# CITY of NOVI CITY COUNCIL 

## Agenda Item B October 11, 2010

SUBJECT: Approval of Traffic Control Order 10-37 for the implementation of a 45 mph speed limit on Novi Road between 12 Mile Road and 14 Mile Road and Traffic Control Order 10-38 for the implementation of a 30 mph school speed limit on Novi Road from 300 feet north of the north driveway to Hickory Woods Elementary School to 300 feet south of the south driveway of Hickory Woods Elementary School on school days only during the periods of 8:27 AM to 8:57 AM and 3:45 PM to 4:30 PM.

SUBMITTING DEPARTMENT: Department of Public Services, Engineering Division $\square$
CITY MANAGER APPROVAL:

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

A recommendation to revise the speed limit on Novi Road from 12 Mile Road to 14 Mile Road was discussed at the August 23, 2010 City Council meeting and was postponed so that additional information regarding pedestrians could be provided. Additional study of pedestrian safety as it relates to the propsoed increase in the posted speed limits was conducted by the City's traffic consultants, Birchler Arroyo. The report (see Birchler's September 20, 2010 report, attached) confirms the original recommendation to increase the posted speed limit.

As discussed in the attached September 29, 2010 memo, the majority of the pedestrians along Novi Road are students that are walking to and from school. There is a traffic signal at Hickory Woods Elementary and Novi Road that operates between 6 AM and 9 PM every day to assist pedestrian with crossing Novi Road and a crossing guard is present during school arrival and dismissal times. There is no current school speed zone posted for Hickory Woods Elementary, which is located on Novi Road south of 14 Mile Road. The establishment of a school zone was included in the speed study and the report recommends a 30 mph zone adjacent to the school. State law (MCL 257.627a, attached) allows the speed limit to be decreased by 15 mph from the posted speed (but set at not less than 25 mph ) in a school zone for a period of 30 minutes to one hour before school and 30 minutes to one hour after school, when requested by the school superintendent. Staff has discussed the proposed school speed zones with Walled Lake Schools and the Superintendent has provided the enclosed August 16, 2010 letter.

The review of the speed limit was initiated when a recent audit of the City's traffic control sign inventory identified a number of posted speed limits that lack traffic control orders for enforcement of the speed. The Uniform Traffic Code requires that traffic control orders, as issued by the traffic engineer and approved by the City Council, be on file for the enforcement of traffic control signs. As such, an engineering study was performed to establish a legal speed limit as required by the Michigan Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD) (see Birchler Arroyo study dated May 13, 2010). Speed limits are generally set using the 85 th percentile speed, which is the speed at or below which 85 percent of the motorists drive on a given road when unaffected by slower traffic or poor weather.

The posted speed limit on Novi Road between 12 Mile Road and 14 Mile Road is currently 40 mph , except an area between Old Novi Road and 13 Mile Road that is posted 35 mph . There is not a traffic control order on file for the posted speeds on Novi Road between 12 Mile Road and 14 Mile Road. A speed limit of 45 mph is proposed to represent existing driver behavior on this segment as demonstrated by the observed $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds and shown in the following table.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Segment } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Current } \\ \text { Posted } \\ \text { Speed Limit }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Observed } \\ \text { 85 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentile } \\ \text { Speed }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Recommended } \\ \text { Posted Speed Limit }\end{array}\right]$

A key underlying principle in the establishment of a speed limit is that motorists will tend to drive at the speeds they feel comfortable regardless of the posted speed limit. The most effective way to decrease the observed speeds is to change the physical characteristics of the road (i.e. lane width, geometry, design speed, road side environment, traffic calming measures, etc.) to prompt a change in driver behavior. The collected data indicates that drivers on this section of Novi Road feel comfortable driving at 45 mph based on the design of the road.

As discussed in the attached August 10, 2010 memo and the September 29, 2010 memo regarding the proposed speed limit changes, an increase in the posted speed to match the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed does not significantly increase the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed when the posted speed limit is increased. In reviewing the segments on which the speed limits were increased in 2009, the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed increased an average of 0.8 mph . In accordance with Department of Public Service's standard procedures, within one year after implementation of new speed limits, staff will collect speed samples to verify that the new posted speed limit continues to reflect the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed.

The new speed limit signs would meet the federal retroreflectivity requirements and would be funded by the Traffic Control Sign Replacement Program as approved in the FY2010-11 budget.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of Traffic Control Order 10-37 for the implementation of a 45 mph speed limit on Novi Road between 12 Mile Road and 14 Mile Road and Traffic Control Order 10-38 for the implementation of a 30 mph school speed limit on Novi Road from 300 feet north of the north driveway to Hickory Woods Elementary School to 300 feet south of the south driveway of Hickory Woods Elementary School on school days only during the periods of 8:27 AM to 8:57 AM and 3:45 PM to 4:30 PM.

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ |  |  |
| Mayor Landry |  |  |  |
| Mayor Pro Tem Gatt |  |  |  |
| Council Member Crawford |  |  |  |
| Council Member Fischer |  |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | Y | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Council Member Margolis |  |  |  |  |
| Council Member Mutch |  |  |  |  |
| Council Member Staudt |  |  |  |  |

## CITY OF NOVI TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER

$\qquad$ SPEED
DATE OF ORDER: October 4,2010
PARKING
OTHER
CONTROL NUMBER: 10-37
PURSUANT TO CHAPTER NO. 33 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN, SAME BEING THE UNIFORM TRAFFIC CODE FOR CITIES, TOWNSHIPS AND VILLAGES OF MICHIGAN AND IN THE INTEREST OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CONVENIENCE THE FOLLOWING TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER IS HEREBY ISSUED BY BRIAN COBURN, SENIOR CIVIL ENGINEER, DULY AUTHORIZED AS TRAFFIC ENGINEER, BY SEC. 33.141 OF THE AFORESAID CHAPTER.

ISSUANCE OF THIS TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER WAS PRECEDED BY STUDY AND INVESTIGATION OF TRAFFIC CONDITIONS ON THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC ROAD OR ROADS IN THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN.

## NOVI ROAD

AND AFTER SAID INVESTIGATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICES ERECT AND MAINTAIN THE SPEED LIMIT SIGN (S) IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MICHIGAN MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AS REQUIRED BY SEC. 33.217 OF THE AFORESAID CHAPTER, SAID SIGNS TO GIVE NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATION:

SPEED LIMIT FOR NOVI ROAD BETWEEN 12 MILE ROAD AND 14 MILE ROAD TO BE 45 MPH EXCEPT FOR THE SCHOOL SPEED ZONE AS ESTABLISHED BY-TCO 10-38.

Brián Coburn, P.E. - Traffic Engineer
Dated: October 4, 2010

## APPROVED BY CITY COUNCIL

TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER NUMBER 10-37 HAVING BEEN PRESENTED TO THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN FOR STUDY AND APPROVAL, IS HEREBY APPROVED AND IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THIS ORDER BE FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK AND A COPY THEREOF IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE OF SAID CITY.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THIS ORDER SHALL BECOME EFECTIVE UPON BEING FILED WITH THE CLERK AND UPON ERECTION OF ADEQUATE SIGNS GIVING NOTICE OF THE EXISTENCE OF AFORESAID,

SPEED LIMIT FOR NOVI ROAD BETWEEN 12 MILE ROAD AND 14 MILE ROAD TO BE 45 MPH EXCEPT FOR THE SCHOOL SPEED ZONE AS ESTABLISHED BY TCO 10-38.

ADOPTED AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL ON October 11, 2010.
$B y$ :
David Landry, Mayor

By:
Maryanne Cornelius, Clerk

# CITY OF NOVI TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER 

PURSUANT TO CHAPTER NO. 33 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN, SAME BEING THE UNIFORM TRAFFIC CODE FOR CITIES, TOWNSHIPS AND VILLAGES OF MICHIGAN AND IN THE INTEREST OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CONVENIENCE THE FOLLOWING TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER IS HEREBY ISSUED BY BRIAN COBURN, SENIOR CIVIL ENGINEER, DULY AUTHORIZED AS TRAFFIC ENGINEER, BY SEC. 33.141 OF THE AFORESAID CHAPTER.

ISSUANCE OF THIS TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER WAS PRECEDED BY STUDY AND INVESTIGATION OF TRAFFIC CONDITIONS ON THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC ROAD OR ROADS IN THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN.

## NOVI ROAD

AND AFTER SAID INVESTIGATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICES ERECT AND MAINTAIN THE SPEED LIMIT SIGN (S) IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MICHIGAN MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AS REQUIRED BY SEC. 33.217 OF THE AFORESAID CHAPTER, SAID SIGNS TO GIVE NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATION:

SCHOOL SPEED LIMIT ON NOVI ROAD FROM 300 FEET NORTH OF THE NORTH HICKORY WOODS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DRIVEWAY TO 300 FEET SOUTH OF SOUTH SCHOOL DRIVEWAY ON SCHOOL DAYS ONLY DURING THE PERIOD OF 8:27 AM TO 8:57 PM AND 3:45 PM TO 4:30 PM.


Brián Coburn, P.E. - Traffic Engineer
Dated: October 4, 2010

## APPROVED BY CITY COUNCIL

TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER NUMBER 10-38 HAVING BEEN PRESENTED TO THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN FOR STUDY AND APPROVAL, IS HEREBY APPROVED AND IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THIS ORDER BE FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK AND A COPY THEREOF IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE OF SAID CITY.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THIS ORDER SHALL BECOME EFECTIVE UPON BEING FILED WITH THE CLERK AND UPON ERECTION OF ADEQUATE SIGNS GIVING NOTICE OF THE EXISTENCE OF AFORESAID,

SCHOOL SPEED LIMIT ON NOVI ROAD FROM 300 FEET NORTH OF THE NORTH HICKORY WOODS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DRIVEWAY TO 300 FEET SOUTH OF SOUTH SCHOOL DRIVEWAY ON SCHOOL DAYS ONLY DURING THE PERIOD OF 8:27 AM TO 8:57 PM AND 3:45 PM TO 4:30 PM.

ADOPTED AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL ON October 11,2010.

By :
David Landry, Mayor
$B y$ :
Maryanne Cornelius, Clerk

# Walled Lake Consolidated Schools 

Brian 'T. Coburn, P.E.
Engineering Division, Department of Public Services
City of Novi
26300 Delwal Drive
Novi, MI 48375
bcoburn@cityofnovi.org

## Subject: School Speed Zone on Novi Road, 13 Mile Road to 14 Mile Road

Dear Mr. Coburn,
We have been advised of the proposed speed limit changes on Novi Road between 12 and 14 Mile Roads, which includes the portion of roadway in front of Hickory Woods Elementary School.

We request a 30 mph school speed zone be designated on that portion of roadway in front of Hickory Woods Elementary School and that signage be installed to properly designate this school speed zone.

Thank you for your assistance and please contact me with any additional questions.
Sincerely,

William A. Hamilton, E.d.D.
Superintendent of Schools


## MHCHIGAN VEHICLE CODE (EXCERPT) Act 300 of 1949

257.627a "Regularly scheduled school session," "school," and "school zone" defined; prima facie speed limit in school zone; signs; applicability of section to walkway; location of school; school in session year-round.
Sec. 627a. (1) As used in this section and section 629:
(a) "Regularly scheduled school session" means ithat part of a day of student instruction that is followed by a break for lunch or by a tinal dismissal of the student body for that day.
(b) "School" means an educational institution operated by a local school district or by a privale, denominational, or parochial organization. School does not include an educational institution that the department of education determines has its entire student population in residence at the institution.
(c) "School zone" means school properly on which a school building is located and the area adjacent to the school property that is designated by the signs required under subsection (2). Exeept as ohlerwise provided in subsection (5), the school zone extends not more than 1,000 feet from the property line of the school in each direction.
(2) Except as provided in subsection (4), the prima facie speed limit in a school zone, which shall be in force not less than 30 minutes but not more than I hour before the first regularly scheduled school session until school commences and from dismissal mutil not less than 30 minutes but not more than I hour after the last regularly scheduled school session, and during a lunch period when students are permitted to leave the school, shall be 25 miles an hour, if permanent signs designating the school zone and the speed limit in the school zone are posted at the request of the sehool superintendent. The signs shall conform to the Michigan manual of uniform traflic control devices.
(3) This section does not apply to a limited access highway or to that portion of a street or highway over which a pedestrian overhead walkway is erected, if the walkway is adjacent to sehool property and is designed and located so as to be used, and is being used, as the principal means by which students of a school that has property adjacent to the walkway travel to and from the school.
(4) Iocal authorities may increase or decrease the prima facie speed limit within a school zone under their jurisdiction pursuant to section 629.
(5) Notwithstanding the requirements for a school zone as defined in subsection (1)(c), if a school is located in an area that reguires school chikdren to cross a state thonk line highway or county highway that has a speed limit of 35 miles per how or more to attend that school, the sehool superintendent may submit a reguest to the state transportation commission, county road commission, or local authority having jurisdiction over the roadway, as applicable, for a school crossing as permitled under section 6/3a. If, based on the trathic engineering studies, the road authority determines the need for a lower speed limit, the road aultority may designate the crossing as a school zone. Before submitting a reguest, the school superintendent shall have completed a school route plan as prescribed by section 7A-1 of the Michigan manual of uniform traffic control devices.
(6) Notwithstanding the 25 -mile-per-hour prima facie speed limit established by subsection (2), the prima facie speed limit for any street in a school zone that has sidewalks along at least I side of the street, which shall be in force during the same periods that a 25 -mile-per-hour speed limit provided by subsection (2) would otherwise be effective, shall be set al the limit requested by the superintendent of schools with juristliction over the school within the school zone, but this limit shall neither be more than 15 miles per hour below the regularly posted speed limit for that street nor less than 25 miles per lumr. Permanent signs designating the school zone and the speed limit in the school zone shall be posted. These signs shall conform to the Michigan manual of uniform traffic control devices.
(7) If appropriate, the school superintendent may reguest that a sign be ereeted in the school zone indicating that a school is in session year-round. A sign erected meder this subsection shall be posted on the same signpost as the school zone sign and immediately below the school zone sign. The sign shall read "Ycar-Round School" and shall conform to the Michigan manual of uniform traffic control devices.
 1980:- Am. 199G, Act 574, Jmd. Eff. Jan. 16. 1997:-Am. 2000, Act 110, Imd. Fff. May 22, 2000;-Am. 2005. Act S8. Imd. Elf. July 20, 2005.
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TO: ROB HAYES, P.E.; DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SERVICES <br> \section*{\title{
MEMORANDUM
}} <br> \section*{\title{
MEMORANDUM
}}

TO: ROB HAYES, P.E.; DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SERVICES
BRIAN COBURN, P.E.; ENGINEERING MANAGER
PROPOSED SPEED LIMIT CHANGES MEADOWBROOK ROAD AND NOVI ROAD NEAR SCHOOLS

SEPTEMBER 29, 2010

This memo is to provide additional information regarding the proposed speed limits for Novi Road between 12 Mile Road and 14 Mile Road and Meadowbrook Road between 12 Mile Road and 13 Mile Road. The proposed speed limits for these segments were discussed during the August 23, 2010 City Council meeting and additional information was requested regarding pedestrians in these areas. Specifically, we requested that our traffic consultant, Birchler Arroyo, review pedestrian safety as it relates to an increase in the posted speed limits. The enclosed report, dated September 20, 2010, provides additional detail.

The enclosed report confirms the original recommendation to increase the posted speed limit to meet the observed $85^{\text {ih }}$ percentile speed (i.e. the speed at which or below 85 percent of the vehicles are currently traveling). The original report recommended that the school speed zone be in effect between 8:27 AM and 8:57 AM for arrival and 4:00 PM and 4:30 PM for dismissal. The enclosed report recommends a modification in the school speed zone times to account for the early dismissal of walking students at 3:45 PM and recommends that the school speed zone being effect between the hours of 3:45 PM and 4:15 PM for dismissal.

Some highlights from the additional study are as follows:

- The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) in its 2004 publication litled, Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities states that "motorists will tend to drive at the speeds they feel comfortable...regardless of the posted speed limit." The most effective way to decrease the observed speed is to change the physical characteristics of the road (i.e. lane width, geometry, design speed, roadside environment, traffic calming, etc.) to prompt a change in driver behavior that makes them drive at slower speeds.
- The crosswalk at Hickory Woods (Novi Road) is signalized and functions seven days a week between the hours of 6 AM and 9 PM to facilitate pedestrian crossing of Novi Road.
- The proposed school speed zone on Novi Road should result in decreased speeds during arrival and dismissal times for Hickory Woods Elementary School and would maintain the existing posted speed during arrival and dismissal at Meadowbrook Elementary School.
- There is currently sufficient signage to warn of pedestrians at the marked crosswalks in these locations.
- Sidewalks and pathways along these segments are largely located at a distance greater than the minimum recommended distance from the road.
- The crash history was reviewed and no crashes were found to involve sidewalks, pathways, or crosswalks.
- There was a limited amount of pedestrian activity observed outside of school hours 12 to 4 per hour per direction and 3 to 5 per hour per direction on Meadowbrook Road and Novi Road, respectively).
- The existing safety provisions (signalized crosswalk, walks located a safe distance from road, signage, etc.) along with the proposed school speed zones and existing crossing guards provide an adequate level of protection for pedestrian traffic during school hours.

The report concludes that recommended speed limits for Meadowbrook Road and Novi Road are not expected to result in significant increases in the prevailing speed. This conclusion is supported by the data presented in our August 10.2010 memo (attached) that demonstrates that the observed $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed on Cabot Drive, Lewis Drive, Beck Road, and Eleven Mile Road increased an average of 0.8 miles per hour after the posted speed limit was increased to meet the observed $85^{111}$ percentile speed. The report also concludes that while there is a limited amount of pedestrian activity observed outside of school hours, those pedestrians can safely and comfortably use the pathways adjacent to these roads.

We propose to present the traffic control orders for the speed limit recommendations for consideration by City Council on an upcoming agenda.

Scptember 20, 2010
Brian T. Coburn, P.E.
Engineering Div., Dept. of Public Services
City of Novi
26300 Delwal Drive
Novi, MI 48375
bcoburn@citvofnovi.ora

## Subject: Pedestrian Considerations Relative to Speed Limits on Novi and Meadowbrook Roads

Dear Mr. Coburn:
As you know, we completed separate speed studies for Meadowbrook Road between 12 and 13 Mile, and Novi Road between 12 and 14 Mile, on May 3, 2010 and May 13, 2010, respectively. In each study report, we ciled - among "olher factors that may be considered" (per the Michigan Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices) - "parking and pedestrian activity."

Pedestrian activity was not, however, specifically addressed in either study. A City Council member has asked that it be addressed prior to taking aclion on the recommended speed limit increases. At your request, we conducted the additional study documented herein.

## Recommendations

1. The recommendations in our May 3 and May 13 speed studies should be followed.
2. To adhere to MMUTCD guidelines, no additional pedestrian-related signage should be installed.
3. The school speed zones during dismissal times should apply from 3:45-4:30 p.m.

## Data Collection and Analysis

Per our August 25 proposal, the additional study consisted of:

- A literature search on pedestrian safety as a function of sidewalk location and the speed of traffic.

An inventory of the width, location, and condition of existing sidewalks, safety paths, and crosswalks.
A reexamination of the accident history to idenlify any incidents involving pedestrians or bicycles.

- Observations during the afternoon and evening to determine existing sidewalk and crosswalk use.

Resulls relative to each of the above subject areas are discussed in the following sections.
Pedestrian Safety as a Function of Sidewalk Offset from Road - A brief literature search was made relative to pedestrian safety as a function of sidewalk location and the speed of nearby traffic. Key findings are summarized as follows:

- The Institule of Transporlation Engineers, in its 1984 publication entitled Guidelines for Urban Major Street Design, states that "The placement of the sidewalk in the right-of-way will also affect the design width. Normally, sidewalks are located near the property line... [but] sometimes the sidewalk is
placed next to the curb. If so, the walk should be widened [typically by at least two feet] to afford more safety to the pedestrian." Continuing on page 55 , "Curb walks are not a good practice in terms of pedestrian safety and comfort. To the degree practical, a walk setback of at least 5 feet and desirably 10 feet... is needed to:

1) Reduce "splashing" of pedestrians.
2) Minimize hazard of stumbling or being pushed (as by children in play).
3) Provide clearance from snow windrows in northern climates.
4) Reduce step-down at driveways.
5) Provide space for utilities and traffic signs.

- The Federal Highway Administration, in its 1992 publication entitled Safety Effectiveness of Highway Design Features - Volume VI: Pedestrians and Bicyclists, identifies 13 distinct pedestrian accident types reflected in large research data bases. Most pedestrian accidents in urban and suburban areas involve pedestrians walking in or across the roadway. Only one type appears potentially related to sidewalk location: "Walking Along the Roadway" - defined as a "pedestrian struck while walking along the edge of the highway or on the shoulder, and representing only $1 \%$ of all pedestrian accidents. No mention is made of pedestrian hazard as a function of the lateral offsel from moving traffic, with or without a curb. Later (on page 11), it is noted that 1988 survey results from 48 state and local highway agencies placed the following condition at the top of the list of condiftions where sidewalks are considered most beneficial: "Suburban streets, particularly those with moderate to high pedestrian volumes or with high traffic volumes or speeds" (high speed is defined as 50 mph and above).
- The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, in its 2004 publication entilled Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities, reminds us that "Motorists will tend to drive at the speeds they feel comfortable... regardless of the posted speed limil." Also (on page 50 ), "Lowering the posted speed limit below the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed... will only increase the number of speed limit violations, with little or no effect on the actual prevailing speeds..." And, "If the anticipated $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed... is inconsistent with the anticipated level of pedestrian activity or other factors in the roadway environment, then an effeclive method to reduce prevailing speeds may be to reduce the roadway design speed and modify the roadway geometrics accordingly" (by reducing lane widths or implementing other traffic calming actions). Finally (on page 59), AASHTO states that "In areas where there is no on-street parking or bike lane, the "ideal' width of planting strip [for separating pedestrians from traffic] is 6 ft ." This latter guidance appears inconsistent, however, with the urban arterial section of the 2004 AASHTO "Green Book" (A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets), which states (on page 479) that "the minimum border [between road and sidewalk] should be 8 ft wide and preferably 12 ft or more."

In summary, no data were found indicaling the degree of pedestrian hazard, let alone pedestrian comfort, resulting from alternative sidewalk setbacks from the traveled way. While maximizing sidewalk setback is ideal - at least away from intersections - it appears that practical minimum setbacks of $6-12 \mathrm{ft}$ are typical for suburban roads with typical suburban arterial speeds (i.e., $35-50 \mathrm{mph}$ ).

SIdewalk Inventory - Based on spot samples collected on-foot along the three miles of road, the width, setback, and condition of existing sidewalks and safety paths were inventoried. The inventory for Meadowbrook Road is summarized in Table 1, and the inventory for Novi Road is summarized In Table 2. Highlights are as follows:

Pedestrian Considerations Relative to Speed Limils on Novi and Meadowbrook Roads, page 3
Table 1. Sidewalk / Safety Path Inventory for Meadowbrook Road, 12-13 Mile Roads

| Location (listed north to south) | West Side of Road |  |  | East Side of Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Widh ( ft ) | Setback (R)' | Pavement² 1 Condition | Widih (it) | Selback ( f$)^{1}$ | Pavemen ${ }^{2}$ / Condilion |
| 13 Mile to school drive | 8 | 6 | Asphall / Fair | 5 | Variable, 51/2+ | Concrele / Fair |
| Church fronlage | 8 | $51 / 2$ | Asphalt / Fair | 5 | $18^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Concrete I } \\ & \text { Good } \end{aligned}$ |
| Vicinily of Burroughs Ave. | 8 | $61 / 2$ | Asphall/Fair | 5 | $61 / 2$ | Concrele I Falt |
| Top of hill south of Burroughs Ave. | 8 | 6 | Asphall / Good | 5 | 6 | Concrele / Good |
| Field North of Agr. Exper. Stn. (AES) | 8 | 19 | Asphalt/ Good | 5 | $51 / 2$ | Concreta/ Good |
| Adjacent to north parking lot of AES | 8 | $6$ | Asphalt/ Good | 5 | $51 / 2$ | Concrete / Good |
| By rail-equipped AES boardwalk | 8 | $31 / 2=41 / 2$ | Wood / Fair | 5 | $51 / 2$ | Concrete I Good |
| Adjacent to AES welland | 8 | $6$ | Asphalt / Good | 5 | $51 / 2$ | Concrete I Good |

${ }^{1}$ From face of roadway curb to near edge of walk cr path.
Asphalt $=$ asphaltic concrete and Concrete $=$ Portland cement concrete
Original walk nearer road, shown in Figure 3 of the May 3, 2010 speed study report, was replaced (wilh a walk having a greater setback) when road was widened to extend cenler leit-lurn lane further soulh to serve church driveway.

- A continuous 8 -ft-wide asphalt safety path is found along the west side of Meadowbrook Road, and a continuous 5 -ft concrete sidewalk is found along the east side of that road. The predominant path setback from the traveled way is 6 ff (plus or minus $1 / 2 \mathrm{ft}$ ), although $18-19 \mathrm{ft}$ setbacks exist on the immediate frontages of the church and agricultural experiment station field. Based on the literature search cited above - as well as engineering judgment - a nominal sidewalk setback of 6 ft appears to be an acceptable minimum for a two-lane minor arterial such as Meadowbrook, given its relatively low speeds ( 35 mph or less) and relatively low traffic volumes (less than 4,000 vehicles per day).
- An 8 -ft-wide asphalt safety path is found along the west side of Novi Road between 12 Mile and Oid Novi Roads, and between 13 Mile and 14 Mile Roads. Along the intermediate section on the west side, and on all of the east side, there is a 5 -ft wide concrete sidewalk. The predominant path setback from the traveled way is $9-10 \mathrm{ft}$, although setbacks as large as $20-22 \mathrm{ft}$ exist in places. Based on the above review, these setbacks slightly to significantly exceed the $8-12$-ft selbacks considered desirable along an "urban arterial" (i.e., a multi-lane road serving moderate volumes at moderale speeds of generally less than 50 mph ).

Well-marked crosswalks exist on Meadowbrook Road at 12 Mile, Meadowbrook Elementary, and 13 Mile, and on Novi Road at 12 Mile, Old Novi / Sandstone, 13 Mile, Hickory Woods Elementary, and 14 Mile. All but the crossing at Meadowbrook Elementary are aided by signals, and the one at that school is aided by a crossing guard during the school's arrival and dismissal times.

Table 2．Sidewalk／Safety Path Inventory for Novi Road，12－14 Mile Roads

| Location（listed north to soulh） | West Side of Road |  |  | East Side of Road |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Widih（ft） | Setback（ f$)^{\text {＇}}$ | Pavement ${ }^{2}$ I <br> Condilion | Width（il） | Selback（fi）${ }^{1}$ | Pavement ${ }^{2 / I}$ Condition |
| Shopping center frontage | 8 | ： 10 | Asphalt／Fair | 5 | $10-211 / 2$ | Concrete／ Good |
| Shopping center io school | 8 | 9．－10 | Asphalt／Falr | 5 | 10 | Concrele／Fair 10 Good |
| School frontage | 8 | g： | Asphalt／Fair | 5 | 10 | Concrete／Fair to Good |
| Just soulh of school | 8 | 101／2 | Asphalt／Fair | 5 | 10 | Concrete／Falr 10 Good |
| From Wimbleton to Berkshire | 8 | 29 | Asphalt／Good | 5 | $9$ | Concrete／Fair to Gocd |
| First few hundred ft north of 13 Mile | 8 | Several feet behind guardrail | Asphalt／Good | 5 | Several feel bêhfind guardrail | Concrete／Fair to Good |
| First few hundred ft south of 13 Mile | $5^{3}$ | Approx． 20 | Concretel Good | 5 | Approx． 20 | Concrele I Good |
| By Filzgerald \＆ opposing welland | $5^{3}$ | 211／2 | Concrele $/$ Good | 5 | $10$ | Concrete／ Good |
| Near Alcolt Circle | $5^{3}$ | 22 | Concrete／Fair | 5 | $211 / 2$ 澵節 | Concrele I Good |
| Just north of Old Novi／Sandstone | 53 | $\therefore 101 / 2$ | Concrete／Fair 10 Good | 5 | $211 / 2$ | Concrele／Fair to Good |
| At 121／2 Mile Rd | 8 | $81 / 2$ | Asphalt／Fair | 5 | $10 \cdot 20$ | Concrete／Fair |
| Several hundred ft soulh of $121 / 2$ Mile | 8 | Several feet behind guardrall | Asphalt／Fair | 5 | 10 | Concrete／Fair |
| Near noth cemelery driveway | 8 | － $71 / 2$ | Asphalt／Fair | 5 | $\cdots \quad 10$ | Concrete／Fair |
| Several hundred ft north of gas slation | 8 | Several feet behind guadrọtall | Asphall／Fair | 5 | $9+$ | Concrete／ Good |
| Gas station frontage | 8 | 8 绞 | Asphall／Fair | 5 | $21 / 2^{4}$ | Concrete／ <br> Good |

[^0]Crash History - The crash histories presented in our prior reports for Meadowbrook and Novi Roads were reviewed again to identify any incidents involving pedestrians or bicycles. It was found that:
[. The five-year (2005-2009) crash history for Meadowbrook Road included a total of seven crashes. None involved a pedestrian or bicycle.

- The three-year (2007-2009) crash history for Novi Road included a total of 17 crashes. Only one, occurring in on April 28, 2008 near the north cemetery entrance, involved a pedestrian or bicycle. The UD-10 police report was retrieved, and it was found that in this particular situation, four bicyclists were riding in the norlhbound curb lane. A 19-year-old car driver approached from the rear, and despite the daylight, fair-weather conditions, did not respond early enough lo successfully avoid the bicyclists. Luckily, however, the severe braking accomplished by the car resulted in only one bicycle being damaged and no one even being injured.

In summary, none of the reported crashes - on either road - involved the use of sidewalks, safety paths, or crosswalks. With respect to the one on-road bicycle accident, it appears likely that driver inattentiveness played a larger causal role than the speed chosen by the driver.

Observed Pedestrian and Bicycle Activity - On two very pleasant days about a week after school began this fall, Birchler Arroyo staff observed and counted pedestrian and bicycle movements in the vicinity of each school. Observations began at 3:45 p.m., nominally 15 minutes before dismissal, and ended at 7:45 p.m., the approximate time of sunsel. Tables 3 and 4 summarize the counts. Key findings were as follows:

- At Meadowbrook Elementary (Figure 1 and Table 3), a total of 45 pedestrians and bicyclists crossed to the west side of the road via the marked crosswalk and crossing guard, all associated with school dismissal. A very similar number used the north path from the school to the road, in order to continue north toward 13 Mile Road. There was a distinct lull in activity once school departures ended but before nearby residents began their evening outings. Between $4: 15$ and $7: 45$ p.m., those outings on any particular section of path were relatively few, typically averaging only $2-4$ per hour per direction on the west side of the road, generally associated with the Tollgate Ravines communily. Notably fewer people used the sidewalk on the east side of the road, and no one was observed going to or from the play area behind the school.
- At Hickory Woods Elementary (Figure 2 and Table 4), the predominant movement was from the school to the east side of the Novi Road via the marked crosswalk that is served by both a signal and a crossing guard ( 22 people between $3: 45$ and $4: 15$ p.m.). As above, there was a distinct lull in activity once school departures ended but before nearby residents began their evening outings. Between $4: 15$ and $7: 45$ p.m., those outings were slightly more numerous than those observed along Meadowbrook Road (above), lypically averaging $3-5$ per hour per direction on boll sides of the road. The slightly greater numbers using the path south of the school on the west side of the road (especially after 6:30 p.m.) were likely due to people visiting the school playground in the southwest corner of the site. Although not counted, there appeared to be more people visiting the rear of the school (presumably the playground) via motor vehicle than on foot or by bicycle.

Path users near both schools appeared to be relaxed and not adversely affected by the speeds or volumes of passing vehicular traffic. Many were walking dogs, chalting on cell phones, or chatting with a companion. There were also a few joggers. Interestingly, where a choice exists between two parallel paths on the same side of the road (Figure 2), only 2 out of 36 people used the asphalt path further from the road.


A
Figure 1. Paths Near Meadowbrook Elementary

Table 3. Pedestrian and Bicycle Activity Along Meadowbrook Road Near Meadowbrook Elementary ${ }^{1}$

| 15 Minutes Ending | On Paths South of School |  |  |  | In Marked Crosswalk |  | On Paths Just North of School Driveway |  |  |  | On North Path To/From School |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | East Side |  | West Side |  | EB | WB | East Side |  | West Side |  |  |  |
|  | NB | SB | NB | SB |  |  | NB | SB | NB | SB | EB | WB |
| 4;00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 25 |
| 4:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 4:30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:30 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4-Hr Total | 6 | 1 | 9 | 16 | 13 | 45 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 41 |
| After 4:15 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Per Hour | 1.7 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

${ }^{2}$ On Wednesday, 9-15-10. Weather was sunny and warm. School dismissal was at 4:00 pm (per shaded row); however, quite a few left via north school path $5-10$ minutes before that lime.
No distinction was made between pedestrians and bikes. Bicyclists riding in the road were not counted. People passing the school were counted twice, as they approached and as they depatted.


Table 4. Pedestrian and Bicycle Activity Along Novi Road Near Hickory Woods Elementary ${ }^{1}$

| 15 Minutes Ending | On Paths North of School |  |  |  | In Marked Crosswalk |  | On Paths South of School |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | West Side |  | East Side |  | EB | WB | West Side |  | East Side |  |
|  | NB | SB | NB | SB |  |  | NB | SB | NB | SB |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 1 |
| 4:15 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1. | 19 : | 3 | 5 | 23. | 0 | 5 |
| 4:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6:15 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 6:30 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 6:45 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 7:00 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7:15 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 7:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 7:45 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 4-Hr Total | 13 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 23 | 12 | 25 | 45 | 18 | 15 |
| After 4:15 | 12 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 11 | 15 | 9 |
| Per Hour | 3.4 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 2.6 |

${ }^{2}$ On Monday, 9-13-10. Weather was sunny, warm, and breezy. School dismissal was at 4:00 pm (per shaded row); however, a few bicyclists left $5-10$ minutes before that time.
No distinction was made between pedestrians and bikes. Many of those counted were counted twice; for example, upon dismissal, 19 of the 23 SB on west side later used crosswalk EB.

Signs Warning of Pedestrians - School speed zones will be posted near both Meadowbrook and Hickory Woods Elementary Schools. This signage indirectly but clearly warns of younger pedestrians in the area.

With respect to specific pedestrian warning signs, it should be noted that:

- Such signs already exist at the Meadowbrook Road crosswalk just south of Brownstone Drive.

No such signs exist near the signalized Novi Road crosswalk at Waverly Drive, consistent with the Michigan Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices, Section 7B.09.

Early Dismissal - As noted above, pedestrian and bicycle activity increased notably a few minutes before the formal school dismissal lime of 4:00 p.m. Upon checking with the schools, it was learned that walkers are dismissed five minutes before the hour at Hickory Woods and six minutes before the hour at Meadowbrook. It would therefore be appropriate to make the recommended school speed zone ( 30 mph near Hickory Woods and 25 mph near Meadowbrook) applicable during the period of 3:45-4:30 p.m.

## Summary of Findings and Conclusions

The above results and discussion show that:

- The recommended speed limits for Meadowbrook and Novi Roads are not expected to result in significant increases in prevailing speeds. Indeed, the $30-\mathrm{mph}$ speed zone near Hickory Woods Elementary should result in decreased speeds during the applicable times.
- Pedestrians can be expected to be reasonably comfortable using sidewalks set back 6.12 ft from the nearest travel lane, with the lower end of this range being appropriate for low speeds ( 35 mph and below), and the upper end of this range being appropriate for somewhat higher speeds ( $45-50 \mathrm{mph}$ ).
- Paths along both sides of Meadowbrook Road between 12 and 13 Mile have a predominate setback of about 6 ft , which by the above guidelines will be suitable for the existing and expected future operating speeds (mostly 37 mph and below).
- Paths along both sides of Novi Road between 12 and 14 Mile are set back $9-22 \mathrm{ft}$, which by the above guidelines will be suitable for the existing and expected future operating speeds (mostly 48 mph and below).
- Outside of the school dismissal period ( $3: 54$ to about 4:15 p.m.), observed pedestrian and bicycle volumes along Meadowbrook and Novi Roads near the schools were relatively light (ie., $2-5$ per hour per direction on the most-used path sections).
- No additional pedestrian-related signage is warranted, per the MMUTCD and engineering judgment.
- In the aftemoon, the school speed zones should apply from 3:45-4:30 p.m.

Sincerely, BIRCHLER ARROYO ASSOCIATES, INC.


Rodney L. Arroyo, AICP Vice President


William A. Stimpson, P.E. Director of Traffic Engineering

TO: ROB HAYES, P.E.: DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SERVICES
FROM:
SUBJECT:
DATE:
BRIAN COBURN, P.E.; SENIOR CIVIL ENGINEER B TC
PROPOSED SPEED LIMIT CHANGES
AUGUST 10, 2010


Early info on forthruming
speed limit changes to
This memo is a follow-up to my April 28, 2010 memo regarding speed limit evaluations. As you may recall, I conducted an audit of the city's speed limit signs and identified a number of installed signs that lack traffic control orders. The road segments that lack traffic control orders for the posted speed are as follows:

- Novi Road (12 Mile Road to 14 Mile Road)
- Meadowbrook Road (12 Mile Road to 13 Mile Road)
- 13 Mile Road (Meadowbrook Road to Haggerly Road)
- West Park Drive (12 Mile Road to West Road)
- Town Center Drive

- Crescent Blvd
- Crowe Drive
- Ingersol Drive

With the assistance of the Field Operations Division and our traffic consultant, Bircher Arroyo, we have collected speed samples and evaluated these segments to determine the appropriate speed limit. The speed studies for each segment are attached to this memo.

## Legal Requirements for Speed Limits

The Uniform Traffic Code requires that traffic control orders, as issued by the traffic engineer and approved by the City Council, be on file for the enforcement of traffic control signs. As discussed in the Detroit News article attached to the April 28 memo, tickets issued for a speed limit that lacks a traffic control order could be successfully challenged by the motorist. Speed limits are governed by the Michigan Vehicle Code (MVC) and the Michigan Manual of Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD). The MVC provides a standard "prima facie" speed based on the number of access points on a roadway. The MVC allows the local agency to adjust the speed limit based on a traffic engineering study. The MMUTCD requires an engineering study to determine the speed limit in accordance with established traffic engineering practices.

Generally, speed limits should be set so that the majority of drivers observe them voluntarily. Studies have shown that drivers generally operate their vehicles at speeds that are reasonable and proper, regardless of the posted speeds. Nationally, this is recognized as the 85th percentile speed, which is the speed at or below which 85 percent of the motorists drive on a given road unaffected by slower traffic or poor weather. It is generally accepted that when traffic deviates from the 85 th percentile speed, the probability of a traffic crash becomes greater. In addition to the 85th percentile speed, the MMUTCD recommends review of other road characteristics including pedestrian activity, road conditions, crash experience, 10 mph pace, and road side environment. Realistic speed limits should be set at no more than five miles per hour below or above the 85 th percentile speed based on these mitigating factors.

## Public Perception of Speed Limits

It is usually difficult for the public to understand that even though the road may be posted at one speed, a great number of drivers may actually be driving faster based on their comfort level with the road. There is also a perception that when the posted speed limit is increased that it will result in even higher speeds. For example, if a road is posted at 30 mph and the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed is 35 mph , there is a perception that if the posted speed limit is increased to 35 mph , drivers would increase their speed toward 40 mph . To demonstrate the impact of increasing the posted speeds, we have analyzed the speed limits that were changed in 2009. The table below compares the observed $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed on several road segments before the new speed limit was posted with the observed 85 th percentile speed after the new speed limit was posted. While there was a notable increase on two segments of Beck Road and on Lewis Drive, there was no change in $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed for many of the segments. The average change is a 0.8 mph increase in speed.


Proposed Speed Limits
The enclosed studies demonstrate that the speed limits that are currently posted on the subject road segments are not being observed by the majority of drivers. Further, since the posted speeds lack traffic control orders or engineering studies to support the posted speed limits, they must be reviewed to establish legal speed limits. A summary of the proposed speed limits is shown in the table below, with the justification for each recommendation included in the enclosed studies.

${ }^{( }{ }^{*}$ )A 30 mph school speed zone is proposed for i his segment during school anival and dismissal times
(**) A $^{*} 25 \mathrm{mph}$ school speed zone is proposed for this segment during school arrival and dismissal times

As noted in the table, there are two school speed zones proposed for implementation. The first is adjacent to Hickory Woods Elementary School on Novi Road. The posted speed limit is currently 40 mph at this location and there is no school speed zone at this time. The report recommends a school speed zone be set at 30 mph in the vicinity of the school property. The second school speed zone is proposed adjacent to Meadowbrook Elementary on Meadowbrook Road. The speed limit is currently set at 25 mph as a regular speed limit that is in effect all-day, every day. The report proposes the implementation of a school speed zone set at 25 mph in the vicinity of the school property. State law (MCL 257.627a) allows the speed limit to be decreased by 15 mph from the posted speed (but set at not less than 25 mph ) in a school zone for a period of 30 minutes to one hour before school and 30 minutes to one hour after school, when requested by the school superintendent. We have discussed the proposed school speed zones with Walled Lake Schools. They are supportive of the recommendalions and intend to request the school speed zones as proposed.

## Public Notification

The majority of the segments being sludied are located in nonresidential areas. Meadowbrook Road has the largest potential impact on the residents since there are several residential units along Meadowbrook Road in the existing 25 mph speed zone. A "Speed Limit Under Review" sign (as shown at right) has been installed at the north and south ends of the Meadowbrook Road segment ( 12 Mile Road to 13 Mile Road) to notify residents and motorists that the speed limit is being studied. We have received a few calls from residents who were primarily concerned with the speed limit near the school. Our staff has explained that a school speed zone is proposed which
 calmed their concerns.

## Implementation

We propose to prepare the traffic control orders for the speed limit recommendations from the studies for consideration by City Council on an upcoming agenda. Once approved by City Council, the new speed signs would be installed by Field Operations staff as recommended by the studies. The new signs would meet the federal retroreflectivity requirements and would be funded by the Traffic Control Sign Replacement Program as approved in the FY2010-11 budget.

[^1]May 13， 2010

Brian T．Coburn，P．E．<br>Engineering Div．，Dept．of Public Services<br>City of Novi<br>26300 Delwal Drive<br>Novi，MI 48375<br>bcoburn＠cityofnovi．org

185：EA1ES．IIS

Subject：Speed Limit Study of Novi Road， 14 Mile Road to 12 Mile Road
Dear Mr．Coburn：
Per your request，we have evaluated the above road segments to determine an appropriate general speed limit（or limits），a potentially different school speed limit（near Hickory Woods Elementary），and the possible need for curve warning and／or advisory speed signs．This letter reports our findings and recommendations．

## Recommendations

1．The overall speed limit for Novi Road between 14 Mile and 12 Mile should be set at 45 mph ．
2．The Superintendent of the Walled Lake Consolidated School District should be advised of the proposed speed limit change and asked whether or not the District wishes to have a school speed zone established（of no less than 30 mph and within $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$ of Hickory Woods Elementary）．

3．A Reverse Curve Warning sign，with a $35-\mathrm{mph}$ advisory，should be present on the northbound and southbound approaches to the first two curves south of 13 Mile Road．This will require new warning signs southbound and the addition of a $35-\mathrm{mph}$ advisory to the existing northbound sign．

## Background and Criteria

Novi Road between 14 and 12 Mile Roads（Figures 1－2）is now posted with a $40-\mathrm{mph}$ or a $35-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit，depending on both location and direction of travel，as follows：
a 14 Mile to 13 Mile： 40 mph in both directions
－ 13 Mile to far end of second curve（about $1,640 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{generally} \mathrm{southwest} \mathrm{of} 13$ Mile）： 40 mph southbound and 35 mph northbound
－Ending point described above，to 12 Mile Road： 40 mph in both directions
Having different speed limits by direction of travel through the first two curves south of 13 Mile Road is unconventional and possibly was intended．The first speed－related sign for southbound traffic south of 13 Mile is a（no－longer－standard）Reduced Speed 35 Ahead sign，which informs but does not actually regulate． The first regular 35 －mph speed limit sign does not appear until southbound drivers have already passed through these two speed－limiting curves（Figure 2）．In contrast，northbound traffic is still governed by a 35 － mph speed limit until passing over 13 Mile Road．

In establishing a speed limit，it is appropriate to determine and consider（1）the prima facie limit，（2）the ＂speed of vehicular traffic＂（typically expressed as the $85^{\text {th }}$－percentile speed），and（3）other traffic and roadway characteristics（per the Michigan Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices）．

Birchler Arroyo Associates，Inc．$\quad 28021$ Southfield Rd．，Lathrup Village，MI 48076 248－423－1776


Figure 1. Novi Road Between 13 Mile and 14 Mile


Figure 2. Novi Road From 12 Mile to North of 13 Mile

A portion of the Michigan Vehicle Code (MCL 257.627) establishes prima facie speed limits based on (1) whether or not the road runs through a business district, and (2) the number of access points (driveways or intersecting roadways) within each half mile of road. In a business district or where there are 60 or more access points per half mile, the prima facie limit is 25 mph . Outside a business district, the prima facie limit is 35 mph for $45-59$ access points per half mile and 45 mph for $30-44$ access points per half mile.

Another portion of the law (MCL 257.628) indicates that a posted speed limit different than the prima facie limit may be determined based on an "engineering and traffic investigation." Relevant guidelines for such an investigation, found in Section 2B. 13 of the MMUTCD (approved jointly by the MDOT and the State Police), are as follows:

- "When a speed limit is to be posted, it should be within ... 5 mph of the $85^{\text {th}}$-percentile of freeflowing traffic.
- Other factors that may be considered when establishing speed limits are the following:
A. Road characteristics, shoulder condition, grade, alignment, and sight distance;
B. The pace speed;
C. Roadside development and environment;
D. Parking practices and pedestrian activity;
E. Reported crash experience for at least a 12-month period."

Finally, a school zone speed limit less than the regularly posted speed limit may be posted if requested by the school superintendent and within certain constraints set by law (MCL 257.627a). The reduced limit:

- May be no less than 15 mph below the regular speed limit nor less than 25 mph .
- Must be limited in its application to three specific time periods: $30-60$ minutes before the first regularly scheduled school session until school commences; dismissal until 30-60 minutes after the last regularly scheduled school session; and during a lunch period is students are permitted to leave the school.


## Data Collection and Analysis

Prima Facie Speed Limit - Our review of recent-vintage aerial photos (Figures 3-17, appended) found an average of 5.5 access points per half mile for both sections of Novi Road ( 11 for the 1 -mile section between 13 and 14 Mile Road, and 14 for the 1.27 -mile section between 12 and 13 Mile Road). This access-point frequency is well below any of the ranges specified in MCL 257.627; hence, the prima facie speed limit on both sections of Novi Road is 55 mph .

Computed Comfortable Curve Speed - Methodology recommended by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials was used to compute the safe and comfortable speed in each direction of travel on each of Novi Road's five horizontal curves based on curve radius, pavement cross slope (or superelevation), and the assumed maximum comfortable lateral friction coefficient for the computed speed (per AASHTO). Curve radii were taken from construction plans provided by the City, and pavement cross slopes were measured in the field by Birchler Arroyo Associates.

Table 1 summarizes the inputs and outputs of curve speed computations. Note that all computed speeds fall in the range of $37-43 \mathrm{mph}$, not surprisingly at or slightly above the $35-40 \mathrm{mph}$ posted speed limits. In the

Table 1. Computed Comfortable (AASHTO) Curve Speeds for Novi Road

| Curve (To South from 14 Mile) | Road Centerline (from Plans) |  |  | At Center of Inside and Outside Lane |  |  | Comfortable <br> Speed (mph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Curve Length (tt) | Deflection | Computed Radius (tt) | Radius ( ft ) | Superelevation | Lateral Friction |  |
| First | 228 | $27.55^{\circ}$ | 474 | 450 | + 0.04 | 0.170 | 38 SB |
|  |  |  |  | 498 | + 0.04 | 0.165 | 39 NB |
| Second ${ }^{1}$ | 309 | $27.55^{\circ}$ | 643 | 619 | + 0.04 | 0.155 | 42 NB |
|  |  |  |  | 667 | + 0.04 | 0.150 | 43 SB |
| Third | 705 | $90^{\circ}$ | 449 | 425 | +0.04 | 0.170 | 37 SB |
|  |  |  |  | 473 | + 0.02 | 0.170 | 37 NB |
| Fourth ${ }^{2}$ | 398 | $45^{\circ}$ | 507 | 483 | +0.02 | 0.170 | 37 NB |
|  |  |  |  | 531 | + 0.02 | 0.165 | 38 SB |
| Fifth | 450 | $45^{\circ}$ | 573 | 549 | +0.02 (est.) | 0.165 | 39 NB |
|  |  |  |  | 597 | + 0.02 (est.) | 0.160 | 40 SB |

- Speed sampling localion \#1
${ }^{2}$ Speed sampling location \#3.
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event the posted limit is raised to 45 mph , it may therefore be appropriate to consider placing advisory-speed and/or curve-warning signs to assist drivers in their continued choice of a safe and comfortable curve speed.
$85^{\text {th}}$-Percentile Speed - At our request, City personnel conducted automated speed and volume sampling over 48-hour midweek periods. The five sampling locations are noted on Figures 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 12, and 15.

Tables 2a and 2b (below) summarize the traffic statistics for the two sections of Novi Road by location, direction, and day. Key findings are as follows:

- Traffic volumes are down sharply from previous counts. For example, the City's 2004 Master Plan shows an August 2003 daily volume of 19,172 for Novi Road between 13 Mile and 14 Mile, whereas the April 2010 average daily volume near Wimbleton Way (location \#2) was only 8,416.
- The average speed is 41 mph for the three sampled tangent sections combined, 40 mph for the gentler of the two sampled curves (location \#1), and 35 mph for the more severe of the two sampled curves (location \#3).
- The $85^{\text {th }}$-percentile speed is 46.7 mph for the three tangent sections combined, 44.1 mph for the gentler of the two curves (location \#1), and 39.5 mph for the more severe of the two curves (location \#3).
- The $85^{m}$-percentile speed on the gentler of the two curves exceeds the computed comfortable speed by $1-2 \mathrm{mph}$ and the posted speed limit by 4 mph . Hence, it appears that seat-of-the-pants comfort may be more of an influence on speed choice than posted speed limit.
- The speed statistics on the more severe of the two curves are virtually the same northbound as southbound, despite the fact that the posted speed limits in the two directions are 35 mph and 40 mph , respectively. This lends further support to the above observation that drivers are selecting their speed based on comfort rather than speed limit.

Crash Experience - At our request, the Traffic Improvement Association searched its files for crashes occurring along Novi Road from 12-14 Mile Roads between 2007 and 2009, inclusive. Excluded were intersection crashes at the three "Mile" roads, since such crashes would likely be due primarily to factors other than the speed limit on Novi Road.

Detailed crash tabulations are appended to this report. Table 3 (following Tables $2 a$ and $2 b$ ) summarizes the 17 crashes reported for the three-year period. Key findings are as follows:

- Three crashes (in shaded rows) occurred on the northbound approach to the mid-section signal at the Hickory Woods Elementary egress / Waverly Drive (Figure 5).
- It appears that a southbound school bus was rear-ended 100 ft north of Waverly on 5/13/09 (there were 38 passengers).
[. Four crashes (in bolded font) occurred near the north cemetery driveway (Figures 15-16).
- Excessive speed appears to have been a primary causal factor in only one or two crashes. One of these crashes (on $2 / 18 / 08$ ) involved a northbound vehicle slipping off an icy road at the north

Table 2a. Summary of Speed Statistics for Novi Road, 13-14 Mile

| Loc. | Dir. | Date | Sample Size | Speed (mph) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Average | 85th \%tile | 10-mph Pace | \% in Pace |
|  | NB | 4-27-10 (>11 am) | 2881 | 39.5 | 43.9 | 35-45 | 84.6\% |
|  |  | 4-28-10 | 3706 | 39.5 | 44.0 | 35.45 | 83.9\% |
|  |  | 4-29-10 (<11 am) | 783 | 39.6 | 44.0 | 35-45 | 84.4\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 3685 | 39.5 | 44.0 | 35-45 | 84.2\% |
|  | SB | 4-27-10 (>11 am) | 2256 | 40.1 | 44.5 | 35-45 | 82.1\% |
|  |  | 4-28-10 | 3590 | 40.0 | 44.3 | 35-45 | 83.4\% |
|  |  | 4-29-10 (<11 am) | 1331 | 40.0 | 44.1 | 35-45 | 86.7\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 3589 | 40.0 | 44.3 | 35-45 | 83.6\% |
|  | Both | Average Day | 7274 | 39.8 | 44.1 | 35.45 | 83.9\% |
| \# 2 <br> (North of Wimbleton Way) | NB | 4-27-10 (>11 am) | 3476 | 42.5 | 47.4 | 35-45 | 72.2\% |
|  |  | 4-28-10 | 4334 | 42.6 | 47.7 | 35-45 | 70.6\% |
|  |  | 4-29-10 (<11 am) | 855 | 42.3 | 47.9 | 35-45 | 69.7\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 4333 | 42.5 | 47.6 | $35-45$ | 71.2\% |
|  | SB | 4-27-10 (>11 am) | 2528 | 42.6 | 48.2 | 35-45 | 68.7\% |
|  |  | 4-28-10 | 4134 | 42.4 | 47.5 | 35-45 | 72.3\% |
|  |  | 4-29-10 (<11 am) | 1504 | 42.6 | 47.3 | 35-45 | 74.0\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 4083 | 42.5 | 47.7 | 35-45 | 71.5\% |
|  | Both | Average Day | 8416 | 42.5 | 47.6 | 35.45 | 71.3\% |

Table 2b. Summary of Speed Statistics for Novi Road, 12-13 Mile

| Loc. | Dir. | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Speed (mph) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Average | 85th \% tile | 10-mph Pace | \% in Pace |
| \# 3 <br> (by Fitz- <br> gerald <br> Blvd) | NB | 4-27-10 (>11 am) | 1955 | 35.1 | 39.4 | 30-40 | 83.8\% |
|  |  | 4-28-10 | 2743 | 34.7 | 39.2 | 30-40 | 83.7\% |
|  |  | 4-29-10 (<11 am) | 704 | 34.5 | 39.0 | 30-40 | 83.3\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 2701 | 34.8 | 39.2 | $30-40$ | 83.7\% |
|  | SB | 4-27-10 (>11 am) | 2008 | 35.2 | 39.8 | 30-40 | 80.9\% |
|  |  | 4-28-10 | 3308 | 35.1 | 39.7 | $30-40$ | 80.6\% |
|  |  | 4-29-10 (<11 am) | 1177 | 34.8 | 39.4 | 30-40 | 82.5\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 3247 | 35.1 | 39.7 | 30-40 | 81.0\% |
|  | Both | Average Day | 5948 | 35.0 | 39.5 | 30.40 | 82.2\% |
| \# 4 <br> (South <br> of Ledgeview) | NB | 4-27-10 (>11 am) | 1822 | 39.7 | 44.6 | 35-45 | 77.9\% |
|  |  | 4-28-10 | 2478 | 39.4 | 44.3 | 35-45 | 77.1\% |
|  |  | 4-29-10 (<11 am) | 537 | 39.1 | 44.2 | 35.45 | 74.4\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 2419 | 39.5 | 44.4 | $35-45$ | 77.1\% |
|  | SB | 4-27-10 (>11 am) | 2343 | 39.4 | 46.8 | 35-45 | 70.7\% |
|  |  | 4-28-10 | 3368 | 39.1 | 45.0 | 35-45 | 71.4\% |
|  |  | 4-29-10 (<11 am) | 1042 | 38.7 | 44.0 | 35.45 | 76.1\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 3376 | 39.1 | 45.5 | 35-45 | 71.9\% |
|  | Both | Average Day | 5795 | 39.3 | 45.0 | 35.45 | 74.1\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \# 5 \\ \text { (by } \\ \text { North } \\ \text { Cemetery } \\ \text { Drive) } \end{array}$ | NB | 4-27-10 (>11 am) | 2875 | 42.5 | 47.9 | 35.45 | 70.1\% |
|  |  | 4-28-10 | 3666 | 42.7 | 48.1 | 35-45 | 68.0\% |
|  |  | 4-29-10 (<11 am) | 846 | 43.0 | 48.7 | 40-50 | 66.6\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 3694 | 42.7 | 48.1 | . | 68.7\% |
|  | SB | 4-27-10 (>11 am) | 2231 | 41.2 | 46.9 | 35-45 | 73.3\% |
|  |  | 4-28-10 | 3691 | 40.6 | 45.6 | 35.45 | 76.0\% |
|  |  | 4-29-10 (<11 am) | 1591 | 40.0 | 44.3 | 35-45 | 82.7\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 3756 | 40.7 | 45.7 | 35.45 | 76.6\% |
|  | Both | Average Day | 7450 | 41.6 | 46.9 | . | 72.7\% |

Table 3. 2007-2009 Crash History for Novi Road between 12 Mile and 14 Mile Roads, Excluding Crashes Near 12, 13, and 14 Mile ${ }^{1}$

| Year | Date | Time | Cross Road | Distance from Cross Road | Crash (Type or \#) |  |  |  |  |  | Crash Severity (\# Persons) |  |  |  |  | Possible Contributing Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Angle | Head -On | Sideswipe |  | RearEnd | SingleVehicle | Fatal | Personal Injury |  |  | Property Damage Only |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Opposite Direction | Same Direction |  |  |  | A | B | C |  |  |
| 2009 | $12 / 26$ | $5 p$ | Waverly | $15^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$. |  |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | Unclear. V\#2 stopped at signal. |
|  | 1217 | $5 p$ | Waverly | $50^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$. |  |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | Unclear. V\#2 responding to signal. |
|  | 11/09 | 1 p | Ledgeview | 3'S. | WB-NB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | Failure of turning vehicle to yield? |
|  | 11/08 | 60 | Fitzgerald | $15^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Animal |  |  |  |  | 4 | Likely deer crossing. |
|  | 05/13 | 11 a | Waverly | $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. |  |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |  | 1 | 38 | Unciear. School bus rear-ended. |
|  | 03/07 | 7 p | Warley | $13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. |  |  | NB-SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | Crossed centerline in rain, darkness |
|  | 02105 | 4 p | 121/2 Mile | 1320' S. |  |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | V\#2 slowing for cemetery drive? |
| 2008 | 06/27 | 3 p | 13 Mile | $269^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | Improper lane change. |
|  | 04/20 | 7 a | 12 Mile | 1294' N. |  |  |  |  |  | Bicycle |  |  |  |  | 3 | Unclear. Bike/car collision. |
|  | $02 / 18$ | 5 p | 13 Mile | 301'S. |  |  |  |  |  | Sign |  |  |  |  | 1 | Speeding, ice, outside of curve. |
| 2007 | 12/18 | 8 a | Waverly | $50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. |  |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | Wet. V\#2 stopped for signal. |
|  | 1204 | 1 p | Fitzgerald | 18 S . |  |  |  |  |  | Sign etc |  |  |  | 1 |  | Speed not cited, but ran off curve |
|  | 11/23 | 10 p | Ledgeview | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. |  |  |  |  |  | Animal |  |  |  |  | 1 | Hit likely deer on dark, wet road. |
|  | 10/01 | 9 a | 13 Mile | $243{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | NBRNBT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | Supposed right turn, but to where? |
|  | 08126 | 2 p | 12 Mile | 1294' N. |  |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $\mathrm{V} \# 2$ slowing for cemetery drive? |
|  | 08/04 | 12 p | 14 Mile | 1584' S. |  |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | Unclear why V\#2 was stopped |
|  | 06/14 | 9 p | 12 Mile | 1320 ' N. |  |  |  |  |  | Object |  |  |  |  | 1 | Cycle lost control changing lanes. |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 73 | Bold = All near cemetery drive. |

[^2]end of the first curve south of 13 Mile Road (where the speed limit is 35 mph ). The other crash (on 12/04/07) involved a northbound vehicle on dry pavement crossing the southbound lanes and hitting a sign at Fitzgerald (without further investigation, it is impossible to tell whether the loss of control was attributable to excessive speed or some other factor, such as loss of consciousness).

## Conclusions and Recommendations

- An overall speed limit of 45 mph is supported by the observed $85^{n}$-percentile speeds, infrequent access points, minimal number of speed-related crashes, and good vertical alignment.
- The five horizontal curves can be comfortably driven at speeds of at least $38-43 \mathrm{mph}$ (per conservative AASHTO criteria). Rather than set an overall speed limit at or below this speed range, we believe that it would be more appropriate to set the overall limit at 45 mph and treat the individual curves as follows:
- The first curve south of 14 Mile is relatively gentle, and sufficiently short, so as to not warrant any special signage.
- The second curve (sampling location \#1) is also relatively gentle and nearly as short as the first curve. Since the $85^{\text {th}}$-percentile speed is so close to the computed comfortable speed, it appears that drivers are already selecting an appropriate speed without any special signage; hence, none is recommended, even with the overall speed limit increasing to 45 mph .
- Since the third and fourth curves south of 14 Mile are longer and have the lowest computed comfortable speeds ( $37-38 \mathrm{mph}$ ), we are recommending the installation of Reverse Curve (W1-4 modified) warning signs, accompanied by 35 -mph Advisory Speed (W13-1) plates.
- At the fifth curve south of 14 Mile (i.e., the one at Old Novi Road), we are recommending the installation of a Curve Warning (W1-2) sign in each direction, but no advisory speed plates (since the computed comfortable speed is within 5 mph of the recommended speed limit).
- With the overall speed limit on Novi Road proposed to increase to 45 mph , the school superintendent may wish to see a school speed zone installed. By law, this limit could be no less than 30 mph (i.e., 15 mph below the overall speed limit) and extend to no more than $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$ beyond the school site.

Sincerely,
BIRCHLER ARROYO ASSOCIATES, INC.


Rodney L. Arroyo, AICP Vice President


William A. Stimpson, P.E.
Director of Traffic Engineering
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Figure 3．Novi Road，From 14 Mile South Past Shopping Center


Figure 4. Novi Road, Second Section South of 14 Mile
A



Figure 6. Novi Road, Fourth Section South of 14 Mile


Figure 7. Novi Road, Fifth Section South of 14 Mile


$\Delta$
Figure 9. Novi Road and 13 Mile Road


Figure 10. Novi Road, From 13 Mile South Through First Curve


Figure 11. Novi Road, Second Section (and Curve) South of 13 Mile


Figure 12. Novi Road, Third Section South of 13 Mile


Figure 13. Novi Road, Fourth Section South of 13 Mile


Figure 14．Novi Road，Vicinity of Old Novi Road



Figure 15. Novi Road, From South of 12-1/2 Mile to North Cemetery Driveway



Figure 17. Novi Road, From 12 Mile to North

2007-2009 CRASH DATA






| Crash Type |
| :--- |
| Count Type <br> 0 uncoded <br> 4 single <br> 0 head-on <br> 0 head-on/lt <br> 2 angle <br> 7 rr-end <br> 0 rr-end/lt <br> 0 rr-end/rt <br> 1 ss-same <br> 1 ss-opp <br> 2 unknown <br> Totals: 17 |


| Light Conditions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Count | Type |
| 0 | uncoded |
| 11 | day |
| 0 | dawn |
| 1 | dusk |
| 0 | dark/ltd |
| 5 | dark/unltd |
| 0 | unknown |
| Totals: | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |


| Weathe |  | Road Co | ondition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | Type | Count | туpe |
| 0 | uncoded | 0 | uncoded |
| 8 | clear | 12 | dry |
| 8 | cloudy | 4 | wet |
| 0 | fog/smoke | 1 | icy |
| 1 | rain | 0 | snowy |
| 0 | snow | 0 | muddy |
| 0 | wind | 0 | slushy |
| 0 | sleet/hail | 0 | debris |
| 0 | unknown | 0 | unknown |
| Totals: 17 |  | Totals: | 17 |


| Vehicle | Type | Crashes | By Month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | туре | Count | Type |
| 2 | uncoded | 0 | January |
| 18 | car | 2 | February |
| 1 | other | 1 | March |
| 1 | truck/bus | 1 | April |
| 0 | van | 1 | May |
| 5 | pickup | 2 | June |
| 1 | sm truck | 0 | July |
| 1 | motorcycle | 2 | August |
| 0 | moped | 0 | Seplember |
| 0 | go-cart | 1 | October |
| 0 | snowmobile | 3 | November |
| 0 | off-rd veh | 4 | December |
| Totals: 29 |  | Totals: | 17 |


| Hazardous Action |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Count | туpe |
| 16 | none |
| 1 | speeding |
| 0 | imprp/no signal |
| 0 | imprp backing |
| 6 | unable to stop |
| 1 | other |
| 1 | unknown |
| 0 | reckls driving |
| 0 | negl driving |
| 0 | spd too slow |
| 3 | failed to yeild |
| 0 | disrgd traffic entrl |
| 0 | wrong way |
| 0 | left of center |
| 0 | imprp passing |
| 1 | imprp lane use |
| 0 | impro turn |
| Totals: | 29 |

Unit Type

| Count | Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | uncoded |
| 28 | vehicle |
| 0 | pedestrian |
| 1 | bicyclist |
| 0 | engineer |
| Totals: 29 |  |

## Crash Severity

|  | FATAL | A | B | C | No Inj | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Persons | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 73 | 79 |
| Crashes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 17 |

## Alcohol in Crashes

|  | FATAL | PI | PD | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Drinking | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not Drinking | 0 | 5 | 12 | 17 |
| Total | 0 | 5 | 12 | 17 |

Crashes per Hour by Day

|  | Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednestay | Thursday | Friday | Saturday | Unknown | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12a-1a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1a-2a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2a-3a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3a-4a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4a-5a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5a-6a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6a-7a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7a-8a | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8a-9a | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9a-10a | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10a-11a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11a-12p | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12p-1p | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1p-2p | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2p-3p | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3p-4p | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $4 \mathrm{p}-5 \mathrm{p}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5p-6p | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| $6 \mathrm{p}-7 \mathrm{p}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7p-8p | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $8 \mathrm{p}-9 \mathrm{p}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9p-10p | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10p-11p | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 11p-12a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 17 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ From face of roadivay curb to near edge of walk or palh
    ${ }^{2}$ Asphatt＝asphallic concrete and Concrete＝Porliand cement concrete
    ${ }^{3}$ Per lhe City＇s Bicycle \＆Pedestrian Master Plan，the 8 －It－wide path follows Old Novi and 13 M le rather than this section of Novi Road．
    ${ }^{4}$ Less than 100 lineal leet along cemelery entrance area，immedialely north of 12 Mille Road

[^1]:    cc: David Molloy, Public Safely Director/Police Chief Malt Wiktorowski, Field Operations Senior Manager Terry Whitfield, Police Department

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Crashes within 200 ft of a major suburban intersection are typically due lo a variety of factors, speed typically not being a leading factor; hence, such crashes have been excluded from this analysis
    ${ }^{2}$ Miscoded such that vehicle turning left from Ledgeview is said to be "EB" (Ledgeview is only on east side of Novi Road)

