REGULAR MEETING - ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

CITY OF NOVI

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2021, 7:00 P.M.

VIRTUAL MEETING VIA ZOOM

BOARD MEMBERS:

Joe Peddiboyina, Chairperson

Linda Krieger

Siddharth Mav Sanghvi

Clift Montague

Kevin Sanker

Ramesh Verma

Michael Thompson

ALSO PRESENT:

Tom Schultz, City Attorney

Charles Boulard, Community Development, Director

Katherine Opperman, Recording Secretary

Reported by:

Darlene K. May, Certified Shorthand Reporter

Page 2 1 Novi, Michigan 2 Tuesday, February 9, 2021 7:00 p.m. 3 4 5 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. It's seven o'clock. Good evening, everybody, and welcome to the 6 7 Novi City Zoning Board of Appeals. The meeting is held 8 electronically as authorized by the Open Meetings Act, MCL 15.261, ET SEQ., as amended. Members of the public 9 10 body and members of the public may participate electronically. 11 12 And welcome to all the board members. The 13 time is 7:00 p.m. 14 Okay. And Pledge of Allegiance, our Pledge 15 of Allegiance, no. Roll call ... The roll call, Katherine. 16 17 MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. As a reminder, 18 you'll have to state the city, county and state you're 19 speaking from. 20 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 21 MS. OPPERMAN: Member Krieger? 22 MEMBER KRIEGER: Linda Krieger, Novi, 23 Michigan, Oakland County.

| | Page 3 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Longo is absent, |
| 2 | excused. |
| 3 | Member Montague? |
| 4 | MEMBER MONTAGUE: Clift Montague, Novi, |
| 5 | Oakland County, Michigan. |
| 6 | MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina? |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Joe Peddiboyina, |
| 8 | Novi city, Oakland County, Michigan. |
| 9 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker? |
| 10 | MEMBER SANKER: Kevin Sanker, Novi, Oakland |
| 11 | County, Michigan. |
| 12 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson. |
| 13 | MEMBER THOMPSON: Michael Thompson, Novi, |
| 14 | Michigan, Oakland County. |
| 15 | MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Verma? |
| 16 | MEMBER VERMA: Ramesh Verma, Oakland County, |
| 17 | Michigan. |
| 18 | MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. |
| 20 | MEMBER SANGHVI: Hey, you don't want me? |
| 21 | (Laughter.) |
| 22 | MEMBER KRIEGER: We do want you here, yes. |
| 23 | MS. OPPERMAN: Oh, I've never skipped one |
| ļ | |

| | Page 4 |
|----|---|
| 1 | over before. |
| 2 | Member Sanghvi? |
| 3 | MEMBER SANGHVI: Novi, Oakland County, |
| 4 | Michigan. |
| 5 | MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you. |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Public |
| 7 | hearing and the Format and Rules of Conduct. Approval |
| 8 | of the agenda? |
| 9 | MEMBER SANGHVI: So moved. |
| 10 | MEMBER VERMA: Second. |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, both of |
| 12 | you. And approval of the agenda is done. And |
| 13 | MEMBER KRIEGER: We have to all approve it or |
| 14 | deny it. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I'm sorry? |
| 16 | MEMBER KRIEGER: We all approve or deny it, |
| 17 | though. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. |
| 19 | MEMBER KRIEGER: So all say "Aye," or |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Aye, everybody? |
| 21 | THE BOARD: Aye. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. |
| 23 | The approval of the agenda is approved. And is our |
| | |

Page 5 1 board enough for a quorum, Kathy? Do you have enough 2 for quorum? 3 MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. We have a quorum. Yes. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. The 4 5 agenda is done and let's move to public remarks. 6 Anyone have anything apart from what we have 7 on the agenda meeting today, other than the cases? 8 Something? 9 The ZBA, you can come to the -- I mean, raise 10 your -- on the Zoom call, raise your hand. Can you see 11 anybody apart from the meeting agenda? Anybody is 12 raising their hand, Kathy? 13 MS. OPPERMAN: No. No one is raising their hand at this time. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Well, thank 16 you so much and nothing else. And for today the total number of cases is four. 17 18 Am I right, Kathy? 19 MS. OPPERMAN: If I can, you have to approve 20 the meetings from last month also. 21 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. 22 January meetings, approval of the agenda and 23 you have that one also. Anybody, can you approve for

| | Page 6 |
|----|--|
| 1 | the agenda, the January 2021 meeting minutes, please? |
| 2 | MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair, I just would like |
| 3 | to make an amendment in the minutes. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. |
| 5 | MEMBER SANGHVI: I want to correct on page |
| 6 | 83, line 7, what is typed is "their". It should read |
| 7 | "that." |
| 8 | Thank you. |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Kathy, do |
| 10 | you have be acting secretary for us, tonight? |
| 11 | MS. OPPERMAN: Pardon? |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Mav Sanghvi |
| 13 | mentioned Member Mav Sanghvi's request, can you look |
| 14 | into that? |
| 15 | MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. I've noted it down. |
| 16 | I'll make that correction when I'm in the office on |
| 17 | Thursday. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. |
| 19 | And apart from that, any other things you want to move |
| 20 | on for the agenda of the meeting approval? |
| 21 | MEMBER SANGHVI: I make a motion to approve |
| 22 | the minutes as amended. |
| 23 | MEMBER KRIEGER: Second. |
| | |

Page 7 1 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Anybody 2 have any objections apart from Member Mav Sanghvi? 3 Say "Aye." THE BOARD: 4 Aye. 5 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any nays, please? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. 8 Approval of the agenda -- the meeting minutes were 9 approved for January. Thank you. 10 Okay. Total number of cases we have tonight 11 is four cases. Am I right, Kathy? 12 MS. OPPERMAN: Correct. Yes. 13 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Let's move to the first case. PZ20-0066, Margaret 14 15 Beller, 1235 South Lake Drive, west of Old Novi Road and north of 13 Mile Road, parcel number 16 17 50-22-03-332-018. The applicant is requesting the variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 18 19 5.11 to allow the extension of a decorative fence to 20 the front property line on one side of the lot, a six foot fence to the front of the house and a four-foot 21 feet rail fence to the lot line. The fence shall not 22 23 extend toward the front of the property nearer than the

Page 8 1 minimum front yard setback distance by code. 2 property is zoned single family residence, R-4. 3 Is the applicant present? MS. BELLER: Yes, I'm here. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. You can come 6 to the podium. You can speak out and you can tell your 7 first and last name for the court record first. 8 you can present. 9 MS. BELLER: Margaret Beller, B-e-l-l-e-r. 10 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. 11 ahead, please. 12 MS. BELLER: I've put up a privacy fence from 13 the back of my lot. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Hold on. Before 14 15 you go ... Secretary, can you take the oath, please? 16 17 MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. Mrs. Beller, can you 18 please swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case 19 before you? 20 MS. BELLER: Oh, yes, I do. MS. OPPERMAN: 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. 23 Please move. You can proceed, please.

Page 9

MS. BELLER: I have a privacy fence now that goes to the corner of my house proper. But the living room has a bump-out that goes five feet further out and I would like to extend the private -- that privacy fence out to that bump-out and then from there put this foot rail fence to the setback site.

The reason I'm doing this is for ...

I would like to say for a hardship, but mostly it's for my privacy, security and peace of mind.

I'm 74. I have recently been widowed and I would just feel safer if there were delineation of the property line there for me.

The people who -- this is on the east side of my property only. The people who live on the east side have erected an eight foot metal -- I don't know what you would call it. Like a screen, but it's eight foot tall and it's at least 12-foot long and it starts five feet from their house. So they're not doing -- you know, they're against the ordinance also. So I'm not. Because I'm not going to put the fence up unless you tell me I can.

But just for my -- this is very difficult.

This is the first time I've had to do anything like

this since my husband passed. So unless you would like specifics besides just that it's for privacy, security and peace of mind, I would prefer to leave it at that and let you make the decision.

I know that other people have put up a fence on South Lake Drive completely enclosing their front yard, which I'm not asking. I just need it on this side.

Novi Fence is going to put it in and it will be two inches on to my property line. We found a medallion on the back and you have a copy of my plat so you can see it. Basically, I really, unless you actually need specifics, I would prefer not to go into them because they're very emotional for me. The last contact I had with the people were the wife yelling at me to get off my fat something and fix my house. And I didn't know what she meant and she repeated it and her husband came out and his only response is, "Call the cops."

Which I'm an educated woman. I taught school for 40 years. You don't call the police unless somebody is calling you names. Unless you're in middle school and I'm not. So I'm doing this fence as a

Page 11 deterrence so that I do not have to have any contact. 1 2 And that -- and the privacy fence up to that point and then the smaller fence, I think would be exactly what I 3 need. 4 5 Does anybody need any other explanation? really need this fence is what it is. 6 7 MEMBER KRIEGER: You can talk regarding 8 the -- the distance between the -- the measurements? 9 MS. BELLER: Well, see, the person who knew 10 that is no longer with me. So it's exactly on -- what 11 I want is -- do you have a copy of the plat line? 12 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 13 MEMBER KRIEGER: Yup. MS. BELLER: Okay. If you look in the back, 14 15 if you look at this third layer -- okay? 16 If you look at the back, it'll say this is 17 where the fence starts. Well, you really can't see the 18 bump-out on my house. I'm sure you got the big one, 19 too. Well, wait a minute. This should tell me how 20 That's what I said. I don't even know if I know 21 much.

22

23

how to do this. Ordinance tried to to help me, but you

know, it's not their job to babysit me. I think it

Page 12

would be an extra five feet of a six-foot fence and you would have to tell me where -- or they would -- the fence would tell me where the setback is.

Brian seems to know all the rules in Novi.

He's the one that owns Novi Fence and he's the one that told me, he said, "I will not put your fence on your property line, even though I could." He said, "We don't do that. We put it at two-inch setback so there's no question."

And like I said, we found the medallion and we'd stay right on the plat line. So I -- except for just saying it's a hardship and I am 74, we've lived -- my husband and I lived in Novi for almost 50 years. We lived in the south end and then we moved here. And I plan on living here the rest of my life, which will be a really long time.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Very good.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank you, Linda.

Margaret, anything you want to add apart from that?

MS. BELLER: It's hard for me to go into the details of everything that they have done that warrants

2.2

Page 13

this fence because it's very emotional and I'm not ready to -- I'm dealing with this by putting up a fence because I do not want to have contact with them. They have done -- I had a -- I put in a dry river bed so that the water wouldn't go on their property and they put stones in it and they put dirt in it to block it up. So now there is no more river bed.

I can't fight. I can't argue with them if they're going to keep -- you know, they're trying to destroy something and tell me I have to fix it. So the fence went up.

Because I talked to Maureen and Brian at Ordinance. The engineer came over. I got -- dotted all my Is and crossed all my Ts as far as getting everything. You should have pictures of what the fence looks like and also what their fence looked like behind it.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay.

MS. BELLER: Okay. I just really need this fence. And I don't know how much more I can say. I mean, if you have a question you would like to ask me, I will answer.

313-962-1176

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, we'll come

Page 14 1 back to that point, Margaret. 2 MS. BELLER: Okay. 3 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anybody apart from you? Anybody who would like to speak on behalf of you 4 5 on this case, tonight? You are the only one person? 6 MS. BELLER: I am -- sad to say, that I am 7 only one person now, yes. 8 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. 9 Thank you so much, Margaret. I appreciate your 10 presentation. It's excellent. And I can understand 11 your request. And let me see. 12 The City, any comment from the City? Larry? 13 MR. BOULARD: A couple of questions, Mr. Chairman, if I could, for the applicant? 14 15 MS. BELLER: Sure. MR. BOULARD: So, first, are there any 16 17 utilities along the property line? MS. BELLER: No. 18 19 MR. BOULARD: No drainage between the lots 20 or nothing --21 MS. BELLER: As far as I know, there is no 22 drainage. 23 MR. BOULARD: Okay. And the second question

Page 15

is certainly there's ways to -- you know, there's ways that the City provides for the police and so on to deal with the situation where you feel threatened and, of course, you never want that to be the case. I guess the question is how does the situation -- your situation apply to the criteria for granting a variance; the physical circumstances, the uniqueness of the property, you know, things like that? Could you maybe speak to that?

MS. BELLER: Yes, I can try. Since my house has technically, you know, two corners, because it has a bump-out and I was advised by the City and also by the fence people, "Just go to the corner of your house. Do not go up there. Go and ask for a variance and the chances are they will give it to you and then you will have done it legally." Which I've never done anything illegal anyway.

It blocks -- it also -- they have a hard time understanding where the property line is and they put things like the stones and the dirt and all of the things that they put on my property. And I'm trying to delineate a property line so that there's no question in anybody's mind where you belong and where I belong.

Did that help? Or make it just more confusing?

MR. BOULARD: I guess I'm looking for the -you know, normally a variance is based on some things
that are unique about the property, right? And so I'm
looking for things that are unique in terms of the
shape of the property. I know your house sits, I
think, part way into the setback and that is certainly
something -- the fence is allowed to go there, but --

MS. BELLER: Yeah.

MR. BOULARD: -- are there any other unique situations and so on. So ...

MS. BELLER: See, I'm not quite sure what a unique situation is. When this house was built -- and I didn't build it. I would never have built this house like this. It was built four feet higher than the houses on both sides.

And we're on a watershed. Lake Shawood with that marsh is back there and there's a lake across the street. Did no one think that there would be a moat here? The water comes down. And when we first moved in, we would look out and there would be water on four sides, and that's not fair to your neighbors. Because

Page 17 1 my water goes that way. And that's why I put the dry riverbed in and it works. But it doesn't work well 2 enough for them and I don't know what to do. 3 So I don't know -- I guess, I don't 4 5 understand what -- you know, I have a setback. 6 far back from the street. They have put up a structure 7 that is eight foot tall and goes way past my house. 8 So ... 9 MR. BOULARD: I guess -- and I'll end it 10 here. 11 MS. BELLAR: Okay. 12 MR. BOULARD: So if there are violations or 13 issues that should be --14 MS. BELLER: Then we'll take care of those 15 later. MR. BOULARD: Yes. We'll deal with those. 16 17 MS. BELLER: Yes. 18 MR. BOULARD: I guess I'm -- I'm challenging 19 myself to match the things that you're saying with --20 MS. BELLER: What I'm asking for --MR. BOULARD: -- the criteria for a variance 21 22 that says that strict compliance with the regulations 23 would be unnecessarily burdensome.

MS. BELLER: Well, since my house,

technically, has two actual corners -- I mean, it has a fist (ph) and then there's a bump-out. So that could be a -- I really thought I could bring it out to where the porch or where the living room goes out on to the porch. Not on to the porch, but out. It bumps out. So I do, technically, have two corners of the house.

If we're looking for a reason and if -- see, I really would like both the six-foot fence and then the split rail fence. If it comes down to choices, I don't know which I would choose.

MR. BOULARD: I think ...

MS. BELLER: I don't know -- see, like I said, the person who would know the answers to these questions isn't here anymore. He took care of all of the things that ever needed to be taken care of. He understood that. I'm not a foolish person, but I just never paid attention. And that's -- you know, my ignorance is not your problem.

MR. BOULARD: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you.

MS. BELLER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank

Page 19 1 you, Larry (sic). 2 And thank you, Margaret. 3 For our acting secretary tonight, Katherine, any correspondence tonight on this case, please? 4 5 MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. There were 30 letters 6 sent out. None returned, one approval, one objection 7 and then one sort of approval. You'll see when I ... 8 The first approval is from Susan Ashisaka 9 It's just a simple circled approval. (ph). And the kind of in between one is from the 10 Duchesneaus. And they have a couple of properties over 11 there so they sent back a few of them. I'll read it 12 13 all off. They say that the left and right neighbors should have the largest say, east side neighbors close 14 15 to the property line, and if both next door neighbors don't have any objections, then they would approve of 16 17 the variance requests. 18 And then the objection is from Patty --19 MS. BELLER: Those are my neighbors. 20 Maniewskis. 21 MS. OPPERMAN: M-a-n-i-e-w --22 MS. BELLER: The Maniewskis. 23 MS. OPPERMAN: -- -s-k-i. And they write, "In

Page 20 1 regards to the fencing, the answer is no. There is a 2 French drain that runs down the property line and east to west to drain our lots. This was installed by the 3 City of Novi." 4 5 And thinking they could damage the drain and 6 flood their lots presently. They say she is pumping 7 her sump pump on their lot and --8 MS. BELLER: That's not true. 9 MS. OPPERMAN: -- and takes no responsibility 10 for actions. MS. BELLER: That's not true. 11 12 (Court reporter clarification.) 13 MS. BELLER: I know how to spell it. MS. OPPERMAN: The last name is spelled M as 14 15 Mary, A as in apple, N as in Nancy, I as in Igloo, E as 16 in Edward, W as in Whiskey, S as in Sky, K as in Kite, 17 I as in Igloo. 18 MS. BELLER: Can I respond to any of that? 19 Am I allowed to respond to any of that? 20 MEMBER KRIEGER: Not right now, honey. 21 MS. BELLER: Okay. 22 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Katherine, 23 any other things?

Page 21 1 MS. OPPERMAN: No. That's all of it. 2 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so 3 much for your time. And Margaret, I really appreciate your 4 5 presentation and the way you are requesting and the 6 privacy and all. And let me put it on my board members 7 and let them come up with what they want to and what 8 they discuss. And it's open for the board. Anybody would 9 10 like to speak on this case tonight, please? 11 MEMBER KRIEGER: Did you build the bump-out 12 on your house? 13 MS. BELLER: No. It was built there. 14 part of the original house. 15 MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. And then you want 16 the --MS. BELLER: 17 It's the style of the home. MEMBER KRIEGER: And you want the fence --18 19 the privacy fence up to that point and then continue the fence up to the front of the house? 20 MS. BELLER: But I want it north and south. 21 22 It won't run east and west by their -- it won't go near 23 the French drain.

Page 22 1 MEMBER KRIEGER: It'll be on your property? 2 MS. BELLER: Yes. 3 MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. That's all that 4 matters. 5 MS. BELLER: Thank you. MEMBER KRIEGER: But then the six foot fence 6 7 will extend up to the front property and then you want 8 a different kind of fence all the way to the sidewalk? MS. BELLER: Well, not all the way to the 9 10 sidewalk, all the way to the -- what do you call -- the setback. 11 12 MEMBER KRIEGER: Setback. 13 MS. BELLER: It would not go all the way to the sidewalk. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Linda. I'm sorry. I apologize for the board. I forgot to call 16 the audience. 17 18 Anybody would like to speak on this case, 19 please? 20 MS. OPPERMAN: There's no one raising their hand for this case. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Nobody is. 23 Thank you so much. Linda, you can continue.

Thank you so much.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. And then the house is positioned, you said, you bought it and then the way they built it, it's a little bit higher than the neighboring sides and its position on the property and the topography is all as it was when you bought it?

MS. BELLER: Absolutely.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Thank you. That's it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.

Any other board member would like to speak on this case, please.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. Member Mav, please go ahead, sir.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I came there yesterday and visited this site and looked around and went in the other side. And I can understand the predicament of the applicant and I have no difficulty in supporting her variance request. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, so much, Member Mav.

And any other board member would like to

speak on this case, please?

MEMBER SANKER: Yeah, I would like to make just a few comments.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Go ahead.

MEMBER SANKER: I think -- I appreciate what Margaret said and the presentation she put together. I think based off the conversation with Charles and then coupled with her application she submitted, I'm not seeing a practical difficulty. And it looks like based off what she said and the application said, she's using the fence as sort of a divider instead of, you know ...

And I guess she's also trying to use it as sort of a way to keep her neighbors off the lot. But I don't think --

MEMBER KRIEGER: She did say safety.
Sorry.

MEMBER SANKER: No. And I appreciate that.

It's just there was no specific thing about the topography or the layout or the lot size or pretty much anything unique about the property that seems to make sense to grant this variance that puts a fence, you know, far out into the front yard. And I think the

Page 25 1 variance was designed to not have a fence out in the 2 front yard like this. And without any sort of unique aspects of the 3 property to create a hardship that would allow us to 4 5 grant the variance, I can't see a reason to grant it. 6 So that's my piece on it. 7 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member 8 Sanker. 9 Any other board member who would like to 10 speak, please? Okay, it looks like seeing none. Okay. 11 It's 12 And I don't have any objection, Margaret, my turn. 13 with your presentation and difficulty. And also my City, Charles, the accommodation and the other board 14 15 members, the accommodations. I have no objection on 16 this case. 17 And anybody -- anything you want to speak 18 before closing this case? 19 Seeing none. 20 Okay. And Linda, can you make a motion, 21 please? 22 MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. For case number 23 PZ20-0066, I move that we grant the request by the

Page 26

petitioner for the fence, a four-foot rail fence to the lot line sought by the petitioner.

Her practical difficulty: Neighboring areas have delineations of fences, the chain link, and she's not -- hasn't stated about that.

I guess it would be the fence would be aesthetically pleasing to the neighbors on South Lake Drive.

That the petitioner would be unlimited and prevented from -- with respect to her property because of the safety and the security and that the house -- the property is unique because, as she stated, it was built higher than the neighboring homes. So for water drainage issues. And the location of the house on the property and the bump-out wasn't created by the person. She bought it that way. So it wasn't self-created.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because other homes in this area have fences as well, even around the front of the whole property. That the neighbors will -- it's a reasonable request that it won't be six feet extending all the way to the sidewalk or setback. That it won't interfere with line of

Page 27 1 sight. 2 The relief is consistent with the spirit and 3 intent of the ordinance. It is a minimum request only being on one side and not surrounding the entire 4 5 property and is similar to other homes in this area. 6 MEMBER SANGHVI: Second. 7 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank 8 you, Member Linda and Member Sanghvi. 9 Any other discussion on this case, please? 10 Looks like seeing none. All right. Katherine, can you please roll 11 12 call? 13 MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. Member Verma? MEMBER VERMA: 14 Yes. 15 MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson? 16 MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes. 17 MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker? 18 MEMBER SANKER: No. 19 MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi? 20 MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes. 21 MS. OPPERMAN: Member Peddiboyina? 22 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. 23 MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?

Page 28 1 MEMBER MONTAGUE: No. 2 MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Krieger? 3 MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes five to two. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 6 Congratulations, Margaret, and good luck. 7 MS. BELLER: Thank you very much. 8 CHAIRPERSPON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. brings us to the next case. PZ20-001, David and Molly 9 10 Armstrong, 43824 Westridge Lane, west of Novi Road and south of Nine Mile Road, parcel number 11 50-22-34-277-008. The applicant is requesting 12 13 variances from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance, Section 3.1.5 for a 15-foot exterior side yard setback, 14 15 30 feet required. Variance of 15 feet. 16 This variance would accommodate the building 17 of a new garage addition. This property is zoned 18 single family residence, R-4. 19 Is the applicant present? MS. ARMSTRONG: Yes. We're both here. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, there. 22 And you can spell your first and last name and 23 take the oath for our acting secretary, Katherine, and

Page 29 1 talk slowly and not take too much time. We are on a 2 limited time. Please proceed. 3 MS. ARMSTRONG: Okay. The last name is Armstrong spelled A-r-m-s-t-r-o-n-g. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other person 6 would like to speak? You're the only one. 7 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. I'm here as well. 8 This is David Armstrong. Same last name. And I think our architect, Joshua Dee, might be joining us as well. 9 10 MR. DEE: Yes. My name is Josh Dee. Do I 11 need to state the city and location, then, as well? 12 MS. OPPERMAN: No. Just spell your name, 13 please. 14 MR. DEE: Okay. Dee, D-e-e. D as in Delta, 15 E as in Edward, E as in Edward. 16 MS. OPPERMAN: I'll need each of you to swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case. 17 MR. DEE: Yes. 18 19 MRS. ARMSTRONG: Yes. MR. ARMSTRONG: 20 Yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. David 22 and Molly, you can proceed with your case and you can 23 present what all you have, any slides also. And it's

all yours now. Go ahead, please, the three of you.

MRS. ARMSTRONG: Okay. Well, thank you. I just want to say thank you for your time and just give you a very, very high-level homeowner point of view. We moved in in about 2014 and -- well, we did move in during 2014. And we noted at that time that the current garage was in rough shape and knew that eventually we would need to do something to fix it. And we're kind of at that point now where we're looking at rebuilding the garage. And it can't accommodate two modern vehicles as it is today, as the footprint is today.

We live off a fairly busy street and so parking out overnight on the street is in my opinion not the safest thing to do and it's also pretty dark out there. So we're definitely not comfortable leaving a car out overnight. And then, actually, after we moved in, probably about six months after we moved into the home in '14 we bought a new car. About a month after having the car we came out one morning and the tires had been stolen. So the car was propped up on blocks and it was not a great site especially after not being here, you know, that long and especially it being

a new car.

So we would like to be able to accommodate two modern-sized vehicles. You know, if we're going to put up the new garage, it just would be really great to have that.

MR. DEE: Yeah. I think if I can share my screen, too, that would better show the current situation and then what we're proposing to do.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. Go ahead.

(Document displayed.)

MR. DEE: So on the right there's the current plot plan of what this is right now, and then on the left there is the street view. So the area in question is this garage, which is sort of obscured by this tree that, you know, kind of has this flat roof. It doesn't drain very well. It has a door that is actually less than standard height currently so, like Molly said, you can't get a modern vehicle in. It's also actually fairly narrow as well. So to be able to park vehicles side-by-side just doesn't work as, you know, getting vehicles in and being able to open doors and get out of them is a real issue right now.

So that's one of the things that prompted the

Page 32

project. What we're proposing is a garage with -- it will have a new, gable roof. But I think a lot of the area in question is that we're actually growing the footprint of it two feet in the west direction. So we're maintaining this south base which is currently 15 feet from the property line.

And to see what this looks like architecturally, we're going with more of a gable roof structure with a dormer which matches the, I think more, you know, New England cape cod type homes in the neighborhood. It drains. It functions better and would be more aesthetically pleasing than the current situation, which is a flat roof and it's fairly uncommon.

And as Molly said, you know, just getting the vehicles off the street, because there's a sidewalk out here and relatively narrow space between the door and the sidewalk. Hopefully, it would be a thing that would be good and benefit the neighborhood and improve the walkability with the architecture definitely being sensitive to the existing surroundings. You know, with having the gable face east and west as opposed to south because that makes the overall volume of the garage

Page 33

less -- you know, it's less dominant and more subordinate to the existing structure of the house and surrounding neighbors.

So having the fascia slope down towards the street with a lower fascia as opposed to facing the gable just, you know, creates a more friendly pathway for people to walk. They're not, you know, walking and seeing this imposing structure in the distance. And then it kind of upgrades with the current situation there now.

So I don't know if anybody has any questions or anything or wants to see more. I have other photos in the model which I can, you know, present if you guys have other questions or need to see other views or anything like that.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Josh. We'll come back on to the questions and answers later.

MR. DEE: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other things you would like to add? Anything you, both? Anything you want to add, anybody?

MR. ARMSTRONG: No. I think they summed it up pretty well. When we decided that we wanted to do

Page 34 1 something -- you know, I had actually wanted to come 2 out even closer to the street and Josh had advised us that we're already on like a -- I don't know if it's 3 current -- an older variance or whatever. So he 4 5 recommended against that. So we tried to stay within 6 what is already there. 7 We're only trying to go just a little bit 8 wider so we can get with the modern times here. So we're definitely not trying to, you know, overdo it 9 10 here or anything like that. 11 CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Okay. Thank you, David 12 and Molly. 13 Okay. Katherine, any other audience raising their hand? Can you see anybody? 14 15 MS. OPPERMAN: No one is raising their hand 16 for this case. 17 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so 18 much. I appreciate, Katherine. 19 And okay. Coming to the City. Charles, are 20 you there? 21 MR. BOULARD: Just one question, if I might, 22 Mr. Chairman, of the applicant. 23 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.

Page 35 1 MR. BOULARD: The new larger garage, 2 obviously, extends further back to the north than the 3 existing because you're holding the south face even. Is there a reason that -- is there a particular reason 4 5 that you weren't able to move that even farther to the 6 north to lessen the variance? 7 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. Well, we have a 8 sliding door that leads off of our kitchen out on to 9 our deck. So we're going back as far as we can before 10 we interfere with that. MRS. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. 11 MR. BOULARD: Thank you. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Charles. 14 I appreciate it. 15 Correspondence, Katherine? Acting secretary, any correspondence tonight for this case, please? 16 17 MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. 18 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. 19 MS. OPPERMAN: There were 42 letters sent, 20 one returned, one approval from a Mark Stern, 21 S-t-e-r-n, and no objections. 22 (Court reporter clarification.) 23 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, thank you,

Katherine, I appreciate.

And, yeah, looks I've visited your property two days back. I see that now you have practical difficulty and you expressed your thought. You know, on the issue what happened to your car also and all these practical difficulties for you. And about my City, Charles's, accommodation.

And putting all these things to my board and I'm open to the board members to speak on this case tonight.

Anybody who would like to ask any questions on this case, board members, on this case?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Member Mav Sanghvi, just go ahead, sir.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and saw this place yesterday. It's a corner lot. They have a fire hydrant which is not too far from there and they also have some very nice trees there. My only question is what are they going to do with the trees?

MR. ARMSTRONG: I can answer you on that.

I'm a -- I get very irritated whenever trees are cut

down in this neighborhood. It makes me insane. So I

want to -- we might have to do a little bit of trimming, which we probably would have to do anyway, but I don't want to remove any of the trees. Except for possibly that pine tree which, you know, it's kind of leaning towards the house anyway and it's too close. If we remove that, I would like to replace it with something better anyway. But I love these trees.

MRS. ARMSTRONG: Yeah.

MR. ARMSTRONG: This is why we want to live in this neighborhood. So I promise you we're not wanting to take down any trees at all.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I have no difficulty in granting their request. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Sanghvi. You asked my question. That was the same question I had. That's good.

Any other board would like to speak on this case, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes, please.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.

MR. MONTAGUE: I would like to thank them for maintaining the current location of that and we should note that they did maintain that location and it is

Page 38 1 definitely a hardship of the site in terms of getting a 2 modern vehicle in there. So I am supportive of this as well. 3 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member 4 5 Montaque. 6 Any other board member would like to speak on 7 this case, please? 8 MR. SANKER: Yeah. I had a question about 9 where on -- well, first, thanks for that presentation. 10 It's very helpful. And then, if you can go back to the site 11 12 plan. I thought the variance was requesting the south, 13 I guess, the road the architect was cruising down on the Google map. Is that the variance setback or is it, 14 15 like, backyard, from the backyard? 16 MR. DEE: I can share my screen again, if you 17 want to see. 18 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, I appreciate 19 One more time for my members. it. 20 MR. DEE: Yup. So because it's a corner 21 lot --22 MEMBER SANKER: Okay, yeah. 23 MR. DEE: -- and we're requesting to work in

Page 39 1 right here. 2 MEMBER SANKER: Oh, yeah. MR. DEE: So there's more than 35 feet from 3 the rear lot line to where we're proposing. 4 5 MEMBER SANKER: Okay. And that is considered 6 the backyard, right? 7 MR. DEE: Yeah. So this is kind of 8 considered the rear yard. 9 MEMBER SANKER: You have the two front yards? 10 MR. DEE: Yeah, right. So this is Westridge 11 and then this is Gallway. 12 MEMBER SANKER: And the side yard's set back? 13 MR. DEE: Yeah. MEMBER SANKER: And did you build this house 14 15 or did you buy it already built? 16 MR. ARMSTRONG: It was built in 1970, I 17 think. There's been -- that garage, I think, was an 18 addition at some point in the past. 19 There's been -- you know, there's another --20 that other bump-out in the back of the house, I think, where we're sitting right now, that was an addition at 21 22 one point in time. But, yeah, the original house was 23 built in 1970 and we bought it as it was.

Page 40 1 MRS. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. That's as it exists 2 today. 3 MEMBER SANKER: Okay. That makes sense to Yeah, I see why you need that variance. So I'd be 4 me. 5 in support of it. Thanks. 6 MRS. ARMSTRONG: Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member 8 Sanker. 9 Any other board member? 10 MEMBER VERMA: Yes. This is Ramesh Verma. 11 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, Member Verma. 12 Please go ahead. 13 MEMBER VERMA: Since he's extending it, the house was built in 1970, did his architect tell him 14 15 about asbestos and other things in the existing wall? MR. ARMSTRONG: Well, yeah, that -- I think 16 17 we talked about that. The garage that would be removed 18 and replaced, that's newer than 1970, though. 19 nothing in our records that would tell us when it was 20 built, but that was an addition well after the original 21 home was constructed. 22 MEMBER VERMA: The original home was 23 constructed in 1970 and the garage was added?

| | Page 41 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MRS. ARMSTRONG: Correct. |
| 2 | MR. ARMSTRONG: Correct. |
| 3 | MEMBER VERMA: But you don't have a record of |
| 4 | when it was built? |
| 5 | MEMBER KRIEGER: I can barely remember, but I |
| 6 | can remember that. |
| 7 | MRS. ARMSTRONG: (Laughter) No way. Yeah, I |
| 8 | don't we haven't found anything here that would tell |
| 9 | us when that was added. It definitely was because we |
| 10 | have an extra living room. |
| 11 | MEMBER KRIEGER: '80s. Probably in the |
| 12 | '80s. |
| 13 | MRS. ARMSTRONG: Probably. |
| 14 | MR. ARMSTRONG: And there's no insulation or |
| 15 | anything in that garage now. It's just some drywall |
| 16 | that's peeling off. It's just a mess. |
| 17 | MEMBER VERMA: Well, whenever doing the |
| 18 | construction, we will check about those type of |
| 19 | things. |
| 20 | MRS. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. Thank you. That's a |
| 21 | good point. Yup. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member |
| 23 | Ramesh. |
| | |

Any other board member?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Looks Like seeing none. It's motion time. Member Sanker?

MEMBER SANKER: Yes. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ21-0001 sought by the petitioners for the 15-foot side yard setback because the petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring the variance.

Without the variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because they won't be able to have a normal garage that can fit two modern day cars.

The property is unique because it's a corner lot and they purchased the property with the house already built on it and it was situated close to the side yard. The petitioner did not create the condition because they purchased it with the house on there. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with the adjacent or surrounding properties because the garage pretty much already sits where it's going to end up and no neighbors objected to the current garage site.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and

| | | Page 43 |
|----|-----|---|
| 1 | | the intent of the ordinance because the petitioners |
| 2 | · . | will be able to update the house to a more modern time |
| 3 | | and it is, basically, the least amount of variance they |
| 4 | : | need to accomplish that. |
| 5 | | MEMBER KRIEGER: Second. |
| 6 | | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. |
| 7 | | Thank you, Linda and thank you, Member |
| 8 | | Sanker. Any other discussion on this case? |
| 9 | | MEMBER SANGHVI: No. |
| 10 | | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. Seeing |
| 11 | : | none. Katherine, can you please roll call? |
| 12 | | MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. |
| 13 | | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thanks. |
| 14 | | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Krieger? |
| 15 | | MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. |
| 16 | | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague? |
| 17 | | MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. |
| 18 | | MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina? |
| 19 | | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. |
| 20 | | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi? |
| 21 | | MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes. |
| 22 | | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker? |
| 23 | | MEMBER SANKER: Yes. |
| | | |

| | Page 44 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson? |
| 2 | MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes. |
| 3 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Verma? |
| 4 | MEMBER VERMA: Yes. |
| 5 | MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes. |
| 6 | MRS. ARMSTRONG: Thank you. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. |
| 8 | Congratulations, both of you. |
| 9 | MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And that brings us |
| 11 | to the third case tonight. PZ21-0003, Novi Road Retail |
| 12 | Management, LLC, 26222 Novi Road, east of Novi Road and |
| 13 | north of Grand River Avenue, parcel number |
| 14 | 50-22-14-352-002. The applicant is requesting the |
| 15 | variances from the Novi Zoning Ordinance Section |
| 16 | 3.1.25.D for a 5.2-foot exterior side yard, south |
| 17 | setback, 20 feet required by code. A variance of |
| 18 | 14.8 feet. This property is zoned Town Center, TC. |
| 19 | Is the applicant present? |
| 20 | MR. LANDRY: Yes, Mr. Chairman? |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, hello. How are |
| 22 | you, sir? |
| 23 | MR. LANDRY: Good. |
| | |

Page 45 1 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Can you 2 present what we can help you with and please spell your first and last name for the court record and the 3 secretary will take the vote. Thank you, David. 4 5 MR. LANDRY: My name is David Landry, 6 L-a-n-d-r-y, and I represent Novi Road Retail 7 Maintenance, LLC. I'm here tonight with our engineer 8 Mr. Mitchell Harvey and the representative of the 9 owner, Ms. Heather Hanika. 10 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, David. (Court reporter clarification.) 11 12 MR. LANDRY: The representative of the 13 developer is Ms. Heather Hanika, H-a-n-i-k-a. 14 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Katherine, are you 15 there? 16 MS. OPPERMAN: Yes, I am. 17 MEMBER KRIEGER: You don't have to. 18 MR. SCHULTZ: No oaths for an attorney. 19 don't have to. He's already under oath. officer of the court. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I know. Thank you 22 so much. 23 Okay, you can proceed what we can help you

on, sir.

MR. LANDRY: Thank you. I represent the developer. This is a redevelopment of an existing site that is currently occupied by what was a Fifth Third Bank. This is on the east side of Novi Road between Grand River and I-96. Actually, it's between Crowe Drive and Crescent right next to the Boston Market.

We appeared before the Planning Commission on January 13 and we obtained unanimous approval for our preliminary site plan. Contingent, of course, on receiving a single variance from the ZBA for a decrease in the side yard parking setback. Section 3.1.25.D provides for a 20-foot setback.

The existing side yard setback -- this is along Crowe Drive. The front is Novi Road. So Crowe Drive would be the side yard. Right now with that Fifth Third Bank, it's only an 8.4 foot setback. We're requesting a 5.2 foot setback. My understanding from reading all the reviews is that the administration supports this due to the narrowness of the site.

This redevelopment includes taking a single use building and replacing it with a multi-use. We're going to have two tenants. We're going to bring it up

Page 47

close to Novi Road. There would be brick pavers in the front with benches. This is consistent with the TC, Town Center, district, pedestrian walkability. And virtually all of the redevelopment along Novi Road involves taking these buildings and bringing them up. Because what that does is it doesn't make Novi Road seem so large. It brings it in so you don't have this massive road.

So we are consistent with all the other developments. We specifically mirror the development on the other side of Crowe Drive, which is the Crowe development. I was involved in that in 2017 when that was approved.

We are also eliminating a curb cut onto Novi
Road which I think the Planning Commission thought it
was important and I did, too. Because right now you've
got cars going into the car wash. You've got cars
going into Crowe Drive in the Novi Town Center. And
right now there's also a curb cut going into the bank.
We're eliminating that. So the only way to get into
this now is to go into Crowe Drive and then pull into
this unit.

We are, along the side yard where we're

requesting this setback, installing a sidewalk, a small brick wall with a wrought iron type fence on top, which will complete the symmetry directly to the south of Crowe Drive. So there will be two sidewalks, two brick walls, two wrought iron type fences.

when we bring this building up close to the road, we need this space for parking and also a turning radius for fire vehicles. However, it should also be noted that just like Crowe Drive, which was granted an almost identical variance to the south, the brick wall and the wrought iron type fence will screen the cars. So one of the reasons for the setback is to get the vehicles away from the roadway from the site with that brick wall. And I've attached a couple of photographs to the letter I submitted which show the existing brick wall and cars parked behind it.

Again, this is consistent with the Town

Center's theme. Analyzed under the review standards of
a variance, this physical condition is unique because
this lot is very small for the Town Center. It's 1.17
acres and it is surrounded on three sides by roads.

It's a very unique piece. I think the piece

Page 49

on the other side of Crowe is the only other piece that small surrounded by three streets.

It's not self-created. We didn't lay the streets out. Strict compliance would not allow us to develop this small-time tenant, pedestrian-friendly with adequate turning radius. It's the minimum variance necessary.

Other developments in the TC district have also been granted variances across the street at Crowe. But also important, in 2011 Wal-Mart was granted a zero variance. So this is the minimum. We're not asking for zero. We're just asking for enough to let us park our cars and turn the fire trucks.

There's no adverse impact on the surrounding area. In fact, we think exactly the opposite. It would enhance it. We're completing the symmetry with the two brick walls going down Crowe Drive and the wrought iron fence eliminating the curb cut. So, again, from everything I've seen, the administration is supporting this. The Planning Commission did.

We're here standing by to answer any questions which the Zoning Board may have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much,

Page 50 1 David Landry. I appreciate your excellent 2 presentation. 3 Coming to the -- Katherine, any of the audience is raising their hands for comments? 4 5 MS. OPPERMAN: No. No audience member is 6 raising their hand. 7 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so 8 much. From the City, Charles? 9 10 MR. BOULARD: Nothing to add, Mr. Chairman. 11 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. 12 Okay. Correspondence, our acting secretary, 13 Katherine, can you please proceed on the correspondence for tonight on this case? 14 15 MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. There were 32 letters, seven letters returned. No approvals. No objections. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so 18 much. 19 Okay. And thank you so much, David Landry. 20 I appreciate your excellent presentation, the way you 21 presented. And apart from that, I seen the property 22 also. 23 And coming to board members, please present

Page 51 1 your concern or anything on this case. It's open to 2 the board members. 3 MEMBER VERMA: Ramesh Verma. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, Member Verma, 4 5 please go ahead. 6 MEMBER VERMA: I just wanted to know that, 7 there are some honey locust trees there, three or four 8 of them. So when they are building the wall, what 9 happens to these trees? 10 MR. LANDRY: Mitchell, can you answer that question? I know we submitted the site plan --11 12 MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I can ... 13 MR. LANDRY: -- and before the Planning Commission we talked about the trees. We're adding 14 15 trees, aren't we, Mitchell? 16 MR. HARVEY: Yes. So right now I think there 17 is four trees out there. MEMBER VERMA: Yeah. 18 19 MR. HARVEY: Those honey locust trees. going to be able to save three of them and then we're 20 21 going to be planting an additional one, two, three 22 trees along there. So we'll replace the one that we're 23 removing and then add two more.

| | Page 52 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MEMBER VERMA: You're adding where, those |
| 2 | two? Which site? |
| 3 | MR. HARVEY: They're along Crowe Drive. That |
| 4 | side there. |
| 5 | MEMBER VERMA: Okay. Thank you. |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member |
| 7 | Verma. |
| 8 | Any other board member would like to speak on |
| 9 | this case tonight? |
| 10 | MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair? |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Mav, please |
| 12 | go ahead, sir. |
| 13 | MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah. Thank you. We know |
| 14 | this site. I know how small it is. My only question |
| 15 | is what kind of building is going up there? |
| 16 | MR. LANDRY: The site plan that we submitted |
| 17 | when you say "what kind of building," you're talking |
| 18 | about facade? |
| 19 | MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes. |
| 20 | MR. LANDRY: Well, I thought we submitted |
| 21 | Mr. Harvey may be able to address that. |
| 22 | Did we submit facade samples, Mitch? |
| 23 | MR. HARVEY: Yeah. We submitted them to the |
| | |

Page 53 1 Planning Commission and they were approved. I mean, as 2 far as -- I can give you a high level. I'm not an 3 architect, but it's going to be a lot of stone, brick-veneer type of materials, multi-tone materials, a 4 5 lot of masonry. 6 MEMBER SANGHVI: So this area you're going 7 to -- keeping in tune with the surroundings? 8 MR. HARVEY: Yeah. We're going to be keeping it consistent with the Town Center Boulevard there. 9 10 MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Thank you, Member Mav. Any other member would like to speak on this 12 13 case tonight, please? MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes, I would. If I could. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Member 16 Montague. Please go ahead, sir. 17 MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you. I think it's a 18 nice addition to the area. Cutting off that curb cut, 19 I think, is a great idea because that is a messy area. 20 It is very dangerous. So I think we're getting that 21 out of it, plus getting the character around the site. 22 So I am fully in support of this variance. 23 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member

Page 54 1 Montague. 2 Any other board anybody would like to speak, 3 please? MEMBER SANKER: I'll just say something real 4 5 And basically, just echoing what Clift just 6 said. I think the presentation, you know, clearly was 7 satisfactory as far as showing a practical difficulty 8 and I think that we should do it. 9 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member 10 Sanker. Any other board member? 11 12 MEMBER KRIEGER: I agree. 13 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda. Thank you so much. 14 15 And apart from that, thank you so much, Mitch and David Landry. Excellent presentation. 16 17 our board members, they had a couple of questions. 18 answered everyone very good. 19 That's a good property and good project. Ι 20 fully support on this. 21 And coming to the motion. Member Mav. 22 MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay. I move that we grant 23 the variance in the case number PZ21-0003 for Novi Road

Retail Management, LLC for parcel number 50-22-14-352-002. Because the petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring a variance of 14.8 feet on the south exterior side yard from the Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.25.D, and this property is zoned Town Center.

Without the variance the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented from developing this single-use building into a multi-use property. The property is unique because it is very small and it is surrounded by private and public roads on three sides.

And because of the small size of it, they require the variance on the south side to make it pedestrian friendly access to the Town Center.

The property, as I already mentioned, is unique and is very small. The petitioner did not create this condition, and the minimum variance has been recommended by the Planning Commission.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties and, as a matter of fact, they already detailed this in their application.

And the relief is consistent with the spirit

| i | |
|----|--|
| | Page 56 |
| 1 | and intent of the ordinance and this development has |
| 2 | multiple benefits and will not reduce any number of |
| 3 | parking spots. And this is a win/win situation. |
| 4 | All right. Thank you. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member |
| 6 | Mav. |
| 7 | MEMBER KRIEGER: Second. |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Second, Linda. |
| 9 | Thank you. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And any other |
| 11 | discussion on this case, please? |
| 12 | Looks like seeing none. |
| 13 | All right. Katherine, can you please roll |
| 14 | call? |
| 15 | MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. Member Verma? |
| 16 | MEMBER VERMA: Yes. |
| 17 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson? |
| 18 | MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker? |
| 20 | MEMBER SANKER: Yes. |
| 21 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi? |
| 22 | MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes. |
| 23 | MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina? |
| | |

Page 57 1 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please. 2 MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague? 3 MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Krieger? 4 5 MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. 6 MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes. 7 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, 8 Mr. David Landry. Congratulations, both of you. 9 MR. LANDRY: Thank you very much. Thanks to 10 everyone. 11 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Coming to today's final case, PZ19-0049, Jason St. John, 22190 12 13 Beck Road, east of Beck Road and south of Nine Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-33-100-013. 14 15 The applicant is requesting an extension to 16 variances previously approved by the Zoning Board of 17 Appeals on 1/14/2020. City of Novi Zoning Code 3.1.2 18 for a front yard setback of 19 feet, 30 feet allowed, 19 proposed variance is 11 feet; and a rear yard setback of 15 feet, 35 feet allowed. Proposed variance is 20 20 21 feet, to accommodate the construction of a new house. 22 This property is zoned single family residence, R-1. 23 Is the applicant present, please?

Page 58 1 MR. ST. JOHN: Yup. Jason St. John here. 2 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you spell your 3 first and last name very slowly and our acting secretary will take the oath, if you're not an 4 5 attorney. MR. ST. JOHN: 6 Sure. 7 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Please 8 proceed. 9 MR. ST. JOHN: First name Jason, J-a-s-o-n. 10 Last name St. John, S-t period space J-o-h-n. 11 MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you. And do you swear 12 or affirm to tell the truth in the case before you? 13 MR. ST. JOHN: I do. 14 MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, 16 Katherine. 17 Jason, any other members who are speaking on 18 your behalf or you are the only person tonight on this 19 case? 20 MR. ST. JOHN: It will be just me tonight. 21 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you 22 and proceed on what we can help you with on this case, 23 please, tonight.

Page 59

MR. ST. JOHN: Sure. So I came before the board -- it was under the previous owner's name -- just over a year ago now as I was in talks to purchase this property. Looking to move a little bit closer to work and love the area, found this place. It made perfect sense since I had been looking for multiple years in the area and unable to find something I'm interested in, in my price range especially. So I came across this.

The one hurdle with this parcel was the fact that the parcel was in existence well before the current zoning was enacted and if we're to follow the current zoning as written for this parcel ...

I'm going to go ahead and share my screen here for a moment just to revisit some of the stuff we talked about.

(Document displayed.)

MR. ST. JOHN: So I'm assuming you can see my screen here. If I was to follow the current zoning for this parcel that was in existence before the zoning, I would be left with a building envelope that is approximately 18 feet deep by 55 feet wide. So I would be able to, basically, build something that appears

Page 60

much like a single wide trailer. In addition to that, the current zoning would allow me to build a detached garage, which would have to be at least 10 feet away from the home or could be as close as six feet to the rear and side property line.

I, myself, don't particularly desire a home that looks like a -- you know, just a simple rectangle and I would prefer it to, one, not have a detached garage; and two, you know, some uniqueness to the site. Give some more buffer to the neighbors that were there.

So with all these kind of considerations in mind, went out and found a plan that I thought architecturally fit the area better. And so this is fundamentally what the home is going to look like. The only real difference here would be the portion on the left that is shown. The carport would actually be a garage. So I think everybody would agree this fits the area much better than just a simple rectangle box would. You know, nice architectural features.

And so as I was trying to select a plan that would fit the site, this is what we came up with. The home itself I believe this about 1,500 square feet. So I'm not trying to put a, you know, 6,000 square foot

Page 61

mansion of any sorts on this small parcel. Something that's a reasonably sized home for a reasonable family. And keep with the character of the neighborhood.

The -- let me switch back here for a second.

(Document displayed.)

MR. ST. JOHN: So as you can see, you know, the variances that were granted, the 15 feet rear setback and the approximately 20 foot front setback give me a larger distance to the neighboring parcels than actually would be if I was to build to the current zoning ordinance. Because, as I said, I would have to put a detached garage back here. And based on the size and everything, it would be six foot off both property lines and now I'm 25 and 15.

The side setbacks I meet so that wouldn't change anything.

So, basically, with the restrictions that were in place, we probably did the best we could to find something that fit the character of the neighborhood and gave us as much buffer as possible to the neighbors and I think all these factors went into why it was unanimously approved at the meeting a year ago.

Unfortunately, with the state of the world that occurred near maybe a month after I closed on the property and the variance was granted, one, I was concerned that I might lose my job. Being in automotive we seem to be quite cyclical in these kind of things. So I was, one, not really too keen to start a process of this scope; and then, two, as I did get a little bit more comfortable as the year wore on, I reached out to try to get some things in motion as simple as getting somebody to come out and do a new survey. You know, getting the site cleared and things of that nature and the -- one, the backlogs that had ensued from the time that everybody was shut down to just people not being comfortable to work and everything else, even simple things like that I was struggling to get done.

So here I am a year later with a variance that needs to be extended in order to continue on the path that I would like to go down. So that's why I'm before you guys tonight hoping to just extend this variance and not have to go through the process again of bringing it forth for a reapproval. If I did, it would be the exact same thing that I, you know, brought

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 63 1 forth last time. There would be no changes. I don't 2 want anything different. I'm just hoping to get some 3 more time with the struggle with the world to get this project rolling. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, thank you, 6 Jason. Anything you want do add-on this? 7 MR. ST. JOHN: No. I think that's it for me. 8 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so Any other audience would like to speak? 9 much. 10 other audience raising their hand, Katherine, you see? 11 Jason, can you take your presentation off, please, from sharing on the screen? 12 13 MR. ST. JOHN: Sure. Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 15 MS. OPPERMAN: There are no one raising their hand for this case. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Thank you so much. 18 Okay. From the City, Charles, anything? You 19 would like to talk on this case from the city? MR. BOULARD: Nothing to add other than that 20 21 this is, indeed -- the conditions of the original 22 request did not change. This is a request simply that

is asking for an extension.

Page 64 1 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. 2 Correspondence, Katherine? Any other 3 correspondence for this case tonight? MS. OPPERMAN: There is no new correspondence 4 5 sent out on this case. Per our city attorney because 6 this was just an extension request it did not need to 7 be (audio dropped) ... (Court reporter clarification.) 8 9 MS. OPPERMAN: There is no correspondence 10 sent out for this case. Our city attorney's office confirmed that because this was a simple extension 11 12 request, and they confirmed that because this was a 13 simple extension, there would not need to be correspondence sent out once more. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank 16 you so much. 17 Jason, thank you so much for your presentation and thank you for, you know, coming to 18 19 this case. And let us see how things will go from 20 here. It's open to my board members. So it's open to 21 the board. 22

MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. I can verify with Jason on the weekly basis.

Page 65 1 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, sir. 2 MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. I agree with Jason. 3 He's going to have, you know, the problems with getting a builder creates -- people buy the site, it probably 4 5 pushed him back quite a bit. So I feel for him. 6 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay. Thank you, 7 Member Thompson. 8 And any other board member would like to 9 speak on this case, please. 10 MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair? 11 CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Member Sanghvi, please 12 go ahead, sir. 13 MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah. I think this is only just an extension for the permit time from what I 14 15 gather. And I have no problem extending it for another 16 year. Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member 18 Sanghvi. 19 Any other board member, please? 20 MEMBER KRIEGER: I have a question. 21 property, is it on Beck Road? So if they widen Beck 22 Road, what happens then? Are we still okay? 23 MR. SCHULTZ: Through the Chair, the City's

Page 66 1 plans to widen or not widen are not really before the 2 board tonight. I think we've addressed the issue when I considered this the first time, maybe a year ago. 3 you should just consider the existing setbacks and make 4 5 the determination the way you did last time around. 6 And in fact, this is, you know, as the Chair said, this 7 is just an extension. 8 MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. 9 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Attorney 10 Tom. Any other board member who would like to 11 12 speak on this case? 13 Okay. Looks like seeing none. It's time to motion. 14 15 Member Sanker, can you make a motion please? MEMBER SANKER: Yup. I move we grant the 16 extension to the variance in case number PZ19-0049 17 18 sought by the petitioner for the construction of a new 19 house because he's shown the practical difficulty 20 requiring the extension due to COVID-19. 21 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Somebody can make a 22 second, please. 23 MEMBER THOMPSON: I can second that.

Page 67 1 MEMBER KRIEGER: Second. 2 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Member 3 Thompson. Thank you for second. Any other discussion on this case? 4 5 MEMBER SANGHVI: Can we you put an extension 6 for one year, specify one year? 7 MEMBER SANKER: Can we do a two-year extension? Because he might have to come back in a 8 9 year. 10 MEMBER SANGHVI: All right. MEMBER SANKER: Can we do that? 11 12 MR. SCHULTZ: I'll take a look. 13 CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: One second. MR. SCHULTZ: Charles, do you know if we have 14 15 done more than one year before? 16 MR. BOULARD: I'm not aware of more than a 17 year. 18 MR. SANKER: We'll just do the year. 19 MR. BOULARD: I would think, if I understand that section of the ordinance, it would be a year from 20 21 today's date as opposed to the original date that it 22 would be a year, but I could stand corrected on that. 23 MEMBER SANKER: Let's make it simple. He can

| | Page 68 |
|----|--|
| 1 | come back. |
| 2 | MR. SCHULTZ: Yeah. Make it simple for the |
| 3 | year. We'll take a look into that. |
| 4 | MEMBER SANKER: Yeah. Yeah. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Tom. |
| 6 | And thank you, Charles. |
| 7 | And thank you, Member Sanker. |
| 8 | And thank you, Member Sanghvi. |
| 9 | Okay. Who is making a second? |
| 10 | MEMBER SANGHVI: Second. |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member |
| 12 | Sanghvi. |
| 13 | Any other discussions? |
| 14 | All right. Looks like seeing anyone. |
| 15 | Katherine, please call roll call. |
| 16 | MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. Member Krieger? |
| 17 | MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. |
| 18 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague? |
| 19 | MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. |
| 20 | MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina? |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please. |
| 22 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi? |
| 23 | MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes. |
| | |

2/9/2021

| | Page 69 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker? |
| 2 | MEMBER SANKER: Yes. |
| 3 | MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson? |
| 4 | MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes. |
| 5 | MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Verma? |
| 6 | MEMBER VERMA: Yes. |
| 7 | MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes. |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. |
| 9 | And congratulations, Jason. Good luck. |
| 10 | That brings tonight all the cases and I'm |
| 11 | making a motion to adjourn. Say all in favor. |
| 12 | THE BOARD: Aye. |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Adjourned. Thank |
| 14 | you. |
| 15 | (At 8:19 a.m., meeting adjourned.) |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| | |

| | Page 70 |
|----|---|
| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF MICHIGAN) |
| 4 |) ss |
| 5 | COUNTY OF OAKLAND) |
| 6 | |
| 7 | I, Darlene K. May, Notary Public within and |
| 8 | for the County of Oakland, do hereby certify that I |
| 9 | have recorded stenographically the proceedings had and |
| 10 | testimony taken in the above-entitled matter at the |
| 11 | time and place hereinbefore set forth, and I do further |
| 12 | certify that the foregoing transcript, consisting of |
| 13 | one hundred seventy (70) typewritten pages, is a true |
| 14 | and correct transcript of my said stenographic notes. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | /s/Darlene K. May Darlene K. May, Notary Public |
| 17 | Oakland County, Michigan My commission expires: 01-13-2024 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | February 22, 2021 (Date) |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| | |