

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

45175 Ten Mile Road Novi, MI 48375 (248) 347-0415 Phone (248) 735-5600 Facsimile www.cityofnovi.org

# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS STAFF REPORT

FOR: City of Novi Zoning Board of Appeals ZONING BOARD APPEALS DATE: November 19, 2019

REGARDING: 44840 North Hills Drive, Parcel # 50-22-34-127-003 (PZ19-0032)

BY: Larry Butler, Deputy Director Community Development

Early batter, beputy birector community bevelopment

#### . GENERAL INFORMATION:

## **Applicant**

North Hills Village Apartments

### Variance Type

**Dimensional Variance** 

## **Property Characteristics**

Zoning District: Low-Density Multiple-Family

Location: West of Novi Road and South Of Nine Mile Road

Parcel #: 50-22-34-127-003

## Request

The applicant is requesting variances from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinances Section 5.11.C for a fence with horizontal support boards facing neighboring properties. The code requires that where a fence has finished and an unfinished side, the finished or more decorative side shall face outward the adjoining property or street. This property is zoned Low-Density Multiple-Family (RM-1).

## II. STAFF COMMENTS:

## III. RECOMMENDATION:

The Zoning Board of Appeals may take one of the following actions:

1.	I	move	that	we	grant	the	variance	in	Case	No.	PZ19-0032,	sought	by for
	_							b	ecause	Petitio	ner has sho	own prac	
	difficulty requiring												
	(a) Without the variance Petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited to use of the property because									I with resp	sect		
		(b) The	e prope	erty is u	ınique b	ecaus	cause						
		(c) Pe	titioner	did no	ot create	e the c	condition be	caus	se			_	

(c	d) The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because									
(∈	e) The relief if consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because									
(f	(f) The variance granted is subject to:									
	1									
	2									
	3									
	4									
	ove that we <u>deny</u> the variance in Case No. <b>PZ19-0032</b> , sought by									
for pract	because Petitioner has not shown ical difficulty requiring									
	a) The circumstances and features of the property including are not unique because they									
	exist generally throughout the City.									
(k	o) The circumstances and features of the property relating to the variance request are self-created because									
(c	c) The failure to grant relief will result in mere inconvenience or inability to attain higher economic or financial return based on Petitioners statements that									
(c	d) The variance would result in interference with the adjacent and surrounding properties by									
(€	e) Granting the variance would be inconsistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance to									
	·									

Should you have any further questions with regards to the matter please feel free to contact me at (248) 347-0417.

Larry Butler Deputy Director Community Development City of Novi



45175 Ten Mile Road Novi, MI 48375 (248) 347-0415 Phone (248) 735-5600 Facsimile www.cityofnovi.org

# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION





CITY OF NOVI COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

# APPLICATION MUST BE FILLED OUT COMPLETELY

I. PROPERTY INFORMATION (Address of subject ZBA Case)	Application Fee: \$400.00					
PROJECT NAME / SUBDIVISION North hills Village APTS.						
ADDRESS 44840 NORTH HILL Drive LOT/SIUTE/SPACE #	Meeting Date: Nov. 19, 2019					
May be obtain from Assessing	ZBA Case #: PZ 19 - 00 32					
S0-22-  CROSS ROADS OF PROPERTY 9- MILE						
	OMMERCIAL   VACANT PROPERTY   SIGNAGE					
	YES NO					
II. APPLICANT INFORMATION						
A. APPLICANT EMAIL ADDRESS AT IAS RN3 @ AOL. COM	1 CELL PHONE NO. 734-358-7600					
TATIVIL.	1 134-358-1600					
ORGANIZATION/COMPANY	248-442-7600					
ADDRESS	FAX NO. 248-442-7608					
39935 GrAND RIVER CITY NOVI	STATE HI ZIP CODE 48 3 75					
B. PROPERTY OWNER CHECK HERE IF APPLICANT IS ALSO THE PROPERTY OWNER	1,00,0					
owns the subject property:  EMAIL ADDRESS  ATTAS RN:3@ AOL. Com	CELL PHONE NO. 734-358-7600					
NAME	134-358-1600 TELEPHONE NO.					
ORGANIZATION/COMPANY	CAVA VO					
Northhills Villages APT.	FAX NO.					
ADDRESS 44840 North Wills Dr CITY NOVI	STATE ZIP CODE					
III. ZONING INFORMATION	COLLEGATION					
A. ZONING DISTRICT						
RM-2	LI MH					
B. VARIANCE REQUESTED						
	hosizantal					
1. Section 5.11.C Variance requested Added Addition						
2. SectionVariance requested						
3. SectionVariance requested						
4. Section Variance requested						
IV. FEES AND DRAWNINGS						
A. FEES	STANDARD BUT I STANDARD BUT IN STANDARD					
Single Family Residential (Existing) \$200 🗌 (With Violation) \$250 🔲 Single Family Residential (New) \$250						
☐ Multiple/Commercial/Industrial \$300 ☐ (With Violation) \$400 ☐ Signs \$3	300 🗆 (With Violation) \$400					
	7/ J DM V MI TO TO TO THE STORY					
B. DRAWINGS 1-COPY & 1 DIGITAL COPY SUBMITTED AS A PDF						
<ul> <li>Dimensioned Drawings and Plans</li> <li>Site/Plot Plan</li> <li>Existing &amp; proposed distance to adjacent property lines</li> <li>Location of existing &amp; proposed signs, if applicable</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Existing or proposed buildings or addition on the property</li> <li>Number &amp; location of all on-site parking, if applicable</li> <li>Any other information relevant to the Variance application</li> </ul>						



# **ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION**

V. VARIANCE					
A. VARIANCE (S) REQUESTED	Misch				
□ DIMENSIONAL □ USE □ SIGN					
There is a five-(5) hold period before work/action can be taken on variance approvals.					
B. SIGN CASES (ONLY) Your signature on this application indicates that you agree to install a Mock-Up Sign ten-(10) days before the schedule ZBA meeting. Failure to install a mock-up sign may result in your case not being heard by the Board, postponed to the next schedule ZBA meeting, or cancelled. A mock-up sign is NOT to be actual sign. Upon approval, the mock-up sign must be removed within five-(5) days of the meeting. If the case is denied, the applicant is responsible for all costs involved in the removal of the mock-up or actual sign (if erected under violation) within five-(5) days of the meeting.					
C. ORDINANCE					
City of Novi Ordinance, Section 3107 – Miscellaneous					
No order of the Board permitting the erection of a building shall be valid for a period longer than one-(1) year unless a building permit for such erection or alteration is obtained within such period and such erection or alteration is started and proceeds to completion in accordance with the terms of such permit.					
No order of the Board permitting a use of a building or premises shall be valid for a period longer than one-hundred and eighty-(180) days unless such use is establish within such a period; provided, however, where such use permitted is dependent upon the erection or alteration or a building such order shall continue in force and effect if a building permit for such erection or alteration is obtained within one-(1) year and such erection or alteration is started and proceeds to completion in accordance with the terms of such permit.					
D. APPEAL THE DETERMINATION OF THE BUILDING OFFICIAL					
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:					
The undersigned hereby appeals the determination of the Building Official / Inspector or Ordinance made  CONSTRUCT NEW HOME/BUILDING ADDITION TO EXISTING HOME/BUILDING SIGNAGE  ACCESSORY BUILDING USE OTHER					
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES  A. APPLICANT					
	5-19				
Date					
B. PROPERTY OWNER  If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign below:  The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the property described in this application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosures.					
Property Owner Signature Date					
VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY					
DECISION ON APPEAL:					
☐ GRANTED ☐ DENIED The Building Inspector is hereby directed to issue a permit to the Applicant upon the following and condit	ions:				
Chairperson, Zoning Board of Appeals Date					



# **Community Development Department**

45175 Ten Mile Road Novi, MI 48375 (248) 347-0415 Phone (248) 735-5600 Facsimile www.cityofnovi.org

# REVIEW STANDARDS DIMENSIONAL VARIANCE

The Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) will review the application package and determine if the proposed Dimensional Variance meets the required standards for approval. In the space below, and on additional paper if necessary, explain how the proposed project meets each of the following standards. (Increased costs associated with complying with the Zoning Ordinance will not be considered a basis for granting a Dimensional Variance.)

Standard #1. Circumstances or Physical Conditions.

Explain the circumstances or physical conditions that apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in the same zoning district or in the general vicinity. Circumstances or physical conditions may include:

<ul> <li>a. Shape of Lot. Exceptional narrowness, shallown in existence on the effective date of the Zonin</li> <li>Not Applicable</li> <li>Applicable</li> </ul>	ng Ordinance or amendment.
and/or	
<ul> <li>b. Environmental Conditions. Exceptional topogration other extraordinary situations on the land, build Not Applicable</li> <li>Applicable</li> </ul>	aphic or environmental conditions or ding or structure.  If applicable, describe below:
and/or	
<ul> <li>c. Abutting Property. The use or development of to the subject property would prohibit the liter of the Zoning Ordinance or would involve sign</li> <li>Not Applicable</li> </ul> Applicable	al enforcement of the requirements
Due to homeowners landscap Side of property damaging f additional horizontal boards	ring and debris on their ence and/or knocking it down s were added to reinforce
ind suggest fence.	

# Standard #2. Not Self-Created.

Describe the immediate practical difficulty causing the need for the Dimensional Variance, that the need for the requested variance is not the result of actions of the property owner or previous property owners (i.e., is not self-created).

# Standard #3. Strict Compliance.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance in strict compliance with regulations governing area, setback, frontage, height, bulk, density or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those regulations unnecessarily burdensome.

# Standard #4. Minimum Variance Necessary.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance requested is the minimum variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district.

# Standard #5. Adverse Impact on Surrounding Area.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values, or the use and enjoyment of property in the neighborhood or zoning district.

# REVIEW STANDARDS / DIMENSIONAL VARIANCE

#### Standard #1

- a. Shape of Lot Not applicable
- b. Environmental Conditions Not applicable
- c. Abutting Property Applicable

The removal and rebuilding of the boundary fence was necessitated by the adjacent home owners' use of their property. Trees, landscaping, wood and debris piled along the property line caused the fence boards to buckle, break and/or fall over onto the apartment property. These obstructions, not only damaged the fence, but also made it extremely difficult to access the fence to remove and rebuild the fence on the homeowner's side of the fence as the contractors were required to navigate some very tight and impassible areas. To prevent future damage to the fence from the homeowners' trees, landscape and debris, the applicant added additional horizontal boards to strengthen the fence. However, due to the obstructions described above which imposed significant practical difficulties to comply with the zoning ordinance, the applicant finished the adjacent side of the fence with spacing between the vertical boards, which more closely resembled the design of the original fence.

## Standard #2 & #3

The re-design and rebuilding of the boundary fence with a dimensional variance was not caused by the applicant or prior owners of the property. Rather, the practical difficulty of strictly complying with the ordinance was caused by many of the adjacent homeowners and their failure in keeping the area next to the fence free from overgrown trees, landscaping and debris making it unnecessarily burdensome to access this area to rebuild the fence with additional vertical boards on the homeowners' side of the fence.

#### Standard #4

The way the fence was rebuilt with four (4) horizontal boards exceeds the standards of the prior fence. It is attractive from both sides and is at least the minimum construction to do substantial justice to the applicant and the homeowners as a more sturdy and well built fence has replaced the existing fence and the conditions to build and access the fence from the homeowners' side were limited and obstructed.

## Standard #5

The fence as rebuilt will not cause an adverse impact on the surrounding property, property values or use and enjoyment of the property in the neighborhood. In fact, the "more finished side" of the fence does face outward toward the streets, and is visible from both 9 Mile Road and on Center Street. There is actually more of the "finished side" of the fence exposed and visible on these thoroughfares the way the fence is rebuilt rather than if the "more finished side" was on the adjacent homeowners' side. This is so because on the homeowners' side, the view of the fence from the street is blocked by homes, trees, landscaping and debris and is not as visible as it is on the apartment side of the fence.