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    CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good evening. Welcome to Novi City of Zoning Board of Appeals. Today is May 9.

Please stand up for the Pledge of Allegiance, followed by Clift.
(Pledge of allegiance recited.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Please be seated. And mute your cell phones.

Whenever we call any person on the case, you can come to the podium and speak on that.

Roll call?
MS. WAGNER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, please.
MS. WAGNER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Here.
MS. WAGNER: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Here.
MS. WAGNER: Member McLeod?
MEMBER McLEOD: Here.

MS. WAGNER: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Here.
MS. WAGNER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Here.
MS. WAGNER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Here.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. And public hearing and rules of conduct. We have all the cases and you can speak if you want on any case. If you want to speak on this case, we have three minutes of time, anybody. And that's the thing. And you can monitor on the TVs also.

Approval of agenda of April? Somebody make a motion.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Are there any changes to the agenda?

MS. WAGNER: There's no changes to the agenda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: I move to accept the agenda.
MEMBER LONGO: Second.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Say "aye"
all in favor.
THE BOARD: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any nays? No.
Thank you. Okay. And minutes of April of 2023, somebody make a motion.

MEMBER KRIEGER: I move to accept the April minutes for 2023.

MEMBER McLEOD: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Public remarks?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Are we all in favor?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All in favor?
THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any nays?
Looks like none.
Public remarks of the public hearing. Anyone want to change anything on this, please, this is the time.

Looks like none.
We have our first case. PZ23-0011, Copper Rock Construction, 29580 Hudson Drive, north of West Road, west of West Park Drive, parcel 50-22-04-378-004. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance, Section 5.4.3 to allow two truck
docks to be located in the exterior side yard off of Desoto Court. This property is zoned Light Industrial, L-1.

Okay. Is the applicant is present?
Okay. Looks like no.
And let's move this case to the last. If he shows, we can work on this case today.

And the Case Number Two, PZ23-0012, Rayburn Properties, LLC, 707 South Lake Drive, between West Park Drive and Old Novi Road, north of 12 1/2 Mile Road, parcel 50-22-03-454-021.

The applicant is requesting a variance of the city of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.32(7) for a 16-feet front yard setback, 26 feet patio required, a variance of 10 feet, for a proposed front patio. This property is zoned single family residential, $\mathrm{R}-4$.

Is the applicant present?
MS. GHANNAM: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Go ahead. Spell your first and last name clearly for the court reporter and our secretary will take the oath.

MS. GHANNAM: Hi, good evening. My name is Nancy Ghannam. I'm here on behalf of my husband, David
and I. We are building a house.
THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, please spell. MS. GHANNAM: $\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}$.

MEMBER LONGO: Excuse me. Are you an
attorney?
MS. GHANNAM: No, I'm not.
MEMBER LONGO: Do you promise to tell the truth in this case?

MS. GHANNAM: I do.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please go ahead and present your case where we can help on this case tonight.

MS. GHANNAM: We built the house on South Lake Drive. The house is almost finished and we're in the landscape phase. We're requesting a variance for the front porch. Currently the ordinance allows for four feet and, basically, four feet is like one chair. And we would like to ask for a ten additional feet, for a total of 14 feet so that we can put a front patio.

The patio does not obstruct anybody's view of the lake. Most of the houses on South Lake Drive have front patios. It's part of the cachet of living on the lake. It's one of the reasons that we built our house
on that lake. I don't believe anybody has objected to it. All of our neighbors have similar patios, all up and down both sides of the lake. So we're requesting a 10-foot variance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other thing you would like to speak? You want to present any background diagram tonight?

MS. GHANNAM: I have a picture of what we're going to do.
(Diagram displayed.)
MS. GHANNAM: So this is our house here. You step down right here. This is going to be the patio we're requesting. And then there will be some shrubbery here and a walkway to walk up to the house.

So this is, basically, the variance that we're requesting for 10 feet.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. It's a beautiful deck.

MS. GHANNAM: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: From the City?
Any comments?
MR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, there's no comments. Just here for questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Anybody
in the audience would like to speak on this case?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Correspondence?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.

Correspondence, Secretary?
MR. LONGO: Mailed 24, none were returned.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Anybody in the audience would like to speak on this case? Now is the time.

Looks like none.
Okay. That's a beautiful presentation. I see the deck and all. It's a beautiful deck. I put it onto my board and let them speak on this and I'm open to the board.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please go ahead, Dr. Sanghvi.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Good evening. I saw your property the other day and I think I saw your old deck.

MS. GHANNAM: Needs cleaning.
MEMBER SANGHVI: And you already got some construction going on already there, right?
 Sanghvi.

Anybody?
Nobody would like to speak on anything?
Okay. Ready to make a motion. Linda?
MEMBER KRIEGER: In Case Number PZ23-0012
Rayburn properties, 707 South Lake Drive, the applicant is requesting a variance. I move to approve -- grant the request for the petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring the petition. It's unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because of its topography and location and, previously, the site -- or is not for homes as such to allow for a porch. The property is unique because it's lakefront. The petitioner did not create the condition because it is pre-existing. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because they have approval from the neighbors and will not impede traffic flow. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it is a reasonable request. And that's it.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Roll call?

MS. WAGNER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. WAGNER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member McLeod?
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Motion passes.
MS. GHANNAM: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And Case Number -next case three, PZ23-0013, Vivek and Preethi Patil, 41935 Woodglen Drive, west of Meadowbrook, south of Ten Mile, parcel 50-22-26-201-014. The applicant is requesting variance from the city of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.5 for a rear yard setback of 27.95 feet, 35 feet required variance of 7.05 feet, for
a lot coverage of 27 percent. Twenty-five percent allowed, a variance of two percent, for a proposed covered porch.

This property is zoned one family, residential, R-4. The applicant -- okay.

Okay. Please go ahead and spell your first and last name clearly. Are you are presenting both of you or is only one person presenting?

MS. PATIL: Preethi Patil present. I'm the co-owner.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And spell your first and last name clearly for our secretary.

MS. PATIL: Preethi Patil, P-r-e-e-t-h-i, last name is $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{i}-1$.

MEMBER LONGO: Are you an attorney?
MS. PATIL: No, I'm not.

MEMBER LONGO: Do you promise to tell the
truth in this case?

MS. PATIL: I do.

MEMBER LONGO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Go ahead with
anyway we can help you tonight and you can present the case. Go ahead.

MR. DUGGAN: I am Brian Duggan, D-u-g-g-a-n. I am a member of DS Homes and we are building the house for the petitioner. And, no, I'm not an attorney. I'm a real estate agent, past politician, but I still tell you the truth.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Go ahead, Brian, and present your case.

MR. DUGGAN: My client has a child that just has special needs and they designed this house with a two-bedroom down footprint because he can't climb stairs. And then they needed a covered patio so he can go outside and sit. That's pretty much the only reason why they decided to move from their current condo in Novi to a house which has two master suites and a covered patio, and then we found out that we needed to come to the Zoning Board, that we were short two percent, seven feet shy of a covered porch. So we're asking this body to approve us.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Brian, Do you have any diagram that you can present to the audience?

MR. DUGGAN: Just what we sent in.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. You can show to the audience now.

We have a document, but maybe the other people, so they can see.

MR. DUGGAN: Okay. So for some reason I thought you guys had that up there, too.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No, no, we have that, too.

MR. DUGGAN: Well, this is the plot plan. We want to go from this point on and we're 20 -- to do the deck, we're going to be seven feet shy of being close to the backyard. So all we're asking for is just to extend the covered patio with a variance of two percent just to the backyard. We did talk to the owner right behind us today, and she was nice, no problem. I did not hear back from any of the neighbors in a negative response. So I assume that they're all in favor of it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Good.
Do you want to say something?
MS. PATIL: No. The same thing what Brian said. Because it's not a big plot and it has two bedrooms we needed down. So it did not give us much space for the patio, hence the reason for the variance.

MR. LONGO: Sounds good.

From the city?
MR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, I believe there's going to be two variances being requested. One is for the lot size, which is a two percent the applicant has mentioned. There's also a dimensional variance, a 7.05 for the covered roof area. So the patio itself is fine as a footprint, but we need a variance for the lot coverage of an extra two percent and a variance of 7.05 to the real structure.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. You mean that you want me to count it as two proposals on this? MR. HALL: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Sounds good. Thank you.

Correspondence, Secretary?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes. There were 26 sent out, one returned and that was an objection by Katherine Nay (phonetic). She was concerned about the tree removals that were messy and left branches and things of that nature and her real issue was that this was not discussed with her by the owners and, therefore, she does not approve.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other things?

MEMBER LONGO: That's the only one.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: From the audience, this is the time to speak.

MR. DUGGAN: May I add that that person just bought the house and she said she wasn't informed by the owner. That was the owner that sold this property to them years ago and nobody told them that it was split. The real estate agent, not me, but the real estate agent didn't inform them either. So they were kind of surprised that there was a house going up. We all know that happens a lot when a developer comes in. Not on our part that we do anything wrong.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anybody in the audience, please?

What about the tree things she mentioned on the trees; are you removing any trees or anything? What is that she commented on the letter?

MR. DUGGAN: We had to remove the trees because, once again, it was a small lot and a big footprint for the first floor, but we applied for the tree permits and removals and we are following those procedures and we'll replace them for the City of Novi.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Sounds good. I see
that. I have no objection, and I'm open to the Board and they can speak.

Okay. Go ahead, sir.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: So what are the dimensions of the porch?

MR. DUGGAN: Just one second. It was not a good time to get my reading glasses. I apologize.

So the patio is 18 by 12. The covered porch only went up to 7.98 feet.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: So you're saying the first portion of the patio is covered and then there's more patio beyond that?

MR. DUGGAN: Yeah. The patio total is -well, seven and an eighth -- 18 by 12.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: So it's 12 foot to the rear and eight foot of it is covered; is that correct?

MR. DUGGAN: Yeah.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yeah. I was having a hard time reading the drawing. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other board member?

Go ahead.
MEMBER LONGO: So is this -- you purchased
this house and modified it or is this a new home?
MS. PATIL: It's a new home.

MEMBER LONGO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Dr. Sanghvi, no comments?

MEMBER SANGHVI: I went and saw the place but there's nothing to comment about. It's a very small lot and considering everything and they cannot build anything without a variance. So I can understand their need. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: No.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. It's motion time.

MEMBER LONGO: Yes. I move that we approve the request for PZ23-0013 of Vivek and Preethi Patil.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Patil.

MEMBER LONGO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
MEMBER LONGO: For a rear yard variance of 7.05 feet and for a total lot coverage and exceeding slightly by two percent. Without the variance, the
petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because the patio is really required for their family. The petitioner did not create the condition. They purchased this lot. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with the adjacent or surrounding properties because it's such a minimal variance, two minimal variances.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and the intent of the ordinances because it is a minimal variance.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MS. WAGNER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. WAGNER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member McLeod?
MEMBER mcleod: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Montague?
MEMBER Montague. Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations.
And Case Number PZ-23-0014, Ann Arbor
Sunrooms, Robert Clark, 24732 Kings Point Drive, north of Ten Mile, west of Meadowbrook Road, parcel

50-22-23-431-011. The applicant is requesting a
variance from the city of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.5 for a side yard setback of 7.5 feet, 10 feet required variance of 2.5 feet for a proposed sunroom addition. This property is zoned one family, residential R-4.

Please go ahead and spell your first and last name clearly for the court record and for our secretary, who will take an oath.

MR. CLARK: Robert, R-o-b-e-r-t, Clark, C-l-a-r-k.

MEMBER LONGO: Robert, are you an attorney? MR. CLARK: No, I'm not.

MEMBER LONGO: Do you promise to tell the
truth in this case?
MR. CLARK: Absolutely.
MEMBER LONGO: Thank you.
MR. CLARK: You're welcome.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Are you also
presenting, sir, in the back of the gentleman?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'm sorry?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Is he going to talk?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE: As the owner? I'm the
owner.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: You are the owner.
Are you going to talk on this case or just to standing there?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: He's just on this case. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Please, go ahead, sir.
(Document displayed.)
MR. CLARK: So I submitted everything to the City and I was made aware that there's a 10 -foot setback to the side yard. I have to assume -- and it's an assumption, and I'm not big on assumptions -- that it used to be 10 feet because the house is built at 7.5 feet from the lot line. We're not going beyond the
building envelope on the house.
As you can see, our patio is here. Our sunroom is there. This is 7.5 feet and we're proposing that we need two and-a-half feet to get this approved.

I would understand if $I$ was like trying to jet off the side of the house and maybe go two feet beyond, which I have done many times, but in this case we're not. We're staying within the line of the house. We will not give any detriment to either neighbor. One picture I have -- I'll put it up here.

Is he has a large shed that we avoided.
So you see the shed here. This patio is 16 feet out. We're only going out 13 feet. So it's going to be three feet shy and not in line with the shed.

So nobody from this view can see it. They can see the shed. And on the next view is right here. So you see the walk gate here. This is the 7.5 feet right here. So we're here to hopefully have your approval for a 2.5 foot variance to build a sunroom so that they can sit in their backyard without bugs. What I always tell people bugs are very good (indiscernible). That's what sells a lot of my products.

It's going to be all glass, so if there is anybody that wants to look through it, they're going to be able to look straight through it. It's actually even going to have a glass roof. I don't know if you guys got the prints, but it's a glass roof, glass walls.

If there is any questions $I$ can answer, I'm here to help.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Okay. From the city, any comments?
MR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, yes, the property line running along the side the house is diagonal to it. That's why he needs a variance. So what he's proposing is in line with the house. So I have no further comments and here for questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Secretary.
MEMBER LONGO: Yes, there were 29 mailings, none returned.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Anybody in the audience, any comments? This is the time where you can speak on this.

Okay. Looks like none.
It's open to the Board. Yes, Dr. Sanghvi?

Okay.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: The dimensions of the sunroom, could you tell us?

MR. CLARK: Sure. The sunroom itself is, if I remember it, if you'll forgive me, get to the plans. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Thirteen by thirteen 13, I believe.

MR. CLARK: So it's not like it's -- yeah, floor base is 13 feet and the projection on this is 13 feet. So it's a 13 by 13.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you.
MR. CLARK: And it's actually a straight A, so it's not like a cathedral going up on above the roof line and all that. It's not doing that. It's in line with the roof line of the house.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: What about the tiles, are they matching the same tiles with the roof, the house roof?

MR. CLARK: There's no shingles. It's all glass.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Anybody on the Board?
It's time to motion. Please go ahead, MEMBER MONTAGUE: I move that we grant the variance in Case Number PZ23-0014 for a side-yard setback of 7.35 feet, a variance of two and-a-half-feet for a sunroom addition. Without the variance the petitioner would be prevented or limited with respect to his property because it's a tight site and he has a reasonable sized sunroom proposed. The property is unique because it is tight and has a nonsquare, it's a strange-shaped lot.

The petitioner did not create the situation because the house exists and he's lining up with the existing house.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with the surrounding properties. There's good screening and the infringement is no larger than
the house. And the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it allows the resident good use of his house.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
MS. WAGNER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. WAGNER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
Ms. WAGNER: Member Mcleod?
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
Ms. WAGNER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations.
Thank you.
MR. CLARK: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: PZ23-0015, Magna
Lighting - Philip Raubinger, 39550 Orchard Hill Place, west of Haggerty Road, North of Eighth Mile, parcel 50-22-36-400-017. The applicant is requesting a variance from the city of Novi Sign Ordinance, Section 28-5(f)(1) to allow a monument sign within the road right-of-way, Section $28-7 \mathrm{~B}-2$ for a directional sign of eight feet, three square feet permitted, for a variance of five feet.

This property is zoned Office Services, Commercial, 4-SC.

Okay. Please go ahead and spell your first and last name clearly, and for our secretary who will take the oath.

MR. RAUBINGER: Hi, my name is Philip Raubinger with Magna Lighting. P-h-i-l-i-p, last name is $R-a-u-b-i-n-g-e-r$.

MEMBER LONGO: Are you an attorney?
MR. RAUBINGER: No, I am not.
MEMBER LONGO: Do you promise to tell the
truth in this case?
MR. RAUBINGER: Yes.
MEMBER LONGO: Thank you.

MR. RAUBINGER: I'm a facility administrator with Magna Lighting Division. We recently moved into this location on January 9 of 2023. At this point we're still doing some of the renovations and finishing things off outside.
(Document displayed.)
MR. RAUBINGER: This just gives you a little bit of land, where the building is at and the look of the building for those of you who have not been by it. We're located right off Haggerty and Eight Mile. I think you have in your packet there, this is the renovation by Johnson Sign Company to kind of give you an idea what of the monument sign looks like at the roadside.

There's also a simulated view of that sign with a car so you can kind of get, you know, the size of the sign comparative to the vehicle there.

You also have in your packet there the rendering and the structure of the sign as well.

This is where the pad is located, directly out front. It is on the curb. From my understanding the pad that's there is within the right-of-way of the road. So the pad used to have this sign there, was a
former tenant which was Cooper Standard.
This was the sign that was there through, I believe, 2019. So there is a cement pad there. There is already electricity with lights that shine on the sign that's present as well. We did put a rendering up per your request. If you got an opportunity to drive by, this is what that looks like.

As I stated, this is the mockup sign. We're utilizing just a monument sign pad. And then there's really limited locations there where even if it was going to be located elsewhere, really this could be, just because the place of it and directly behind that you can see in the picture, there's a pond, which is the drainage pond for the site.

So we have that piece there and then also on the property, we did place a directional sign, which that sign, looking at the building on the right, the directional sign telling us where our visitor parking, where their employees are at as well as our shipping and receiving. And then you can see off there far to the left is where the monument sign is.

So what we're requesting there is, obviously, to be within the roads right-of-way for the monument
sign and we would like to keep placement of that directional sign just because we have delivery trucks come to the front door, the lobby door, which they don't know to pull around to the back of the building. So that's what our request is this evening. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Good. From the city?

MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yes, both signs' locations are existing. They just want a size increase for both. So we're here for questions. MR. RAUBINGER: Thank you.

MS. SAARELA: May I make one comment? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please. MS. SAARELA: If you can make any condition on the relocation or removal of the sign at the applicant's expense in the event the City has to do any work on the public right of way.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank you, Beth, good point. I appreciate it. Correspondence?

MEMBER LONGO: We mailed out 30, four were returned. There were no objections and no approvals. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.

Good presentation and as I noted, I have no objection on this. It's a commercial building and you moved in January, right? You said you moved to the office in January?

MR. RAUBINGER: Yes. January 9 is when we moved in, correct.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Welcome.
MR. RAUBINGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Go ahead.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you for putting a mockup.

MR. RAUBINGER: That's an expensive mockup. MEMBER MONTAGUE: I saw it.

MR. RAUBINGER: It's $\$ 2,700$ to put that two piece of canvas and two by fours to anchor it in. They are very expensive.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I appreciate that because it gives us a real chance it look it. Pictures, the scale is hard to see.

MR. RAUBINGER: Yeah.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: So it really is helpful. So thank you. I did notice, you know, you are on a curve, so that makes the sign size appropriate because
people are taking that turn. So we don't want to, you know, distract them by trying to look at a little sign. So I think the size is appropriate for where it was. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. It's a big company. Any other?

Dr. Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah. I went and visited your place a couple of days ago, and I agree with what my colleagues just said. Because it's a huge place and you do need kind of an identification sign which is more or less in keeping with the size of your building and the property. And I also understand that you need a directional sign to go around over there because I had a hard time finding certain things when I drove around. So I am glad you are doing it, and especially, I agree also it's nice to see a mockup so we know what we are talking about. Thank you so much for all your efforts. Thank you.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi.
Any other board member?
MEMBER KRIEGER: I also agree with the mockup and appreciate that. I drove by and I was like what is
the right-of-way. It looks like it's been there as a previous sign, so the only question is if the city has to work on it anytime, that whatever necessary needs that you'd be willing to help with that?

MR. RAUBINGER: So like in regards to have a trench through there or what have you?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah. I don't know what the right-of-way is.

MS. SAARELA: So in order to place any obstruction in the City right-of-way, they will have to sign a license agreement that says the city can terminate the license for the sign to be there with 30 days prior notice. So if the city was to do any road work, they would have to move it and be able to move it back depending on what the work is, but anything that needs to be done in order for the city to access the public right-of-way, they would have to do that at their expense.

Do you understand that?
MR. RAUBINGER: And understand we are tenants at the building, Friedman Property Management.

MS. SAARELA: So the property management who will be signing the agreement, the owner of the
property. So you're a lessor.
MR. RAUBINGER: Understood.
MS. SAARELA: So that's there, so just so you know. I've already seen the agreement. That'll be out there. So it may at some point removal, replacement, relocation.

MR. RAUBINGER: Okay.
MEMBER KRIEGER: The road looks good now. I'm in favor of your request.

MR. RAUBINGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda, Any other board member?

Okay. It's motion time.
Member Thompson.
MEMBER THOMPSON: I move that we grant the variance in case PZ23-0015 to Magna Lighting because the petitioner has shown difficulty, including -- well, for the sign location on the basis of being in the right-of-way.

And you were saying we only had to do the ones that applied to it. To me it would be that the grant of relief would be offset by other improvements or actions such as increased setbacks or increased
landscaping, such the net effect will result in improvement of the property or project or if the city needs to use it because the sign is in the right-of-way.

The grant of relief will not result in the use of structure -- well, that is not one of the ones that go to it. The only other one that went to it I thought was B, that the failure to grant relief will unreasonably prevent or limit the use of the property and result in sustainably more than inconvenience or inability to obtain a higher economic or financial return because you're trying to route traffic to the right way and put people in the right spot. And because of that, $I$ am in favor of it.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MS. WAGNER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. WAGNER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member McLeod?

MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MR. RAUBINGER: Thank you.
MEMBER LONGO: Welcome to Novi.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Is the first case person present, anybody, PZ23-0011? Anybody, Copper Rock?

Anybody on the case PZ23-0011?
MR. MATTHEW HALL: Yup.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Go ahead. I'll read the case number, PZ23-0011, Copper Rock Construction, 29580 Hudson Drive, north of West Road, west of West Park Drive, parcel 50-22-04-378-004. The applicant is requesting a variance from the city of Novi Zoning Ordinance from Section 5.4.3 to allow two truck docks to be located in the exterior side yards
off of Desoto Court. This property is zoned Light Industrial, L-1.

Please go ahead and spell your first and last name clearly for our secretary and the court reporter.

MR. MATTHEW HALL: Matthew Hall.
M-a-t-t-h-e-w, H-a-l-l.
MEMBER LONGO: Matthew, are you an attorney? MR. HALL: No.

MEMBER LONGO: Do you promise to tell the truth in this case?

MR. MATTHEW HALL: Yes, sir.
MEMBER LONGO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Go ahead, Matthew, and present your case where we can help you tonight. (Document displayed.)

MR. MATTHEW HALL: So we're building an 8400 square foot footprint building for Gabriel Group, which is an equipment and, basically, all things kitchen, dishware supplier for restaurants.

Hudson Drive here, the southwest corner is the main entrance to Industrial Drive and they do have a need for a truck dock ever so often. One of the issues with this property, just tight as far as acreage
goes to the industrial property, but it's a corner piece so we have two forty-foot front yard setbacks here to work with it. And then we're also required to connect up with this neighboring parking lot here.

And the way their packing lot is shaped, we configured this was the only shot that was left. So there's no shared access.

So we're looking at a truck dock in Industrial Drive as many of the other buildings do. So we wanted to keep the front of the building oriented towards the main entrance and try to hide them as much as possible, just keeping it kind of in the side yard as much as we could.

And then we also have 50 feet within the setback. So his trucks that he uses are 40 -foot trailers from Old Dominion, typically. So our goal was to have, when the trucks were in the dock, that those were also in the setback as well and that there would be no truck or trailer within the setback or in the right-of-way while in use, although rare.
(Document displayed.)
MR. MATTHEW HALL: And I have a colored rendering as well just to show some of the landscape
around. And then it's going to be pretty well hidden from view, especially as this is the main entrance there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anything else?
MR. MATTHEW HALL: I think that's all I got, unless you have any questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you for the presentation.

From the City.
MR. ALAN HALL: Yes. I have a question for the applicant. The truck dock, there's two area for trucks there. Is there one that has a dock number and the other one is the grade or how does that work?

MR. MATTHEW HALL: Correct. One is just an overhead door at grade.

MR. ALAN HALL: And the grade is for queue trucks or what do you perceive?

MR. MATTHEW HALL: Yes. Just box trucks, vans going in and out. Some of the stuff is like plates and dishware and stuff like that. It's not always coming in a semi thing.

MR. ALAN HALL: Nothing is going to be stored, like sitting there for a while?

MR. MATTHEW HALL: Correct.
MR. ALAN HALL: So there will be a time
limit?

MR. MATTHEW HALL: Yeah. They will not have any company trucks there all day long or overnight. So the only use is going to be shipping and receiving, where a truck comes in, immediately loads or unloads, and then out.

MR. ALAN HALL: The site is a corner lot and is unique and we have no objection. So I'm here for questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. From the correspondence?

MEMBER LONGO: We mailed out 22, two were returned. One was an approval by Scott Gabriel and he just approved.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Secretary.

Anybody in the audience who would like to speak on the case today?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Nobody's there.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Looks like none.

Go ahead. It's motion time. Board members. Yes, Dr. Sanghvi.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I came and visited your place. I see Desoto and all that. You've got two front lots and very hard to put anything in any other way, so I can understand your problem. And you need enough room for the trucks to turn around in that corner, so I understand the difficulty you're having. So I can support your request for the variance. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi.

MEMBER LONGO: I have a question for Alan. They're asking for a variance for two truck docks. With the ordinances there are no truck docks or --

MR. ALAN HALL: Its just the location.
MEMBER LONGO: Okay. And the City is fine with this?

MR. ALAN HALL: That's correct.
MEMBER LONGO: I'm going to approve I think.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Any other board member?
Okay. Make the motion.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I move that we grant the variance in Case PZ23-0011. The variance to allow two truck docks to be located in the exterior side yards. Without the variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited in use of the property because his business requires the use of trucking and truck docks. The property is unique because it has two front yards with the appropriate setbacks. It's a tight lot relative to this use due to the truck maneuvering that's required. The petitioner did not create the condition because of the existing piece of the property that he is building on. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with the adjacent and surrounding properties because it is consistent, I think, with what's going on in that area. The landscaping, he's done a nice job of putting in a lot of landscaping to screen it.

It's shipping only. There will be no outside storage, so that will keep it from interfering. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it is consistent with the area and it allows for the commercial needs for this business. MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Anita?
MS. WAGNER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. WAGNER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member McLeod?
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
Ms. WAGNER: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Good luck to you.
MR. HALL: Thank you, guys. Appreciate it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I think we did all
the cases. Any other matters before I adjourn the case tonight?

Okay. Somebody make a move to adjourn.

```
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MEMBER SANGHVI: I make a motion to adjourn the meeting.
```

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All in favor. THE BOARD: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any nays?
Okay. Thank you.
(At 7:44 p.m., meeting adjourned.)
/s/Darlene K. May Darlene K. May, RMR, CRR, RPR/CSR-6479
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