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## Chapter 1: PROCESS OVERVIEW

The City of Novi has had a long standing interest in providing an interconnected and comprehensive system of pathways, sidewalks and trails to connect neighborhoods with destinations throughout the City and region. To help ensure that non-motorized improvements are implemented in a logical and beneficial manner, the City of Novi Pathway and Sidewalk Prioritization Analysis and Process (PSPAP) was approved by City Council on November 13, 2006. Since then, staff has been continually updating the process as needed to accommodate development trends and public demand. Changes have been made to Tier and Tier Catergories as needed with each update. Refer to Chapter 3 for more details.

Potential sidewalk and pathway segments that need to be constructed were identified and placed into the "Pathway and Sidewalk Prioritization Worksheet." All segments were reviewed against the criteria assigned to each Tier 1 category. Tier 1 criterion measures the potential service benefits to the citizens of Novi. The Top 20 segments receiving the most points were identified for further review. The 20 segments receiving the most Tier 1 points were next reviewed against the Tier 2 criteria. Tier 2 criterion evaluates fina ncial considerations of completing each segment.

Since pathway, sidewalk, destination, accident and traffic volume data continues to change, the annual process includes the update of the segment data annually to ensure that the pathway and sidewalk segment ranking continues to highlight the segments that will provide a high level of serviceability and cost effectiveness to the residents of Novi. In addition to ranking pathway and sidewalk segments, the process also includes recommendations for the installation of regional/recreational trails, proposed street crossings, and neighborhood connector routes.

The Annual Non-Motorized Prioritization is updated each fall. Data is collected through the year and is curent through September 1, 2018, with the exception of completed segments, as any segment under construction at that time was determined to be complete or budgeted for planning puposes. As with previous updates, completed segments were identified, new segments were added and segment ranking was recalculated. Each year, the Community Development Department's Planning and Engineering Staff updates the prioritization analysis and process worksheets and maps for review and approval by the Walkable Novi Committee.

On October 18, 2018, the Walkable Novi Committee members present reviewed and approved the Annual Non-Motorized Prioritization: 2018-2019 Update and recommended forwarding it to the City's Capital Improvement Committee. The committee will then use this document to help identify future segments and non-motorized improvements to be constructed as additional funding becomes available.

## Chapter 2: COMPLEIED NONMOTORIZD IMPROVEMENTS

This chapter identifies the segments that are completed as of September 01, 2018 or under construction or budgeted for construction for year 2018-19. Since October 2006, about 18.4 miles of public pathways and sidewalks were constructed by the City of Novi and the State of Michigan and private developers completed about 15.8 miles of public pathways and sidewalks in the City.

In the 2017-18 year, the City of Novi started the installation of about 8,100 feet of regional/recreational trail (ITC Trail from Nine Mile to Eleven Mile Road). The amount of developer installed pathways and sidewalks resulted in over 3,427 feet of additional pathways and sidewalks. The City constructed all the segments budgeted for construction last year as planned. They are included ascompleted segments with this update.

About 6,670 feet of pathways, sidewalks and trails are proposed to be constructed in year 2019. Segments that have been assigned budget for design and construction are identified with this years update. Those are removed from the Priontization spreadsheet to allow opportunity for other segments. However, these segments are not counted towards completed segments as shown in the graph below.


Figure 2.1: 2017-2018 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| LABIE 2.1: 2017-2018 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements (or under construction at time of report) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Sidewalks built or under construction by the City


Sidewalks built or under construction by Private Developers

| 2615 | 12 | S | West | Haggerty | Autoneum | 450 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Part 52b | 20 | P | South | Eleven Mile | Oberlin | 989 |
| Part 97C | 29 | P | West | Beck | Valencia South | 400 |
| Part 31 | 15 | S | South | Twelve Mile | Hino Motors (west of Taft Road) | 488 |
| N/A | 10 | S | East | Dixon Road | Dixon Meadows (north of Twelve Mile) | 1100 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8 ~ D e v e l o p m e n t ~ T o t a l ~}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 2 7}$ |  |

Segments budgeted for construction for year 2018-19

| Part 53 | 20 | P | West | Beck | Funeral Home (Private Developer) | 470 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Part 53 | 20 | P | West | Beck | Bosco Park (City Parks Project) | 498 |  |
| 43 | 18 | P | West | Wixom | Catholic Central | Isla nd Lake | 620 |
| $70^{*}$ | 23 | P | West | Meadowbrook | Gateway Village | Eleven Mile | 900 |
| $39^{*}$ | 17 | P | west | Beck | Eleven Mile | Providence | 767 |
| $120 A^{*}$ | 36 | S | West | Haggerty | Eight Mile | Orchard Hill | 1390 |
| $120 B^{*}$ | 36 | S | West | Haggerty | Orchard Hill | High Point | 375 |
| $120 c^{*}$ | 36 | S | West | Haggerty | High Point | Nine Mile | 600 |
| $62^{*}$ | 22 | S | north | Ten Mile | Eaton Center | Churchill Crossing | 400 |
| NC4 | 23 | P | N/A | Connector | Main Street | Meadowbrook | 650 |
|  |  |  |  | Segments budgeted forconstruction foryear 2018-19 Total |  |  |  |

[^0]

Segment 67: Southside Grand River Avenue, east of Novi Road (Pavilion Park Apartments)


Segment 67: Southside Grand River Avenue, east of Novi Road (Huntley Manor)


Segment 52b: Sidewalk southside of Eleven Mile Road west of Beck Road (Oberlin Estates)


Pathway eastside of Meadowbrook Road, north of Twelve Mile Road (Beacon Hill Meadows)

TABIE 2.2: Previous Years Completed Non-Motorized Improvements(CII BUILT)

| Segment <br> Item \# | Section <br> $\#$ | Type | Side of <br> Street/ <br> Other | Location | From | To |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Segment |
| :---: |
| Length (ft) |

${ }^{1}$ Segments completed by the City of Novi only, not including developer completed segments
Legend $\mathrm{S}=6 \mathrm{ft}$. sidewalk $\mathrm{P}=8 \mathrm{ft}$. pathwa y $\mathrm{C}=$ crossing $\mathrm{RT}=$ regional/recreational trail
2016-17 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| 5037 | 21, 22 | Mid hlor |  | Taft Road | between south of J acob/Taft Knolls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4064 | 31 | PR | ITC Sports Center |  | ITC to Eight Mile |  | 3259 |
| 5 | 3 | S | South | Fourteen Mile | Beach Walk | East Lake Drive | 535 |
| 10 | 4 | S | east | Beck | K\&S Pla za |  | 180 |
| 105 Part | 31 | P | North | Eight M ile | Garfield | Napier | 5200 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,174 |

2015-16 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| 129 | 1 | S | South | Fourteen Mile | Haverhill Farms | Maples of Novi | 600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1b | 1 | S | South | Fourteen Mile | M-5 | Haverhill Fa rms | 867 |
| 4013 | 17 | RT | N/A | Medilodge <br> Providence | Medilodge | Beck | 3,203 |
| 4003 | 31 | RT | N/A | ITC Comidor | N. of ITC Sports Center <br> Park | Ga rfield | 5,800 |

2014-15 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements


2013-14 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| 16 | 11 | P | south | Thirteen Mile | Sunshine | Holmes | 275 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 12 part | 9 | S | north | Twelve Mile | West Park | Liberty Park | 335 |
| 24 | 13 | S | east | Meadowbrook | Bridge | Eleven Mile | 700 |
| 73 | 24 | S | east | Meadowbrook | Eleven Mile | Grand River | 600 |
| 4342 | 13 | RT | regional | Meadowbrook | Twelve Mile | Meadowbrook <br> Business Park | 2,240 |


| 4349 | 13 | RT | regional | Meadowbrook | Metro trail | Meadowbrook Park | 1,560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4350 | 13 | RT | regional | Meadowbrook | Meadowbrook Park frontage |  | 800 |
| 5154 | 0 | C |  | Nine \& Haggerty |  | NW to NE | crossing |
| 5009 |  | C |  |  | Haggerty and Villagewood |  | crossing |
| 5054 | 3 | C |  | Wixom \& Glenwood or Deerfield | mid-block crossing and signal project |  | crossing |
| 89 | 26 | P | east | Novi Rd | Ten Mile | Ice Arena | 500 |
| 145 | 23 | S | north | Ten Mile | Supplier Investment Co | RR | 220 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,230 |

2012-13 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| 92 | 27 | S | west | Novi Rd. | Ten Mile | Nine Mile | 2,135 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 36 | 16 | P | west | Taft | Eleven Mile | Andes | 495 |
| 144 | 23 | P | west | Meadowbrook | Grand River | Chemy Hill | 700 |
| 145 part | 23 | S | north | Ten Mile | Catherine Ind. Park | RR | 705 |
| 4019 | 25 | RT | regional | Brookfarm <br> Park | Ripple Creek | Village Oaks Elem | 633 |
| 5004 |  | C |  |  | Nine Mile and Heatherbrae | Joseph |  |
| 5007 | 24 | C | mid-block | Grand River | Seeley | crossing |  |
| 5014 | 21 | C | bike signs | Beck | Cidermill | crossing |  |
| 5034 | 31 | C | mid-block | Eight Mile | Community Sports <br> Park | N to S | crossing |
| 5035 | 31 | C | mid-block | Eight Mile | Garfield | N to S | crossing |
| 5143 | 32 | C | crosswalks <br> \& signals | Beck | Eight Mile |  | crossing |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,668 |

2011-12 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| 145b | 23 | S | north | Ten Mile | RR | Brookhaven | 225 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 23 | P | east | Novi Rd. | Grand River | Ten Mile | 3,500 |
| 61 | 22 | S | west | Novi Rd. | Cemetery | Pine Ridge Center | 3,600 |
| 32c | 15 | S | west | Novi Rd. | West Oaks | N side I-96 | 876 |
| 5007 | 24 | C | striping \& signs | Grand River | Seeley | J oseph | crossing |
| 5043 | 36 | C | mid-block | Nine Mile | Sunrise |  | crossing |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,201 |

2010-11 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| 83 | 25 | S | north | Nine Mile | Meadowbrook | Haggerty | 3,800 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 15 | 11 | S | south | Thirteen Mile | Novi Rd. | Old Novi Rd. | 350 |
| 5044 | 22 | C |  |  | Novi at Post Office |  | crossing |
| 146 | 11 | C | west | Old Novi | South Lake | crossing | crossing |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| $\mathbf{4 , 1 5 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2009-10 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| 71 | 23 | S | north | Ten Mile | Hampton Hill | Brookhaven | 822 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 139 | 25 | S | east | Willowbrook | Oaktree | Guilford | 400 |


| 141 | 24 | C | crossing | Ten Mile | Nilan | SW to NW | crossing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $82 C$ | 25 | S | west | Haggerty | Ten Mile | Dunkin Donuts | 220 |
| 140 | 23 | C | crossing | Hampton Hill | Ten Mile | NE to NW | crossing |
| $123 a$ | 1 | RT | regional | M-5 Extension | Fourteen Mile | Thirteen Mile | 5,280 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\mathbf{6 , 7 2 2}$ |

2008-09 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| 59 | 22 | P | south | Eeven Mile | Taft | Cedar Spring Estates | 1,300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125 | 15 | S | west | Clark | Eleven Mile | Grand River | 205 |
| 75 part | 24 | P | north | Grand River | Meadowbrook | Seeley | 310 |
| 80A | 24 | S | north | Ten Mile | Meadowbrook | Haggerty | 411 |
| 82A | 25 | S | west | Haggerty | Dunkin Doughnuts | Oak Ridge Place | 1,180 |
| 60A | 22 | P | south | Eleven Mile | Clark | Cedar Spring Estates | 300 |
| 136 | 21 | S | west | Bramblewood | Cidermill | subdivision | 210 |
| 63 | 22 | S | north | Ten Mile | Wildcat | Taft | 1,580 |
| 91 | 26 | P | south | Ten Mile | Meadowbrook | Orc hard Hills North | 800 |
| 96 | 28 | P | south | Ten Mile | Beck | Broadmoor Park | 250 |
| 95 | 28 | S | east | Beck | Ten Mile | Baker | 300 |
|  | 36 | S | south | Orchard Hills Place | Haggerty | west | 375 |
| $\begin{gathered} 54,55 \\ \text { part } \end{gathered}$ | 20, 29 | P | all | Ten \& Beck legs |  |  | 910 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 8,131 |

2007-08 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| 57 | 21 | S | north | Ten Mile | Roma nidge | Homestead | 770 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 85 | 26 | P | west | Meadowbrook | Ten Mile | Mallot | 1,050 |
| 86 | 26 | P | west | Meadowbrook | Chattman | Nine Mile | 2,025 |
| 94 | 28 | S | north | Nine Mile | Taft | Beck | 640 |
| 117 | 35 | P | west | Meadowbrook | Mission Pines | Mirabella Esta tes | 450 |
| 118 | 35 | P | west | Meadowbrook | Mirabella Estates | Eight Mile | 480 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |

2006-07 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements

| 42 | 17 | S | north | Eleven Mile | Novi Middle School | Beck | 3,700 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 56 | 21 | P | south | Eleven Mile | Beck | Taft | 1,700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\mathbf{5 , 4 0 0}$ |



Figure 2.2: 2006-2018-Completed Non-Motorized Improvements by City of Novi by Type in ft (Segments completed by the City of Novi only, not including developer completed segments)
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Figure 2.3: 2006-2018 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements by City of Novi in ft (Segments completed by the City of Novi only, not including developercompleted segments)

## MAINTAINENCE

Once the non-motorized improvements are constructed, there's a 25 year lifecycle for concrete sidewalk, 20 year asphalt pathway and 15 year lifecycle of boardwalks. These improvements prima rily depend on the extent of usage and regular maintenance. The Department of Public Servic es assigns 20,000-40,000 dollars annually out of the local road fund to mainta in sidewalks and pathways. In addition, they receive a nother 10,000 dollars for boardwalk maintenance out of the City's general fund to maintain boardwalks throughout the City. Table 3.4 lists the typic al cost estimate for installation, removal and maintenance for various types of non-motorized improvements. The cost may differ with other challenges that we encounter during our or contractor inspections.

Table 2.3: Sidewalk/Pathway and Boardwalk Maintenance Esimate

|  | Installation | Removal | Annual Maintenance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6}$ feet concrete Sidewalk | $\$ 75$ perft. | $\$ 20$ perft. | $\$ 0.32$ perfeet ( 131811 ft pathwa ys a nd 4836 ft <br> sidewalk $-\$ 44000$ budget) |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ foot asphalt pathway | $\$ 90$ perft. | $\$ 20$ perft. |  |
| Wooden boardwalk | $\$ 700$ perft. | $\$ 50$ perft. | $\$ 1.90$ perft. (1 mile of boardwalk - \$10000 budget) |
| Composite boardwalk | $\$ 1,200$ perft. | $\$ 40$ perft. | Yearly Budget |

Maintenance of Sidewalk/Pathway infrastructures are inspected on a yearly basis, with inspections to include.

1. Cracks in concrete/asphalt due to extreme temperature differences, tree roots a nd damage done by maintenance equipment in winter.
2. Frost heaving leading to disc ontinuity of sidewalk.
3. Poorconcrete mixes.
4. Overhanging vegetation and encroachment.

Maintenance of boardwalks infrastructures are inspected biannually, with inspection to include.

1. Replacing rotting or weather checked side rails, la ndings, decking, ba lusters, posts and handrails.
2. Removing overhanging vegetation and clearing the boardwalks of Phragmites (which is an invasive species) by yearly spraying.
3. Installation of two transition plates on each end to abate tripping hazards, if necessary. Transition plates are a temporary fix to a developing problem.

## BOARDWALKS

The City of Novi has a considerable a mount of regulated wetlands throughout the City. One of our Master Plan goals is to protect and mainta in the City's woodlands, wetlands, waterfeatures and open space. Our ordinance encourages minimal to no impact to regulated features. Thus, boardwalksplay a major role in maintaining the pedestrian connectivity through the regulated wetlands. We have a total of thirty four boardwalks through the City as listed in Table 2.4. Of them, three numbers 4, 9, 34 are not connecting sidewalks one side, a nd two boardwalks \#35 and \#27 sidewalks ends. It costs about 27-35 dollars per square foot to install a linear foot of boardwalk
(including handrails with footing/ pier supports priced separately). Helic al piers are in the range of 800 $-1,200$ dollars each including support brackets, and are based on a maximum depth of 15 '. It costs about 70-90 dollars per square feet to remove and reinstall the boardwalk with new lumber and helical piers. The unit pricing is substantially dependent on the site conditions, construction methods, accessibility, and the total size/scope of the desired work.

As is evident from the estimates, removal is more expensive than installation. When a boardwalk does not connect to other pedestrian improvements, it leads to under usage of these structures and may result in removal. In some cases, we have closed accessto certain boardwalks from public use to avoid further deterioration. Staff will pay much closer attention to segments, whose completion would serve the pupose of existing boardwalks and avoid expensive removals. City Engineering staff is curently working on researching altemate materials such as composites on handrails, altematives to balusters, installation techniques using Helic al piers and a djustable pilings for boardwalk construction, other than wood to minimize the frequency of maintenance and cost of construction. CIP budget for 2018-24 has assigned an a mount $\$ 50,000$ for boardwalk extension, repairs and replacement program. Staff has accordingly planned for scheduled repair and maintainence as noted in the table below.

TABIE 2.4: Exising Boardwalks Inventory

| Sec <br> tion <br> No. | Asset ID | \# | Location | Street <br> Name | Width | Length <br> in feet <br> (Appro <br> x) | Adjac <br> ent <br> Future <br> Segm <br> ent | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | BKS-10008 | 3 | S side 10 W Whitehall <br> SeniorCenter | 10 Mile | 8 | 510 | 0 | Scheduled for major <br> repa irs |
| 22 | BKS-10009 | 4 | N of 10 Mile W of Novi <br> Rd | 10 Mile | 8 | 178 | 62 | Scheduled for major <br> repa irs |
| 22 | BKS-10019 | 5 | N of 10 Mile E of <br> Church Hill Blvd | 10 Mile | 8 | 64 | 0 | Scheduled for Remove/ <br> Replace |
| 26 | BKS-010034 | 22 | Ten Mile West of <br> Quince Dr | 10 Mile | 8 | 304 | 0 | Scheduled for regular <br> Maintenance |
| 26 | BKS-010035 | 21 | Ten Mile East of <br> Pheasant Run | 10 Mile | 8 | 231 | 0 | Scheduled for regular <br> Maintenance |
| 17 | BKS-10004 | 6 | North of 11 Mile West <br> of Beck | 11 Mile | 8 | 255 | 0 | Scheduled for regular <br> Maintenance |
| 17 | BKS-010023 | 23 | North of 11 Mile East <br> of Wixom (ITC <br> Condor West of <br> Medilodge) | 11 Mile | 10 | 61 | 0 | Scheduled for regular <br> Maintenance |
| 17 | BKS-010026 | 24 | North of 11 Mile East <br> of Wixom (ITC <br> Comidor West of <br> Medilodge) | 11 Mile | 14 | 43 | 0 | Scheduled for regular <br> Maintenance |
| 18 | BKS-010036 | 31 | 12 Mile West of <br> Wixom Rd (Catholic | 12 Mile Rd | 8 | 195 | 0 | NA |

TABIE 2.4: Existing Boardwalks Inventory

| Sec tion No. | Asset ID | \# | Location | Street Name | Width | Length in feet (Appro x) | Adjac ent Future Segm ent | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Central) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | BKS-10011 | 1 | S of 9 Mile E of Roethel Dr | 9 Mile | 8 | 53 | 0 | Scheduled forRemove/ Replace |
| 35 | BKS-10012 | 2 | S of 9 Mile at Fire Station \#5 | 9 Mile | 8 | 205 | 0 | Scheduled for regular Maintenance |
| 20 | BKS-10007 | 10 | West side Beck North of Cider Mill | Beck Rd | 8 | 438 | 0 | Currently under Repair to Reopen |
| 29 | BKS-10013 | 11 | West of Beck North of 9 Mile | Beck Rd | 8 | 430 | 0 | Scheduled forRemove/ Replace |
| 32 | BKS-10014 | 20 | West side of Beck South of Bellagio | Beck Rd | 8 | 218 | 0 | Currently under Repair to Reopen |
| 16 | BKS-10015 | 9 | BeckRd South of Central Park | Beck Rd | 8 | 164 | 38 | Closed due to no connection |
| 16 | BKS-10016 | 8 | Beck Rd South of Vision Spa | Beck Rd | 8 | 223 | 0 | Scheduled forRemove/ Replace |
| 32 | BKS-010038 | 34 | Beck South of 9 Mile | Beck Rd | 8 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110b, } \\ & 112 \end{aligned}$ | NA |
| 31 | BKS-010037 | 35 | ITC Trail West of G arfield | Garfield | 14 | 529 | 0 | Scheduled for regular Maintenance |
| 6 | BKS-10017 | 18 | North of Grand River E of Beck | Grand River | 8 | 123 | 0 | Scheduled for regular Maintenance |
| 24 | BKS-10002 | 12 | E of MeadowbrookS of Vincenti Ct | Meadowbr ook | 8 | 73 | 0 | NA |
| 26 | BKS-10010 | 13 | W Meadowbrook N Penton Rise Ct | Meadowbr ook | 8 | 34 | 0 | Scheduled for regular Maintenance |
| 11 | BKS-010024 | 7 | West side of Meadowbrook N of 12 | Meadowbr ook | 8 | 331 | 0 | NA |
| 19 | BKS-010027 | 33 | East Side of Na pier South of Seaglen Dr | NapierRd | 8 | 220 | 0 | Scheduled forRemove/ Replace |
| 18 | BKS-010028 | 27 | East Side of Napier <br> South of Novi Meadows Blvd | NapierRd | 8 | 88 | 44 | NA |
| 19 | BKS-010030 | 32 | Napier West of Denali Ct | Napier Rd | 10 | 45 | 0 | Scheduled forRemove/ Replace |
| 19 | BKS-010032 | 29 | Ten Mile East of Na pier | NapierRd | 8 | 51 | 0 | Scheduled forRemove/ Replace |

TABIE 2.4: Existing Boardwalks Inventory

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sec } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Asset ID | \# | Location | Street Name | Width | length in feet (Appro x) | Adjac ent Future Segm ent | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | BKS-010033 | 30 | Ten Mile West of DenaliCt | Napier Rd | 8 | 50 | 0 | Scheduled forRemove/ Replace |
| 10 | BKS-10000 | 15 | West of Novi South of 12 1/2 Mile | Novi Rd | 8 | 504 | 0 | Scheduled formajor repairs |
| 10 | BKS-10001 | 14 | West of Novi North of 12 Mile | Novi Rd | 8 | 423 | 0 | Scheduled formajor repairs |
| 27 | BKS-010025 | 25 | West of Novi SW of Lidstrom | Novi Rd | 8 | 33 | 0 | Scheduled for regular Maintenance |
| 2 | BKS-010029 | 28 | Fishing Pierat Pavilion Shore Park | Pavilion Shore Park | 10 | 113 | 0 | NA |
| 16 | BKS-10020 | 19 | West of Taft South of AndesCt | Taft Rd | 8 | 237 | 0 | NA |
| 18 | BKS-10005 | 16 | West of Wixom next to Lift Station | Wixom Rd | 8 | 72 | 0 | Scheduled forRemove/ Replace |
| 18 | BKS-10006 | 17 | West of Wixom South of Island Lake | Wixom Rd | 8 | 258 | 0 | Scheduled formajor repairs |
| 18 | BKS-10018 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{TB} \\ & \mathrm{D} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | E of Napier Sof Knightsbridge | Napier Rd | 8 | 597 | 44 | NA |
| 18 | BKS-10021 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{BB} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | E of NapierN of Knightsbridge | Napier Rd | 8 | 59 | 0 | NA |
| 19 | BKS-010031 | 26 | Na pier North of 10 Mile | Napier Rd | 10 | 286 | 0 | Removed forRoundabout (2017) |
| 27 | BKS-010042 | 36 | PD Stairway | Civic Center | 10 | 25 | 0 | NA |
| Total Linear feet of existing boardwalk |  |  |  |  |  | 7,751 |  |  |

# MAP 1 <br> 2017-2018 Completed Non-Motorized Improvements 

To be Updated

## Chapter 3: <br> 2018-19 TOP 20 PRIORTY PATHWAY AND SDEWALK SEG MENIS

The City's Pathway and Sidewalk Prioritization Analysis and Process approved by City Council on November 13, 2006, includes a provision for the annual updating of the Analysis and Process. As part of the 2013-2014 update the process has been renamed the Annual Non-Motorized Priontization: 2014-2015 Update to better reflect the content and recommendations of the document. The Annual Non-Motorized Prionitization is updated each fall. Data is collected through the year and is current through September 1, 2018, with the exception of completed segments, as any segment under construction at that time was determined to be complete or budgeted for planning purposes. As with previous updates, completed segments were identified, new segments were added and segment ranking was recalculated with this update.

Each year, all pathway and sidewalk segments that are proposed adjacent to roads in Novi are reviewed against a set of Tier 1 criteria and assigned points based on the segment's potential service benefits to the citizens of the City. See Table 4.3 in chapter 4 for more details. The segments are ranked by their Tier 1 points and the top 20 priority segments are then reviewed against a second set of Tier 2 criteria and assigned points based on financial and other feasibility considerations. See Table 4.3 in chapter 4 for more details. Additional Tier 2 ranking is done to give prionity to segmentsthat provide more economical value to the City.

Due to intrinsic nature of planning, it is necessary to evaluate the policies as new challenges and questions arise. As part of the evaluation process, staff reviewed the prioritization criteria from various communities to identify additional criteria with 2015-16 update. As part of 2015-16 update, changes to Tier 1 and 2 Categories have been made and segments $1 / 2$ mile to 1 mile long are further broken down to smaller lengths.

One of the 2017 City Council's goals is as follows: "Direct Walkable Novi Committee to identify critical sidewalk/pathway gaps for 2018-19 budgets with focus on connections to new ITC trail segments and completing major coridors (including CIP millage funding up to $\$ 1.5$ million)." Committee approved changes proposed by staff in order to focus on sidewalk gaps that connect to ITC trail. Points were raised for connection to regional trails and parks. Please refer to Table 3.1 for more details.

In addition, following revisions are made to rating system and the segments formore efficiency.

1. Number of facilities within a certain mile from segment is counted based on the approximate distance along sidewalk, but not as within a certain radius.
2. Following segments which are over a mile long are split into smaller segments.

| 111a | 32 | P | south | Nine Mile | Beck | Garfield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 111b | 32 | P | west | Nine Mile | Garfield Conservation | Vasilios Court |
| 111c | 32 | P | south | Nine Mile | Vasilios Court | Garfield Road |
| 18b | 11 | S | north | Twelve Mile | Novi Rd. | Twelve Oaks |
| 18a | 11 | S | north | Twelve Mile | Twelve Oaks | Meadowbrook |
| 2a | 1 | S | west | Haggerty | Fourteen Mile | Thirteen Mile |
| 2b | 1 | S | west | Haggerty | Thirteen Mile | Sof Mc Kenzie Drive |
| $98 a$ | 29 | S | north | Nine Mile | Kensington | Vasilios Court |
| $98 b$ | 29 | S | north | Nine Mile | Vasilios Court | TC Pathway |
| 101a | 30 | P | east | Napier | Nine Mile | Villa Barr |
| 101b | 30 | P | east | Napier | Villa Barr | Ten Mile |
| 106a | 31 | P | west | Garfield | Eight Mile | Deer Run |
| 106b | 31 | P | west | Garfield | Deer Run | Nine Mile |

3. Smaller segments which are closer have been combined into one.

In order to have a most current and correct nventory, this year staff also cross checked the map layer and the inventory list to identify all segments that needs to be built along major roads against the existing conditions. 'Non-Motorized Routes and Trails' map available on City's map gallery is now current with all missing segments with respective Legacy ID's.

From the Top 20, construction of Segments 80B is deferred until development due to constraints beyond City control. Because of which, segment ranking 21is moved up to list of Top 20 Prionity segments. Table 3.2 and 3.3 provide further details. A majority of Top 20 are typlically located in the south east quadrant of the City due to high concentration of residential neighborhoods. However, this year due to shifted focus on connection to ITC trail and parks, the Tope 20 are more evenly spread out south of Twelve Mile Road between Haggerty Road and Wixom Road.

For 2018-19, the Top 20 Prionity segments (excluding the deferred segments) result in about 6 miles (31,887 linearfeet) of proposed pathways and sidewalks. Some points to be noted with regards to ournew Top 20 are asfollows:

1. Nine of twenty segments are camied over from last years Top 20 list, excluding deferred (80b, 81b, 81a, 66, 93b, 84b, 84a, 119a, 90, 82b and 82b)
2. Six of last year's Top 20 segments are noted as budgeted for construction for 2019 as shown in table $\mathrm{xx}(39,62,120 \mathrm{a}, 120 \mathrm{~b}, 120 \mathrm{c}$ and 70$)$
3. Five segments from last years updated are no longer in the Top 20 due to the new rating system ( $25,119 b, 119 c, 79$ and 64).
4. Three are less than 400 feet long,
5. Five are between 400 to 1,000 feet long,
6. Three are between 1,000 and 2,000 feet long,
7. Nine are over 2,000 feet long and, the longest is 2,923 feet long.
8. Nine of the segments are included in the 2019-25 C a pital Improvement Plan (CIP) in the CIP projected orforecast to be constructed by 2025.
9. A majority of them (7 segments) are scheduled for 23-24 fiscal year.
10. Four segments are within a mile from ITC trail under construction.

Aspart of 2017 update, staff reviewed the importance of two components that effect the nonmotorized improvements: maintenance and boardwalks. At July 20, 2017 regular Walkable Novi Committee meeting, staff asked the committee whether to include proximity to boardwalk and maintenance as one of the ranking categories. Upon further disc ussion, it was detemined that it does not generally affect all the segments and is applicable to only a few. The Committee directed the staff to note the missing segments that are adjacent to existing boardwalks as background information.

## LABIE 3.1: Ter and Ter 2 Categories

All proposed adjacent to road pathway \& sidewalk segments are reviewed a gainst a set of Tier 1 criteria \& a ssigned points based on the segment's potential service benefits to the citizens of the City, the segments are ranked by the Tier 1 points \& the segments receiving the top 20 pointsare assigned Tier 2 points

## TIER 1 CATEGORIES

## BICYCLE \& PED. ACCIDENTS

1 (intersection accidents only included when sidewalk or pathway connection is missing, $1 / 98$ to $9 / 13$ )
$5=1$ accident; $10=2$ accidents; $15=3$ accidents
$20=4$ or more accidents

## TRAFPC SAFETY

Each segment is given a weightage based on the Counts. The values are then multiplied by a multiplier based on respective speed limits to get the final rating

TRAFFC COUNIS
(ADT) 2010 Non-Motorized MP
$0=<10 \mathrm{~K}$ ADT
$5=10 K-20 K$ ADT
$10=>20 K$ ADT

## TRAFFC SPEFD

$<30 \mathrm{mph}=\mathrm{x} 1$
$35-40 \mathrm{mph}=\mathrm{x} 1.2$
$>=45 \mathrm{mph}=x 1.5$

## ACCESSTO SCHOOLS

All three categories are grouped into one to simplify and avoid double counts.
Final rating would be based on number of schools with the same criteria. i.e. \# elem \& intermediate schools w/in 1 mile and so on)
$4.5=1 \mathrm{school}$
$9=2+$ schools

| (\# elem \& intermediate schools | (\# middle \& high schools w/ in $\mathbf{2}$ <br> miles) | (\# private schools over $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ students w/ in $\mathbf{2}$ <br> miles) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| w/ in $\mathbf{1}$ mile ) | $4.5=1$ school |  |
| $4.5=1$ school | $4.5=1$ school | $9=2+$ schools |

## ACCESS TO PARKS

(\# w/in 1 mile)
$4=1$ park; $8=2+$ parks

Curent Rating revised with this update
$6=1$ park;
$12=2+$ parks

## ACCESSTO HOTES

$3.5=1$ Hotel; $7=2+$ Hotels

Curent Rating revised with this update
2 =1 Hotel;
$4=2+$ Hotels

## ACCESSTO SHOPPING

(\# shopping areas w/in 1 mile)
$3.5=1$ shopping area; $7=2+$ shopping areas

## ACCESS TO PLACES OF WORSHP

8 (\# places of worship w/in 1 mile)
$3.5=1$ places of worship; $7=2+$ places of worship
CONNECTED TO NEGHBORING SIDEWALK/ REGIONAL TRAILSYSTEM
3.5 = connected to neighboring sidewalk system 7 = connected to regional trail system

## Cument Rating revised with this update

2=1 places of worship;
$4=2+$ places of worship
Curent Rating revised with this update
7 =connected to neighboring sidewalk system $14=$ connected to regional trail system

POPULATION SERVED
$0=$ low density; $8=$ medium density; $16=$ high density

## 11 SEGMENTCOMPLEIION

$3.5=1 / 2$ to 1 mile; $7=$ over 1 mile

## CONSIDERABLE PUBLC INTEREST

5 =top 15 survey responses, resident petitions \& documented segments requested by groups \& govt agencies

NON-MOTORIZED MASTER PLAN
13
20 = initial investment
$15=$ major comidor

TIER 2 CATEGORIES (only Top 20 Tier 1 segments receive tier 2 points)
EASE OF CONSIRUCTION (easy/hard)
$0=$ hard; $8=$ medium hard; $16=$ easy

## RIG HT-OF-WAY AVAILABIUTY

2 (based on \% available)
$0=0 \% ; 4.5=25 \% ; 9=50 \% ; 13.5=75 \% ; 18=100 \%$

## OTHER PUNDING SOURCES

3 (based on \% available)
$0=0 \% ; 4.5=25 \% ; 9=50 \% ; 18=80 \%+$

## OPPOSTIE SIDE SIDEWALK OR PATHWAY

4 (road <12,000 ADT\& $35 \mathrm{mph}<e x i s t i n g$ or planned with higher priority ranking) $-20=$ complete section link ; $-10=$ one direction section link

## PRIVATE DEVELOPMENTPOTENTIAL

(Positive Points)
$8=$ little potential
$4=$ partial potential within 10 years
$2=$ dev potential within 10 years
$0=S P$ submitted

## EVIDENCE OF EXTENSIVE PEDESTRIAN USE

$0=$ No Evidence
$10=$ Wom Path
*This a new category added based on previous disc ussions. Engineering department will perform site visits to identify if pedestrians are using the unbuilt paths.


Figure 3.1: Total Length of 2018-2019 Priority segments per Sidewalks and Pathways
(Segments to be completed by the City of Novi only)

TABIE 3.2: 2018-19 Top 20 Priority Pathway and Sidewalk Segments including deferred segments

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{U} \\ & \stackrel{U}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | Location | From | To |  | Segment <br> length (ft) <br> excluding <br> Developer <br>  <br> Completed <br> pieces | Projeted CIPYear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 80b* | 24 | S | north | Ten Mile | Meadowbrook | Willowbrook Estates | 1 | 198 |  |
| 2 | 81b* | 25 | P | south | Ten Mile | Willowbrook | Haggerty | 3 | 2,634 |  |
| 3 | 81a* | 25 | P | south | Ten Mile | Meadowbrook | Willowbrook | 1 | 2,529 |  |
| 4 | 38 | 16 | S | east | Beck | Eleven Mile | Grand River | 2 | 2,234 | 23-24 |
| 5 | 153 | 36 | S | east | Haggerty | City limits | Taco Bell | 1 | 501 |  |
| 6 | 66* | 23 | P | south | Grand River | Sixth Gate | Main Street | 2 | 293 | 20-21 |
| 7 | 18a | 11 | S | north | Twelve Mile | Twelve Oaks | Meadowbrook | 2 | 2,923 |  |
| 8 | 93b* | 27 | S | north | Nine Mile | Plaissance | Taft | 2 | 619 | 23-24 |
| 9 | 53 | 20 | P | west | Beck | Bosco House |  | 1 | 323 |  |
| 10 | 84b* | 25 | S | east | Meadowbrook | Nine Mile | Chattman | 1 | 2,380 | 23-24 |
| 47 | 32b | 15 | S | west | Novi Rd. | Twelve Mile | West Oaks | 2 | 1,347 |  |
| 12 | 84a* | 25 | S | east | Meadowbrook | Ten Mile | Chattman | 1 | 2,323 | 23-24 |
| 13 | 93a | 27 | S | north | Nine Mile | Novi Rd. | Plaissance | 1 | 1,122 | 23-24 |
| 14 | 99a | 29 | P | south | Ten Mile | Valencia | 400' E of Lynwood | 2 | 2,739 | 23-24 |
| 15 | 68 | 23 | P | south | Grand River | Huntley Manor | Meadowbrook | 1 | 802 |  |
| 16 | 119a* | 36 | S | east | Meadowbrook | Nine Mile | Singh Blvd | 1 | 1,075 | 21-22 |
| 17 | 90* | 26 | P | south | Ten Mile | Chipmunk Trail | Maly Dental | 2 | 2,122 | 23-24 |
| 18 | 58b | 21 | S | east | Beck | Cider Mill | Sierra | 1 | 2,553 |  |
| 19 | 82b* | 25 | S | west | Haggerty | Pavilion Ct Apartments | Nine Mile | 1 | 539 |  |
| 20 | 18b | 11 | S | north | Twelve Mile | Novi Rd. | Twelve Oaks | 1 | 2,027 |  |
| 21 | 58a | 21 | S | east | Beck | Ashley | Cider Mill | 1 | 1,228 |  |
| Total Linear Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31,887 |  |

Legend $\mathrm{S}=6 \mathrm{ft}$. sidewalk $\mathrm{P}=8 \mathrm{ft}$. pathway
$\square$ Segments with pathways or sidewalks on most of the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critic al for system connectivity \& must be a nalyzed sep a rately for connectivity


Segments with a higher ranking segment planned for the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critic al for system connectivity \& must be a nalyzed sepa rately for connectivity
$\square$ Short Segments CIP Budget Year $\square$ Segments included in last years Top 20

| Table 3,3: Addlitional Notes for Top 20 Segments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## MAP 2

## 2017-18 Top 20 Priority Pathway and Sidewalk Segments

## Map 2:

2018-2019 Top 20 Priority Pathway and Sidewalk Segments


Annual Non-Motorized Prioritization:
2018-2019 Update

## Top 20 Priority Segments

- Top 20 Priority Segments
- Deferred Segments

Existing Sidewalks and Pathways

- Existing Sidewalk
- Existing Pathway


## Future Sidewalks and Pathways

- Proposed Pathway
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Existing Off Road Paths \& Trails

- Paved Path
- Unpaved Trail
- Mountain Biking Trail
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## Rank 2: Segment 81b

Pathway south of Ten Mile Road from Willowbrook to Haggerty: 2,634 ft.
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Rank 4: Segment 38 (CIP 23-24)
Sidewalk east of Beck Road from Eleven Mile to Grand River: 2,234 ft.
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Rank 6: Segment 66 (CIP 20-21)
Pathway south of Grand River Avenue from Sixith Gate to Main Street: 293 ft.
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Rank 8: Segment 93b (CIP 23-24)
Sidewalk north of Nine Mile Road from Plaissance to Taff: 619 ft.
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Rank 10: Segment 84b (CIP 23-24)
Sidewalk east of Meadowbrook from Nine Mile fo Chattman: 2,380 ft.
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Rank 12: Segment 84a (CIP 23-24)
Sidewalk east of Meadowbrook Road from Ten Mile to Chattman: 2,323 ft.
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Rank 14: Segment 99a (CIP 23-24)
Pathway south of Ten Mile Road from Valencia to 400' E of Lynwood: 2,739 ft.
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Rank 15: Segment 68
Pathway south of Grand River from Huntley Manor to Meadowbrook: 802 ft.
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Rank 16: Segment 119a (CIP 21-22)
Sidewalk east of Meadowbrook Road from Nine Mile fo Singh Blvd: 1,075 ft.
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Pathway south of Ten Mile Road from Chipmunk Irail to Maly Dental: 2, 122 ft.


Rank 18: Segment 58b
Sidewalk east of Beck Road from Cider Mill to Sierra: 2,553 ft.
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Rank 19: Segment 82b Sidewalk west of Haggerty Road from Pavilion Cł Apartments to Nine Mile: 539 ft.


\section*{LEGEND}

■-a Future_Pathway Major -a Future_Pathway_Multi-Use ■■ Future_Sidewalk Local ■■ Future_Sidewalk Major ——Existing_Pathway Major _ Existing_Pathway Local \(=\) Existing_Sidewalk Major _ Existing_Sidewalk Local \(\square\) Developments …… \(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{g}}\) Wetlands \(\cdots\) Woodlands


Civic Center is Library
Places of Worship
Places of Worship-Hindu
ㅅ. School

需
Shopping - Major

Shopping Plaza
-
Hotels

\section*{City of Novi}

Dept. of Community Development City Hall / Civic Center 45175 W Ten Mile Rd Novi, MI 48375 cityofnovi.org

Project: 2018-19 Top 20 Priority Path and Sidewalk Segments Date: October 15, 2018
Map Author: Sri Komaragiri
Version \#:1.0
\(\xrightarrow{115230}\)
\(115230 \quad 460 \quad 690 \quad 920\) Feet \(\quad 1\) inch \(=833\) feet

Map information depicted is not intended to replace or substitute for any official or primary source. This map was intended to meet National Map Accuracy Standards and use the most recent, accurate sources available to the people of the City of Novi.
Boundary measurements and area calculations are approximate and should not be construed as survey measurements performed by a licensed Michigan Surveyor as defined in Michigan Public Act 132 of 1970 as amended. Pleased contact the City GIS Manager to confirm source and accuracy information related to this map.

Rank 20: Segment 18b
Sidewalk north of Twelve Mile Road from Novi Road to Twelve Oaks: 2,027 ft.
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Rank 21: Segment 58a Sidewalk east of Beck Road from Ashley to Cider Mill: \(1,228 \mathrm{ft}\).
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\section*{Chapter 4: NON-MOTORIZED PLAN 20162017 UPDATE}

Completed Non-Motorized Improvements and Top 20 Priority Pathway and Sidewalk Segments are only part of the overall Non-Motorized Plan for the City of Novi.

In 2011, in an effort to further expedite the non-motorized planning efforts, the City Council contracted with the Greenway Collaborative to produce a comprehensive Non-Motorized Master Plan to expand on the Pathway and Sidewalk Priontization Analysis and Plan. The Non-Motorized Master Plan provides recommendations for in-road facilities, sidewalks, trails, road crossings, design standards, prionty considerations, funding, and non-motorized routes. This plan, financed with Federal Energy Efficiency Conservation Block Grant funds, includes an expanded implementation strategy to help the City continue its efforts to provide a safe, convenient and enjoyable environment for bicyclists, pedestrians and other non-motorized users while demonstrating the potential energy savings new facilities could provide.

Each year, as part of the Annual Non-Motorized Prioritization process, the Walkable Novi Committee reviews the following map and tables to ensure that the City is working towards successful implementation of this important plan. Potential sidewalk and pathway segments that need to be constructed were identified and placed into the "Pathway and Sidewalk Prionitization Worksheet." See Table 4.4 for more details. Tables 4.1 through 4.3 provide an inventory of proposed nonmotorized inventory throughout the City which is not part of the Prionitization spreadsheet. The list includes off-road recreational pathways, neighborhood connector roads and proposed crossing etc. Items that are constructed (or under construction) as of current year of the update are removed from the tables. The construction of these projects are undertaken as part of the road integration projects or ascity or other funding sources become available.
- Map 3: Non-Motorized Plan 2017-18 Update
- Table 4.1: Summary of the Proposed Non-Motorized Improvements as of 2016
- Table 4.2: Proposed Off-Road Recreational Pathways and On-Road Regional Pathway
- Table 4.3: Proposed Crossings
- Table 4.4: Proposed Neighborhood ConnectorRoutes
- Table 4.5: Proposed Adja cent to Major Roads Pathway and Sidewalk Segments: Tier 1 Category Rankings
- Table 4.6 Proposed Adja cent to Major Roads Pathway and Sidewalk Segments: Tier 2 Category Rankings
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\hline TABIE 4.1: Summary of the Proposed Non-Motorized Improvements as of 2016 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l} 
\# of \\
Segments/ \\
Crossings
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Length \\
(mi.)
\end{tabular} & Length (ft) \\
\hline Off-Road Recreational Pathways & 52 & 20 & 106,974 \\
On- Road Recreational Pathways & 9 & 5.2 & 27,746 \\
Dirt Trailsto be Paved & 7 & 2.3 & 12,086 \\
Crossings & 55 & & \\
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Neighborhood Connector Routes & 81 & 37.9
\end{tabular} & 200,023 \\
PathwaysAnd Sidewalks Adjacent To & 124 & 42.6 & 224,745 \\
MajorRoads & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Figure 4.1: Summary of the Proposed Total Non-Motorized Improvements as of 2016 by count


Replacement of existing sidewalk and culvert stabilization: northside of Grand River Avenu, west of Haggerty Riad (Mercedes Benz Showroom)


ITC Trail from Nine Mile Road to Eleven Mile Road under construction


Taft Road Rehabilitation: Bike Lanes

\section*{TABIE 4.2: Proposed Off-Road Pecreational Pathways and On-Road Regional Pathway}

\section*{Legend}

No Mo Phase=, Former Top 20=0, Initial=1, Major Coridor=2, Neighborhood Connector=3
Surface: \(C=\) concrete, \(A=\) asphalt, \(D=d\) irt
Classification: L=local, \(\mathrm{R}=\) regional, \(\mathrm{P}=\) park
PR=Planned Regional; PP=Planned Paved; PF=Planned Foot Trail; OR=On-Road Regional; DP=Dirt to be pavedScheduled Segment \(\square\) CIP Budget Year


Off-Road Trails \& Paths
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 4064 & 31 & 2 & PR & TC SportsCenter Park & TC to Eight Mile & 3,259 & 10 & A & R & 17-18 CIP; TAP Grant Awarded \\
\hline 4014 & 17 & 3 & PP & Wild life Woods Park & ITC to Wixom & 3,393 & 10 & A & P & \\
\hline 4077 & 23 & 1 & PP & Main St path & Capitol to Chery Hill & 779 & 10 & A & P & \\
\hline 4296 & 27 & 0 & PP & Civic Center & Ten Mile to Novi Way & 420 & 5 & C & P & \\
\hline 4002 & 30 & 2 & PR & Undeveloped Park/ ITC Coridor & Nine to Ten Mile & 3,647 & 10 & A & R & 19-20 CIP; Greenway Phase 2 \\
\hline 4295 & 27 & 0 & PP & Civic Center/ Power Park & Novi Way to play ground & 676 & 5 & C & P & was seg 127B \\
\hline 4030 & 26 & 1 & PP & Orchard Hills West & Mallot to Chattman & 860 & 10 & A & L & NC-3 \\
\hline 4010 & 3 & 2 & PR & Lakeshore Park & Parking lot to 12 1/2 & 3,513 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4011 & 3 & 2 & PR & Lakeshore Park & West Park to parking lot & 5,759 & 10 & A & R & \\
\hline 4012 & 15 & 2 & PP & 1-96 & RR to Meadowbrook & 9,677 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4020 & 25 & 2 & PP & Village Wood Lake & Meadowbrook to Village Wood & 1,147 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4022 & 27 & 2 & PP & Power Park & Taft to existing path & 1,772 & 10 & A & P & \\
\hline 4023 & 27 & 2 & PP & Power Park & Jonathan to park path & 1,079 & 10 & A & \(P\) & \\
\hline 4028 & 17 & 2 & PP & Beck to ITC & TC to Cheltenham & 1,878 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4037 & 26 & 2 & PP & Orchard Hills West & Meadowbrook to Balcombe & 1,804 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4039 & 26 & 2 & PP & Novi Ridge Orchard Hills & Balcombe to RR & 1,068 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4040 & 26 & 2 & PP & Ice Arena & RR to River Oaks & 1,540 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4049 & 4 & 2 & PR & Beck North & Spring Lake to West Park & 4,209 & 10 & A & R & \\
\hline 4050 & 4 & 2 & PR & The Springs A partments & Fireside to Beck North & 1,256 & 10 & A & R & \\
\hline 4066 & 3 & 2 & PR & Lakeshore Park & Parking to South Lake & 1,388 & 10 & A & R & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABIE 4.2: Proposed Off-Road Recreational Pathways and On-Road Regional Pathway}

Legend
No Mo Phase \(=\) Former Top 20=0, Initial=1, Major Comidor=2, Neighborhood Connector=3
Surface: \(C=\) concrete, \(A=\) asphalt, \(D=d\) irt
Classification: L=ocal, \(R=\) regional, \(P=p a r k\)
PR=Planned Regional; PP=Planned Paved; PF=Planned Foot Trail; OR=On-Road Regional; DP=Dirt to be paved
\(\square\) Scheduled Segment \(\square\) CIP Budget Year
\begin{tabular}{lllllllllll}
\hline \# & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABIE 4.2: Proposed Off-Road Recreational Pathways and On-Road Regional Pathway}

Legend
No Mo Phase \(=\) Former Top 20=0, Initial=1, Major Comidor=2, Neighborhood Connector=3
Surface: \(C=\) concrete, \(A=\) asphalt, \(D=d\) irt
Classification: L=ocal, \(R=\) regional, \(P=p a r k\)
PR=Planned Regional; PP=Planned Paved; PF=Planned Foot Trail; OR=On-Road Regional; DP=Dirt to be paved
\(\square\) Scheduled Segment \(\square\) CIP Budget Year
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \# } \\
& \text { E } \\
& \text { \# } \\
& \text { H } \\
& \text { O } \\
& \text { O } \\
& \text { B } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \#
ᄃ
O
U & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{K} \\
& \frac{\pi}{\frac{\pi}{1}} \\
& \frac{1}{2} \\
& \frac{0}{0} \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\underset{2}{0}
\] & Location & From / To &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { U } \\
& \text { U } \\
& \vec{ً} \\
& \text { un }
\end{aligned}
\] &  & CIP Budget Year or Scheduled Segment Notes \\
\hline 4047 & 15 & 3 & PP & CSX & under 96 & 403 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4048 & 15 & 3 & PP & I-96 & Taft to RR & 2,065 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4051 & 11 & 3 & PP & Tollgate Woods & Steinbeck to west & 164 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4052 & 11 & 3 & PP & Tollgate Woodsto Vista & Steinbeck to Sandstone & 646 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4053 & 21 & 3 & PP & Wa Iden Simmons Orchard & Arcadia to Cidemill & 1,137 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4054 & 22 & 3 & PP & Legacy Park & 10 Mile to Laurel & 2,766 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4055 & 22 & 3 & PP & Churchill to Novi Rd & Thatcherto Novi & 1,624 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4063 & 16 & 3 & PP & Taft Rd & GR to 96 & 1,373 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline 4116 & 4 & 3 & PR & Portsmouth & Pontiac Trail to Spring Lake & 1,198 & 8 & A & R & \\
\hline 4198 & 30 & 3 & PP & Singh Trail & 10 to 9 mile & 10,106 & 10 & A & L & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Off-Road Trails \& Paths Total} & 86,006 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Planned On-Road Regional
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 4340 & 12 & 2 & OR & Thirteen Mile S. side & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M-5 to } \\
& \text { Meadowbrook }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2,817 & 10 & A & R & Metro Connector Phase 2 \\
\hline 4341 & 11 & 3 & OR & Meadowbrook E. side & 12 to 13 Mile & 5,117 & 10 & A & R & \\
\hline 4345 & 9 & 3 & OR & West Park W. side & West to 12 Mile & 4,982 & 10 & A & R & \\
\hline 4348 & 16 & 3 & OR & Beck E. side & GR north 250 ft & 250 & 10 & A & R & \\
\hline 4343 & 17 & 3 & OR & Eleven Mile N. side & ITC to Wixom & 2,550 & 10 & A & R & \\
\hline 4346 & 17 & 3 & OR & Beck E. side & Providence to Grand River & 2,200 & 10 & A & R & \\
\hline 4344 & 19 & 3 & OR & Wixom W. side & 590 ft N. of Ten Mile to Eleven Mile & 4,752 & 10 & A & R & \\
\hline 4351 & 19 & 3 & OR & Wixom W. side & Ten Mile 590 ft north & 590 & 10 & A & R & wasseg 48 \\
\hline 4347 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9, \\
& 16
\end{aligned}
\] & 3 & OR & Beck E. side \& 12 Mile S. side & Bank, l-96, West Park & 4,488 & 10 & A & R & wasseg 151 \& 161 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Planned On-Road Regional Total} & & 27,746 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Dirt Trails to be Paved} \\
\hline 4297 & 27 & 2 & DP & Power Park & & 1,185 & 10 & A & P & \\
\hline 4298 & 27 & 2 & OR & Power Park & & 853 & 10 & A & P & \\
\hline 4299 & 35 & 3 & OR & Rotory Park & & 3,294 & 10 & A & P & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABIE 4.2: Proposed Off-Road Recreational Pathways and On-Road Regional Pathway}

Legend
No Mo Phase \(=\) Former Top \(20=0\), Initial=1, Major Coridor=2, Neighborhood Connector=3
Surface: \(C=\) concrete, \(A=\) asphalt, \(D=d\) it
Classification: L=ocal, \(R=\) regional, \(P=p a r k\)
PR=Planned Regional; PP=Planned Paved; PF=Planned Foot Trail; OR=On-Road Regional; DP=Dirt to be paved
\(\square\) Scheduled Segment \(\square\) CIP Budget Year
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  & \[
\stackrel{0}{2}
\] & Location & From / To &  & \(\Phi\)
吾
3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { y } \\
& \text { U } \\
& \text { 恶 }
\end{aligned}
\] &  & CIP Budget Year or Scheduled Segment Notes \\
\hline 4300 & 35 & 3 & OR & Chase Farms & & 596 & 10 & A & & \\
\hline 4301 & 35 & 3 & OR & Rotory Park & & 2,577 & 10 & A & & \\
\hline 4302 & 35 & 3 & OR & Chase Farms & & 2,831 & 10 & A & & \\
\hline 4303 & 35 & 3 & OR & Rotory Park & & 750 & 10 & A & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Dirt Trails To Be Paved Total} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12,086} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{TABIE 4.3: Proposed Crossings} \\
\hline Crossing Item \# & No Mo Phase & Proposed & Location & Notes \\
\hline 5131 & 0 & rampscrosswalks & Twelve Mile \& Haggerty & NW to NE, NW to SW \& SW to SE previously identified in PSPAP \\
\hline 5132 & 0 & rampscrosswalks & Beck \& Eleven Mile & NW to NE \& NE to SE previously identified in PSPAP with ADA \\
\hline 5133 & 0 & ramps crosswalks & Wixom \& Eleven Mile & \\
\hline 5142 & 0 & rampscrosswalks & Ten Mile \& Churchill & NW to SW \& NE to SE previously identified in PSPAP with ADA \\
\hline 5024 & 1 & crosswalk \& signals & Twelve Mile \& Donelson & \\
\hline 5026 & 1 & crosswalk \& signals & Twelve Mile \& Cabaret & \\
\hline 5059 & 1 & ramps cross signals & M-5 \& Fourteen Mile west & SW to SE with pathway seg 1 \\
\hline 5060 & 1 & rampscross signals & M-5 \& Fourteen Mile east & SW to SE with pathway seg 1 NE to SE with Commerce \\
\hline 5061 & 1 & crosswalk \& signals & Haggerty \& J R & NW to NE with seg 25 \\
\hline 5064 & 1 & rampscrosswalk & Twelve Mile \& Meadowbrook south & SW-NW w/path seg 30 or 29 \\
\hline 5001 & 2 & mid-block & Novi \& Algonquin & signage, ramps and crosswalk with neighborhood connector route seg 9067 mid block crossing with road project \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cclll}
\hline TABIE 4.3: Proposed Crossings & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Crossing \\
Item \#
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
No Mo \\
Phase
\end{tabular} & Proposed & Location & Notes \\
\hline 5012 & 2 & mid-block & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Meadowbrook north of \\
Chattman
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
with loc al off road path seg 3020 \& 3037
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5027 & 2 & mid-block & Eleven Mile \& TC path & \begin{tabular}{l} 
signage, ramps and crosswalk with foot trail seg \\
3004 mid block crossing with road project
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5029 & 2 & rampssigns & Nine Mile \& ITC path & Garfield \& ITC path
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{TABIE 4.3: Proposed Crossings} \\
\hline Crossing Item \# & No Mo Phase & Proposed & Location & Notes \\
\hline 5020 & 3 & ramps cross signs & West Park \& Bristol & with neighborhood connector route signage and crosswalk \\
\hline 5021 & 3 & mid-block & Nine Mile \& Darcey & signage, ramps and crosswalks with neighborhood connector route seg 9046 mid block crossing with road project \\
\hline 5022 & 3 & mid-block & Ten Mile \& Simmons & with neighborhood connector route seg 9020 \\
\hline 5023 & 3 & mid-block & Fourteen Mile \& Kingswood & with road project \\
\hline 5025 & 3 & rampscross signals & Twelve Mile \& Twelve Oaks & with loc al off road trail seg 3025 \\
\hline 5028 & 3 & mid-block & Ten Mile \& ITC path & with foot trail seg 3004 \\
\hline 5031 & 3 & mid-block crossing & Twelve Mile \& ITC path & with regional trail segment 3006 \\
\hline 5032 & 3 & mid-block crossing & Grand River \& TC path & with regional trail segment 3006 \\
\hline 5036 & 3 & rampscrosswalk & Eleven Mile \& Arcadia & with neighborhood connector route seg 9053 \\
\hline 5040 & 3 & crosswalk \& signals & Twelve Mile \& Taft & with road project \\
\hline 5041 & 3 & mid-block & Grand River \& Founta in Park & with road project \\
\hline 5042 & 3 & mid-block & Grand River west of Karim & with road project \\
\hline 5045 & 3 & mid-block & Taft north of Byme & with road project \\
\hline 5046 & 3 & mid-block & Nine Mile west of Connemara & with road project \\
\hline 5047 & 3 & mid-block & Taft \& Dunbarton & with road project \\
\hline 5050 & 3 & mid-block & Ten Mile \& Bramblewood & with road project \\
\hline 5051 & 3 & mid-block & Ten Mile \& Linwood & with road project \\
\hline 5052 & 3 & mid-block & Nine Mile \& Autum Park & with road project \\
\hline 5053 & 3 & mid-block & Beck north of Stratford & with road project \\
\hline 5054 & 3 & mid-block or signals & Wixom \& Glenwood or Deerfield & Completed. New traffic signal \& non-motorized mid-block crossing \\
\hline 5055 & 3 & mid-block & Novi \& Ledgeview & with road project \\
\hline 5057 & 3 & ramps crosswalk & Fourteen \& Novi & SE to NE with road or ADA project \\
\hline 5058 & 3 & rampscross signal & Fourteen \& Welch & with road or ADA project \\
\hline 5062 & 3 & ramp signal improv & Beck \& Pontiac Trail & with ADA project \\
\hline 5063 & 3 & crosswalk signs & Beck \& Tamarack & with road project \\
\hline & 55 & TOTALPLANNED CRO & SING IMPROVEMENTS & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{TABIE 4.4: Proposed Neighborhood Connector Routes} \\
\hline Segme nt ltem & No Mo Master Plan Prionity & Location & From/To & Length (ft) & Notes \\
\hline 9067 & 0 & Algonquin Little Falls & Little Rapids west & 2,521 & \\
\hline 9060 & 0 & Bristol & West Park to Pennington & 1,713 & \\
\hline 9113 & 0 & Caberet & Twelve Mile to Fountainwalk & 2,427 & \\
\hline 9047 & 0 & Chellenham & Novi west & 2,120 & \\
\hline 9112 & 0 & Dixon & Twelve Mile to 12 1/2 Mile & 2,688 & \\
\hline 9071 & 0 & Galway & Center to Novi & 2,715 & \\
\hline 9069 & 0 & High Meadow & Greening to J onathan & 1,017 & \\
\hline 9008 & 0 & J onathan & High Meadow to westend & 297 & \\
\hline 9031 & 0 & Village Wood & Heatherbrie to School & 876 & \\
\hline 9049 & 0 & Village Wood & School to Haggerty & 3,720 & \\
\hline 9006 & 0 & White Pine & Taft to Moorgate & 2,778 & \\
\hline 9009 & 0 & White Pine & Beck to Moorgate & 3,488 & \\
\hline 9034 & 1 & Addington & Taft to Devonshire & 602 & \\
\hline 9019 & 1 & Addington J a slyn & Westmont 10 to Devonshire & 2,917 & \\
\hline 9123 & 1 & Bethany Chemy Hill & Brenda to Highlands & 5,984 & \\
\hline 9124 & 1 & Bethany Ripple Creek & MaudeLea to Brenda & 3,238 & \\
\hline 9103 & 1 & Broquet Glenn Haven & Meadowbrookto 8 Mile & 4,287 & \\
\hline 9094 & 1 & Center & Galway to Northville & 483 & \\
\hline 9127 & 1 & Christina Sussex & Sullivan to Churchill link & 715 & \\
\hline 9021 & 1 & Cidermill & Beck to Riverview Ln & 3,972 & \\
\hline 9145 & 1 & Clark & Eleven Mile south end & 2,613 & \\
\hline 9082 & 1 & Congress & Constitution to Capitol & 759 & \\
\hline 9057 & 1 & Cortla ndHic koryGrove & Ten Mile to Russet Power Park & 1,596 & \\
\hline 9025 & 1 & Eleven_Clark & Taft to Grand River & 3,739 & \\
\hline 9140 & 1 & Emerald Forest & school link to west end & 1,037 & \\
\hline 9029 & 1 & Flint & bend to Grand River & 213 & \\
\hline 9028 & 1 & Flint_Main & Potomic to bend in Flint & 2,595 & \\
\hline 9046 & 1 & Galway & Hillridge to Dartmouth & 7,058 & \\
\hline 9075 & 1 & Galway & Nine Mile to Dartmoor & 530 & \\
\hline 9129 & 1 & Galway & Center to Hillridge & 621 & \\
\hline 9043 & 1 & HeatherbraeMarks & Meadowbrook to Villagewood & 6,138 & \\
\hline 9054 & 1 & Kemi_Sullivan & west end to Christine & 1,012 & \\
\hline 9072 & 1 & Moorsgate & White Pine to Thomton School & 713 & \\
\hline 9027 & 1 & Potomic & Main to Congress & 1,025 & \\
\hline 9020 & 1 & RiverviewSimmons & Ten Mile to Cidermill & 3,596 & \\
\hline 9126 & 1 & SealyJ osephBrenda & Eleven Mile to Bethany & 4,604 & \\
\hline 9041 & 1 & Seely_Old11 & Eleven Mile to 275 trail & 713 & \\
\hline 9056 & 1 & ThatcherCavendish & Sussex link to 10 Mile & 2,631 & \\
\hline 9090 & 2 & Eleven Mile & verizon access to Town Center & 1,860 & \\
\hline 9125 & 2 & Eleven Mile & Meadowbrook to Sealey & 2,726 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline TABE & roposed Neigh & hood Connector Routes & & & \\
\hline Segme nt Item & No Mo Master Plan Priority & Location & From/To & Length (ft) & Notes \\
\hline 9053 & 2 & Arcadia & Eleven Mile to end & 1,569 & \\
\hline 9134 & 2 & Arcadia & Cordoba to west end & 1,675 & \\
\hline 9119 & 2 & Bristol & West Park west part off road & 3,060 & \\
\hline 9012 & 2 & Brownstone & Meadowbrook to Hemingway & 1,105 & \\
\hline 9058 & 2 & Chase & Reindeer to 9 Mile & 1,436 & \\
\hline 9026 & 2 & Cresentwood Drakes Bay & Glenwood ReyesPoint loop & 16,523 & \\
\hline 9001 & 2 & Eleven Mile & Meadowbrook to Verizon access & 1,880 & \\
\hline 9042 & 2 & Ennishore Balcombe & Nine Mile to Chattman & 2,699 & \\
\hline 9044 & 2 & Fawn ReinDeer & Meadowbrook to Nine Mile & 1,867 & \\
\hline 9111 & 2 & Garfield & ITC to Eight Mile & 5,596 & \\
\hline 9007 & 2 & HamptonHill Ridge & Chemy Hill to Ten Mile & 2,563 & \\
\hline 9052 & 2 & Liberty Montmorency & Chateau to LaRoi & 2,435 & \\
\hline 9128 & 2 & NorthHill Midway & Danbarton to Galway & 3,983 & \\
\hline 9011 & 2 & Plateau Hemingway Wolf & Burroughs to Liberty & 2,474 & \\
\hline 9070 & 2 & Quincey Tamara & Ten Mile to school & 1,252 & \\
\hline 9015 & 2 & Reeds Pointe Kelsey & Drakes Bay to Wixom & 1,894 & \\
\hline 9066 & 2 & Silvery & Borchart to Orchard Parkcut & 940 & \\
\hline 9010 & 2 & Steinbeck & Crane to Burroughs & 1,418 & \\
\hline 9098 & 2 & Thatcher & at eyebrow & 179 & \\
\hline 9143 & 2 & Thatcher & Clark link to Novi link & 899 & \\
\hline 9101 & 2 & Town Center Main & Eleven Mile to Potomic & 1,417 & \\
\hline 9122 & 2 & Twelve Oaks & East loop & 4,093 & \\
\hline 9133 & 2 & Wa verly Independence & Novi to Chateau link & 581 & \\
\hline 9121 & 3 & 12 1/2 Mile & All & 2,696 & \\
\hline 9013 & 3 & 12 Mile & Grand River to Napier & 4,616 & \\
\hline 9107 & 3 & AlbertKnightsbridge & Twelve Mile Island Lake link & 2,416 & \\
\hline 9051 & 3 & AmyLadeneSavoie & Orchard Hill link to Meridian & 4,051 & \\
\hline 9014 & 3 & ApplebrookeRoberts & Deer Run to Nine Mile & 2,885 & \\
\hline 9018 & 3 & CiderMill Sandpiper & Beck to ITC connector & 2,688 & \\
\hline 9110 & 3 & Deer Run & Garfield to Applebrooke & 2,238 & \\
\hline 9016 & 3 & Delmont & Wixom to Wood worth & 1,545 & \\
\hline 9093 & 3 & Galway & Novi to Center & 2,715 & \\
\hline 9139 & 3 & Hillside Sunday & Nine Mile to Roberts & 2,242 & \\
\hline 9132 & 3 & Independance & Sleepy Hollow to Chateau link & 606 & \\
\hline 9106 & 3 & Kingswood Kingsley & Fourteen Mile C hateau link & 2,380 & \\
\hline 9138 & 3 & LaurelTorino & Nine Mile to Links of Novi & 3,531 & \\
\hline 9142 & 3 & Orchard Hill & Eight Mile to Whispering link & 2,314 & \\
\hline 9120 & 3 & Sandstone & Novi to Tollgate link & 2,040 & \\
\hline 9104 & 3 & SleepyHollow Colling & Independence to HaverHill link & 1,743 & \\
\hline 9136 & 3 & Terra Del Mar & Ten Mile to Drakes Bay & 2,900 & \\
\hline 9017 & 3 & Woodworth & Delmont to ITC loop & 542 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Total Planned Routes (ft)} & & 200,023 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
MAP 3: \\ Non-Motorized Plan 2016-2017 Update
}

\section*{To be updated}


Segments with pathways or sidewalks on most of the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critical forsystem connectivity \& must be analyzed separately for connectivity

Short Segments (400 oft. or less) \(\quad \square\) CIP Budget Year- note that these segments may be critical for system connect tivity \& mus be a a lyzed separately for connectivity
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 80b & 24 & S & north & Ten Mile & Meadowbrook \\
\hline 2 & 81b & 25 & P & south & Ten Mile & Willowbrook \\
\hline 3 & 81a & 25 & P & south & Ten Mile & Meadowbrook \\
\hline 4 & 38 & 16 & S & east & Beck & Eleven Mile \\
\hline 5 & 153 & 36 & S & east & Haggerty & City limits \\
\hline 6 & 66 & 23 & P & south & Grand River & Sixth Gate \\
\hline 7 & 18a & 11 & S & north & Twelve Mile & Twelve Oaks \\
\hline 8 & 93b & 27 & S & north & Nine Mile & Plaissance \\
\hline 9 & 53 & 20 & P & west & Beck & Eleven Mile \\
\hline 10 & 84b & 25 & S & east & Meadowbrook & Nine Mile \\
\hline 11 & 32a & 15 & S & west & Novi Rd. & Twelve Oaks \\
\hline 12 & 84a & 25 & S & east & Meadowbrook & Ten Mile \\
\hline 13 & 93a & 27 & S & north & Nine Mile & Novi Rd. \\
\hline 14 & 99a & 29 & P & south & Ten Mile & Wixom \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Willowbrook Estates & 1 & 198 & & 10 & 7.5 & 9 & 6 & 0 & 7 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 20 & 90 & 1 \\
\hline Haggerty & 3 & 2,634 & & 20 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 20 & 89 & 2 \\
\hline Willowbrook & 1 & 2,529 & & 20 & 7.5 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 5 & 20 & 87 & 3 \\
\hline Grand River & 2 & 2,234 & 23-24 & 15 & 15 & 9 & 6 & 2 & 3.5 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 79 & 5 \\
\hline Taco Bell & 1 & 501 & & 10 & 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 14 & 14 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 64 & 17 \\
\hline Main Street & 2 & 293 & 20-21 & 15 & 7.5 & 9 & 6 & 4 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 70 & 9 \\
\hline Meadowbrook & 2 & 2,923 & & 10 & 7.5 & 9 & 12 & 4 & 7 & 2 & 14 & 7 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 80 & 4 \\
\hline Taft & 2 & 619 & 23-24 & 10 & 0 & 4.5 & 6 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 20 & 70 & 8 \\
\hline Kirkway Place & 1 & 323 & & 0 & 7.5 & 9 & 6 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 65 & 15 \\
\hline Chattman & 1 & 2,380 & 23-24 & 0 & 5 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 20 & 71 & 7 \\
\hline Twelve Mile & 2 & 1,347 & & 15 & 15 & 0 & 6 & 4 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 59 & 20 \\
\hline Chattman & 1 & 2,323 & 23-24 & 0 & 6 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 5 & 20 & 65 & 14 \\
\hline Plaissance & 1 & 1,122 & 23-24 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 20 & 69 & 10 \\
\hline 400' E of Lynwood & 2 & 2,739 & 23-24 & 5 & 7.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3.5 & 4 & 14 & 7 & 0 & 5 & 20 & 66 & 11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
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All proposed adjacent to road pathway \& sidewalk segments are reviewed against a set of Tier 1 critenia \& assigned points based on the segment's potential senvice benefits to the TIER1 CATEGORIES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & &  & Location & From & то & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{}} & Segme nt Length (ft) & Notes &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  & & \\
\hline  &  &  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
ents with pathways street - note that th onnectivity \& must \\
nts with a higher ran treet - note that the tivity \& must be ana
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
or sidewalks on most o these segments may be be analyzed separately \\
nking segment planned se segments may be crit alyzed separately forco
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
the opposite side of ritical for system for connectivity \\
or the opposite side cal for system nectivity
\end{tabular} & & &  &  & 5 points \(=1\) accident \(10=2\) accidents \(15=3\) accidents \(20=4\) or more accidents & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0=<10 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { ADTs", } \\
& 5=10 \mathrm{~K}- \\
& 20 \mathrm{~K} \text { ADTs } \\
& 10=>20 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { ADTs } \\
& \text { Then } \\
& \text { multiplied } \\
& \text { by } \\
& 1<35 \mathrm{mph}, \\
& 1.2 \text { for } 35- \\
& 40 \mathrm{mph} \& \\
& 1.5 \text { for }>=45 \\
& \mathrm{mph}
\end{aligned}
\] & \(4.5=1\) school \(9=2+\)
schools schoo & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(4=1\) \\
park \\
8 = \\
2+ \\
parks
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\multicolumn{1}{l}{ point } \\
\hline 3.5 \\
\(=1\) \\
\(=1\) \\
hotel \\
\(7=\) \\
\(2+\) \\
hotel \\
5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { savailable } \\
\hline \begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 3.5 & =1 \\
\text { shoppi } \\
\text { ng } \\
\text { area } \\
7=2+ \\
\text { shoppi }
\end{array} \\
\text { ng } \\
\text { areas }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { percatego } \\
\hline 3.5=1 \\
\text { places } \\
\text { of } \\
\text { worship } \\
7=2+ \\
\text { places } \\
\text { of } \\
\text { worship } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l|l|}
\multicolumn{1}{l|}{\begin{tabular}{l} 
ry \\
3.5 \\
connecte \\
d to \\
neighbori \\
ng \\
sidewalk \\
system \\
\(7=\) \\
connecte \\
d to \\
regional \\
trail \\
system \\
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \(0=\) low
density
\(8=\) =
medium
density
\(16=\)
high
density & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\hline 3.5=1 / 2 \text { to } \\
1 \text { mile } \\
7=\text { over 1 } \\
\text { mile }
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(5=\) top \\
15 survey \\
responses \\
, resident \\
petitions \\
\(\&\) \\
documen \\
ted \\
segments \\
requeste \\
d by \\
groups \(\&\) \\
govt \\
agencies \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \(20=\) initial investme nt \(15=\) major coridor & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Legend & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 15=6 \mathrm{ft} \text { s } \\
& \text { Segm } \\
& \text { Segm }
\end{aligned}
\] & sidewalk ments wit ments wit rt Segme & with pa & 8 ft . pathw thwayso higher ran 400 ft . or &  & the opposite side of the stre for the opposite side of the
\(\square\) Def & \begin{tabular}{l}
t - note that these segm \\
street - note that these \\
red until construction
\end{tabular} & & y be & \begin{tabular}{l}
critical fo \\
ay be critic
\end{tabular} &  & tivity \& must be analy nectivity \& must be & \begin{tabular}{l}
yzed separately for \\
analyzed separa
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
forconnectivity \\
tely for connectivity
\end{tabular} & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15 & 68 & 23 & P & south & Grand River & Funeral Home & Meadowbrook & 1 & & 802 & & 10 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 0 & 2 & 7 & 2 & 14 & 14 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 65 & 15 \\
\hline 16 & 119a & 36 & S & east & Meadowbrook & Nine Mile & Singh Blvd & 1 & & 1,075 & 21-22 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 5 & 20 & 60 & 19 \\
\hline 17 & 90 & 26 & P & south & Ten Mile & Maly Dental & Novi Ridge Apartments & 1 & & 2,122 & 23-24 & 5 & 7.5 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 7 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 20 & 76 & 6 \\
\hline 18 & 58b & 21 & S & east & Beck & Cider Mill & Sierra & 1 & & 2,553 & & 0 & 7.5 & 9 & 6 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 14 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 66 & 11 \\
\hline 19 & 82b & 25 & S & west & Haggerty & Pavilion Ct Apartments & Nine Mile & 1 & & 539 & & 10 & 15 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 59 & 20 \\
\hline 20 & 18b & 11 & S & north & Twelve Mile & Novi Rd. & Twelve Oaks & 1 & & 2,027 & & 10 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 12 & 4 & 7 & 2 & 0 & 7 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 61 & 18 \\
\hline 21 & 58 a & 21 & S & east & Beck & Ashley & Cider Mill & 1 & & 1,228 & & 0 & 7.5 & 9 & 6 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 14 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 66 & 11 \\
\hline 22 & 121a & 36 & P & south & Nine Mile & Meadowbrook & Sunnise & 1 & & 2,899 & & 5 & 0 & 4.5 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 5 & 20 & 59 & 22 \\
\hline 23 & 64 & 22 & S & east & Taft & Ten Mile & Eleven Mile & 2 & & 4,218 & 23-24 & 5 & 0 & 9 & 12 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 58 & 23 \\
\hline 24 & 119c & 36 & S & east & Meadowbrook & Eight Mile & \(N\) of Lewelyn & 1 & & 1,191 & & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 20 & 57 & 24 \\
\hline 25 & 6 & 3 & P & west & West Park & Bristol Comers & Pontiac Trail & 3 & & 2,198 & & 20 & 0 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 3.5 & 2 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 56 & 25 \\
\hline 25 & 32b & 15 & S & west & Novi Rd. & I-96 north side & 1-96 south side & 1 & & 723 & & 15 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 6 & 4 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 56 & 25 \\
\hline 27 & 21a & 13 & P & south & Twelve Mile & Meadowbrook & Energy Way & 2 & & 3,451 & & 15 & 7.5 & 9 & 6 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 7 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 56 & 27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\(\square\)
\(\square\)
\(\square\)
Segment with pathways or sidewalks on most of the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critical for system connectivity \& must be analyzed separately forconnectivitySegments with a higher ranking segment planned for the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critical for system connectivity \& must be analyzed separately for connectivity


Short Segments (400 ft. or less)CIP Budget YearDefered until construction
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 27 & 52a & 20 & P & south & Eeven Mile & Wixom & E side ITC Coridor & 2 & 2,566 & & 0 & 0 & 9 & 6 & 2 & 3.5 & 2 & 14 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 56 & 27 \\
\hline 27 & 72 & 23 & P & north & Grand River & Town Center & Amstaff building & 1 & 677 & & 10 & 7.5 & 0 & 6 & 4 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 56 & 27 \\
\hline 27 & 97b & 29 & P & west & Beck & Cheltenham & Inquois & 1 & 1,059 & & 15 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 3.5 & 4 & 14 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 56 & 27 \\
\hline 31 & 169 & 17 & P & west & Beck & across 96 & & 1 & 1,314 & & 5 & 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 5 & 15 & 54 & 31 \\
\hline 31 & 52b & 20 & P & south & Eleven Mile & Oberlin & Bosco & 1 & 641 & & 0 & 0 & 9 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 54 & 31 \\
\hline 31 & 88 & 26 & S & north & Nine Mile & RR crossing & Novi Rd. & 1 & 1,667 & 23-24 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 7 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 54 & 31 \\
\hline 34 & 19 & 12 & S & north & Twelve Mile & Meadowbrook & Cabot & 2 & 3,960 & & 5 & 7.5 & 9 & 6 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 14 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 53 & 34 \\
\hline 34 & 121b & 36 & P & south & Nine Mile & Sunnise & Haggerty & 1 & 2,482 & & 5 & 0 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 5 & 20 & 53 & 34 \\
\hline 36 & 30a & 14 & P & west & Meadowbrook & Gardenbrook Rd & ATI Headquarters & 1 & 2,034 & & 5 & 6 & 9 & 6 & 4 & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 51 & 36 \\
\hline 36 & 115 & 34 & S & west & Novi Road & Timber Ridge development & City Limits & 1 & 1,591 & & 5 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 6 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 51 & 36 \\
\hline 38 & 79 & 24 & S & east & Meadowbrook & Ten Mile & Grand River & 3 & 2,064 & & 10 & 6 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 4 & 0 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 51 & 38 \\
\hline 39 & 21b & 13 & P & south & Twelve Mile & Energy Way & Haggerty & 1 & 335 & & 15 & 7.5 & 9 & 6 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 49 & 39 \\
\hline 39 & 33 & 15 & S & west & Novi Rd. & --96 & Crescent & 2 & 840 & & 0 & 15 & 4.5 & 6 & 4 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 49 & 39 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

40 | DRAFTAnnual Non-M oto rized Prioritization: 2017-2018 Update

All proposed adjacent to road pathway \& sidewalk segments are reviewed against a set of Tier 1 c niteria \& assigned points based on
citizens of the City, the segments are ranked by the Ter 1 points \& the segments receiving the top 20 points are assigned Ter 2 points TIER 1 CATEGORES

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{} & \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{TIER 1 CAIEGORIES} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} &  & Location & From & то & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Segme } \\
\text { nt } \\
\text { Length } \\
\text { (ft) }
\end{array} \\
\\
\text { क्ष } \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TRAFTC SAFTY (ADT) } 2010 \\
& \text { Non-Motorized MP \& Traffic } \\
& \text { Speed }
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ACCESS TO PLACES OF } \\
& \text { wORSHP (\# places of wo rship } \\
& \text { within } 1 \text { mile) }
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  &  &  &  & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} & \\
\hline & & & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Segments with pathways or sidewalks on most of the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critical for system connectivity \& must be analyzed separately for connectivity} & & & & & & & & & available & percatego & & & & & & & \\
\hline & &  & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Segments with a higher ranking segment planned for the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critical for system connectivity \& must be analyzed separately for connectivity
\(\qquad\)} & & &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
\hline 5 points \(=1\) \\
accident \\
\(10=2\) \\
accidents \\
\(15=3\) \\
accidents \\
\(20=4\) or \\
more \\
accidents
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 0=<10 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { ADTs", } \\
& 5=10 \mathrm{~K}- \\
& 20 \mathrm{~K} \text { ADTs } \\
& 10=>20 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { ADTs } \\
& \text { Then } \\
& \text { multiplied } \\
& \text { by } \\
& 1<35 \mathrm{mph}, \\
& 1.2 \text { for } 35- \\
& 40 \mathrm{mph} \& \\
& 1.5 \text { for }>=45 \\
& \mathrm{mph} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 4.5=1 \\
& \text { school } \\
& 9=2+ \\
& \text { schools }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4=1 \\
& \text { park } \\
& 8= \\
& 2+ \\
& \text { parks }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 3.5 \\
=1 \\
\text { hotel } \\
7 \\
2+ \\
2+ \\
\text { hotel } \\
\mathrm{s}
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3.5=1 \\
& \text { shoppi } \\
& \text { ng } \\
& \text { area } \\
& 7=2+ \\
& \text { shoppi } \\
& \text { ng } \\
& \text { areas }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3.5=1 \\
& \text { places } \\
& \text { of } \\
& \text { worship } \\
& 7=2+ \\
& \text { places } \\
& \text { of } \\
& \text { worship }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(3.5=\) connecte d to neighbori ng \\
sidewalk \\
system 7 = \\
connecte d to regional trail \\
system
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
0 =low \\
density \\
\(8=\) \\
medium \\
density \\
\(16=\) \\
high \\
density
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3.5=1 / 2 \text { to } \\
& 1 \text { mile } \\
& 7=\text { over 1 } \\
& \text { mile }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(5=\) top \\
15 survey \\
responses \\
,resident \\
petitions \\
\(\&\) \\
documen \\
ted \\
segments \\
requeste \\
d by \\
groups \(\&\) \\
govt \\
agencies \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 20=\text { initial } \\
& \text { investme } \\
& \text { nt } \\
& 15= \\
& \text { major } \\
& \text { comidor }
\end{aligned}
\] & &  \\
\hline \multicolumn{25}{|l|}{Legend \(\mathrm{S}=6 \mathrm{ft}\). sidewalk \(\mathrm{P}=8 \mathrm{ft}\). pathway
Segments with pathways or sidewalks on most of the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critical for system connectivity \& must be analyzed separately for connectivity
Segments with a higher ranking segment planned for the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critical for system connectivity \& must be analyzed separately for connectivity
Short Segments (400 ft. or less) \(\square\) CIP Budget Year \(\square\) Deferred until construction} \\
\hline 54 & 78a & 24 & P & south & Grand River & Meadowbrook & Joseph & 1 & 1,967 & & 10 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 6 & 2 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 54 \\
\hline 55 & 75 & 24 & P & north & Grand River & Seeley & Meadowbrook & 1 & 1,038 & & 10 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 0 & 2 & 7 & 2 & 0 & 7 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 55 \\
\hline 55 & 98b & 29 & S & north & Nine Mile & Vasilios Court & TC Pathway & 2 & 2,167 & & 0 & 0 & 4.5 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 44 & 55 \\
\hline 55 & 98a & 29 & S & north & Nine Mile & Kensington & Vasilios Court & 1 & 2,589 & & 0 & 0 & 4.5 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 44 & 55 \\
\hline 58 & 35 & 15 & S & east & Taft & Eleven Mile & Grand River & 2 & 1,648 & & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 4 & 7 & 4 & 0 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 43 & 58 \\
\hline 58 & 40 & 17 & P & south & Grand River & Providence Hospital & Wixom & 3 & 843 & & 5 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 12 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 43 & 58 \\
\hline 58 & 78d & 24 & P & south & Grand River & Karim & Haggerty & 1 & 383 & & 10 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 43 & 58 \\
\hline 61 & 97a & 29 & P & west & Beck & Nine Mile & Cheltenham & 1 & 858 & & 15 & 7.5 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 3.5 & 4 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 42 & 61 \\
\hline 62 & 37b & 16 & S & north & Eleven Mile & Mandalay Cir E & Taft & 1 & 496 & & 0 & 0 & 9 & 6 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 40 & 62 \\
\hline 63 & 28 & 14 & P & east & Novi Rd. & south Twelve Oaksentrance & North Twelve Oaks entrance & 2 & 988 & & 0 & 7.5 & 9 & 0 & 4 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 40 & 63 \\
\hline 64 & 173 & 36 & S & west & Haggerty & Eight Mile & Big Boy Restaurant & 1 & 349 & & 0 & 0 & 13.5 & 0 & 4 & 3.5 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 39 & 64 \\
\hline 65 & 37a & 16 & S & north & Eeven Mile & Beck & Mandalay Cir E & 4 & 2,392 & & 0 & 0 & 9 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 7 & 7 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 38 & 65 \\
\hline 65 & 111b & 32 & P & west & Nine Mile & Garfield Conservation & Vasilios Court & 1 & 1,224 & & 5 & 0 & 4.5 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 3.5 & 5 & 0 & 38 & 65 \\
\hline 65 & 111c & 32 & P & south & Nine Mile & Vasilios Court & ITC Pathway & 1 & 1,709 & & 5 & 0 & 4.5 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 3.5 & 5 & 0 & 38 & 65 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABIE 4.5: Proposed Adjacent to Major Roads Pathway and Sidewalk Segments: Ter 1 Category Pankings
All proposed adja cent to road pathway \& sid ewalk segments are reviewed againsta a set of Ter 11 citenia \& a ssigned points based on the segments potential sevice benefitisto the citizens of the City, the segments are ranked by the Tier 1 points \& the segments receiving the top 20 points are assigned Ter 2 points TIER 1 CATEGORIES


All proposed adjacent to road pathway \& sidewalk segments are reviewed against a set of Ter 1 c niteria \& assigned points based on
citizens of the City, the segments are ranked by the Ter 1 points \& the segments receiving the top 20 points are assigned Ter 2 points TIER 1 CATEGORIES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} &  & Location & From & To & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TRAFIC SARTY (ADT) } 2010 \\
& \text { Non-Motorized MP \& Traffic } \\
& \text { Speed }
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ACCESS TO SHOPPING } \\
& \text { (\# shopping areas within } 1 \\
& \text { mile) }
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  &  &  &  &  & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} \\
\hline & & & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Segments with pathways or sidewalks on most of the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critical for system connectivity \& must be analyzed separately for connectivity}} & & & & & & & & & aila & rcateg & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & &  & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Segments with a higher ranking segment planned for the opposite side of the street - note that these segments may be critic al for system connectivity \& must be analyzed separately for connectivity} & & & & 5 points \(=1\)
accident
\(10=2\)
accidents
\(15=3\)
accidents
\(20=4\) or
more
accidents & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 0=<10 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { ADTs", } \\
& 5=10 \mathrm{~K}- \\
& 20 \mathrm{~K} \text { ADTs } \\
& 10=>20 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { ADTs } \\
& \text { Then } \\
& \text { multiplied } \\
& \text { by } \\
& 1<35 \mathrm{mph}, \\
& 1.2 \text { for } 35- \\
& 40 \mathrm{mph} \& \\
& 1.5 \text { for }>=45 \\
& \mathrm{mph} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 4.5=1 \\
& \text { school } \\
& 9=2+ \\
& \text { schools }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4=1 \\
& \text { park } \\
& 8= \\
& 2+ \\
& \text { parks }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3.5 \\
& =1 \\
& =1 \\
& \text { hotel } \\
& 7= \\
& 2+ \\
& \text { hotel } \\
& \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\hline 3.5=1 \\
\text { shoppi } \\
\text { ng } \\
\text { area } \\
7=2+ \\
\text { shoppi } \\
\text { ng } \\
\text { areas }
\end{array}
\] & \(3.5=1\) places of worship 7 =2+ places of worship & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 3.5= \\
& \text { connecte } \\
& \text { d to } \\
& \text { neighbori } \\
& \text { ng } \\
& \text { sidewalk } \\
& \text { system } \\
& 7= \\
& \text { connecte } \\
& \text { d to } \\
& \text { regional } \\
& \text { trail } \\
& \text { system }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 0=\text { low } \\
\text { density } \\
8= \\
\text { medium } \\
\text { density } \\
16= \\
\text { high } \\
\text { density }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 3.5=1 / 2 \text { to } \\
& 1 \text { mile } \\
& 7=\text { over } 1 \\
& \text { mile }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(5=\) top \\
15 survey \\
responses \\
,resident \\
petitions \\
\(\&\) \\
documen \\
ted \\
segments \\
requeste \\
d by \\
groups \(\&\) \\
govt \\
agencies \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 20=\text { initial } \\
\text { investme } \\
\text { nt } \\
15= \\
\text { major } \\
\text { comidor }
\end{array}
\] & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{25}{|l|}{Legend \(\mathrm{S}=6 \mathrm{ft}\). sidewalk \(\mathrm{P}=8 \mathrm{ft}\). pathway
Segments with pathways or sidewalks on most of the opposite side of the street - note that these se
Segments with a higher ranking segment planned for the opposite side of the street - note that the
Short Segments ( 400 ft . or less) \(\square\) CIP Budget Year \(\square\) Defered until constructio} \\
\hline 82 & 11 & 9 & S & north & Twelve Mile & Novi Concrete & West Park & 1 & 1,334 & & 0 & 7.5 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3.5 & 5 & 15 & 33 & 82 \\
\hline 82 & 104 & 31 & P & east & Napier & Eight Mile & Community Sports Park & 1 & 2,552 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 33 & 82 \\
\hline 82 & 110a & 32 & P & west & Beck & Eight Mile & Casa Loma & 1 & 1,473 & & 10 & 15 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 33 & 82 \\
\hline 85 & 113b & 33 & P & south & Nine Mile & Galway & Anna Maria & 1 & 1,537 & & 5 & 0 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 3.5 & 5 & 0 & 32 & 85 \\
\hline 86 & 12b & 9 & S & north & Twelve Mile & Liberty Park & Liberty Park & 1 & 349 & & 10 & 7.5 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 5 & 0 & 32 & 86 \\
\hline 86 & 101b & 30 & P & east & Napier & Villa Bar & Ten Mile & 1 & 4,127 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 14 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 32 & 86 \\
\hline 88 & 26 & 13 & S & north & Eleven Mile & Campus Tech & Seeley & 1 & 966 & & 0 & 0 & 4.5 & 0 & 2 & 3.5 & 0 & 7 & 14 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 31 & 88 \\
\hline 88 & 116a & 34 & P & south & Nine Mile & Chelsea & Center & 1 & 2,183 & & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 31 & 88 \\
\hline 90 & 164 & 3 & P & south & South Lake & Lakeshore Park & Em & 1 & 720 & & 0 & 0 & 4.5 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 31 & 90 \\
\hline 90 & 163 & 3 & P & north & South Lake & Lakeshore Park & Landings Park & 1 & 1,180 & & 0 & 0 & 4.5 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 31 & 90 \\
\hline 92 & 114b & 34 & S & east & Taft & Nine Mile & Byme & 1 & 1,423 & & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 92 \\
\hline 93 & 101a & 30 & P & east & Napier & Nine Mile & Villa Bart & 1 & 1,015 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 93 \\
\hline 93 & 102b & 30 & S & north & Nine Mile & E of Evergreen Ct & Garfield & 2 & 1,304 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 93 \\
\hline 93 & 102a & 30 & S & north & Nine Mile & Napier & W of Evergreen Ct & 2 & 3,012 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 93 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

44 | DRAFTAnnual Non-Motorized Prioritiza tion: 2017-2018 Update

All proposed adjacent to road pathway \& sidewalk segments are reviewed against a set of Tier 1 c niteria \& assigned points based on
citizens of the City, the segments are ranked by the Ter 1 points \& the segments receiving the top 20 points are assigned Ter 2 points TIER 1 CATEGORIES



46 | DRAFTAnnual Non-M oto rized Prioritization: 2017-2018 Update


All proposed adjacent to road pa thway \& sidewalk segments are reviewed against a set of Tier 1 criteria \& assigned points based on the segment's potential service benefits to the citizens of the City, the segments are ranked by the Ter 1 points\& the segments receiving the top 20 points are assigned Tier 2 points IIER 1 CATEGORIES

\begin{tabular}{ll|l} 
Total Length in feetfor all missing segments in the City as of 2018 & 199,090
\end{tabular}
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WALKABLE NOVI COMMITIEE DISCUSSON NOTES \\ September 20, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. \\ Novi Civic Center \\ Mayors Conference Room \\ 45175 W. Ten Mile, Novi, MI 48375 \\ (248) 347-0475
}
cityofnovi.org

\section*{CALTO ORDER}

Meeting called to orderat 6:02 p.m.

\section*{ROLCAL}

Present:
Absent:
Sta ff Present:

Dave Staudt, Andrew Mutch, Paulina Muzzin, Shelley Thomopoulos
John Avdoulos, Julie Maday
Sri Koma ragiri, Planner,
Barb McBeth, City Planner;
Jeff Muck, Director of Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services;
J eff Herczeg, Director of Public Senvices;
George Melistas, Engineering Senior Manager and Traffic Engineer;
Hannah Smith, Planning Assistant

\section*{APPROVALOF AGENDA}

Vice Chair Thomopoulos made the motion to approve the agenda. Member Muzzin seconded and it was approved 4-0.

\section*{AUDIENC E PARTICIPATION}

Carol Aurilia, 44767 Yorkshire Drive, said the high school changed the drop-off and pick-up system this year, which changed the tumaround from by the library to in front of the tennis courts. There are now an additional 300 or 400 cars coming in and out of the intersection at that location and it has created a dangerous situation for kids crossing at that comer. This drop-off system was supposed to be saferforstudents but it decreases the safety for those students that walk to school. The biggest problem is that cars are coming in and out and not stopping to look for kids at the crosswalk at Ten Mile and Novi Way. Engineering Senior Manager Melistas said they will bring it to the attention of RCOC and look at options of light timing or restriping. Chair Mutch thanked Ms. Aurilia for bringing it to their attention and said Staff will review a list of items and options and decide the best way to move forward.

Dorothy Duchesneau and Michel Duchesneau, 1191 South Lake Drive, were also in attendance. Ms. Duchesneau said they saw South Lake Drive on the list so decided to attend.

\section*{MATIERS FOR DISCUSSION}
1. 2018 Annual Non-Motorized Prionitization Update: Top 20 Segments Planner Komaragiri said Staff determines the Top 20 Prionity Segments each fall. The Committee discussed the Tier 1 ranking for the Top 20 segments at the last meeting, so Staff has gone back and adjusted the ranking. This included bumping up access to regional pathways and trails (Category 9, Connection to Neighborhood Sidewalk/Regional Trail Systems) and access to parks (Category 4, Access to Parks), and reduced the number of
points for access to hotels (Category 5, Access to Hotels) and places of worship (Category 8, Access to Places of Worship).
- Vice Chair Thomopoulos said she thought the only change was that access to parks and trails would be increased, and that the Committee agreed that access to hotels and places of worship should not be decreased, since those are important. Chair Mutch said that what Sri suggested was along the lines of what the Committee had suggested. There wasn't a significant change for the number of points, and the real goal was to elevate certa in categories. Pla nner Komaragiri said there are not many hotels close to residential communities and there are only a few places of worship, so the changes didn't affect much. When the points for access to parks and regional trails, a few segments moved up; the others did not make a big difference.
- Planner Komaragiri said Staff has been working on the project of finding and fixing conflicts between the Non-Motorized Master Plan map and the inventory. These include segments that didn't exist as the same on the map and the inventory, segments that were labeled as existing but are not actually there, and some segments that were labeled as one segment but were too far apart. There were about 10-12 segments that the pieces were either too far from each other or the segment was too long, so they were split into pieces. This increased the total number of segments. In addition, Staff took segments a way that a re currently under construction, planned forconstruction, or the pre-construction meeting hasalready been held. Vice Chair Thomopoulos asked why long segments cannot stay as whole, long segments. Chair Mutch said historic ally it's been the case that the cost of the long segments is too high, which prevents them from getting done even though they are often in areas that are desirable to be done. One way of fixing this issue has been to break these long segments up to more manageable lengths.
- Planner Komaragiri said because of these changes, the Top 20 segments provided in the packets got reshuffled a bit; the segments are all the same, but the ranking has changed just a bit. Vice Chair Thomopoulos asked if any were lost? Planner Komaragiri said there are seven segments added that were not in the Top 20 last year. Last year, the majority were in the southeast comer because that is where population density is the highest, but now the Top 20 are more spread out, as many were bumped up because they are closer to metro connectors. Those that are set for CIP still show up on the map as future segments. Planning staff still needs to work with Engineering on the CIP column in the inventory list.
- Planner Komaragiri discussed Segment 60 being in the Top 5 Segments. Chair Mutch said it's a small segment and the only missing sidewalk on 11 Mile from the railroad tracks to Wixom Road. There is a subdivision there with sidewalks but nothing to connect them.
- Planner Komaragiri said the other thing added to the map was symbols for hotels and places of worship so they can be easily identified. In addition to this, boardwalks were added to the map so those can be identified.
- Member Staudt asked about Segments 2 and 3 and if there are plans for Ten Mile to be widened. Director of Public Services Herczeg and Engineering Senior Manager Melistas said there is a traffic impact study being done to identify short term and long term solutions. Those segments won't be completed until Staff figures out what will be done there, which the study will help determine.
- Vice Chair Thomopoulos said Segment 101a would connect to Villabarr if it were completed, shouldn't that have increased since it is a connection to the park? Planner Komaragiri said it increased from being in the 40 s, and the whole segment was split from one big segment to multiple smaller ones. Its ranking did increase with the changes made. Vice Chair Thomopoulos said people can't safely get to Villabarr from ITC on foot or bike, so this may need a bigger adjustment. Chair Mutch said there are other factors that play a part, like population density. All of
those different factors play in to determine the ranking. Member Staudt said it may change depending on if the park is popular.
- Ms. Duchesneau commented that the inventory identified eight foot paths to be put in on South Lake Drive. She asked about the six foot sidewalk on Old Novi Road in relation to the Robertson project. Chair Mutch said six feet is standard, but specific developments may differ.
- Planner Komaragiri said Staff is looking for confirmation from the Committee that the rankings and the Top 20 are headed in the right direction. The next step is to give the Top 20 to Engineering, who will then give a rough estimate for them and notes about if there are additional issues with each of them. Engineening Senior Manager Melistas said that Segment 19 was constructed and the installation was completed about three weeks ago; this segment provides the connection from Huntley Manor to Main Street. Member Staudt asked about the pathways at Bosco Park. Engineering Senior Manager Melistas said the sidewalk on Beck Road will be extended, there will part of it built by the funeral home development, and nothing is proposed at this time on Eleven Mile. Chair Mutch suggested that Staff continue working on and finish cleaning up the inventory and map, and at the next meeting the Committee will see the full report and the notes from Engineering. At that meeting, an offic ial motion will be made.
- Mr. Duchesneau said Lakeshore Park is being redesigned and redeveloped and the pathway in front is very poor. He asked if the new layout will have some form of sidewalk in front of Lakeshore Park included. Director of Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Services Muck said it was brought up at a previous meeting as a suggestion to include pathways on the next round of plans.

\section*{2. 07-02-18 WNC meeting minutes approval}

Member Staudt made the motion to approve the meeting minutes. Vice Chair Thomopoulos seconded and it was approved 4-0.

\section*{STAF UPDATES}

\section*{1. Planning Update}
a. SEMCOG Bicycling Data

Chair Mutch discussed a SEMCOG meeting that involved a presentation about their work in non-motorized development. SEMCOG had a lot of data but some of their data for Novi is not current. He suggested that 1. Staff a nd the Committee look at touching base with them to make sure they have the most current data, and 2. Once a year, maybe in the fall once development and construction season is over, Staff and the Committee could touch base with SEMCOG to give them the updated data. SEMCOG's data is more dynamic now; it includes built out infrastructure, where bike lanes have been added, bicycling infrastructure like bike repair and bike parking, etc. We could give them a better sense of what is being done here. This could be helpful for when the City applies for grants and SEMCOG can look at what we have now and how the grant will fit in.

\section*{2. Engineering Update}
a. Active Non-Motorized Project Portfolio for Engineering Division

Senior Engineering Manager Melistas gave an update on sidewalk and pathway projects that Engineering has been working on. The sidewalk on Pontiac Trail from Beck to West Park is officially complete, which was a much needed connection because of the apartments there where school kids live. They made some changes to the traffic signals with RCOC. ITC Phase 2 is officially under construction, as they are clearing trees on the west side of Garfield to connect to Nine Mile and are waiting for Villa D'Este to get the Right-of-Way. This project will go into next year so
hopefully will be completed by June or July, and there are pemits needed from the DEQ.
- Vice Chair Thomopoulos asked about the comfort station associated with Villa D'Este. Director of Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Services Muck said the plan got preliminary approval from City Council, and will be going back at the next meeting for approval.
- Senior Engineering Manager Melistas said the sidewalk on the west side of Beck Road along the proposed Bosco Fields is under design (Segment 53), Segment 39 on the west side of Beck Road will be constructed next year. The segment on the west side of Wixom Road by Catholic Central is planned to be constructed next week.
- Senior Engineering Manager Melistas said Engineering is currently repairing the boardwalk on the west side of Beck Road south of Kirkway Boulevard. With the help of consultants, they have identified boardwalks in need of maintenance throughout the City. Chair Mutch asked what the primary issue with the boardwalks? Senior Engineering Manager Melistas said many of them are approaching the 25-30 year mark and are heaving. Boardwalks now are being built to new standards with helical piers. Director of Public Services Herczeg said they have identified some that may or may not be necessary. Planner Komaragiri said the section on boardwalks in the inventory was introduced into the report last year, so those will be included in the final total report.
- Director of Public Services Herczeg said in 2020 MDOT plans on doing maintenance on the bridge over 96 , so they will be having a meeting with MDOTto disc uss that. It would be a six foot sidewalk on the bridge.

\section*{3. Parks, Rec reation and Cultural Senvices Update \\ a. IC Trail Update}

Director of Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Muck said there is an updated map of the trail in your packets. This map will be updated with access points to the trail, like at ITC Sports Park with the storage building and bike repair.
b. Maybury Park Stakeholders Meeting Update

Director of Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Muck said at the meeting, they said they were proposing the main entrance on Eight Mile, and having a connection at the farm wasn't high on the priority list. The City of Northville is working on connecting to Hines Drive and down by Northville Downs.

\section*{COMMUNICATIONS}

There were communications in the back of the packet for the Committee to review. Members should follow up with Sri with any questions.

\section*{ADJ OURN}

Member Muzzin made the motion to adjoum the meeting. Vice chair Thomopoulos seconded. Meeting adjoumed at 6:55 pm.

Sri, I have finally worked on compiling some of the information from the charts on the Bike Paths. Attached are sections that I believe need to be updated by either downgrading or eliminating them. The existing South Lake Drive and Old Novi segments need to up upgraded to a higher status. They are getting a lot of use.

I came up with 7 segments, mostly on South Lake Drive that you may want to update based on current conditions. There is some very outdated information out there. Hopefully by combining and eliminating some of these using realistic expectations, what's left can move up the rankings and make our neighborhood road safe for walkers again. Anything that can help walkers/joggers/bikers on South Lake would be appreciated. Residents have even looked at buying our own flashing "your speed" setups to permanently mount at the east and west end of South Lake Drive. Solar ones run about \(\$ 3,000\) each.

South Lake Drive is heavily used by bikers and walkers on a daily basis going around Walled Lake. Hard core bikers use it to get to Lakeshore Park and the Bike Paths there. Joggers and baby strollers go by every few minutes. Unfortunately there is only sidewalk on the south side from West Park Drive east to Henning Street. The City wimped out at the time of rebuilding South Lake Drive, and gave in to homeowners east of Henning who screamed bloody murder that the city wanted it's Right of Way back for a public sidewalk. So from Henning Street to Elm Court -actually Duana St (the western boundary of Pavilion Shore Park by the restrooms) all we have is a very narrow one way bike path and ONLY on one side of the street. Wide enough for one baby stroller, and even then you take your life in your hands. You never see kids using this one way bike path, --it is not safe for kids. \(99 \%\) of all the users are adults. Not even along the entire width of Lakeshore Park is there a decent bike path. And that is more dangerous for the bikers because of the hill and lack of line of sight views. The area by Lakeshore Park should at least be addressed when Lakeshore Park gets it's facelift.

Section 162A - North side of South Lake between West Park east to Lilley Trail: Don't need it. Have a sidewalk on the south side. There are no homes on the north side with access from West Park Dr to Lilley Trail. There are 4 crosswalks at West Park Drive and South Lake Drive. All connect to the south side existing sidewalk. Now if you want to widen the north side of South Lake Drive itself and put in a west bound bike path for that segment, --please do. No one will complain about losing their lakefront in this section since there isn't any lakefront. Bikers traveling west from Henning have no place to go with cars behind them because of the curb heights and the narrow road. Cars try to pass the bikers trying to get to the green light on West Park.

Section 162B - North side of South Lake, Lilley Trail to Lakeshore Park: Will never happen. NO ONE will give up their lake frontage. City lost their chance when South Lake Drive was rebuilt and the city didn't exercise their rights on the right of way. As in Section 162A, the best you can hope for is "maybe" a west bound bike path, but it would mean re-engineering all the drains, removing curbs, fire hydrants, electrical poles etc. You can't even widen the north side by Lakeshore Park because the road has a dropoff to the lake.

Section 165 - South side of South Lake, Henning east to Lakeshore Park: See Section 7 below---- Henning east to Lakeshore Park is half the way to Pavilion Shore Park. This is an \(8^{\prime}\) wide duplication of half of what is in Section 7 (listed at \(6^{\prime}\) wide) Duplication of efforts. Drop Section 165 totally.

Section 7 -- South side of South Lake, Henning east to Pavilion Shore Park: The City really should have forced the put in of that sidewalk when they re- engineered South Lake Drive. You still could put in a very short section from Henning past the South Point Condos, but would it be worth it??? Don't think so. You won't get any farther east than that. That east bound bike path is all you're going to have. Such a shame as many would love to walk to the parks.

Section 163 -- North side of South Lake, Lakeshore Park east to Pavilion Shore Park: Never going to happen. No one ever going to give up their lake frontage. People have installed fences, you have fire hydrants, electrical poles, etc.

Section 164 -- South side of South Lake, Lakeshore Park east to Pavilion Shore Park: Same issues as Section 163. Too much is built along the edge of the road and some houses are very close to the road.




Beginning of Lakeshore Park, Enterance on the right - Sign very well hidden from west bound traffic behind those 3 bushes.
Guess people only visit the park when driving west.



East Bound Bike Path finally transitions into a narrow walking path that is NOT part of the road

This is what should have been put in as a minimum when South Lake Drive was rebuilt.


Left is the sidewalk/path to the north shore of Pavilion Shore Park.
The first drive past on the right is Duana - the access to Elm Court which no longer "technically" connects to South Lake Drive.

Section 17 - Gest side of Old Novi Road, 13 mile south to Novi Road: Some of this is already there, but not at the \(8^{\prime}\) wide that is listed. Other than some extra stripes, the corner shown can wait til the old Mobile Station gets converted to something. Though it would be nice to be able to use that area for parking.


Future 4 spaces for parallel on street parking - sidewalks to be provided by Robertson Brothers Developers


Future 6 spaces for parallel on street parking -sidewalks to be provided by Robertson Brothers Developers.


Red circle \(=\) Future on street parking, Blue circled areas are part of Robertston Brothers and they are responsible for tearing up existing sidewalks and redoing them. Not a cost to city if this development happens. Do not see where you need an \(8^{\prime}\) sidewalk for this area. But-- Has this been discussed with Robertson prior to the Sept \(26^{\text {th }}\) planning meeting that the city is looking for \(8^{\prime}\) wide sidewalks on the west side? ???? Do we still want 8 ' once there are houses there??? WHY? What is there
now is wide enough and goes all the way south to 12 mile at that width.

LEAVE


SDEWALK Where It

move it Farther West
Move New Delvelopment to caster en PRODEKM Boundary insert (Rover tow)
See Robertson pro Plans FiRst !!!
ducherneau © ameritech. net```


[^0]:    * Segments from 2017-18 Top 20 list from la sts yea rs up date

[^1]:    Map information depicted is not intended to replace or substitute for any official or primary source. This map was intended to mee National Map Accuracy Standards and use the most recent, accurate sources available to the people of the City of Novi. Boundary measurements and area calculations are approximate and should not be construed as survey measurements performed by a licensed Michigan Surveyor as defined in Michigan Public Act 132 of 1970 as amended. Pleased contact the City GIS Manager to confirm source and accuracy information related to this map.
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