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CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. It is 7:00 p.m. and it's time to call to order the Zoning Board of Appeals for August 15, 2017.

Will you please all rise and join us in the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Pledge recited.)
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Please be seated.

Madam Secretary, will you please call the roll.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Byrwa.
MR. BYRWA: Yes, here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Ferrell.
MR. FERRELL: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Gronachan.
MS. GRONACHAN: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger is absent,
excused.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Nafso.
MR. NAFSO: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montville.
MR. MONTVILLE: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Peddiboyina absent, excused.

MS. OPPERMANN: And Chairperson Sanghvi.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Here. Thank you.
The next item on the agenda is the public hearing format and rules of conduct. I think these rules are available for anybody to look at right in the front of the room so $I$ won't go into it, only special requests. Please turn off your cellphones. Thank you. Madam Secretary, is there any change in the agenda?

MS. OPPERMANN: No.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: I will entertain a motion to approve the agenda as presented.

MR. MONTVILLE: So moved.
MS. GRONACHAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Seconded, thank you. The motion has been made and seconded. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
MR. MONTVILLE: Aye. MS. GRONACHAN: Aye. MR. BYRWA: Aye. MR. NAFSO: Aye. MR. FERRELL: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Aye. Those opposed same sign.
Can we move on to the minutes from July 2017. Are there any changes, deletions, omissions, additions the minutes as presented?
MS. GRONACHAN: No.
MR. FERRELL: No.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: I don't see a comment from anybody, so may I have a motion to approve the minutes as presented?
MS. GRONACHAN: So moved.
MR. BYRWA: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. A motion has been made and seconded. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
MR. MONTVILLE: Aye.
MS. GRONACHAN: Aye.
MR. FERRELL: Aye.
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MR. NAFSO:
MR. BYRWA: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Aye. Those opposed same sign.

Now the next item on the agenda is public remarks. This is the time that anybody in the audience would like to make any comment to this board about any subject other than that which are on the agenda today.

Seeing none, we will move on and we'll close the public remarks section and we'll begin with our first case.

PZ17-0026: Christopher Leineke -- I hope I am pronouncing it correctly -- 2292 Austin Drive, south of Thirteen Mile and west of Old Novi Road, Parcel No. 50-22-10-227-018.

The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi, Ordinance Section 3.1.5 and also 4.19 for a 8.9 feet side yard, 15 feet allowed, and 2 foot side yard setback, 10 feet allowed, proposed lot coverage of 30 percent, 25 percent allowed, and a 1,000 square feet garage, 850 allowed. This property is zoned Single-Family Residential (R-4).

Is the applicant -- oh, you are already
here. Very good. Will you please identify yourself with name and address, and if you are not an attorney, please be sworn in by our secretary. Thank you.

MR. LEINEKE: Okay, I'm not an attorney.
MR. FERRELL: Just go ahead and state and spell your name for the secretary so she can record it.

MR. LEINEKE: Christopher Hale Leineke.
MR. FERRELL: Spell it for her, too.
MR. LEINEKE: Oh, spell it,
C-h-r-i-s-t-o-p-h-e-r, H-a-l-e, L-e-i-n-e-k-a, 2297 Austin Drive, Novi.

MR. FERRELL: Go ahead and raise your right hand.
(Mr. Christopher Leineke sworn.)
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Please go ahead and make your presentation.

MR. LEINEKE: So there's a little house I bought that's across the street from where I live right now kiddy-corner to the right and it's like a 1940s build so it's very old, it's very dated. It has a little tiny garage that sets way back on the property maybe 15 feet from the rear property line, so it's quite a ways from the front of the property line.

So my plan is to -- well, I wanted to bring the garage forward 20 feet because the neighbors complain if you have a trailer or if you have anything other -- I don't know, people just complain if you have a trailer in your yard, you know, so I'm trying to make enough room to get a truck and a trailer in the garage. So that's one of the things I want to do.

And then the other thing that I want to do with the house is it's an old house. It started off as a little shack, you know, and then they added on to it, and the part they added on has a flat roof, so my plan is to tear, remove all the flat roof, the walls, the foundation, and then put new foundation where the old foundation is and then bring that up to a full two-story and then to modernize the house, update the plumbing, the electric, of course the windows, make it -- you know, build it to today's standards, make it energy efficient and bring it up to code, and it will improve the neighborhood.

I mean the neighbors on both sides, I've shown them the plans and they're -- I mean, nobody's here to object to anything we're doing. The one neighbor came up tonight and wished us luck so they're
hoping that we're able to do it because we're going to put some money into it and it will improve not only our house, but it will improve the neighborhood as well.

So that's my case.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. If there
is anybody in the audience who would like to make a comment about this case, this is the time to come forward.

Seeing none, we will call the city.
Mr. Butler, any comments from the city?
MR. BUTLER: No comment at this time, no. CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Do we have any correspondence, Mr. Secretary?

MR. FERRELL: Yes, Mr. Chair. There's 34
letters mailed, two letters returned, zero approvals, six objections.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
Thank you for your presentation. I came
and visited and saw your place and I have looked at it.
MS. GRONACHAN: He's got six objections. CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Oh, yes. Go ahead. MR. FERRELL: This one is from Mark

Robbins, 2292 Austin Drive. It's quite lengthy so I'm
going to kind of highlight it.
The reasons for his objections are a 2 foot side yard setback.
"I object to the setback request as it increases the crowding of homes on the Shawood lake side of Austin Drive. The setback also creates a safety issue. I understand the garage corner is sitting across the property line and is on the neighbor's property. I'm not sure it's the best interest of the neighborhood to have the house and garage span the entirety of the lot to save 2 feet.
"Water view will be blocked for 2293 and 2295 Austin Drive homes. My home across from the City of Novi has a home with a water view. Assessor's Office and I argued the point in arbitration and the city showed pictures. I've been paying taxes on this view for 23 years. There is value assigned by the City of Novi and I feel the value needs to be defined."

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Another one?
MR. FERRELL: Yes. This one is from Rachel Sines, 2219 Austin Drive.
"My apologies for not attending the meeting in person, but \(I\) am out of town. I object to the side
yard setbacks. This would further crowd the homes on the water and eliminate my water view that \(I\) enjoy daily from the front porch.
"Additionally, this will decrease my home value and potentially my assessed value for taxes because I would no longer be able to claim water views."

Another objection from Richard, I think it's Adams, A-d-a-m-s, at 2300 Shawood.
"I've lived here for a long time." It says looks like 760 years, but that's not right, obviously, so 60 years maybe. "The main concern here is safety with kids next door. Three, this change will eliminate access to the lake if there were an emergency; i.e. fall through ice, water near drowning. I have to cut grass at both homes with access." I can't read it. "It will be near impossible to get to my backyard to main" -again, something \(I\) can't read it. And that is it.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
MR. LEINEKE: Do I comment to those? Can I respond?

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: You may, I'll let you, but wait a minute, okay, and I'll ask for comments.

As I was saying, I have come and visited
your place and I looked around, thank you.
I'll open up to the board for any comments. Yes, Ms. Gronachan.

MS. GRONACHAN: You didn't post your pictures that we have in the case and I saw that you spread something out that you have with you. Can you put it on the projector so we can take a look?

We talked about the garage, but there seems to be some concern about the size of the dwelling that you're -- and while you're doing that, I, too, went out and looked at your property and you have got quite a challenge going on out there.

For one, it's not very wide. The lot size is very unique; it's a pretty odd shape. The elevation is involved, if I'm not mistaken. I didn't get out of the car, but the back of your yard drops quite a bit, does it not?

MR. LEINEKE: Yes, all of the houses right there on that side, which they just did two. One was a complete demolition and a full rebuild, which is larger than the footprint of my house by a long ways, and then probably about four houses down they did another almost complete demo and rebuild. I mean it is what it is.

It's lakefront.

MS. GRONACHAN: So while you're talking about that, on the house specifically, you mentioned that you're going to be updating the house. Are you doing a complete teardown or are you leaving part of it?

MR. LEINEKE: Yes.
MR. GRONACHAN: So how much are you leaving and how much are you -- what are you adding to it? I think that would help settle some of this in question.

MR. LEINEKE: Sure. So as far as the footprint of the house, it will not change at all. The foundation dimension stays exactly the same so it doesn't change the footprint at all, it's zero.

And then like I said, there's this flat part that comes off the house. I'll show you once we get this projector working.

MS. GRONACHAN: May I ask you what the square footage of the house will be upon completion?

MR. LEINEKE: I think right now it is about 1,400, and I think with what we're doing it's like 20 by 40 so two times four, it might be 1,900 when we're done. I haven't -- all I did is I paid the architect, we designed the house. I'm really not concerned with the
square footage because I'm going to live there so I didn't really say \(I\) want it bigger or smaller, you know what I mean.

MS. GRONACHAN: Well, my purpose for asking that question is usually people that live on the lake have limited storage. So there is no basement on this house; is that correct?

MR. LEINEKE: No, there's actually a basement on this house, yes.

MS. GRONACHAN: And is the basement
finished or --
MR. LEINEKE: No, it's unfinished.
MS. GRONACHAN: So then the garage, what is the need to having a larger garage to go from the 850 square feet to the 1,000?

MR. LEINEKE: Because I can't get my trailers in my garage, and I do a lot of work with trailers. And, I mean, I just had a citation by the ordinance person here from the City of Novi that the -there were two trailers in the driveway.

They're flat trailers; nothing is stored above even 4 feet. They had some stuff from a pontoon boat that I'm rebuilding right now and then some
shelving that's going to go in the garage once it's done, but they're just two little trailers and people were calling and complaining about them.

MS. GRONACHAN: So your intent is to move from across the street into this house and this will be your main house when you get done?

MR. LEINEKE: Yes, ma'am.
MS. GRONACHAN: Sorry that that created a project here by asking that. I don't have any further questions at this time and I'll reserve my further comments for after I hear from my other board members. CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Anybody else?

MR. NAFSO: What's the difference between the proposed height and the current height of the home?

MR. LEINEKE: Well, the flat part is
probably -- it's a flat roof. In the back it's probably, \(I\) don't know, 20 feet, you know, or less, and then to go to a two-story it's probably going to be another 10 feet on top of that.

MR. NAFSO: Your plan states 28 feet -MR. LEINEKE: Yes. MR. NAFSO: -- so that's about right. MR. LEINEKE: It's not above the ordinance
for how tall a building can be in the city of Novi as a single-family residence. We're not building a tower or three-story house, anything like that.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes, Mr. Montville.
MR. MONTVILLE: From my perspective, a couple different things. One is, just in general, do you know what year the original house was built?

MR. LEINEKE: You know --
MR. MONTVILLE: Rest assured, clearly it was a long time ago.

MR. LEINEKE: Yes, so approximately 1940.
MR. MONTVILLE: So adding the aesthetic improvement \(I\) think is a positive. I look at the first three requests for variances and, again, these aren't anything above and beyond what the current footprint is, and with the fourth for the garage, to me, it sounds like a minimal extension in order to have a better impact on the surrounding homes and families.

MR. LEINEKE: Yes.
MR. MONTVILLE: So I have zero hesitation on the first three. To my fellow board members, I'm leaning towards approving and being supportive of the fourth, but would again open that up to my fellow board
members for their thoughts.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Anybody else? No. MS. GRONACHAN: So now --

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Oh, go ahead.

MS. GRONACHAN: Thank you, sorry. Can you have the picture of the garage up? Do you have a picture of the garage?

MR. LEINEKE: The garage is -- it's actually not shown on the drawings right now, but \(I\) can show you on this here.

So we're the -- the garage right now sits about where my finger is, somewhere right in this area (indicating), and we're simply going to bring the garage to about where that window is right there, so that window would just disappear. So the door will still be there, and then it will be a roof like this (indicating) in the same shape as this roof here, it will be right here, and then it will extend out the width of the driveway or approximately 15-16 feet wide.

MS. GRONACHAN: So it's in the back of the driveway right now setting kind of down a hill?

MR. LEINEKE: Uh-hum.

MS. GRONACHAN: Is it going to -- I'm not
clear. Is it still going to be attached to the house or are you just going to pick it up and bring it forward basically from where it is?

MR. LEINEKE: Well, the garage that's there right now is going to stay right there.

MS. GRONACHAN: Oh, you're keeping that garage?

MR. LEINEKE: Yes. I'm simply building on to make the garage 1,000 square feet instead of 850 so we're going to add 20 feet to the length of the garage.

MS. GRONACHAN: Okay.
MR. LEINEKE: So in front of the garage right now it's flat, the ground is flat and level, so just 20 feet. And all that that does is allows me to get my truck and trailers into the garage.

MS. GRONACHAN: I have no problem with
that. I was not clear that you were reusing the -- or repurposing your garage. You're just adding to it?

MR. LEINEKE: Yes.
MS. GRONACHAN: If that's the case, given the previous comments that I made about lot size, uniqueness, you've got a challenge out there.

MR. LEINEKE: Yes, we do.


MR. LEINEKE: Right now the garage is approximately 16 feet wide. I don't have a calculator on me or a pencil. I do. Here I go.

So right now the garage is approximately -let's just say it's 30 by 16, that would be 480 square feet, and if we make it 20 by 15, we're going to add -say we're adding 300. We're adding a 15 by 20 , not 40 feet. It's only going to be extended 20 feet by 15 feet wide so we're going to add 300. So really it might be 780 to 800, approximately 800 square feet.

MR. BYRWA: So how close to that is 1,000 square foot that you were asking for in the write-up?

MR. LEINEKE: I think we're going to be below the 1,000 by approximately 100 to 200 square feet because we're only going to bring it 20 feet forward. That's what I'm asking for, adding 20 feet of land to the front of it.

MR. BYRWA: So it's not to exceed, then -in a motion, it could be not to exceed 1,000 square foot?

MR. LEINEKE: Oh, yes, absolutely, yes, sir.

MR. BYRWA: Okay, that would be fine, yes.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Anybody else?

Now, as you heard, a couple of people have objected about the view, it's going to offset their view and all that. Have you any comments about that?

MR. LEINEKE: Well, the only comment that I will say is the people that are going to complain about the view, they don't have waterfront property. They actually live across the street from the lake. Myself, I live across the street from the lake. So if somebody were across the street from me and they built onto their house, I don't think it's my right to complain you're ruining my view, number one.

I don't live on the lake side of the street, so really shame on me. I don't own the property. The people that live on that side of the lake, it's my opinion - it's only my opinion - those are the people that pay the higher taxes that are truly entitled to the view of the lake. And none of my neighbors are complaining that by what I'm doing is going to limit their view. So that's really all. That's my opinion.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Any
further discussion?
Seeing none, \(I\) would entertain a motion. MS. GRONACHAN: Okay, I'll give it a shot. I move that we grant the variance in Case No. PZ17-0026, Christopher Leineke, at 2292 Austin Drive, for the following variances: a 2 foot side yard setback first one, 8.9 feet side yard setback where 15 feet allowed; a 2 foot side yard setback where 10 foot is allowed; an increase of lot coverage from 25 to 30 percent; and the increase of the garage not to exceed 1,000 square feet where 850 square foot is allowed. Based on the testimony given by the petitioner and the comments made by the board, this property is unique due to several reasons. One, lot size and the limited size; the uniqueness and odd shape of the property; the elevation and the degree of difficulty that the petitioner will have in building and being creative in trying to get all of this into that small piece of property, quite frankly.
The petitioner did not create this
condition because this property was established with a small house. As given testimony by petitioner, the house is probably back from the 1940s, approximately.

The relief granted would not unreasonably interfere with the adjacent surrounding properties because the view is not really blocked given that the current house is there. There is going to be some height added to the property. However, it is within the ordinance and the ability that the owner of the property gets to build on.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance due to the fact that this is a unique and older piece of property and when first created did not meet any of the current ordinances, and if the variances were not granted, then the upgrades and improvements on the residence would not be available.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Do I have a second?

MR. BYRWA: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Any further discussion on the motion?

Seeing none, Madam Secretary, please call the roll.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Byrwa.
MR. BYRWA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Ferrell.
 the City of Novi Ordinance Section 5.11.2.A to install
an interior side yard fence. This property is zoned Residential Acreage (R-A).

MR. CAPELLO: Good evening. Kim Capello on behalf of the applicants, Marco and Kelly Dumouchelle. Kim Capello, C-a-p-e-l-l-o, 26444 Taft Road, Novi, Michigan.

After contacting the city --
MR. FERRELL: Are you an attorney?
MR. CAPELLO: Yes.
MR. FERRELL: You are, okay. I was writing and I didn't catch that, sorry.

MR. CAPELLO: After contacting the city and picking up an application to apply on their own, they got confused with the application for good reason and then gave me a call to help them fill out the application.

The issue here is easy: just asking for a side yard fence. The technical requirements to meet the requirements for a variance are more technical. I think I've met all the technical requirements in the application.

This is property in Pioneer Meadows, and I think Pioneer Meadows was the first subdivision on the
west side of Novi. It could have been Echo Valley, but I really think Pioneer Meadows was the first subdivision.

That subdivision was constructed and occupied years and years ago. There are a handful of lots along Beck Road that have never been developed. A couple years ago a developer did come in, picked up all the remaining lots, and has started to build new homes on those lots, lots that had been vacant for years and years and years.

The Dumouchelles have purchased a house on the corner of Sierra -- Sienna and Beck which is the southeast corner to Beck and Sierra; their house faces Sierra, the side of the house faces Beck. There's a couple pictures attached to the application and that really shows you the location of the fence and what the fence looks like from Beck Road.

Beck Road right-of-way is quite a distance. It's quite a distance from the edge of Beck Road to the location of the fence, and the fence is a little bit within the property line, 2 feet within the property line.

They have two young children, a small dog
and a third child on the way, I understand. They need a fenced yard. If they were further in the subdivision, there wouldn't be an issue because they could fence their yard. It would make no sense to allow them to put a fence across the front, the side and the rear and not have a fence along Beck Road. They need four enclosed areas for the safety of their children and safety of their dog.

If I might, I just received a couple of pictures. Is somebody helping me with this or is it going to pop up? There we go.

This winter a car slid off of Beck Road through the right-of-way and ended up on their front yard. Those are the tire tracks from that car. That car could have easily went into the rear yard. Would the fence have stopped the car? I can't say that for sure, but there's a better chance that the fence would stop a car sliding off of Beck Road than having no fence there at all.

You know, I've known this ordinance was there for years. I'm not sure what the purpose of the ordinance is. Perhaps on an interior road within a residential subdivision you might want some type of
setback or not a fence along the non-front of the home for visibility purposes, but in this case, as you're coming to the stop sign, you've got the entire full right-of-way between the side yard and Beck Road. It doesn't create any visibility problem at all.

Along Beck Road there are several fences similar to this. Actually, this is the nicer looking fence aesthetically than the fences that are there, and they would not meet today's ordinance. Across the street there is a brick wall along Beck Road which was required by the city when they built condominiums. It's in the rear yard so it doesn't violate the ordinance, but it's a brick wall, if you want to consider that a fence, which \(I\) don't think it is, but it's a lot less offensive than the fence that they've constructed.

With today's ordinances, if you're going to -- Pioneer Meadows was developed years and years ago. If you were to develop that subdivision today, you would either have a brick wall along that area of Beck Road or you'd have a berm. You'd have something between the residential homes and Beck Road for various purposes: noise, safety, other reasons. Even through they're not meeting the fence ordinance, they are meeting the intent
of the new ordinances with new subdivisions.

So as I said, I think I've met the requirements, technical requirements to have the variance granted.

There's two neighbors cast [sic] the application have agreed, I don't think anybody has objected, and there's a couple neighbors here today that agree with the fence. So we'd ask you to grant the variance so they can leave their fence in place.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Is there anybody in the audience who would like to make a comment about this case? Please come forward right now. Thank you.

Identify yourself, give your name and address.

MR. KAISER: My name is Steve Kaiser, I live at 47269 Sierra Drive. I am their direct neighbor.

This new home was built adjacent to my property. We share a fence on the west side of my property facing Beck Road.

During the move-in process, the new homeowner approached me with the potential idea of installing a fence around the remainder of his property
and asked me what I thought about it. He didn't tell me he was going to put a fence in, he asked me what \(I\) thought about it. It's a fairly unusual circumstance in today's environment.

I mentioned to him that my childhood home from the sixth grade through college was at the corner of Farmington Road and Joy Road, two main thoroughfares, and my family, myself and my two younger sisters were quite aware of what it's like to live on a main thoroughfare: the beeping of the horns, the hollering out the windows. My father would sit on the porch and the passersbys might say something about, "How's it going, pops" on a Sunday afternoon. So when I heard about the fence, I made my recommendations to him. And what he has installed is well designed, very highly -it has a high quality of construction to it. And as was mentioned before, as you exit the subdivision on Sierra, no portion of the fence hinders your view from traffic coming from the south northbound on Beck Road. So it would be my hope that the council will permit this fence. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Anybody else? I think somebody is coming.

Please state your name and address.
MS. BURNS: Hi, Kim Burns, address 47296
Sierra. We live directly across the street from the variance in question.

I am here just to gain the support of the committee to approve this variance. I actually find it a bit disappointing that we're spending this much time and money on something that looks so fantastic, has improved the community, and is ensuring the safety of their children and their family.

I appreciate and respect the ordinances of course, and appreciate the steps that need to be taken, but again, full support. The house has been a big improvement.

The house that we live in was a foreclosure and we've had to put a lot of money into it, and we currently have a fence, and I certainly hope I don't have to go through these same motions to make improvements because our fence does need improvement.

So again, full support. I think they've done something that consider the safety and the aesthetics of the community, and they've done a fantastic job to improve the surrounding neighborhood,
and that's it.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Anybody else?

Seeing none, any comments from the city?
MR. BUTLER: No comments.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: No comments, very
good. Mr. Secretary, any correspondence?
MR. FERRELL: Yes, Mr. Chair. Twenty letters mailed, one letter returned, zero objections, zero approvals.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. I'll open up to the board, please. Yes, Ms. Gronachan.

MS. GRONACHAN: Good evening, it's been a long time. Usually you were on this side. It's been many years.

MR. CAPELLO: I was. I'm happy to be over here, actually.

MS. GRONACHAN: Yeah, it's been reversed.
MR. CAPELLO: As I was getting ready to come to this meeting tonight, I thought, oh, it's so nice I don't have to do this every two weeks.

MS. GRONACHAN: There you go. I have to say that when I drove out there I was -- and this has no
bearing on this case -- but \(I\) was overwhelmed with the amount of privacy fences in that subdivision and how it really took away from some of those residents in there. It is a lovely neighborhood. It's been a long time since we've been out there for cases. I understand, too, the purpose of this ordinance, but this house and the fence, I don't know how it went up before it got approved, but having said that, being new to the area I'm going to let that go.

I think that given the location and the unique situation that this was built after the fact, so to speak, there's a huge safety factor out there, as you mentioned. I think that the petitioner did a great job on the fence, and I'm in full support. I cannot see a reason to not support it, and basically the biggest thing is the safety.

And that ordinance does need to get looked at, and I would make a recommendation for ordinance review to review it in the future. So I will be in full support.

MR. CAPELLO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Anybody else? Go
ahead, Mr. Byrwa.
 you prepared to make a motion?

MR. MONTVILLE: No.
MR. FERRELL: I'll make a motion.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Go ahead and make a motion. Thank you.

MR. FERRELL: I move that we grant the variance in Case No. PZ17-0028 sought by the petitioner for interior side yard fence. Without the variance, petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property due to the safety and security of having their children in the backyard to play, and their dogs.

The property is unique due to the property being on a corner of the main roads of Beck and Sierra. Petitioner did not create the condition. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties. The sides are located on the main road and it's 2 feet inside the property line.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance and additionally have multiple neighbors adjacent to the property supporting the request is the reason why I feel we should approve this.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.

MS. GRONACHAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?

Seeing none, Madam Secretary, please call
the roll.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Byrwa.
MR. BYRWA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Ferrell.
MR. FERRELL: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Gronachan.
MS. GRONACHAN: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Nafso.
MR. NAFSO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montville.
MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Chairperson Sanghvi.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
MR. CAPELLO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Next case is PZ17-0030: Ron Morelli/Benito's Pizza, 24270 Novi Road east of Novi Road and north of Ten Mile Road, Parcel No. 50-22-23-351-064.

The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Ordinance Section 5.2.12 to allow for 30 additional parking spaces for proposed expansion, 89 parking spaces are currently available and 114 are required. This property is zoned General Business (B-3).

Will you please identify yourself, your name and address, and if you're not an attorney, please respond to our secretary.

MR. MORELLI: I'm not an attorney. My name is Ron Morelli. My address is 179 Eagle Press Drive, South Lyon, Michigan.

MR. FERRELL: Can you spell your last name, please?

MR. MORELLI: M-o-r-e-l-l-i.
MR. FERRELL: Raise your right hand.
(Mr. Ron Morelli sworn.)
MR. FERRELL: Go ahead.
MR. MORELLI: I am operations manager for the Benito's Pizza Corporation and we're here seeking a variance for 30 parking spaces for an expansion that we want to do.

I would like to introduce the people that
are here today. To your far left is Benito; he's the founder and president of our company. He started Benito's in 1979. His wife Joyce; Jim, who is one of the managers at the store; and then Benito's three sons: Jason, Michael and Maro.

I want to let Michael, who is the head chef and head manager of that store, if you'll allow him to, to give you the scope of what we want to do or what we want to accomplish at that store, and then I can answer any questions you may have as far as practical difficulty and what our traffic studies and all of that type kind of stuff get if you'll allow that.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
MR. MALTONI: Well, thank you. My name is Michael Maltoni. I want to thank Ron for all of his hard work and I'd like to thank the committee for having us here today.

When we first -- well, first I'd like to say that nine years ago we started a new concept for our company. It's Benito's Cafe, and I'm sure that some of you are familiar with it, hopefully all. And we had a vision to have it be an Italian cafe with an American twist, but we didn't have -- we weren't ready to do the
full scope of it. However, after being in Novi for nine years now - well, it will be nine years in October - we feel like we have, you know, successfully, first of all, been able to garner up enough support in the community, and also experience and, of course, the wherewithal, the capital to be able to do it.

We've had success here, but we still always thought of it as a work-in-progress because the full scope was to make it something bigger, something grander, and we're really focused on making a unique concept where it will be unique to the City of Novi and will also be unique, actually, to the Greater Metro Detroit area.

Shortly after we opened nine years ago, we quickly affirmed that the City of Novi was a perfect place for us to fulfill this dream of having a cafe and kind of to redefine ourselves, because if you're aware of all of our other locations, you know they're strictly mostly takeout and delivery and it's Benito's Pizza. And not to take away from those type of units, but we wanted to do something a little bit grander.

We've gotten a lot of support from the community. We have good word of mouth, we have great
feedback and, in general, you know, people always give us compliments and say how much they enjoy us being here. Novi has been very welcoming, and honestly we've went through a lot -- I've gone through a lot of growth and development, and we have -- they have been very patient at times, too, because we haven't started off perfect, you know, it's been a growing process. And if you notice, I mean, we're a family business and so we're beginning to mature.

I just wanted to talk a second more about the community of Novi. My brothers, they both actually live in Novi, it's a great city, and if you talk to anyone else who knows me, customers or whatever, they would say that I actually live in Novi, too. I am at the Benito's Cafe pretty much every day, and I've been doing that for nine years. I've been fully committed to making this as great of an experience as I can.

This is my brother Jason Maltoni. He, of course, works just as hard as I do, but he works at other ends of the business, and my other brother Maro Maltoni, who is sitting right there.

MR. JASON MALTONI: I want to say
something. Jason Maltoni, 40562 Village Oaks. I hate
to interrupt you, but just to go on what Michael's -CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Excuse me. You must identify yourself and give your address.

MR. JASON MALTONI: Jason Maltoni, 40562
Village Oaks, Novi, Michigan.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay.
MR JASON MALTONI: We're getting to the point -- like he said, we've been here for nine years. We're getting to the point we're getting to the end of our lease. We would like to renew it.

The place has been very successful and we need the extra space to move the cafe concept to the next level and to pretty much give us the space that is needed to accomplish our goals.

Typically this landlord likes to sign a ten-year lease. We would like it prepared properly. I mean another ten years, you know, you never know what could happen.

Obviously the parking is an important part of that, to be able to have the customers and all the businesses around us be able to do business properly. And based on the analysis we received from various -from the city and from the company that we hired, we
think that it's very possible to get the extra parking spots allocated to allow us to accomplish that goal.

So I just wanted to give you a little bit of heads up where we're at with the whole process with the landlord and trying to move this project to the next step, moving for the next ten years so we can be here long term.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Is that all?

MR. JASON MALTONI: I didn't want to say what we planned to do with the expansion. So right now we specialize in pizzas and pastas and paninis and subs and salads and soups and artisan breads. What we want to do in the cafe is expand it even further and take our homemade concept to another level, and we want to expand by making homemade gelato.

We're going to add a whole gelato case, and there will be a lot more when you walk in. You'll see a whole dessert case full of homemade desserts that will be unique and they'll be inventive. And also we'll have a full coffee and espresso bar where we will have our unique blends of coffee and espresso. And also we'll have full liquor with a beer and wine bar to enhance the
experience for our guests which we haven't had as of yet.

So we're fully committed to doing this concept. We want to do it here in Novi, and right now we're looking -- we're seeking the variance for the parking to enable us to be able to do that.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Is there anybody in the audience who would like to make a comment about this case?

Seeing none, City, any comments, Mr. Butler?

MR. BUTLER: Just one comment. I just wanted to make sure that you're aware that the parking lot is an existing parking lot and will not be expanding, so basically what is there is there so that's what they have to work with.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
Mr. Secretary, any correspondence?
MR. FERRELL: Mr. Chair, there were 40 letters mailed, zero letters returned, zero approvals, zero objections.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
I came and visited your place and I am very
happy that you are expanding and \(I\) wish you luck. I will open up to the board, please.

Yes, Ms. Gronachan.
MS. GRONACHAN: Good evening. It's one of my favorite places so I'm glad to hear that you're expanding, especially when you bring people in and there's not enough room. I'm sure you don't want to hear about that. So we're all happy.

Could you expand a little bit in the picture on -- because looking at that parking lot it's quite a challenge that you've got. So what's going to be removed? You're not expanding the parking lot, right?

MR. MORELLI: Well, we're not expanding the parking lot and nothing's changing outside at all; the place is going to stay exactly the same. The cleaners is moving so we would like to take that space over. We had two meetings with Margaret Beth and the people here in planning and that kind of stuff on how we can accomplish this. They gave us the list of -a short list of different traffic engineers. We hired one of them to do a traffic study. And by the way, I have to tell you, you know, we feed people, and I will
tell you that Kate has been unbelievable in helping us with this paperwork because this paperwork isn't what we do, but she's been great. You guys are very lucky to have her.

So we went to the city, they told us what we needed to do, we had the study done and then prepared ourselves for what -- you know, I got the packet offline to look at what practical difficulty and all of that kind of thing is, and I will tell you that the practical difficulty that \(I\) see is the makeup of the people that are there. I mean that parking lot does go around Subway, and when you look at the parking requirements based on square footage, and if it's a restaurant, it's based on seats, it came up with a number which was 89, but there's -- you know, the people, the makeup that's there, once the cleaners goes, is going to be Penn Station, ourselves, the bank, which is closed on weekends, closed nights, which is when the restaurants are open, and then a health club. The health club isn't a typical health club like a Planet Fitness. It's one client, one trainer, so very low traffic volume that's there. And if you go by there now, the parking lot is virtually empty.

In fact, \(I\) went by there on the way here and there were 11 cars in the entire parking lot. Before that, I drove a mile down to Meadowbrook and looked at the complex that was there. That really shows the real heart of what the ordinance is, which is they have Busch's, Rite-Aid, Ace Hardware, Pet Supplies Plus, Hallmark, a lot of traffic, especially on the weekends.

When I looked at the traffic study that we got, it was done in the middle of the day which the two organizations, UTI and the other one, I can't remember the names right now, both did theirs based on formula and came up with what, with the makeup and the size of the buildings that are there, how many parking spaces are needed and what would be deficient or extra based on weekends, weekdays, those kind of things. They showed that there would be an eight extra spots, 8 to 17 extra spots on the weekends, but where we're at, that's not -it's completely the opposite.

The weekends is when we have the most parking because the bank is closed. They count the square footage of the bank as 27 parking spaces for the bank. I don't think there's been 27 cars at the bank ever because banks -- and that doesn't count the queue
lane, it's actually parking spaces. So they show in the parking study that was done, they showed during the week, and Penn Station and us are both really heavy, middle of the week lunchtime places. In fact, that's Penn Station's, the bulk of their business is at lunchtime, and the study was done at 1:00 in the afternoon, and it showed that in the middle of the week, 1:00 in the afternoon, there were only 41 of the 89 parking spaces used which left a balance of 48 parking spaces that were there, well within the 30 that we're asking for.

I mean 30 is a lot, I get it, but with the cleaners being gone and just Penn Station and ourselves there that are open later, open on the weekends, and that kind of thing, I think that it fits, and there would still be an excess of parking. I mean, please, God, let the pizza gods let the 30 spaces that we want always be busy, be full and there'd still be an excess of 18 parking spaces based on the traffic study that was done.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Anybody else any comment? Go ahead.

MR. MONTVILLE: I'm in full support and I'm
prepared to make a motion at this time.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: No further
discussion, \(I\) will entertain a motion.
MR. MONTVILLE: I move that we grant the variance request in Case No. PZ17-0030 sought by Morelli and Benito's Pizza for a variance for 30 additional parking spaces as petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring these additional parking spaces for their business zoned within the General Business zoning.

Without the variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented and limited with respect to the use of their property due to their expansion and the type of business and the surrounding businesses requiring some additional parking in the lot.

The property is unique due to the preexisting lot that they'll be modifying but not expanding upon.

The petitioner did not create this condition as it was a preexisting condition, and again they would only be modifying, not expanding upon, the usage of the parking lot within the zone.

The relief will not unreasonably interfere with the adjacent or surrounding properties as reflected
within the traffic study and the community development partnership with the City of Novi and the applicants during their review process.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance as it is, again, not an increase but just a modification, and with the business being in the General Business zoning, and being a consumer-oriented type business, it's an improvement for the city and an improvement for the citizens.

For those reasons, I move that we grant the variance as it has been requested.

MS. GRONACHAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. A motion has been made and seconded. Any further discussion?

Seeing none, Madam Secretary, please call the roll.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Byrwa.
MR. BYRWA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Ferrell.
MR. FERRELL: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Gronachan.
MS. GRONACHAN: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Nafso.

MR. NAFSO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montville.
MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Chairman Sanghvi.
CHAIRMAN SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
MR. MORELLI: Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

MS. GRONACHAN: Good luck.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
Next Case is PZ17-0031: Ann Smith, 226
Henning Drive, west of Old Novi Road south of South Lake Drive, Parcel No. 22-03-376-004.

The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Ordinance Section 3.32 for a front yard setback of 11 feet for construction of a new unenclosed porch, 30 feet allowed by code. This property is zoned Single-Family Residential.

Is the applicant here? Yes. Will you please identify yourself and state your name and address, and if you are not an attorney, please be sworn in by our secretary. Go ahead.

MS. SMITH: My name is Ann Smith, I live at

226 Henning. I'm at the corner of Henning and Pembine, and I'm asking for -- okay.

MR. FERRELL: Go ahead and raise your right hand for me and I'll swear you in real quick.
(Ms. Ann Smith sworn).
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Go ahead.
MS. SMITH: Okay, I'm asking for an 11 foot variance to put a porch across the front of my house. I had a porch there and we found out that it had carpenter ants in it. When we'd walk down the steps, they would sag and it was all rotten. So I had new siding put on the house and a new front door and I thought, okay, I've got to put the porch back. So I thought, okay, let's put it across the whole front of the house to make it really cute. So I'm asking for an 11 foot variance.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Is there anybody in the audience who would like to make a comment about this case? Please come forward and identify yourself.

MS. IAQUINTA: My name is Susan Iaquinta, I-a-q-u-i-n-t-a. I'm not an attorney, I'm a neighbor. I'm a realtor in the area as well. I live at 29755 Lilley Trail around the corner from her. I pass by her
house often. I'm friends with her now, and she's consistently made improvements to the property, and I think this will improve her property aesthetically as well to adding her front porch on.

And like she said, she's updated the home since she's bought it. She's added a garage, driveway, siding, and it's improving the looks of the neighborhood, and I think it's improving the properties surrounding her.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Anybody else?

Seeing none -- do you want to say something?

MR. BYRWA: Yes, I just had a question here. The dimensions aren't --

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: We will come to that in one second.

Does the city have any comments?
MR. BUTLER: No comments at this time.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Mr. Secretary.
MR. FERRELL: Yes, Mr. Chair. There were
31 letters mailed, zero letters returned, three approvals, zero objections.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
MR. FERRELL: The first approval is by
Erica Brennan, \(B-r-e-n-n-a-n, ~ I ~ t h i n k . ~\)
"We are in favor of allowing Ann to build her deck. Since she moved in a few years ago, she has made several improvements to her home. The deck on the front will be a nice addition."

The next approval is from Curt and Michelle Werner, W-e-r-n-e-r, 135 Henning Street, Novi.
"Hello, my name is Curt Werner and I live at 135 Henning Street, Novi. I'm writing this email to submit my approval of Ann Smith's request for a property variance of Parcel No. 50-22-03-376-004.
"The proposed deck poses no harm to any other property and continues to show improvements to our street. My family supports approval of Ann Smith's variance request."

Another one is from John and Stephanie Hall, \(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{l}\).
"We approve the porch addition at 226
Henning."
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay, thank you. Now I'll open up to the board. Mr. Byrwa.

MR. BYRWA: Yes, I've got a question on that front yard setback. It looks like it's showing 16 feet of front yard setback. Is it 16 or 18?

MS. SMITH: I don't know. I don't have any of the paperwork.

MR. BYRWA: Because if it's 16, then you would need a -- it would only be 9 foot after you put on a 7 foot porch; subtract that from 16 and it would be a 9 foot front yard setback, not an 11 foot. But with my eyes, I'm not sure if it's a -- if it's 18, then it would work. If it's 16, then it's not working.

MS. GRONACHAN: It looks like it's 16.
MR. BYRWA: So if you subtract the 7 foot porch, then you end up with a 9 foot setback, not an 11.

MR. BUTLER: That would be better because it would be less.

MS. GRONACHAN: So it's not 11 feet that she's asking for, then it's 9?

MR. BUTLER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: It would be 9 feet rather than 11 . Is that a problem?

MS. SAARELA: No.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Very good. Anybody

I have no objection to this and I'd be in full support.

MS. SMITH: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. I was there, too, and met your son-in-law, I believe, and we both looked at your place and I personally have no problem with granting your request.

Any further discussion? Seeing none, I will entertain a motion.

MR. MONTVILLE: Well, I'll just confirm with the city attorney before we do move forward. So this will be a setback of 9 feet, not 11 feet?

MS. SAARELA: Of course.
MR. MONTVILLE: I move that we grant the variance requested in Case No. PZ17-0031 by Ms. Ann Smith for a front yard setback of 9 feet, 30 feet
allowed, as the petitioner has shown a practical difficulty requiring this variance request.

Without the variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented and limited to using her home zoned Single-Family Residential due to her testimony and the current problem and need for an upgrade and remodel of her front yard.

The property is unique because, again, it's a non-created condition that she's working with; the petitioner did not create that condition. Again, it's a natural event that was out of the realm of her control, and also due to the dated nature of the property which she has continually worked to upgrade and this will be a further improvement and upgrade on the property.

The property will not unusually interfere with any adjacent or surrounding properties as, again, it is an upgrade and is the minimal necessary. And as reflected in zero of the objections and also the approval by the co-neighbor who verbally provided her support, there will not be anything negative to the surrounding area. And this relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of ordinance. For those reasons, I move that we grant this variance as has been
```

requested.
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MR. FERRELL: Support.
MS. GRONACHAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Any
further discussion?
Seeing none, Madam Secretary, please call
the roll.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Byrwa.
MR. BYRWA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Ferrell.
MR. FERRELL: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Gronachan.
MS. GRONACHAN: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Nafso.
MR. NAFSO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montville.
MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Chairperson Sanghvi.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
MS. SMITH: Thank you. I also wanted to
tell you that when I made this porch, there were animals
living underneath it, so this time we're going to take and put the frame around the porch and I'm adding like some kind of a grate and then I'm putting two and a half inches of pea gravel under there and then I'm putting a little picket fence around the whole things so no animals are going to live around my porch again. So it's going to be really well protected and it's going to be put together right.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
MR. GRONACHAN: Well, good luck.
MS. SMITH: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: The next case is PZ17-0032: Mr. Kevin S. Choksi, 20970 Turnberry Boulevard, west of Haggerty Road and north of Eight Mile Road, Parcel No. 50-22-36-451-008.

The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinance Section 3.1 .3 of 3 feet to reduce the side yard setback to 22 feet, 25 feet minimum required by code. This property is zoned Residential Acreage (R-A).

Please identify yourself, Mr. Choksi, and go ahead.

MR. CHOKSI: Hi, my name is Kevin Choksi,

C-h-o-k-s-i, I live at 20970 Turnberry Boulevard in Northville. And would you like to swear me in?

MR. FERRELL: Yes.
(Mr. Kevin Choksi sworn.)
MR. CHOKSI: I'm looking to add on to my existing home by adding a new bedroom on the first floor and exercise room and indoor pool. The home as it currently exists is on a lot that's deep and not very wide, so this is the only logical place to add the proposed rooms to the home.

Currently the home has all its bedrooms on either the second floor or the basement so there's no bedrooms on the first floor, so it makes it very difficult for my parents, for example, who are elderly, to come and stay here, both who have some physical restrictions.

So in order to make the home -- or in order to make the additional bedrooms an appropriate size for the home and similar to other bedrooms in the home and consistent with the neighborhood, we'd like to get a small variance to the setback requirements from 25 feet to 22 feet, so a 3 foot variance.

The way that the subdivision is organized
we're at the end of the cul-de-sac. The only neighbor that would be affected by the setback requirement is to the north. At the time \(I\) made the application \(I\) didn't have a letter from him, but \(I\) do now have a letter from my neighbor to the north who is in full support of that. In addition, the homeowners' association has reviewed and approved the change.

And so that's my request, and I look forward to hopefully you granting the request.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Is there anybody in the audience that would like to make any comment about this case?

Seeing none, city?
MR. BUTLER: No comment.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: No comments. Any correspondence, Mr. Secretary?

MR. FERRELL: Yes, Mr. Chairperson.
There's 15 letters mailed, zero letters returned, zero approvals, zero objections.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. I went and looked at your place and we had chance encounter when I was there. And thank you for making a great application, and also thank you for explaining to me
what you are planning to do, and I have no problem with your request.

I'll open up to the board, please. Go ahead.

MS. GRONACHAN: I have no problem with the request. I think it's minimal and I think that the petitioner laid it out very nicely in this packet so I have nothing more to add.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes, Mr. Ferrell.

MR. FERRELL: Ready to make a motion?
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Go ahead, please, go
ahead and make a motion. Any comments?
MR. NAFSO: I'll take a crack at it. CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay, go ahead. MR. FERRELL: Are you sure?

MR. NAFSO: Yes, there's a time for everything.

I move that we grant the variance in Case No. PZ17-0032 sought by Mr. Kevin Choksi for a 3 foot variance to reduce the side yard setback of 22 feet where 25 feet is the minimum required by the code because petitioner has shown practical difficulty at
that location, 20970 Turnberry Boulevard, city of Novi. Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because there's no first floor bedrooms and that's required and necessary for the petitioner so his parents don't have any issues accessing the bedroom at the home.

In addition, the property is unique because, as the petition stated, it's deep and wide, and that is the location where the addition works on the property.

The petitioner did not create the
condition. This is the layout of the property as it sits, and the relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with the adjacent or surrounding properties because this addition is on the north side of the property near a wooded area and the neighbor to the north as well as the homeowners' association not only don't object, but they are, in fact, in support of the requested variance.

In addition, the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance. I have nothing further.

MS. GRONACHAN: Second. I do --
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Anything further? Go ahead.

MR. GRONACHAN: I have something to add to the record not in regards to the motion, but the letter that the petitioner has got on the overhead. I feel it should be given to the secretary so it can be entered into our record.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes, we can introduce it as a part of the packet.

MS. GRONACHAN: As a part of the packet since we don't have --

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: And give it to the secretary, please.

MS. OPPERMANN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you,
Mr. Choksi.
Any further discussion? Yes, Mr. Byrwa.
MR. BYRWA: Yes. According to the drawing, and I just spotted this and I probably should have brought it up earlier, but it looks like that 3 feet, it says that that's being put into a 25 -foot private easement for franchise utilities.
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Now, is there a special approval that you have to have on that going into an easement?
MR. CHOKSI: Right, thank you for pointing that out. That is something we have already looked at. We actually confirmed with MISS DIG that, in fact, there are no existing utilities running in that area; they actually run on the south side of the home. And so given that there was no actual utilities running through that area, there's really no practical issue.
MR. BYRWA: So the 3-foot encroachment isn't a problem into the utilities then?
MR. CHOKSI: That's right.
MR. BYRWA: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Any further discussion?
Seeing none, Madam Secretary, please call the roll.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Byrwa.
MR. BYRWA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Ferrell.
MR. FERRELL: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Gronachan.
MS. GRONACHAN: Yes.
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MS. OPPERMANN: Member Nafso.
MR. NAFSO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montville.
MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Chairperson Sanghvi.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Enjoy your indoor swimming pool.

MR. CHOKSI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Moving on. The next case is PZ17-0033: Fountain Park of Novi LLC, 42101 Fountain Park Drive, east of Novi Road and south of Grand River Avenue, Parcel No. 50-22-23-251-020.

The applicant is requesting a variance form the City of Novi Code of Ordinance Section 28.5 F 3 and 28.5D to reconstruct one 10 by 7 foot square monument sign 3 feet within the right-of-way and two 6 square foot ground signs. One sign is located in the right-of-way and subject to Oakland County approval and one sign allowed by the code. This property is zoned Low-Density Multiple-Family.

The applicant is already here. Would you
please identify yourself with your name and address, and if you are not an attorney, please be sworn in by our secretary. Thank you.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you. My name is Steven Davis, D-a-v-i-s. I represent Fountain Park Apartments. I live at 4668 Drake Hollow Drive in West Bloomfield, Michigan.

MR. FERRELL: Are you an attorney?

MR. DAVIS: No, sir.

MR. FERRELL: Go ahead and raise your right hand.
(Mr. Steven Davis sworn.)

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Please go ahead. MR. DAVIS: First $I$ want to thank you for considering our request. Fountain Park Novi is a proud member of the Novi business community. We've been operating our apartment community since 1987, and we've won many multi awards through local and state associations and proud to say we're a six time People's Choice Award winner as voted on by the readers of the Novi News. And if you have gone through our property, you can probably tell why. We try to maintain it in immaculate condition.

What we're requesting is we have an existing sign on our small drive that fronts on Grand River, and what we would like to do is reconstruct that sign in the same place that it's been at since 1987, however, we wanted to give it more of an updated look.

In the 30 years that we've been in business here, we've seen the city of Novi really flourish, and the façade, if you will, of the city of Novi is kind of a rich red brick-type look as you go down Grand River, and so we'd like to replace our existing sign with a sign more consistent with that façade. It basically would be -- I don't know if you have the drawing in your packet.

MS. GRONACHAN: We do.
MR. DAVIS: I can put it on the screen (indicating). So we just want to replace the existing sign where it currently sits with a new sign that is more consistent with the look going down Grand River being that it's the city of Novi. It's a very desirable place to live and we want people to have that feel when they pull in our community.

Since that sign was installed back in 1987, I'm not exactly sure what happened, but there was some
change in the ordinance, and if we were to reconstruct this sign now, they're saying that we have to move it back 25 feet, $I$ believe is the measurement, and that's significant considering the fact that we only have about 60 feet of frontage on Grand River, and you cannot see our community from Grand River either. So it's the only way that people know that we're there.

Additionally, if we move the sign back -you know, we've had -- as you can see on the existing photo, that we've got extensive landscaping utilizing lots of flowers, probably more flowers than any apartment community that $I$ know of, and some fieldstones, and moving the sign back would not only reduce the visibility, but require us to redo that landscape area.

But we have a unique situation where we have Marty Feldman or Feldman Chevrolet now to the west of us and there is a fence that separates our properties. So if you are coming east on Grand River, you really can't see our sign until you're right on top of it. To the east of us, we have a protected wetland barrier, I believe it's about 75 feet wide, has a lot of bushes and trees and other foliage that's a protected
area, and that prevents visibility when you're coming east.

So we just are respectfully asking that we can rebuild the current sign that we've been trying to keep in good repair with the new brick sign. So that is our request.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. All right. Anybody in the audience that would like to make a comment about this case?

Seeing none, City Department?
MR. BUTLER: Just the only comment was just that limited frontage, so to make practical use of that sign moving is very limited, and we worked with them several times. We were kind of interested with going in and looking at maybe future use of that property pathway coming across there. We thought they did a really good job of trying to design how they want to propose it.

And this is a safety factor. Somebody trying to slow down in the traffic along in there and looking down that road to something we had to think about to see what the sign said, see where we were going was also taken into consideration.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.

Mr. Secretary, any correspondence?
MR. FERRELL: There were 72 letters mailed, zero letters returned, zero approvals, zero objections.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and drove around there. I have no problem with your request. I will open it up to the board. Ms. Gronachan.

MS. GRONACHAN: Good evening. I cannot see moving it back. It's so busy on that street, and you have been a long time partner with Novi. This complex, even though it's been established a long time, new people looking for the building, it's not like a regular business like Benito's where people know where it is. Therefore, $I$ will be in full support.

I think that moving the sign would be detrimental, along with the lack of safety given to when that sign was put up originally -- how many years? Fifteen years?

MR. DAVIS: Thirty years.
MS. GRONACHAN: Thirty years. Thirty years, really?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.
MS. GRONACHAN: A lot has been built around
that since then, and $I$ think that there's a lot of distraction, so to move the sign would only be detrimental to finding your location. So I will be in full support for those reasons.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Anybody else from the board? No.

If there are no further comments, I will entertain a motion.

MS. GRONACHAN: I'm prepared to make a motion.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay, go ahead. MS. GRONACHAN: In Case No. PZ17-0033, Fountain Park of Novi LLC, 42101 Fountain Park Drive, east of Novi south and south Grand River, I move that we approve the request for a variance to construct a one 10 by 7 foot monument sign within 3 feet of the right-of-way and two 6 foot ground signs. One sign is located in the right-of-way subject to Oakland County approval, and one sign allowed by code.

This property has a unique -- I'm sorry, strike that statement.

The petitioner has shown a practical difficulty in his presentation indicating the changes in
the area of the location of the sign and the surroundings for visibility and for safety.

Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because future clients trying to locate this apartment complex would not be able to do business identification if the sign was moved back further.

The property is unique because of the narrowness of the entryway of the apartment complex and the wetlands to the east, as stated by the petitioner, also with the obstruction of a privacy fence to the west of the property.

The petitioner did not create the condition based on the testimony from this table and from the petitioner.

The relief granted would not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties due to the uniqueness and location of the signs.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance due to the fact that it still offers identification to the apartment complex and provides them to succeed for another 30 years. Here's
hoping.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Any
second?
MR. MONTVILLE: I second.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: It's been seconded.
Any further discussion?
Seeing none, Madam Secretary, please call
the roll.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Byrwa.
MR. BYRWA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Ferrell.
MR. FERRELL: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Gronachan.
MS. GRONACHAN: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Nafso.
MR. NAFSO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montville.
MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Chairperson Sanghvi.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
MR. DAVIS: Thank you, everybody.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Moving on with the
next case. PZ17-0034: David Dismondy, 1181 West Lake Drive, east of Beck Road and south of West Pontiac Trail, Parcel No 50-22-03-204-003.

The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Ordinance Section 3.1.5 for an 11 foot aggregate side yard setback, 25 feet required and 2 feet for side yard setback and 10 feet required, and 28 feet rear yard setback, 35 feet required, and a proposed coverage of 37 percent, 25 percent maximum allowed. This property is zoned Single-Family Residential.

And our applicant is here. Please identify yourself, give your name and address, and if you're not an attorney, please be sworn in by our secretary.

MR. DISMONDY: My name is David Dismondy, D-i-s-m-o--d-y, 1181 West Lake Drive.

MR. FERRELL: Are you an attorney?
MR. DISMONDY: No.
(Mr. David Dismondy sworn.)
MR. FERRELL: Okay, go ahead.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Please go ahead.
MR. DISMONDY: This is the, I believe, third or fourth time that I've been in front of this board. I moved here in 2003, and my family owns these
two homes and it's on the western shoreline of walled Lake. And as you can see, it's on a really unique peninsula here, and there's an easement that runs down the middle as a driveway to access all these homes. So it's really made it difficult to do anything within the ordinance as written. So any time we have to do an addition or rehabilitate either of the homes or add a garage or something like that along the way, we've had to come and ask for a request here.

So our proposal today is to add -- you know, being on the lake, we don't have a basement, and so since we built this house, the subject property right here (indicating), we've had two more children, and we planned well for the number of bedrooms, but they have stuff and so we need additional storage, and we also need some additional common space on the main floor.

And so if you'd like, $I$ know you guys have the packet, but if you'd like, I can kind of run you through the plan real quick to kind of show you why we're adding a small side addition to accommodate a little bit of extra square footage in the home to accommodate our needs.
(Indicating) so the home as it sits, this
lot is really narrow and shallow due to that easement, so the home as it sits is only 25 feet wide. So if you notice, we have some room with the variance to push this out eight more feet and accommodate a larger common area room, because right now in 25 feet you have a dining area, a kitchen and your family room. It made sense at one point, but when you have three little kids running around it's a little difficult. In addition to this, it gives us more storage in this area as well. So that's the first floor.

And with this addition, it carries to the second floor as well, which I didn't want to make like a giant box for the side of the home, so then the second floor you're going to have two small balconies on either end, more storage, and a small office there.

And then from this angle what you're seeing is here's the existing home, it's 25 feet wide, and this addition right here is 8 feet.

So that's it in a nutshell, and I've brought this as well to show you. You would think in an area so small with so many homes kind of packed in here, you might have some neighbors that object, but, in fact, every neighbor has approved this request and sent some
letters to you folks and it should be in your package as well. Any questions?

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Very good.
MR. DISMONDY: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. I don't see anybody in the audience.

MR. DISMONDY: I'm the last man standing tonight.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay, thank you. Any comment from the city?

MR. BUTLER: Just to bring to your attention that there is only going to be 4 feet separating the two homes. Just be aware of that.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
Mr. Secretary.
MR. FERRELL: I've got a question for the city attorney. There's like nine approvals. Do you want me to read all of them?

MS. SAARELA: You don't have to. We can just say nine approvals and make them part of the record.

MR. FERRELL: Okay. 15 letters mailed, zero letters returned. It says six on here, but there's
nine approvals, zero objections.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Well, I came and visited your place, and I really had a hard time getting out of it and I commend you for being able to use that place during the winter. It's a very tough spot to get in and out.

I like what I saw, and I have no difficulty supporting your application, but $I$ will leave it to the board to decide and open up to the board for comments.

MR. FERRELL: For the city, 4 feet between the two houses once the addition is added to it, that's all there will be between the two houses?

MR. BUTLER: Yes.
MR. FERRELL: Is there any issues with --
MR. BUTLER: They're just going to have to look at the fire rating on those walls definitely because of the close proximity, but that's not my call.

MR. FERRELL: Right, okay.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. Anybody else?

No comments, very good. I will entertain a motion.

MR. MONTVILLE: I move that we grant the
variances requested in Case No. PZ17-0034 sought by Mr. David Dismondy as the petitioner has shown a practical difficulty requiring these variances due to the preexisting nonconforming lot that his home is placed on.

The petitioner will be unreasonably prevented and limited with respect to using his home and his property zoned Single-Family Residential due to the nonconforming nature of the preexisting lot.

The property is unique because of the, again, nonconforming preexisting nature, and that nature was not created by the petitioner.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with any adjacent or surrounding properties as noted by the nine approvals submitted and the zero objections by all of the surrounding neighbors within the area.

The relief is consistent within the spirit and intent of the ordinance as this would allow the petitioner to have a proper use as initially intended by the ordinance for, again, zoned single-family residential.

So for those reasons, I move that we grant

```
the variances as they have been requested.
    MS. GRONACHAN: Second.
    CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Any further
discussion?
    Seeing none, Madam Secretary, please call
the roll.
    MS. OPPERMANN: Member Byrwa.
    MR. BYRWA: Yes.
    MS. OPPERMANN: Member Ferrell.
    MR. FERRELL: Yes.
    MS. OPPERMANN: Member Gronachan.
    MS. GRONACHAN: Yes.
    MS. OPPERMANN: Member Nafso.
    MR. NAFSO: Yes.
    MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montville.
    MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
    MS. OPPERMANN: And Chairperson Sanghvi.
    CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes.
    MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
    CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
    MR. DISMONDY: Thank you.
    CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: That was the last
case on the agenda. If there are no further items to be
```

discussed today, $I$ will entertain a motion to adjourn.
MS. GRONACHAN: So moved.
MR. FERRELL: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes, Mr. Byrwa.
MR. BYRWA: Yes, I have a quick question. Do we have any more meetings this year that's not on the second Tuesday of the month? I was trying to download minutes last week and I called up the city. I go, "Hey, you know, I'm having trouble downloading the minutes." He goes, "Well, we haven't loaded them yet. The meeting's not this week."

So I just wondering if we knew on our schedule are there any more this year that aren't on the second Tuesday?

MS. OPPERMANN: I don't know off the top of my head, but I can double-check that in my office in just a minute for you.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. The motion has been made and seconded. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MS. GRONACHAN: Aye.
MR. FERRELL: Aye.
MR. BYRWA: Aye.
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