City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol 1.txt REGULAR METTING - ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 3 CITY OF NOVI Tuesday - April 8, 2014. Proceedings taken in the matter of the ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS, at City of Novi, 45175 West Ten Mile Road, Novi, Michigan on Tuesday, April 8, 2014 BOARD MEMBERS 7 Brent Ferrell, Chairperson Mav Sanghvi Cynthia Gronachan Linda Krieger Rickie IĎe Thomas Walsh, Building Official ALSO PRESENT: Beth Saarela, City Attorney Valentina Nuculaj, Recording Secretary Coordinator: **REPORTED BY:** Diane L. Szach, Certified Shorthand Reporter 21 3 **INDEX** Page Case No. PZ14-0003 PZ14-0004 PZ14-0005 PZ14-0006 PZ14-0007 PZ14-0008 Novi, Michigan 3 Tuesday, April 8, 2014 7:00 p.m. * * ** 5 6 7 CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Welcome to the Tuesday, April 8th, regular meeting, scheduled meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals. Ms. Nuculaj, I probably will be hacking that up a little bit, if you'd call roll, please. (Pledge recited.) Page 1

City o 11	f Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Okay. If you could
12	call roll.
13 14 15	MS. NUCULAJ: Chair Ferrell? CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Here. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Gerblick? Absent,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0004	excused. Member Ghannam? Absent, excused. Member Gronachan? MS. GRONACHAN: Gronachan? MS. NUCULAJ: Gronachan. MS. GRONACHAN: Present. MS. NUCULAJ: Member I be? MR. I BE: Present. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Krieger? MS. KRIEGER: Here.
1 2 3	MS. NUCULAJ: Member Sanghvi? MR. SANGHVI: Here. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Okay. The public
4 5 6 7	hearing rules of conduct, there's a copy in the back room if you'd like to have a copy of the agenda and the public rules. Also, we don't have a full board today, so anybody wishing to be tabled until next
8 9 10 11	month may do so. (No audible responses.) CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Okay. Seeing none,
12	I'll move on. Next, approval of the agenda. Any changes
13 14	or modifications to the agenda? MS. NUCULAJ: Case PZ14-0008, Feldman
15 16	Automotive has been asked to be tabled to the May 13th meeting.
17 18	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Okay. Any other changes?
19 20	MS. NUCULAJ: No. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Do I hear a motion to
21	have that tabled?
22 23	MR. SANGHVI: So moved. MS. KRIEGER: Second.
24 25	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: We have a motion and a second. All in favor say aye.
0005 1	BOARD: Aye.
2	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: ALL opposed? (No audible responses.)
3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Seeing none, the case
6	will be tabled to next month. Approval of the minutes of the
	January 14th, 2014 minutes. MS. SAARELA: As far as the minutes, I
9 10	didn't get a chance to review them this morning, they were due in a copy in my packet. So I'm going to
11 12	request that we put off the approving of the minutes until the May meeting.
13 14	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: For both January and February?
15	MS. SAARELA: Yes.
16 17	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Do we need to do a motion for that?
18 19	MS. SAARELA: No, I don't think so. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Okay. Now any public
20 21	remarks, anything that's pertaining to any case that has not anything to do with the cases in this meeting,
	Page 2

22	Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt you may come up now with any questions or comments for the board. (No audible responses.) CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Seeing none, that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	takes us to the Case No. 1, PZ14-0003, 27600 Wixom Road, Parcel 5022-17-101-029, Varsity Lincoln. Come up to the podium and be sworn in if you're not an attorney. MR. LANDRY: Good evening. My name is David Landry, and I'm representing the applicant this evening, who is 886 Mill Street, the owner of the property in question. I'm here before you tonight seeking a variance from the requirements of the sign ordinance with respect to a changeable copy sign. However, I want to make it clear from the outset that what we are asking for is only a 5 percent variance in the nature of the changeable copy sign, only 5 percent of what your ordinance provides. The property in question, if I can how does this there we go. The property in question is on the east east side of Wixom Road south of Grand River south of the dealership. It is right there. I don't know if this can get any clearer. But it is zoned I-1, but it is part of a larger I-1 parcel which has sort of an infamous history in Novi. It was called the Novi Promenade. It was the subject of a Consent Judgment in 2001. You know it as where the Target is and Sam's Club is. This is what has been historically known as Outlet Number 1, and pursuant to
$\begin{array}{c} 0007 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 0008 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{array}$	the Consent Judgment, although it is zoned I-1, it is allowed B-3 uses. And the actual Consent Judgment, I've attached it to my supplemental submissions as Exhibit C. It specifically indicates that with respect to signs, applicants can come to the ZBA and seek variances. What we are asking for is a sign that would look like this. Now, this is slightly different from the original application. The original application, the sign looked like that, and it is smaller. I've made a separate exhibit here to show you the difference between what was originally submitted and what is submitted now. What was originally submitted, virtually the entire sign would be changeable copy. The changeable copy portion was 4 by 7 feet. We've shrunk that so the changeable copy portion is now 3 feet by 7 feet. The total area of the sign is 30.7 square feet. Now, the ordinance provides that the total area is not to exceed 30 square feet or one square foot for every two foot set back from the center line of the road. The center line of the road is 63 feet from the sign. Therefore, we are allowed 31.5 square feet, and this total square footage is 30.7. We are within the ordinance. The overall height of the sign is 6 feet. We are within the ordinance. The changeable copy portion, just this portion, according to the ordinance shall not exceed two-thirds of the entire square footage of the sign. As originally proposed, it violated that. We changed it. We shrunk Page 3

City 7 8 9 10 11 12	of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol the changeable copy portion. Currently it is 3 feet by 7 feet, which is 21 square feet. 21 square feet divided by 31.5 square feet is .6840. Two-thirds is .6666. So we are technically asking for a variance of 1.7 percent with respect to the changeable copy portion.	I.txt
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0000	Now, the sign overall is a digital sign. It is comprised of 12 inch by 12 inch panels, and the sign however is different from what I submit your ordinance calls a changeable copy sign. A changeable copy sign as defined in Section 28.1 is a sign the copy of which can be changed manually or electronically while the surface of the sign remains unchanged. It's broad definition, the copy can change while the surface is unchanged. Technically this is a changeable copy because the copy can change. Examples are Mo's on Ten or Miracle Software. It's kind of a bad vision, but I think you know the Miracle Software sign. There is a Mo's on Ten sign. These are what I	
0009 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0010	call dot matrix signs. They're dot matrix message boards where there is a message that is on the sign and it can change. According to the ordinance it can change once every 15 seconds or four times every minute. This message can change four times a minute. This sign displays a static imagine which we are proposing would change only once every five minutes. That's an eternity in the sign business. Now, the purpose of the dot matrix message board is to display different messages for every user. That's the whole point of the sign. So if somebody is stopped at a traffic light, the light might be 60 seconds, might be 90 seconds if it's a really long sign. Four times every minute they're going to get blasted with several messages. This sign, the view for virtually everybody is not going to change. You're just going to have to be one of those people every five minutes that goes by the sign. Otherwise for the average person traveling up and down Wixom Road, this is just going to be look like a static sign, no flashing, no scrolling, no moveable transition, just a static sign. Now, for purposes of safety, the Michigan Highway Safety Act provides that changeable copy signs can be safe and change seven times a minute, seven	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	times a minutes. We're only suggesting once every five minutes. So it would be virtually a static sign. Now, the image will be high resolution, it will be akin to a photograph. But currently there is a sign in Novi, the Wasabe sign. That's a very high resolution, and it's vinyl stretched over, but when it's lit, it looks like a very high resolution sign, and this sign as proposed would be high resolution. Now, the variance is needed because looking at the ordinance as provided, Section 28.55(B), that changeable copy signs are permitted in places of worship, schools, theaters, entertainment, restaurant and recreational facilities. But the language of the ordinance gives specific uses, and then there is a modifier, and the modifier is similar venues similar to entertainment venues at which the entertainers, the shows change on a regular basis, recreational Page 4	

18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0011 1 2 3	facilities at which the events change on a regular basis. This particular applicant sells high-end motor vehicles which change on a regular basis. These are not \$1.50 items. These are very expensive, large items. The applicant, Varsity Lincoln, is a very well-respected and a very active corporate resident of this city. This type of business I suggest is exactly what was envisioned when the Promenade Consent Judgment was out there. It is not only a business, it is one of the leading Lincoln dealerships in the	I.txt
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	entire United States, and there is a reason for that, because of the way it conducts business. This sign is different from what the ordinance anticipated. Is it changeable copy? Technically yes, because you can change the copy without changing the structure of the sign. But is it intended to display different messages for the same viewer, no. It would be simply a more accurate means of advertising as the car models change. I suggest this hybrid sign is a bridge between the specific uses and the modifying language. We're not seeking a bigger sign, we're not seeking a taller sign, we're not seeking a different location than your ordinance, we're just seeking a static display that merely changes 5 percent of the time, and here is why. Under your definition of changeable copy sign, it can change four times a minute. That's 240 times an hour. 240 different messages. This sign as proposed would change 12 times an hour. 12 divided by 240 is 5 percent. Your ordinance allows a changeable copy sign	
24 25 0012 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to change 5,760 times a day, 5,760 times a day. This would change 288 times a day. I'm suggesting it's in keeping with the spirit of the ordinance. Finally, analyzed under Section 28.16, practical difficulty, are the circumstances unique? I suggest, yes, because the product changes. They're certainly as unique as any of the restaurants or other uses where products change on a usual basis. A denial would limit the applicant's ability to display their products. And granting the variance would not be incompatible with or unreasonably interfere with the	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	surrounding uses which are all industrial and business. It would result in substantial justice being done to this applicant, and I suggest substantial justice to the city, because the Novi Promenade, a large portion of which has remained vacant for a long time, to be able to have a business of this nature expand into it while only asking for a sign that differs in character 5 percent from what your ordinance is, only once every five minutes. That's our application. I'm happy to take any questions. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Thank you, Mr. Landry, for your presentation. I'll open it up to the audience. If anyone has any questions or comments, they can come up to the podium.	
0013 1 2	(No audi bl e responses.) CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Seei ng none, I ask Page 5	

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol 1.txt 3 the city if there's any comments. 4 5 6 7 No comments at this time. MR. WALSH: CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: I'd ask the secretary to read any correspondence. MS. KRIEGER: In Case No. PZ14-0003, 11 8 were mailed, two returned, zero approvals, zero 9 objections. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Open it up to the 10 11 board. 12 MR. SANGHVI: Thank, you Mr. Chair. I ha known this property long before the Consent Judgment I have 13 came into the picture. I've been around 40 years in the city, and I know this area very well. And withou going into the history of the situation in the past, the problem at hand, I've got two questions. 14 15 And without 16 17 Number one, what else is going there on 18 19 that sign other than the automobile you're showing? MR. LANDRY: What advertisements would go 20 21 on there? Merely automobiles that would be sold on 22 the property. MR. SANGHVI: Sold on the property. 23 And my second question was, this sign is going to be lit up 24 25 only during the business hours or going to be around 0014 1 the clock? 2 MR. LANDRY: Well, I think the message 3 portion would be back lit I believe around the clock 4 with the static image changing every five minutes. 5 MR. SANGHVI: Around the clock? 6 7 Yes, sir. MR. LANDRY: 0kay. MR. SANGHVI: Thank you. I have no 8 problem supporting the application because these are 9 things that are going to come in the future in the city, and we are aware that even though we don't talk 10 about specifics during the ZBA, we have some other 11 businesses with this kind of sign with a slight 12 13 modification, and as I said, I have no problem with 14 this sign, and I am quite willing to support their n. Thank you. CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: 15 application. 16 Thank you, 17 Mr. Sanghvi 18 Any other discussion? 19 MR. IBE: Thank you. Thank you so much, Mayor Landry. 20 While your presentation was quite good, 21 and I think the supplement was very educating today, 22 but I do have a question that perhaps is also 23 something of concern to me. I know that you have tried to qualify the auto dealership within the language of the ordinance 24 25 0015 Could you tell me -- again perhaps I didn't 1 itself. quite get it the first time, what is exceptional and 234567 unique about this property that is different from any other car dealerships in the City of Novi and warrants that we grant you this variance? MR. LANDRY: I would submit that what is unique is that it is as unique as any of the uses in your ordinance that allow changeable copy signs that provide products and services that change on a regular basis without being something that sells \$1.50 items 8 9 10 11 and things like that. 12 When I say hybrid, I'm really serious about 13 that. In the sign industry, billboards, they change Page 6

City c 14	of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt	
15 16	every six or eight seconds or something, eight seconds. For five minutes this is just technology. So could the applicant stretch vinyl over the sign and	
17 18 10	change it once a month, I guess if they came and got a permit from you every month, I guess they could. This	
19 20 21	is just technology catching up. It is a dealership that sells high-end items very successfully, and I think within your ordinance it would fit within that	
22 23	modifier language of changing with constantly changing inventory, while keeping within the spirit	
24 25 0016	that we don't want flashing, we don't want any of that stuff. So that's our position.	
1 2	MR. IBE: Just some more followup. Are you saying that under the ordinance that it is the intent	
3 4 5 6	of the ordinance itself to include a car dealership to be able to have changeable signs? Is that what you're	
5 6 7	saying? MR. LANDRY: Well, let me say this. Actually it was kind of unique because I wrote the	
8 9	ordinance, I was on the group that wrote it. And I'm not here to given any insight, the ordinance is what	
10 11 12	it is and it says what it says, and it is your job to interpret and apply it. I do not want to usurp this group's authority in any way, shape or form. I would	
13 14	submit to you that what was considered were the dot matrix message boards. Those were what were	
15 16 17	considered, not these types of signs, and certainly not anything that only changed every five minutes, certainly not.	
18 19	And I believe that I'll talk about it in the third person. The group when it struggled with	
20 21 22	this ordinance talked about what kind of businesses do we want that would want to do this. Restaurants obviously. And when you think about it, I guess one	
23 24	concern for this group would be the slippery slope. Well, if we let this car dealership, what's going to	
25 0017	happen? Are all car dealerships going to get it?	
1 2 3	MR. IBE: That was my next question. MR. LANDRY: Are we going to do that? Well, let's analyze that for a minute. What does that	
4 5 6	mean, all car dealerships. There's five. How many restaurants are in the city? To my knowledge only one	
6 7 8	has a changeable content. So we know from our experience in this city that not everyone will take advantage, because we allow every restaurant, and only	
9 10	one has changeable. What is it, 75 restaurants in the city or something like that. And even worse case	
11 12 13	scenario, if they do, there is only five. It's a small sign. Don't forget, we haven't this isn't a billboard. We're not asking for anything larger than	
14 15 16	the ordinance allows, except 1 percent because of the percentage the calculation that I made, 1 percent larger.	
17 18	So, yeah, you can look at this and say am l suggesting all car dealerships? Maybe. But even that	
19 20 21	wouldn't be so bad, there is only five of them. And it's I don't know that they're going to ask for it	
21 22 23	only to change five minutes, and that's really the key. Because when you analyze what we're asking for, if we asked for something 5 percent bigger, how would	
24	this group look at that. We want a sign that is only Page 7	

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt 25 5 percent bigger. I'm going to suggest that it 0018 wouldn't be like we're asking for the world. If we wanted it 5 percent taller, I don't think this group would think that we're asking for the world. The character of this sign, it technically fits your 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 definition only 5 percent of the time. So let's look at the slippery slope. T don't think a 5 percent character changeable copy 8 would offend anybody. Just my thought. MR. IBE: Well, let me take you to task 9 then since you're the scrivener for this ordinance itself. So are you telling me, Counsel, that when you 10 11 suggested the -- when you were specific here in the ordinance, and I'll read it to you, I'm sure you wrote it and you remember what it says. It states that this shall be permitted only, only for places of worship. 12 13 14 15 A car dealership is not a place of worship. 16 School s, 17 not a car dealership. Movie theaters, not a car And similar entertainment. So does a car 18 deal ership. dealership now qualify as a place of entertainment? MR. LANDRY: No. 19 20 21 Does it? MR. I BE: MR. LANDRY: 22 No. MR. IBE: Okay. 23 So tell me what in this ordinance gives your client the opportunity now to 24 25 take advantage of the ordinance that you drafted? 0019 MR. LANDRY: The ordinance that as you 1 2 3 phrased it I drafted, let's go with this scenario that l drafted, doesn't talk about the sign. This sign is nowhere in your ordinance, which is why we are here tonight before you. MR. IBE: That's correct. 4 5 6 7 8 MR. LANDRY: Because this sign is not As the scrivener, since I've contemplated anywhere. 9 been anointed the scrivener, we didn't anticipate this 10 This is not a changeable copy sign as we sign. defined it. We never considered it. Every five minutes, no one talked about that kind of sign. 11 12 So the answer to your question is that's 13 why we're here, because under the ordinance we're 14 15 nowhere. This sign that we're proposing is nowhere in That's why we're here. IBE: So if Feldman Automotive comes in 16 the ordinance. 17 MR. IBE: next month and asks for the same thing, it wouldn't be 18 19 out of character for us to say, well, sure, we gave it to Varsity, Lincoln Varsity, so should we also give it 20 21 to Feldman Automotive? 22 MR. LANDRY: Well, I don't appear before you that often, so I don't know how you'd respond to 23 24 those kind of questions when you grant a sign to 25 anybody and another person comes in and says, well, 0020 That's --1 they got one, how come I can't I get one. you people are more familiar with that than I am. 234567 But let me go back to what I said a minute ago. This sign is not provided for anywhere in your ordinance, and that possibility, that potential is at worst five signs, four more signs at worst, and we know from experience that not everybody has taken 8 advantage. When it's expressed in the ordinance not 9 everybody, only one restaurant has taken advantage of Page 8

City o 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0021 1 2	of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt it. So what's the likelihood that the others? You can decide for yourself, you see the applicants more than I do. But experience shows that even when it's expressed in the ordinance, not everybody takes advantage of it. MR. IBE: Well, thank you very much. You've been quite enlightening on the issue. I will see where the other members stand on this. I the sign itself looks great. My only concern rests with the fact that we are moving towards, I mean, the legal term is slippery slope, and once we open it up, the flood gates, I just hate to see and I like to be fair. In other words, I simply can't grant one and not give to the other, because obviously the fact that your client sells a high-end car doesn't make it any far more unique than the guy who sells because obviously people buy based on their income. So, you know, Varsity Lincoln, maybe the Ford dealership down
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the street, Lexus, or maybe, you know, the GM cars down the street, you know, who knows. MR. LANDRY: There isn't a Ford dealership in Novi, but MR. IBE: Well, other than that. MR. LANDRY: I understand your point. MR. IBE: You understand. I'm trying to make an example for you. MR. LANDRY: Sure.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. IBE: But certainly we cannot use the fact that because if what you claim to be unique about your client is that it sell high-end cars, I don't buy that, Counsel. MR. LANDRY: I'm not suggesting it's unique because it sells it. That's in fact what it does, but I'm not suggesting that the nature of its product the high-end portion is not because it sells things that are expensive, as expensive. It doesn't sell it's not a department store. It doesn't sell widgets. It's not a dollar store. I guess a dollar store could come in and go, well, our products change all the time, but there are thousands of products that change.
25 0022 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	These don't. And they change every year, that's the other thing. The toilet paper at a dollar store doesn't change every year, but a Lincoln MKZ does, that product changes every year. And to effectively advertise it, I submit the business owner needs a meaningful way to advertise it, where as a dollar store, toilet paper 100 years ago is the same as it is now, I'm assuming. MR. IBE: So, Counsel, are you saying that that is what is special and unique about your client? MR. LANDRY: I'm saying that yes, that the product is different that it sells. That is one differentiating aspect of why it needs this sign and a dollar store does not, because the products don't change as much as ours do. MR. IBE: Very well. Thank you very much. MR. LANDRY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Thank you. Any other
18 19 20	discussion? MS. KRIEGER: In consideration, I can support this request. That considering as well that Page 9

Ci ty 21 22 23 24 25 0023	of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol it has two road faces, two frontages, you have Grand River and you have Wixom Road, and considering the nature of that end of our city, it would be very attractive, so I can support that. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Thank you.	I.txt
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. GRONACHAN: I would like to just put my comments on the record. I will be supporting this, and the reason for it is because I first of all, Mr. Landry did an excellent job explaining several points that I would like to bring out to anyone who is sitting on the fence so to speak. One of the things he's not asking for, is he's not asking for a variance for the sign. The size of the sign meets the ordinance. It's the uniqueness of the sign, and the fact that Novi is not quite into the technology of it that requires the variance, if that makes sense. So as Mr. Landry also said that he pointed out that it's limiting it on display, but we need to move into the future. It's not like every	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0024 1	business in Novi is going to ask for a flashing sign. There are some signs that flash and distract from traffic throughout various cities, and I don't think that that's what this sign is going to do. I also think that the petitioner pointed out very clearly that this is unique in the type of business that it's doing. So maybe we need to go back to the ordinance review board an add uniqueness so it fits into this. I think that the petitioner displayed that this is once a year change, and I can support	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	something like that. This isn't going to be a weekly event where there is going to be flashing, and I'm not going to name signs in Novi that I don't like, but I'm just saying that I don't think that there is a distraction here. I think it completes the business that's at the in the right part of town. We're not talking about, you know, in the heart of Main Street. I think that we're looking at a reasonable request, and that the petitioner indicated the percentage and numerous statements that he made, and without going on with any further length, I will be supporting this request. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Okay. Any other discussion?	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0025	MR. IBE: Just real quick again. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Yes. MR. IBE: Just so you know, I'm not against this, but somebody has to obviously bring up some of the issues here. MS. GRONACHAN: I agree. MR. IBE: Because obviously this is going to present itself again. I mean, clearly when we pretend that your issues are a lot worse, we've seen cases before this board where parties have cited us as to what we've done in the past. And frankly if we are	
1 2 3 4 5	going to do one thing for John, which should be willing to do it for Jane. Sometimes we have to understand that being fair means that we have to be able to afford people the same treatment. The uniqueness he quoted about his sign, I understand it, Page 10	

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt clearly understood. I always just want to make sure 6 7 that we make a good record, because obviously if in 8 9 the future someone comes up and brings up something similar, we don't pretend we haven't done this before. But clearly I think Mayor Landry did a fantastic job, and his point was well received, and I 10 11 12 have no doubt that I will vote for the petitioner's request anyway. Thank you. 13 14 MS. GRONACHAN: Mr. Chair? 15 CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Yes. MS. GRONACHAN: Mr. Landry, I do have a 16 question for you. MR. LANDRY: Sure. 17 18 19 As long as we have to be MS. GRONACHAN: specific in our motion that we're going to make here, 20 I would like to -- there isn't any problem to have this request for this particular sign attached to this 21 22 business only? Would you have any objections if we 23 24 add those words to our request? MR. LANDRY: Not at all. 25 0026 1 MS. GRONACHAN: I just wanted 2 clarification. 3 MR. LANDRY: For clarification, I believe We need the 4 5 6 7 that technically there is two variances. size of 1.7 percent increase in the changeable copy That would be required under your Section portion. 28.55(C), which says that the changeable copy portion 8 shall not exceed two-thirds of the sign area. Ιt 9 technically is .6840 instead of .6666. So variance 10 number one would be the 1.7 percent increase in the changeable copy portion. And the second variance would be for the use of the sign at this location with 11 12 the limitation of no changes more often than once 13 14 every five minutes 15 MS. GRONACHAN: Mr. Walsh, can I clarify? 16 MR. WALSH: Sure. 17 MS. GRONACHAN: In our instructions I don't 18 have 28.55(C). So I want to clarify is this being requested correctly or --MR. WALSH: As 19 20 As it was requested, they didn't ask for the second variance of the .6840. 21 We 22 calculated -- in staff we calculated it at .666, but as I see that you modified the sign, it was --23 24 MR. LANDRY: In the subsequent submission I 25 requested that. Originally I did not. 0027 1 MR. WALSH: Originally it was not requested 2345678 at that time. MS. SAARELA: Because of the fact that it wasn't noticed for that, that would have to be a variance that we have to move for in a separate meeting, the second variance CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Okay. MS. SAARELA: So you can approve the single 9 variance today for the changeable copy nature of the sign, but for the size of the changeable copy, it would have to be reset for a future meeting. MR. LANDRY: For the 1.7 percent changeable 10 11 12 13 copy portion, we're happy to come back if that's what we have to do for that part. I would ask that you 14 15 approve the changeable copy use tonight, and we can 16 come back on the size.

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt 17 MS. SAARELA: You can do that. CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: 18 Do I hear a motion? l'll do it. 19 MR. IBE: 20 MS. GRONACHAN: Thank you. 21 MR. IBE: Surprise. MR. LANDRY: 22 Since you raked me over the 23 coal s. 24 MR. IBE: I want to make sure I get good 25 shake at counsel here. 0028 MR. LANDRY: You've been waiting to do that 1 2 3 for a long time. MR. IBE: Oh, no, not really. 4 5 MS. GRONACHAN: It's entertainment. MR. IBE: No, no, you understand how it 6 7 works. MS. GRONACHAN: We like a good banter now 8 and then. 9 MR. IBE: Case No. PZ14-0003, Varsity 10 Lincoln, I move that we approve the request for the 11 changeable copy as requested by the applicant. The 12 applicant has provided significant examples and 13 information to us that warrants for the application to 14 The applicant has proven that there are be granted. features, that are exceptions that we make to this 15 property and did not result from conditions that exist 16 17 generally in the city and that are not self-created. One of the things the applicant has talked about is the product itself that the applicants sells. 18 19 These are not products that change frequently, these are once-a-year kind of change, and this makes it significantly different from other parties who may seek to use this same request for changeable 20 21 22 23 electronic message that has been requested by this 24 particular applicant, and the fact that the applicant 25 0029 1 also needs to market each product in a way to specific customers that are tailored for this particular 2 3 4 5 product makes the product itself unique and not sel f-created. Second, the features -- the failure to grant will unreasonably, you know, prevent or limit 6 7 the use of the property and will substantially inconvenience this particular applicant from meeting a higher economic or financial return based on the fact 8 õ 10 that the applicant sells a product that is 11 exceptionally unique. 12 Now, there are issues that may have been raised during the course of the conversations 13 regarding whether or not this particular applicant requests falls under the auspices of the ordinance 14 15 There is a part of the ordinance that talks 16 itself. 17 about similar -- similar businesses that obviously 18 have a need for changeable copies. This particular 19 applicant has demonstrated that it does meet the 20 language of the ordinance and that the spirit and intent of the ordinance is meant to accommodate what has been requested here by the applicant. Now, the grant of relief will not result in 21 22 23 24 the use of a structure that is incompatible with or will unreasonably interfere with already existing 25 0030 1 properties. Obviously based on what we know, the Page 12

2 3 4 5 6	f Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt property itself has been a vacant lot, and this will bring about more needed improvement to this particular area. So I believe that the adjacent properties will actually benefit from having that particular property developed the way that this petitioner intends to do.
7	And finally, the grant of this petition is
8	consistent with the spirit of the zoning ordinance,
9	and is not and is not something that is
10	predominantly predominantly allowed in the City of
11	Novi.
12	Therefore I move that based on the
13	presentation made by the applicant's representative,
14	the discussion that has taken place here with all the
15	members, that we grant the request as stated by the
16	applicant.
17	And the final issue, I think there is issue
18	as to whether or not this particular variance that's
19	being granted is going to be specific as to the
20	applicant only.
21	MS. KRIEGER: As well as the only business.
22	MR. IBE: As well as the only business,
23	yes.
24 25	MS. KRIEGER: As to the one every five minutes like the mayor was stating.
0031 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	MR. IBE: Yes. MR. SANGHVI: Second. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: We have the motion and a second. Ms. Nuculaj? MS. NUCULAJ: Chairperson Ferrell? CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Gronachan? MS. GRONACHAN: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Ibe? MR. IBE: Present. MS. NUCULAJ: Member sorry? MR. IBE: Yes. I was present, but, yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Krieger? MS. NUCULAJ: Member Sanghvi? MS. NUCULAJ: Member Sanghvi? MR. SANGHVI: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Motion passes five to zero. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Congratulations. MR. LANDRY: Thank you very much. Do I need to submit an entirely new application for the other MR. WALSH: Yes. MR. LANDRY: Thank you very much. Thank you for your consideration. MR. IBE: Thank you so much, Counsel. It
0032 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was a pleasure. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Moving on to Case No. 2, PZ14-0004, 24151 Cortland Boulevard, Orchard Ridge Estates. Come on up and be sworn in by the secretary if you're not an attorney, and state and spell your name for the reporter, please. MR. KUZMA: Good evening, Todd Kuzma,
8	K-u-z-m-a.
9	MS. KRIEGER: You're not an attorney?
10	MR. KUZMA: No.
11	MS. KRIEGER: Do you swear or affirm in
12	this case, PZ14-0004 for Orchard Ridge Estates to tell
	Page 13

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt 13 the truth in this case? Yes, ma'am. 14 MR. KUZMA: 15 Thank you. MS. KRIEGER: Good evening again. My n dge Estates. I'm the vice 16 MR. KUZMA: My name is Todd Kuzma, Orchard Ridge Estates. I'm the vice president. Forgive me, I'm not an attorney and won't 17 18 19 being as eloquent as David. I'm also not the 20 architect who was supposed to be here this evening, 21 but we'll do our best. 22 You do have drawings in front of you, 23 correct? 24 CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Yes. 25 MR. KUZMA: Okay. What we are looking for 0033 1 this evening is no change in signage or size. 2345678 Everything we've submitted meets code. We've had Charles Boulard out two times, David Beschke out. What we're trying to do only is move the sign 5 feet forward so it sits on the crest of the hill where it makes sense. We have a unique situation because of the curvature of the land, we have kind of a turn lane that comes in. So we're a little bit unique compared 9 10 to the other side. Our setbacks backs are going to be 11 far -- are going to be much further back than the same development across the street, Churchill Crossing. 12 So 13 there will be no safety issues or anything else with 14 regards to any car being able to hit the sign. 15 What we're try to do is beautify the front of the subdivision. Our sign currently is in the middle. It cannot be seen. We're looking at two signs that would sit at a 45 degree angle so you can 16 17 18 19 The setback is such that see east and west coming. our property line where we're measuring the 25 feet 20 21 back is already 12 to 13 feet past the sidewalk. So 22 our setback is -- back compared to the other side of 23 the street, we're back 37 feet or greater compared to Churchill Crossing, which is actually only 14 feet 24 25 So we're not looking for anything unusual that back. 0034 1 would cause people driving by to say, wow, their signs 2 3 are too close. What we have done for safety 4 5 considerations, and Dave Beschke the Landscape architect of the city knows, we're going to be 6 7 removing trees, because as you may or may not know, we had a child, 14 year old hit at the front of our 8 9 Coincidentally we've been -- well, not subdi vi si on. coincidentally, more like ironically we've been working -- I've been working on this for five years. 10 11 The mayor announced that we were going to be changing the sign like two years ago at the State of the Union -- State of the City address. I had tried to 12 13 14 get signs, stop signs at the -- where the sidewalk is, and I was told by the county that you don't get signs 15 because you have a stop light. 16 I said, yeah, but kids 17 aren't looking at stop lights. All I wanted was one of those little stop signs, the small one. Well, when the child got hit this fall, guess what, magically a 18 19 stop sign appeared. So we are trying to clear the right-of-way 20 21 22 by moving the sign out of the center, by clearing the 23 large trees, which Dave Beschke has already said would

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt 24 not be code today. The sign that we're proposing, the 25 placement would be behind the large blue spruces that 0035 are on the west hand side of the street. 1 And I can put -- you can guys have -- I haven't used one of these things in a while. Let's see if this makes some 2 3 4 5 sense. If you can -- I'll just kind of point here. 6 7 This is the west side of the street, here is Ten Mile, east side of the street. As you can see we've got a 8 turn lane that curves in right here. So our setbacks are farther back -- when you look at the center line of the road, much farther back than what Churchill 9 10 Crossing is. So all things being equal, we're going to be -- looking to be even with our variance much farther back than where Churchill's signs are. It's 11 12 13 just the nature of the street and -- when Ten Mile was 14 15 widened I believe years ago to put in the deceleration 16 I ane. 17 So our sidewalk basically comes this 18 direction and curves. Our property line is being measured from this point here, which is, oh, at least 14 feet off of the sidewalk. So we just -- we just 19 20 don't happen to own to the road or to the sidewalk 21 22 like Churchill does, which is the point which you 23 measure the 25 feet back. 24 So all we're looking to do is move the sign 25 in 5 feet so that this sign on the west hand side is 0036 at the crest of the hill instead of literally behind 1 2 it. It wouldn't make much sense at all to have the sign there, because you just -- when you stand out there, you just don't have line of sight if you go back. That 5 feet makes pretty much all the 345 678 difference in the world. And to make sure that everything is lined up and equal because of the curvature of the 9 topography, we're looking at moving in a foot and a half to two feet, we put two feet, on the east hand side of the road. Again, all the locations that you're looking at right now are basically where the treats are. So all of the trees and the scrub brush 10 11 12 13 14 or the bushes I should say are going to be removed, the signs are going to be there. All of this will be behind all lines of sight for cars pulling out and 15 16 17 coming in. We're going to greatly increase the visibility. 18 The signs, if you have seen or not seen --I'll give you -- we ended up with a sign very similar to this, except I think we're going straight, the design that we are going with, but this is just kind 19 20 21 22 23 of a nice rendition real quick. 24 This is the sign that your sign group has 25 approved and said is within scope. So the only 0037 1 variance we're looking at is just the placement. Let's see. I just want to make sure I covered everything. Obviously the Landscaping is all 234 567 going to be redone after we pull out the greenery. Ďave Beschke has reviewed the site plan and the landscaping and has no problem with the added greenery we will put in to make up for what we're taking out. 8 Again, the trees that are there now are not at all --Page 15

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol 1.txt 9 would not be in code if we were to redo the 10 subdivision today. That's pretty much all I have. It's easy 11 to -- it's -- basically let's put it this way. 12 When I 13 walked through with Charles Boulard a couple of times, he had no issue with it, but as he said, you have to 14 15 come before the ZBA because he doesn't have the 16 authorization to proceed forward. So that's what 17 we're looking for today. I'll open it up for 18 questions. 19 CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Thank you very much. 20 Just so you know, not being an attorney, you did very 21 well. 22 MR. KUZMAN: I wish I had time to practice. 23 I don't like that 24 CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Anybody in the 25 audience have any comments or questions or concerns 0038 1 about this? 2 3 4 5 6 (No audible responses.) CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Seeing none, the city any comments? MR. WALSH: No comments at this time. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Okay. We'll have the 7 secretary read the correspondence. 8 MS. KRIEGER: In the Case No. PZ14-0004, 25 9 mailed, one returned, zero approvals, zero objections. 10 CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: It's up to the board 11 for discussion. 12 MS. GRONACHAN: Well, I would like to say to the petitioner, for not having any experience, I 13 think you did a very good job. MR. KUZMA: Well, 1 14 15 thanks. 16 MS. GRONACHAN: Actually you've answered 17 30 percent of my questions or even more than that. MR. KUŻMA: 18 30? 30? Okay. We'll work on 19 the other 70 right now. 20 MS. GRONACHAN: Actually you did more than 21 30 percent. 22 MR. KUZMA: 0h, good. MS. GRONACHAN: MS. GRONACHAN: Ĭ -- again, I don't really have any additional questions. I would like to 23 24 25 commend Orchard Ridge Estates for taking the safety 0039 1 concerns into consideration when you were planning 2 3 this out. And I don't feel that there is any additional information that I need. So therefore I 4 5 will be supporting this. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Anybody else? 6 7 MS. KRIEGER: I agree. When I walked by there, the line of sight, there is not much room, so. MR. KUZMA: Yes. And I have small 8 children, so this was, you know, pretty important to 9 10 And I would like to go on record as saying I've me. been trying to do this for years, and it was -- you 11 know, it hasn't been -- you know, just a stop sign 12 So --I can support it. 13 alone was quite an issue. MS. KRIEGER: 14 MR. KUZMA: It happens. 15 CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Anything else? 16 17 (No audible responses.) CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Okay. I'll entertain 18 19 a motion. Page 16

Ci 20 21 22 23 24 25 0040	ty of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol MS. GRONACHAN: I would like to move that in Case No. PZ14-0004 for Orchard Ridge Estates that the request be granted based on the set of circumstances and features that are exceptional and unique to this piece of property and do not result from conditions that exist generally in the city,	I.txt
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	specifically the lack of property to create a further setback. Failure to grant this relief would unreasonably prevent and limit the use of the property and would result in substantially more than a mere inconvenience as so stated by petitioner, and the grant of the relief will not result in a use that is incompatible or unreasonable within the surrounding properties, would result in substantial justice being done to both the applicant and/or adjacent surrounding properties, and is not inconsistent with the spirit of the ordinance. MR. SANGHVI: Second. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: We have a motion and a second.	
15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25 0041	Ms. Nuculaj? MS. NUCULAJ: Chairperson Ferrell? CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Gronachan? MS. GRONACHAN: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Ibe? MR. IBE: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Krieger? MS. KRIEGER: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Sanghvi? MR. SANGHVI: Yes.	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. NUCULAJ: Motion passes five to zero. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Congratulations. MR. KUZMA: Thank you, and congratulations to the city. It's going to look a heck of a lot better than it looks right now. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Moving on to Case No. 3, PZ14-0005, 1171 East Lake Drive. Come on up and be sworn if you're not an attorney and state and spell your name for the recorder. MR. KWAPIS: My name is Gary Kwapis. I'm with Heins & Kwapis Architects. I represent the McDonalds in a request to build an addition to their home.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. KRIEGER: Are you attorney? MR. KWAPIS: No, I am not, I'm an architect. MS. KRIEGER: Can you spell again your last name for the recorder? MR. KWAPIS: K-w Gary Kwapis, K-w-a-p-i-s. MS. KRIEGER: And then for Case No. PZ14-0005, 1171 East Lake Drive, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth?	
24 25 0042 1 2 3 4	MR. KWAPIS: Yes. MS. KRIEGER: Thank you. MR. KWAPIS: Again, I'm representing the McDonalds. I'm the architect of the project. Currently the house is located at 1171. It's a one-story home with a two-and-a-half car garage behind Page 17	

City 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0043 1	of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol 1.txt it, and what they're requesting is to add on to the existing home. The current home is 1700 square feet, and they want to add on an area to create a breezeway connection which they currently don't have between the existing garage and their current residence, but then also we'd like to create more space. They are a family of seven, two adults and five children, and they wish to also increase their footprint, and in doing so with bedrooms and so forth on the second floor. And then also with that we would like to also they're adding on also a screened-in porch. They face the lake, Walled Lake, and currently the setbacks are such that they're asking for a variance as noted there of 3 feet and so forth. There is a driveway to the one side, to the south side of the property, and to the north side is the 3 foot variance they're requesting, and the total would be 8. Basically they requested this because of the hardship that wasn't created by them of the existing footprint. We didn't want to come forward on the site for fear of blocking the adjoining neighbors out to their view of Walled Lake. So mainly what	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we're trying to do is again incorporate to place the house and do the addition on the second floor but not incorporate all the addition on the full footprint of the existing first floor so that it took it not take advantage of the setback requirements, and also with the additions we did the same thing to not take advantage of the setback requirements, both for that breezeway connection between the porch and so forth, and the front future sun screened porch. So basically that's what they're requesting. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Okay. Thank you very much.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0044	MR. KWAPIS: You're welcome. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Anybody in the audience have any comments. (No audible responses.) CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Anyone from the city any comments? MR. WALSH: Just one concern. As the applicant indicated, it's a one-story house. With the second story addition, I would be concerned that if the existing foundation can't support the second story addition and that they have to completely remove the house to rebuild it, that it would come back to the	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	board as a new case. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Any correspondence? MS. KRIEGER: In Case No. PZ14-0005, 15 mailed, zero returned, one approval, zero objections. The approval is, I'm concerned about the water level runoff for both houses. My basement leaks somewhere when it rains heavy if the gutters are plugged with leaves. We have many trees. I will surely have water. Please have engineers design the water flow. From Carol Kernen 1167 East Lake. That's it. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Up to the board for any discussion. MR. SANGHVI: Yes. Thank you. Thank you. I was there on your property a Page 18	

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt 16 couple days ago and looking around, and I realize that 17 almost every property in that area, if they want to do something, it's going to require a variance because 18 19 the houses are not designed for the kind of housing in this day and age, and I have no problem supporting 20 st. Thank you. MR. KWAPIS: T 21 your request. MR. KWAPIS: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: I have a question. 22 23 The concern that the neighbor has, are you going to do 24 25 anything --0045 MR. KWAPIS: Yes, we've addressed that 1 2 As you can look at the front of the elevation i ssue. of the building now, we've moved the roof line from the back. So there wouldn't be any gutter lines now toward the north side. Currently there is -- the way 345678 the roof is pitched on the existing house, it pitches toward the neighbors, one portion of it. And we've now to alleviate that issue, we've changed the roof 9 slope to go front to back, and so that the water would 10 pitch like behind the garage, that area, and be drained back from that way and also to the front. 11 Ιt doesn't go near the neighbor any more. CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: You c 12 13 You definitely have 14 my support on this. Anything to better the community 15 and the neighborhood I definitely support. 16 Anybody el se? 17 MS. KRIEGER: I just have a question. Like 18 Mr. Walsh was saying, have you discovered the basement, can it support a second story? MR. KWAPIS: There has been s 19 : There has been some Part of the wall to the existing 20 exploration of that. 21 structure was corrected several years ago I know on the south side, and we're exploring the north, west 22 23 and east yet. And most of that we believe can, and 24 25 we're also adding additional columns and so forth to 0046 work with that structure. 1 2 3 Thank you. MS. KRIEGER: CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Any further 4 5 di scussi on? (No audible responses.) 6 7 CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: We'll entertain a motion. 8 MR. IBE: I'II do it. Case No. PZ14-0005, 9 1171 East Lake Drive. I move that we grant the 10 petitioner's request as requested for the following 11 reasons. One, there are unique circumstances of the current conditions of the property such as the narrowness of the property itself that makes it --12 13 14 that warrants for a variance to be granted, and the 15 property is located on a very narrow nonconforming lot 16 17 from what has been stated here by the applicant's representative, and that by itself makes it more of a 18 19 very difficult lot to work with, and without a variance, what the applicant hence wants to accomplish would not be practical and would not be able to get 20 21 22 So a variance is necessary. accomplished. 23 Second, the need is not self-created. Obviously it did not from them, it is what it is. 24 25 It's done by nature, and you bought the land and 0047

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt 1 that's just how it is. So you obviously need the 23456789 variance in order to work it. Strict compliance with regulations governing area setbacks, frontage, height, bulk, density or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those regulations unnecessarily burdensome. The requested variance is the minimum 10 variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicants as well as to the other property owners in 11 12 the district. 13 The requested variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values, or the use and enjoyment of the property in 14 15 16 the neighborhood or zoning district. In fact, by granting this variance, an improvement that is intended by the applicants will in fact increase the 17 18 19 property values in this area, will make it to look 20 more attractive. And so I'm quite sure that the 21 neighbors will find it a very welcoming improvement. Therefore, based on the applicant's document that was submitted, the presentation made by the applicant's representative, the questions and 22 23 24 comments that have been put on by board, I move that 25 0048 we grant the applicant's request. 1 2 3 MS. GRONACHAN: Second. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: We have a motion and 4 5 6 7 8 second. MS. KRIEGER: In regards to that also, that if they had a concern with the second story support with the basement? MR. IBE: Yes. 9 MS. KRIEGER: To work with the city? 10 MR. IBE: Yes. In the event where the 11 foundation is unable to support the second story that 12 is intended here, that the parties will obviously work with the city to fashion a way to accomplish the intent of the property owner as well as what the city requires under the law. Is that fine? 13 14 15 CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: 16 Yes. 17 MR. IBE: Very well. CHAI RPERSON FÉRRELL: 18 Anybody el se have any 19 di scussi on? 20 (No audible responses.) CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: We have a motion and 21 Ms. Nuculaj? 22 second. MS. NUCULAJ: 23 Chairperson Ferrell? 24 CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Yes. 25 MS. NUCULAJ: Member Gronachan? 0049 MS. GRONACHAN: 1 Yes. 234567 MS. NUCULAJ: Member Ibe? MR. I BE: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Krieger? MS. KRI EGER: Yes. NUCULAJ: MS. Member Sanghvi? MR. SANGHVI : Yes. 8 9 MS. NUCULAJ: Motion passes five to zero. CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Congratul ations. MR. KWAPIS: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Moving on to Case No. 10 11 Page 20

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt 12 4, PZ14-00006, 44225 Twelve Mile Road, C103, Buddy's 13 Pi zza. Come up and be sworn in if you're not an attorney, and state and spell your name for the 14 15 recorder, please. 16 MR. PHILLIPS: Good evening, I am not an attorney, and neither is Matt here. 17 CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Just go ahead and 18 19 state and spell your name. MR. PHILLIPS: Okay. 20 Name is Ed Phillips, Phillips Sign and Lighting, 40920 Executive Drive, 21 22 Harrison Township MR. HECKERT: And my name is Matthew 23 24 Heckert, general manager of Buddy's Pizza. Last name 25 is H-e-c-k-e-r-t. 0050 MS. KRI EGER: For both of you, in the Case 1 2 No. PZ14-0006, Buddy's Pizza, C103, do you both swear 3 4 5 6 7 or affirm to tell the truth in this case? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. MR. HECKERT: Yes. MS. KRI EGER: Thank you. Okay. Just a quick outline MR. PHILLIPS: 8 here. We are here basically representing the new Buddy's Pizza to be located at 44225 Twelve Mile in 9 10 the Fountain View Shopping Center. Our hardship is lack of identification and due to the landscape -- due 11 12 to the landscaping and building setback. Nei ther 13 issue is self-created. 14 I have a handout I'd like to pass out real quick if I may. 15 16 If you could refer to my handout on Page A, the first page. It's obviously an overview of the new Buddy's location. Please note that we've indicated on 17 18 there that there's a 485 feet setback from Twelve 19 20 We're 355 feet from Cabaret Drive. Mile. Pages B, C and D are all images, they're 21 22 Google Earth images obviously of street view. They 23 are from a couple of years ago, because these trees if you go there today are a little larger than this. We 24 25 believe given these current setbacks and the 0051 vegetation, and we're demonstrating clearly that the 1 2 3 4 signage that we're asking for although modest in increase in size is really required or needed. This space is presently only exterior walls. If anyone --5 if you've ever been in there, the space has never been 6 7 occupied. The mall has been struggling. It's all open. It's demanding -- it's 8,000 square foot, so it's going to demand a serious investment. 8 9 The exterior design of the mall, again to go back to my cover page, you'll see the lower left corner, that is the Buddy's section, how 10 11 distractible -- how distracting that drive is. 12 13 mean, it's like a puzzle up there. So that's another 14 reason we think that we do need something a little bit 15 outside the ordinance. We know that the site is going to be a 16 challenge to get going. Assuming your approval this evening and our dedication to quality food and great 17 18 19 service, we believe we can become a successful member of the Novi community. 20 21 I can answer any questions on the signage. CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Okay. Thank you very 22 Page 21

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol 1.txt 23 much. 24 Anybody in the audience? 25 (No audible responses.) 0052 1 CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Hearing none. The 2 city, any comments? 3 MR. WALSH: No comments at this time. CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Any correspondence? 4 5 6 7 MS. KRI EGER: In Case No. PZ14-0006, 29 mailed, two returned, one approval, zero objections. And the approval is, "I am the owner of Twelve Mile 8 9 Crossing at Fountain Walk where this Buddy's Pizza is opening. I believe allowing Buddy's additional signage would be beneficial to all, the city, Buddy's Pizza and the shopping center." And it's from Stanley 10 11 That's it 12 Spi egel. 13 CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Thank you. Openi ng 14 it up to the board for any discussion. 15 MR. IBE: Just quickly. For the board, I must say congratulations to Buddy's -- I mean to the 16 city. I enjoy Buddy's Pizza. MR. PHILLIPS: Tha 17 Thank you 18 MR. IBE: In fact, there's one next to my firm in Farmington Hills. Unfortunately I can't make 19 20 the drive from Farmington Hills to Novi. So it's 21 22 welcoming experience to know that we're going have a 23 quality pizza place in the city. I have no problem at 24 all supporting this considering the uniqueness of 25 where your property is located in relation to Twelve 0053 Mile Road, because obviously if -- the businesses in that area are struggling. I think -- I do -- I think 1 that area are struggling. I think -- I do -- I thin your business will be next to the Putting Edge? Is 2345678 that close to the Putting Edge? MS. KRI EGER: Yes. That's where it's located, right. MR. IBE: MS. KRI EGER: Yes. It's difficult to know that MR. IBE: 9 there's anything right there unless you literally drive in and you have to know your way around, because there's abandoned business or not too many businesses there right now. So anything that will attract more 10 11 12 13 traffic I think would be a welcome addition not to 14 just to Buddy's but to the other businesses that are 15 within that same district. 16 So I have no problem at all supporting this, and congratulations. MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. 17 18 19 CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Thank you. Anybody 20 el se? 21 Mr. Sanghvi? 22 MR. SANĞHVI: Thank you. I think everybody 23 with any children or grandchildren knows what Buddy's 24 Pizza is all about, and I think it will be a great 25 addition in the city, and you are well back from sight 0054 from the main road and you need somewhere signage where exactly you are located even though people know 1 2 3 that you're in Fountain Walk, and with the new building coming up, it will be easier to find you, your entrance way. So I have no problem with the 4 5 6 7 signage so you can be found easily. Thank you. MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. Page 22

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt 8 MS. GRONACHAN: Congratulations and welcome 9 to Novi. 10 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. MS. GRONACHAN: I understand Buddy's and I 11 have no grandchildren, just so you know. Just say I concur with the difficulty of driving throughout 12 Just saying. 13 that parking lot, that row of businesses which is why 14 15 I'm supporting the signage, the variance request because of the uniqueness, because of the lay of the 16 17 busi ness. 18 My question, though, is I just want to 19 confirm these numbers are pretty impressive on the size of the signs, and I just want to make sure that this is -- is this the very least that you can go with on these signs. I mean, I understand the reasoning 20 21 22 23 for it, and I'm in support of the identification. I'm 24 just want to make sure that we're not doing overkill 25 under the circumstances. 0055 MR. PHILLIPS: Fair question. l think if 1 you looked at the site, we put the banners up to give 2 3 4 5 you some idea. If you look at the lower left corner again on Page A, that white box that you see on there is way larger than the signs. The sign image itself 6 7 is contained within that white area, and the signage isn't going to have nearly the impact of the white square there. If you see both those signs, you see the one on front, it's really barely discernible on 8 9 the north elevation, but on the west way over there, I 10 11 mean, the signs are small. So in answer to your question, I really do believe it's very modest what we've asked for given the size of these walls. I do have a calculation on that for you. 12 13 14 MS. GRONACHAN: 15 0kay. MR. PHILLIPS: 16 On the north elevation, we are -- we're showing a building dimension of 38 feet 17 18 by 78.3, and we're showing a total overall signage or signage presence on that wall, which is the front Twelve Mile Road elevation, of 2.7 percent of the 19 20 21 wall. It's nothing 22 MS. GROŇACHAN: Okay. 23 MR. PHILLIPS: On the other wall, the west 24 wall it's even less. On the west wall we have a 38 by 25 We're showing a 2.2 percentage of the signage 110. 0056 1 given the size of the wall. MS. GRONACHAN: And I wanted that on the 23456789 record, so I appreciate the further explanation. 1 think it needs to be duly noted on the record. Thank you for that explanation. MR. PHILLIPS: You're very welcome. MS. GRONACHAN: I have no further questions. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Thank you. 10 MS. KRI EGER: Is it going to be backlit? 11 MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. 0kay. 12 MS. KRIEGER: I'll also be the motion. Did you want me to do it? CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Yes. 13 supporting the motion. 14 In Case No. PZ14-0006, 15 MS. KRI EGER: Buddy's Pizza, C103, I motion to approve the request 16 for the petitioner. The request is based upon 17 18 circumstances or features that are exceptional and Page 23

City o 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0057	f Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt unique to the property. In Fountain Walk with the distances and the way it's set up surely is unique and do not result from conditions that exist generally in the city nor are self-created. The failure to grant relief will unduly prevent or limit the use of the property and will result in substantially more than mere inconvenience or inability to obtain a higher
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	economic or financial return. Because of the proportionate size that was described, the 2.7 percent versus the size of the wall, the grant of relief will not result in use of structure that is incompatible with or unreasonably interferes with adjacent or surrounding properties. Will result in substantial justice being done to both the applicant and adjacent or surrounding properties bringing more attention to and more use of the Fountain Walk area, and is not inconsistent with the spirit of the ordinance. MS. GRONACHAN: Second. MR. IBE: Second. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Any further discussion?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0058	(No audi bl e responses.) CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Seeing none, Ms. Nuculaj? MS. NUCULAJ: Chai rperson Ferrell? CHAI RPERSON FERRELL: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Gronachan? MS. GRONACHAN: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Ibe? MR. IBE: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Krieger?
1	MS. KRIEGER: Yes.
2	MS. NUCULAJ: And Member Sanghvi?
3	MR. SANGHVI: Yes.
4	MS. NUCULAJ: Motion passes five to zero.
5	MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you.
6	MS. KRIEGER: Looking forward to pizza.
7	MR. HECKERT: Thank you board members.
8	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: When are you opening?
9	MR. PHILLIPS: August. We're looking
10	forward to being in Novi.
11	MR. IBE: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Moving on to Case No.
13	5, PZ14-0007, 47900 Grand River, Applebee's
14	Restaurant.
15	MR. QUINN: Good evening, Ladies and
16	gentlemen. Matthew Quinn appearing on behalf of
17	Schostack, the owners of the Applebee's here in
18	Michigan. You know, I guess ex-older mayors don't
19	have to speak as fast as ex-new mayors to convince you
20	to get what they want.
21	You'll recall that Applebee's was we
22	were here on February 11th. I would like to
23	incorporate our presentation at that time and all the
24	comments and the diagrams we showed you. Because of
25	the typographical error in the paperwork and the
0059	publication, we could not get our total of an 8 foot
1	variance for a 6 foot sign. You granted us at that
2	time the 7 foot variance, and we had to come back for
3	Page 24

0.1	C. Nucline Tradium December Develop Marthum 4.0.0014 Mat. I had
4 CITY 6	of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol I.txt the extra foot making it 8 feet.
5	Now I would be more than happy to go
6	through all of my slides again for you, but if you
7	don't want me to do that, I'll be more than happy to
8 9	answer any questions, but we would like you to grant the variance so that the sign could be 8 feet tall.
10	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Thank you very much.
11	Anybody in the public have any comments?
12	(No audi bl e response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Hearing none, does
14 15	the city have any comments? MR. WALSH: No comments.
16	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Any correspondence?
17	MS. KRIEGER: In Case No. PZ14-0007, nine
18	were mailed, zero returned, zero approvals, zero
19 20	objections. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Open it up to the
21	board for any discussion.
22	MŠ. GRONACHAN: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Yes.
24 25	MS. GRONACHAN: Good evening. Welcome back. None of us has Alzheimer's so we all remember.
0060	
1	MR. QUINN: Good.
2 3 4	MS. GRONACHAN: And it's a mayors' meeting
3	l guess. MR. QUINN: I guess it must be, yes.
5 6	MS. GRONACHAN: There will be a group shot
6	after the meeting is over.
7 8	All kidding aside, I'm not going to make you go through everything. We do remember, and
9	because of the typographical error that you duly
10	noted, I will be in full support of increasing this
11	the 1 foot. And again as previously mentioned, it was
12 13	due to the lay of the land so to speak and uniqueness and the location, and I think that the 1 foot is only
14	going to help it more, especially in inclement weather
15	ğiven that the petitioner what the petitioner
16	previously presented to us in the February meeting.
17 18	That's it. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Thank you.
19	MS. GRONACHAN: Okay. I move in Case No.
20	PZ14-0007, Applebee's Restaurant, that the request to
21 22	increase the sign that was previously granted approved in February of 2014 from 7 feet to 8 foot. Based on
23	the previous case discussion both by the petitioner
24	and this board, the request is based upon
25	circumstances or features that are exceptional and
0061 1	unique to this property and do not result from
2	conditions that exist generally in the city, and it is
	definitely not self-created. The failure to grant
4 5	relief will unreasonably prevent the use or limit the use of this property and would result in substantially
6	more than a mere inconvenience. And the granted
7	relief will not result in the use of the structure
8	that is incompatible with or unreasonably interferes
9 10	with adjacent or surrounding properties. MR. SANGHVI: Second.
11	MS. KRIEGER: As per this petitioner?
12	MS. GRONACHAN: Specific to this petitioner
13 14	as previously indicated in the February minutes and
14	di scussi ons.

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	f Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol 1.txt CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Any other discussion? (No audible responses.) CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: We have motion and second. Call roll. MS. NUCULAJ: Chairperson Ferrell? CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Gronachan? MS. GRONACHAN: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Ibe? MR LBE: Yes
$\begin{array}{c} 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 0062\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 0063\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\end{array}$	MR. IBE: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Member Krieger? MS. KRIEGER: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: And Member Sanghvi? MR. SANGHVI: Yes. MS. NUCULAJ: Motion passes five to zero. MR. QUINN: Thank you very much. Always a pleasure to see you. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Thank you. Have a good night. Any other matters from the city or the board? (No audible responses.) CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Hearing none, motion for adjournment. MR. IBE: So moved. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: I'm sorry, I didn't get your MR. SANGHVI: I just wondered, I just have a question for the city council. The question is, is it appropriate for us to recommend to the mayor and the city council to revise some of these ordinances to keep up with the modern times? MS. SARELA: Anybody can make a suggestion. So if you wanted to propose something like that, that would be appropriate. MR. SANGHVI: I would concur. MR. SANGHVI: I to know he wrote it, so that's why I took him to task on it. Because obviously if you look at the language as presented, if we use the language alone for MS. SAARELA: We can't really talk about that case any more.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. IBE: It's over with. But anyway, it is something that is worthy of bringing up to the city council if they wish to address those issues. MR. SANGHVI: Yes, maybe the city council can take another look at some of these sign ordinances. MS. GRONACHAN: Or at least that particular request, that particular ordinance in particular. MS. SAARELA: If you have some thoughts on, you know, that section and other sections where you think there is some problems, maybe, you know, someone can put together a letter that you can all sign onto, submit it to city council to request the ordinance Page 26

City of Novi - Zoning Board of Appeals - Regular Meeting 4_8_2014 - Vol 1.txt 0064 review committee to start looking at some of these. 1 234567 MS. GRONACHAN: Looks like you can prepare it. MR. SANGHVI : Yes. MS. GRONACHAN: He has to be appointed first. MR. SANGHVI: All right. That's it. Thank 8 you. 9 CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: Any other discussion? 10 No? 11 (No audible responses.) MR. SANGHVI: I move to adjourn. MR. IBE: Second. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: A move and second. 14 15 We're adjourned. I'm sorry, I apologize. All in 16 favor? 17 THE BOARD: Aye. MS. KRI EGER: 18 None opposed. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: None opposed. Any 19 20 21 opposed? MS. KRI EGER: No. CHAIRPERSON FERRELL: 22 We're adjourned. 23 (The hearing was adjourned at 8:20 p.m.) * * ** 24 * * 25 0065 1 STATE OF MICHIGAN) SS. 2 COUNTY OF OAKLAND) 3 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, DIANE L. SZACH, a Notary Public in and for the above county and state, do hereby certify that the 4 deposition of said witness was taken before me at the time 5 and place hereinbefore set forth; witness was by me first 6 duly sworn to testify to the truth; that thereupon the foregoing questions were asked and foregoing answers made 7 stenographically and later reduced to typewritten form; and I certify that this is a true and correct transcript of my 8 stenographic notes so taken. I do further certify that I am not connected by blood or marriage to any of the parties, or their attorneys 9 or agents; that I am not an employee of them, nor am I 10 interested directly or indirectly in the matter in controversy either as counsel, agent, attorney, or 11 otherwi se. 12 13 14 DIANE L. SZACH, CSR-3170 15 Certified Shorthand Reporter Notary Public, Oakland County 16 Mi chi gan 17 My Commission Expires: 03/09/18. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25