCE PARIICIPATION

Nobody in the audience wished to speak.
CORRESPONDENCE
There was no correspondence.
COMMITEE REPORIS
There were no Committee Reports.

CITY PLANNER REPORT
There was no City Planner report.

## CONSENTAGENDA

There were no items on the consent agenda.

PUBLIC HEARNGS

## 1. VILAS ATSTONEBROOK JSP17-62

Public hearing at the request of Pulte Homes of Michigan, ШC for approval of the Special Land Use Permit, Preliminary Site Plan, wetlands permit, woodlands permit and Stormwater Management Plan. The subject property is a pproximately 26 a cres and is located on the east side of Wixom Road, north of Eleven Mile Road in Section 17. The applicant is proposing a 43 duplex ( 86 total units) "age-targeted" ranch style housing units. The subject property is curently zoned l-2, General Industrial with Planned Suburban Low-Rise Overlay. The development also proposes a shared public entrance to Wildlife Woods Park, which is zoned RA and some related minor parking improvements within the park.

Planner Komaragiri said this is a familiar project, as the Planning Commission has reviewed the layout as part of the Planned Suburban Overlay Concept Plan approval process. A public hearing was held on February 7, 2018 and a favorable recommendation was made to City Council. The City Council considered the application on February 26 and provided tentative approval with some additional clarifications to be provided. At their August 27, 2018 meeting, the Concept Plan and the PSLR Agreement were finally a pproved. Minutes from all previous meetings are included in your packets.

Since the last time you saw this plan in February, there are no major changes to the site layout. The applicant is proposing to construct 43 duplex buildings, 86 homes in total, on the east side of Wixom Road, north of Eleven Mile Road. These are age-targeted, ranchstyle homes with an optional loft or basement, which our curent Master Plan has identified a need for. The Concept Plan proposes a connection to Providence Park Hospital trail system to the east. The project also proposes additional enhancement to the existing trail system within the hospital campus. The project proposes removal and remediation of the existing industrial facility and brownfield site. A secondary access is provided across the ITC Comidor connecting to Providence Parkway.

The subject property is located west of Providence Park Hospital and north of Wild life Wood Park. The ITC Comidor abuts the property to the east. The site is currently zoned I-2 General Industrial, with a Planned Suburban Low-Rise Overlay. The subject property is surrounded by I-1 Light Industrial, to the north, R-1 Single Family Residential to the east and south, ITC Comidor to the east, and OSC Office Service and Commercial across the Coridor.

Planner Komaragiri said the Future Land Use map indicated PSLR Overlay for the subject property, Office Research Development and Technology and Community Commercial to the north, Office Commercial across the ITC Comidor. A Special Land Use is required for proposing multiple-fa mily units using the PSLR Overlay option.

Based on the Planning Commission's input from the previous public hearing, the applicant has eliminated all the deviations that were requested from the Façade Ordinance, eliminated one Landscape deviation, and reduced the intensity of a nother one. A total of nine elevations are provided aspart of the current Preliminary Site Plan submittal.

At the Council meeting, there was discussion about the access to the oil well through the proposed residential private road. Following the discussion at the Council meeting, the applicant has contacted the well company and offered to provide a new driveway access point from a location splitting off from a shared access drive on Wixom Road, in
order to avoid well truck traffic traveling through the residential development. The applicant is also offering to install a more convenient parking lot for use by park visitors, as shown on the screen in front of you.

The subject property has regulated woodlands and wetlands on the property. There are a total of eleven areas of wetland that add up to 1.97 acres. Of those, 0.54 acres of wetlands are being impacted and 23,000 cubic feet of wetland fill is being proposed. There are a total of 357 woodland trees on site. 197 trees, approximately $55 \%$ of the regulated trees, are proposed to be removed. The Landscape plan indicates a majority of the replacement trees are provided along the perimeter of the site, a few along the entrance drive, and a few a long the eastem side of the central courtyard, as indicated in the green shaded areas on the screen. Staff will continue to work with the applicant to identify the final locations for the replacement trees and the remaining will be paid into the tree fund.

The proposed wetlands impacts would require about one acre of wetla nd mitigation. The applic ant has requested to defer the review of off-site mitigation at the time of Final Site Plan, as a condition of the PSLR Agreement. Ba sed on comments from Staff, the applic ant has provided an altemative plan indicating that if such off-site mitigation cannot be located, the applicant intends to construct "Plan B" as shown on the screen, with on-site mitigation shown as blue shaded areas on the screen, with the reduction of two units if this altemate plan is implemented. This is in case they cannot find a suitable off-site mitigation elsewhere.

Planner Komaragiri said all reviewers are recommending approval with additional comments to be addressed with Final Site Plan. The Planning Commission is asked tonight to hold a public hearing and to approve or deny the Special Land Use and Preliminary Site Plan. The applicant, Joe Skore, is here tonight with his engineer, Bill Anderson, who I understand wants to give a small presentation, to answer a ny questions you may have.

Bill Anderson, with Atwell, sa id I'm here with J oe Skore, Vice President of Pulte Homes of Michigan. Sri gave a nice overview, but I just wanted to add a couple things; since we saw you, a couple of things have been added to the plan and this is the updated rendering that providesit.

We did add an access drive to that City Park to the south, Wild life Woods Park. It's a pavilion there, so we added drive access down to that park and actually an ADA sidewalk proposed to that pavilion. We're also providing some signage off of Wixom to that City Park, so you'll be able to have public residents coming in and using that access drive down to that pavilion. Again, there are twelve parking spaces there. As part of that drive access, there will be a new access point to that well location as part of it.

This is Plan B that assuming we get favorable recommendations tonight for Preliminary, our Final will show a reduction of a unit, as Sri indicated. If you look on this rendering, it shows that unit on the southeast comer as being removed. So we're actually going to end up with 84 units, so that would be a plan change since you have seen it, as well. So the park improvements, but minus that one building or two units.

Mr. Anderson said and then also, we have finalized all of our off-site easements with the ITC and Providence Hospital. We're looking forward to connecting with their paths - it's
going to be great for our residents and the residents to the west. And then, as you know, we completed the PSLR Agreement and got that approved at the Council meeting last month. So a couple things I just wanted to note, and we're certainly here for any questions you might have on the Preliminary Site Plan.

Chair Pehrson asked if there was anyone in the audience that wished to address the Planning Commission regarding this project. Seeing no one, he asked if there was any correspondence.

Member Lynch said there was no correspondence.
Chair Pehrson closed the public hearing and tumed it over to the Planning Commission for their consideration.

Member Lynch said I have a couple things. I guess the first one is kind of a pet peeve of mine - this tree fund thing. There's no place on that site that you could put these trees? You've got to put money into the tree fund? I'm looking at the site plan, and there's no place on there that you could put the trees instead of donating to the tree fund? Is that what l'm hearing?

Planner Komaragiri said they require about 127 replacement trees, and they are showing that all trees are being placed on the site. Staff is still just working with them with a few trees that we don't agree with the locations. It's not many, but they may end up putting those into the tree fund.

Member Lynch said why is anything going into the tree fund? There's plenty of open space to put the trees in.

Planner Komaragiri said as you can see on the screen, the areas in green are where the replacements are being proposed, and the rest of the site is quite landscaped to comply with the landscape requirements. So I think I would like to defer the question to Rick for more cla rification.

Landscape Architect Meadersaid they intend to plant them all on site, it's just a matter of the math. It's not quite right and we disagree with their math. Basically, if they can't fit them, they'll put money in the tree fund, but we think they can fit them all.

Member Lynch said ok, and you know my position. If you take the trees out of one area, they should rema in there somewhere.

Landscape Architect Meader said they fully intend to plant them all there, it's just a matter of getting the counts right.

Member Lynch said ok. The second thing is this mitigation plan, can you explain that to me? You're removing a unit, and what was the need for two detention ponds?

Mr. Anderson said there's detention, but if you fill a regulated wetland you need to replace that wetland at 1.5 times, and again we generally try to do that on-site but you can't always do that. In Novi, I believe, you can do off-site mitigation. So if I fill an acre of wetland on property, I can build an acre and a half wetla nd mitigation somewhere else in
the City. We looked at multiple other sites to do it there, we're going to do that here. It connects and interfaces with some other wetlands on-site, so we're going to do the wetland mitigation right on site. The impact is one building for us.

Member Lynch said so when these detention ponds all fill up and discharge, where do they disc harge?

Mr. Anderson said there's a drainage course that runs southwesterly across the site. So all the stormwater goes in there, it does treatment and detention through those.

Member Lynch said so it does do treatment?
Mr. Anderson said it does do quality treatment, absolutely. It treats three different storm events and detains.

Member Lynch said ok, because I think it flows west at the end of the day and that's all part of the Huron River wa tershed I think.

Mr. Anderson said I think this is the Huron River Watershed.
Member Lynch said ok, so you're going to clean it up before you discharge.
Mr. Anderson said there's a significant wetland bay that runs northeasterly to southwesterly through there.

Member Lynch said ok, I see it. And the final thing is that I'm looking at your renderings, it looks like row housing. The stuff that I got in my package looks like brick row houses.

Mr. Anderson said these are the updated approved renderings.
Member Lynch said ok, I don't remember seeing those before. I take that comment back. Those are all of my comments.

Member Anthony said first, as this one is towards the end, having gone through quite a few approvals, I do like how the zoning was changed so that it created a nice buffer between where we have residential, where we have the school, where we have the hospital, and then the other industrial office area. So I think that was done well.

Thank you for working with the staff on redoing the facades. Looking at that new façade that's up there, I like the peaks and the architectural features there. I think it fits well with Novi. I like how you're working with the staff on the trees. I also agree with trying to keep the trees on site, but thank you for working with the staff to see that that's done. The wetland mitigation, I'm also one that leans towards trying to get it as close to where they're abated. It looks good. So it essentially meets all of the requirements for abatement. Is this a state regulated orcity regulated wetland?

Pla nner Komaragiri said they are both. They are supposed to get an MDEQ permit.
Member Anthony said which is a more diffic ult requirement to meet.

Mr. Anderson said it's been a pplied for.
Member Anthony said ok. And when I look at all of these pieces - the zoning, façade, abatement, trees, wetlands - I like this and this is certainly something I can support. I'd be willing to make a motion.

Motion made by Member Anthony and seconded by Member Avdoulos.
Member Maday said I just have a question because I wasn't here the first time around and I was just looking at everybody else's notes. When it comes to, and this could just be mistake on my end, but when it comes to the brownfield issue, is there known contamination on the site from the previous industrial facility?

J oe Skore, with Pulte Homes of Mic higan, said yes that is true. The site has contamination.
Member Maday sa id is it completely 100\% delineated?
Mr. Skore said we've done multiple investigations with our environmental consultant and to answer your question, we are going to clean it up to be below residential levels and criteria.

Member Maday said ok that was my question. Just because my biggest concem is with the vapors, especially being that it's residential.

Mr. Skore said we are going to remediate the entire site and remove the contamination.
Member Maday said and do we know, and you may not know this, but the adja cent oil well - from what I've been told, it'sowned by the City of Novi being leased. Do we know if that has any conta mination on it itself?

Mr. Skore said not to my knowledge.
Member Maday said ok, tha nk you.

## ROL CAL VOTE TO APPROVE SPEC IAL LAND USE MOTION MADE BY MEMBER ANTHONY AND SECONDED BY MEMBER AVDOULOS.

In the matter of Villas at Stonebrook JSP17-62, motion to approve the Special Land Use Permit based on and subject to the following:
a. The proposed use will not cause any detrimental impact on existing thoroughfares (as the results of the TIA indicated that the development and adjacent roadways will experience ac ceptable levels-of-senvice and delays);
b. The proposed use will not cause any detrimental impact on the capabilities of public services and facilities;
c. The proposed use is compatible with the natural features and characteristics of the land (because necessary mitigation measures are proposed for the proposed impacts to natural features on the site);
d. The proposed use is compatible with adjacent uses of land (because the proposed use conforms to the PSLR agreement and all standards for a two family detached home);
e. The proposed use is consistent with the goals, objectives, and recommendations of the City's Master Plan for Land Use;
f. The proposed use will promote the use of land in a socially and economically desirable manner;
g. The proposed use is (1) listed among the provision of uses requiring special land use review as set forth in the various zoning districts of this Ordinance, and (2) is in hammony with the purposes and conforms to the applicable site design regulations of the zoning district in which it is located.
This motion is made because the plan is othenwise in compliance with Article 3, Article 4, Article 5, and Article 6 of the Zoning Ordinance and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

## ROLCALVOTE TO APPROVE PREUMINARY STE PLAN MOTION MADE BY MEMBERANTHONY AND SECONCDED BY MEMBER AVDOULOS.

City Attomey Sa a rela said because this is the altemative plan that is being proposed, if you can amend the Preliminary Site Plan motion to indicate approval of the altemative plan with 42 build ings.

Member Anthony sa id we will amend the motion that it is for 42 buildings, 84 units, instea d of the 43 buildings shown on the drawing.

Member Avdoulos seconded.
In the matter of Villas at Stonebrook J SP17-62, motion to approve the Preliminary Site Plan, described as the altemate plan, with a maximum total of 42 buildings/84 units, based on and subject to the findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters and the conditions and the items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan. This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Article 3, Article 4, and Article 5 of the Zoning Ordinance and all other applic able provisions of the Ordinance. Motion caried 5-0.

## ROLCAL VOTE TO APPROVE WETLAND PERMITMOTION MADE BY MEMBER ANTHONY AND SECONDED BY MEMBER AVDOULOS.

In the matter of Villas at Stonebrook JSP17-62, motion to approve the Wetland Permit based on and subject to the findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan. This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Chapter 12, Artic le V of the Code of Ordinances and all other applic able provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

ROLCAL VOTE TO APPROVE WOODLAND PERMITMOTION MADE BY MEMBER ANTHONY AND SECONDED BY MEMBER AVDOULOS.

In the matter of Villas at Stonebrook JSP17-62, motion to approve the Woodland Permit based on and subject to the findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan. This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Chapter 37 of the Code of Ordinances and all other applicable
provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.
ROL CAL VOTE TO APPROVE STORMWATER MANAGEMENTPLAN MOTION MADE BY
MEMBER ANTHONY AND SECONDED BY MEMBER AVDOULOS.

In the matter of Villas at Stonebrook JSP17-62, motion to approve the Stomwater Management Plan based on and subject to the findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan. This motion is made because the plan is othenwise in compliance with Chapter 11 of the Code of Ordinances and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

## MATIERS FOR CONSIDERATION

## 1. SRI VENKATESWARA CULTURALCENTERJSP18-32

Consideration at the request of Manyam Group for Preliminary Site Plan and Stom Water Management plan approval. The subject property contains 9.65 acres and is located in Section 16, on the west side of Taft Road and south of Grand River Avenue. The site contains the Sri Venkateswara Temple building, which received Special Land Use and Site Plan approval in 2009. The applicant is proposing to construct phase 2 of the project: a 24,136 square foot, 2 -story Cultural Center on the eastem portion of the site. The parking, drive areas, and utilities were previously constructed in phase 1 , with only minor changesproposed now.

Planner Bell said as you mentioned, the subject property is in Section 16 on the west side of Taft Road, south of Grand River Avenue. It is zoned RA Residential Acreage, with parcels to the east, west, and south also zoned RA. The property to the north is zoned OST Office Service Technology on the westem portion of the north, and R-2 One Family Residential along the eastem portion to the north. To the south is zoned R-1 One Family Residential along the westem portion. One parcel to the east across Taft Road is zoned I-1 Light Industrial.

The Future Land Use map indicates Single Family for this property and for those surrounding it, except for a portion to the north that is planned for Industrial, Research and Technology.

There is a wetland on the northem portion of the site, which is protected by a conservation easement. Conservation easements also protect the westem end of the site to the rear of the Temple, a nd along the southem property line around the center of the site to protect woodland and wetland areas. A total of 3.3 acres of the site are protected by conservation easements. No impacts to regulated woodlands or wetlands are proposed at this time.

The applicant is proposing to construct Phase 2 of the previously approved SV Temple project, which is the Cultural Center. The 24,136 square foot building would be located on the eastem portion of the site, and would contain a multipupose hall, classrooms, a library, office and meeting spaces, and a roof terace. The area of construction was prepared for Phase 2 when Phase 1 was completed, including drive aisles, parking, loading area, and much of the landscaping, so limited work is needed at this time.

Planner Bell said the existing driveway off Taft Road provides site access. The applicant has proposed bicycle parking near the entrances to both the Cultural Center and the Temple at this time. Traffic recommends approval of the Preliminary Site Plan.

All stormwater, site utilities, and senvices were previously constructed in Phase 1. Engineering recommends approval with additional details required a Final Site Plan submittal.

Two Landscape waivers related to foundation landscape requirements are requested, as listed in your motion sheet, which are both supported by staff. Landscape recommends approval.

The building is in full complia nce with the Façade Ordina nce.
The applicant has been working with the Fire Marshal to determine whether an additional fire hydrant is needed on the site. Flow tests were completed on Monday and Tuesday, and the results indicate that a third hydrant is needed. The applicant has proposed a location just south of the loading area for that hydrant, so that would be right in this a rea.

Planner Bell said all reviews are recommending approval with additional comments to be addressed in a revised Final Site Plan. The Planning Commission is asked tonight to approve or deny the Preliminary Site Plan and Stormwater Management Plan. Representing the project tonight are the architect Praveen Manyam and engineer Matt Diffin. Staff and consultants are also available to answer any questions you might have. Thank you.

Matt Diffin, with Diffin-Umlor \& Associates, said I'm the civil engineer for the project. I'm here with Praveen Manyam, the architect and a member of the temple. We're here to answer any questions that you may have. Like Lindsay said, most of the stuff was built in Phase 1 so other than the building construction, there's not a lot to it. This is just kind of a reiteration of a plan that was already approved, so if there's any questions about how a nything functions with the Temple or what the Cultural Center will be used for, Praveen will be more than happy to answer those foryou.

Chair Pehrson tumed it over to the Planning Commission for their consideration.
Member Avdoulos said I didn't see a ny color renderings in our package. I've been to the site and I drive by it, so I'm assuming that the materials and everything I was looking at what was in our packet mimics what is already there, so that it will be a cohesive mini complex.

Planner Bell said yes, the Façade consultant did look at it and determine that it was consistent. I do have the Façade board in the office, I'm somy I forgot to bring it over. It's like a white brick.

Member Avdoulos said I think it's all good. I appreciate the community being able to expand and enhance their site. I appreciate the applicant and the community working with the City. I see all approvals recommended, I see waivers that are staff supported, I'm comfortable that the fire flow calculations will be worked out that we need another hydrant and the applicant is putting that in. With that, I am going to make a motion.

ROL CAL VOTE TO APPROVE PRELMINARY SITE PLAN MOTION MADE BY MEMBER AVDOULOS AND SECONDED BY MEMBER ANIHONY.

In the matter of Si Venkateswara Cultural Center JSP18-32, motion to approve the Preliminary Site Plan based on and subject to the following:
a. Landscape waiverfrom Section 5.5.3.D fordeficiency of the required foundation plantings ( $73 \%$ provided, $75 \%$ required) because additional landscaping is provided in altemate locations, which is hereby granted;
b. Landscape waiverfrom Section 5.5.3.D for location of foundation plantings away from the building because the area is close to the building and will beautify the entryway, whic $h$ is hereby granted;
c. The applic ant providing the required 3250 gallons per minute fire flow as required by IFC 2012 Table B105.1 and the Fire Chief approving all hydrant locations;
d. The findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters and the conditions and the items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan.
This motion is made because the plan is othenvise in compliance with Article 3, Article 4, and Article 5 of the Zoning Ordinance and all other applicable provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

ROLCAL VOTE TO APPROVE STORMWATER MANAGEMENTPLAN MOTION MADE BY MEMBER AVDOULOS AND SEC ONDED BY MEMBER ANTHONY.

In the matter of Si Venkateswara Cultural Center JSP18-32, motion to approve the Stomwater Management Plan based on and subject to the findings of compliance with Ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, and the conditions and items listed in those letters being addressed on the Final Site Plan. This motion is made because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Chapter 11 of the Code of Ordinances and all other applic able provisions of the Ordinance. Motion camied 5-0.

## SUPPLEMENTALISSUES

There were no supplemental issues.
AUDIENCE PARIICIPATION
Nobody in the audience wished to speak.

## ADJ OURNMENT

Moved by Member Lynch and seconded by Member Anthony.
VOICE VOTE ON THE MOTION TO ADJ OURN MADE BY MEMBER LYNCH AND SECONDED BY MEMBER ANTHONY.

Motion to adjoum the October 3, 2018 Planning Commission meeting. Motion camied 5-0.

The meeting was adjoumed at 7:30 PM.

