CITY of NOVI CITY COUNCIL

August 23, 2010

SUBJECT: Approval of Traffic Control Order 10-43 for the implementation of a 45 mph speed limit on West Park Drive between 12 Mile Road and West Road.
SUBMITTING DEPARTMENT: Department of Public Services, Engineering Division $\mathcal{B I}^{1}$
CITY MANAGER APPROVAL:
BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
A recent audit of the City's traffic control sign inventory identified a number of posted speed limits that lack traffic control orders for enforcement of the speed. The Uniform Traffic Code requires that traffic control orders, as issued by the traffic engineer and approved by the City Council, be on file for the enforcement of traffic control signs. As such, an engineering study was performed to establish a legal speed limit as required by the Michigan Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD) (see Birchler Arroyo study dated May 10, 2010). Speed limits are generally set using the 85th percentile speed, which is the speed at or below which 85 percent of the motorists drive on a given road unaffected by slower traffic or poor weather.

The posted speed limit on West Park Drive between 12 Mile Road and West Road is currently 40 mph ; however there is not a traffic control order on file for the posted speed on this segment. A speed limit of 45 mph is proposed to represent existing driver behavior on this segment as demonstrated by the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds of 48.5 mph near Dylan Drive and 46.0 mph near the bridge over the CSX railroad. As discussed in the attached August 10, 2010 memo regarding the proposed speed limit changes, an increase in the posted speed to match the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed does not significantly increase the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed when the posted speed limit is increased. In reviewing the segments on which the speed limits were increased in 2009, the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed increased an average of 0.8 mph . In accordance with Department of Public Service's standard procedures, within one year after implementation of new speed limits, staff will collect speed samples to verify that the new posted speed limit continues to reflect the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed.

The new speed limit signs would meet the federal retroreflectivity requirements and would be funded by the Traffic Control Sign Replacement Program as approved in the FY2010-11 budget.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of Traffic Control Order 10-43 for the implementation of a 45 mph speed limit on West Park Drive between 12 Mile Road and West Road.

|  | 1 | 2 | Y | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mayor Landry |  |  |  |  |
| Mayor Pro Tem Gatt |  |  |  |  |
| Council Member Crawford |  |  |  |  |
| Council Member Fischer |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | Y | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Council Member Margolis |  |  |  |  |
| Council Member Mutch |  |  |  |  |
| Council Member Staudt |  |  |  |  |

## CITY OF NOVI TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER

PURSUANT TO CHAPTER NO. 33 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN, SAME BEING THE UNIFORM TRAFFIC CODE FOR CITIES, TOWNSHIPS AND VILLAGES OF MICHIGAN AND IN THE INTEREST OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CONVENIENCE THE FOLLOWING TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER IS HEREBY ISSUED BY BRIAN COBURN, SENIOR CIVIL ENGINEER, DULY AUTHORIZED AS TRAFFIC ENGINEER, BY SEC. 33.141 OF THE AFORESAID CHAPTER.

ISSUANCE OF THIS TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER WAS PRECEDED BY STUDY AND INVESTIGATION OF TRAFFIC CONDITIONS ON THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC ROAD OR ROADS IN THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN.

## WEST PARK DRIVE

AND AFTER SAID INVESTIGATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THE department of public services erect and maintain the speed limit sign (S) IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MICHIGAN MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AS REQUIRED BY SEC. 33.217 OF THE AFORESAID CHAPTER, SAID SIGNS TO GIVE NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATION:

## SPEED LIMIT FOR WEST PARK DRIVE FROM 12 MILE ROAD TO WEST ROAD TO BE 45 MPH



Brian Coburn, P.E. - Traffic Engineer
Dated: August 16, 2010

## APPROVED BY CITY COUNCIL

TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER NUMBER $10-43$ HAVING BEEN PRESENTED TO THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN FOR STUDY AND APPROVAL, IS HEREBY APPROVED AND IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THIS ORDER BE FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK AND A COPY THEREOF IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE OF SAID CITY.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THIS ORDER SHALL BECOME EFECTIVE UPON BEING FILED WITH THE CLERK AND UPON ERECTION OF ADEQUATE SIGNS GIVING NOTICE OF THE EXISTENCE OF AFORESAID,

SPEED LIMIT FOR WEST PARK DRIVE FROM 12 MILE ROAD TO WEST ROAD TO BE 45 MPH

By:
David Landry, Mayor

By:
Maryanne Cornelius, Clerk

August 2010 Speed Limits \& Selected 2008 Traffic Counts in the City of Novi

cityofnovi.org

TO: ROB HAYES, P.E.; DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SERVICES
FROM: BRIAN COBURN, P.E.; SENIOR CIVIL ENGINEER BJC
SUBJECT: PROPOSED SPEED LIMIT CHANGES
DATE:
AUGUST 10, 2010

This memo is a follow-up to my April 28, 2010 memo regarding speed limit evaluations. As you may recall, I conducted an audit of the city's speed limit signs and identified a number of installed signs that lack traffic control orders. The road segments that lack traffic control orders for the posted speed are as follows:

- Novi Road (12 Mile Road to 14 Mile Road)
- Meadowbrook Road (12 Mile Road to 13 Mile Road)
- 13 Mile Road (Meadowbrook Road to Haggerty Road)
- West Park Drive (12 Mile Road to West Road)
- Town Center Drive
- Crescent Blvd
- Crowe Drive
- Ingersol Drive

With the assistance of the Field Operations Division and our traffic consultant, Birchler Arroyo, we have collected speed samples and evaluated these segments to determine the appropriate speed limit. The speed studies for each segment are attached to this memo.

## Legal Requirements for Speed Limits

The Uniform Traffic Code requires that traffic control orders, as issued by the traffic engineer and approved by the City Council, be on file for the enforcement of traffic control signs. As discussed in the Detroit News article attached to the April 28 memo, tickets issued for a speed limit that lacks a traffic control order could be successfully challenged by the motorist. Speed limits are governed by the Michigan Vehicle Code (MVC) and the Michigan Manual of Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD). The MVC provides a standard "prima facie" speed based on the number of access points on a roadway. The MVC allows the local agency to adjust the speed limit based on a traffic engineering study. The MMUTCD requires an engineering study to determine the speed limit in accordance with established traffic engineering practices.

Generally, speed limits should be set so that the majority of drivers observe them voluntarily. Studies have shown that drivers generally operate their vehicles at speeds that are reasonable and proper, regardless of the posted speeds. Nationally, this is recognized as the 85 th percentile speed, which is the speed at or below which 85 percent of the motorists drive on a given road unaffected by slower traffic or poor weather. It is generally accepted that when traffic deviates from the 85 th percentile speed, the probability of a traffic crash becomes greater. In addition to the 85th percentile speed, the MMUTCD recommends review of other road characteristics including pedestrian activity, road conditions, crash experience, 10 mph pace, and road side environment. Realistic speed limits should be set at no more than five miles per hour below or above the 85 th percentile speed based on these mitigating factors.

## Public Perception of Speed Limits

It is usually difficult for the public to understand that even though the road may be posted at one speed, a great number of drivers may actually be driving faster based on their comfort level with the road. There is also a perception that when the posted speed limit is increased that it will result in even higher speeds. For example, if a road is posted at 30 mph and the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed is 35 mph , there is a perception that if the posted speed limit is increased to 35 mph , drivers would increase their speed toward 40 mph . To demonstrate the impact of increasing the posted speeds, we have analyzed the speed limits that were changed in 2009. The table below compares the observed $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed on several road segments before the new speed limit was posted with the observed 85 th percentile speed after the new speed limit was posted. While there was a notable increase on two segments of Beck Road and on Lewis Drive, there was no change in $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed for many of the segments. The average change is a 0.8 mph increase in speed.

| Road | Segment | Original <br> Posted Speed | New Posted Speed (2009) | 85th percentile speed (mph) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Before New Posted Speed Limit | After New Posted Speed Limit | Change |
| 11 Mile Road | Town Center to Meadowbrook | 30 | 35 | 36 | 37 | +1 |
|  | Meadowbrook to Seeley | 30 | 35 | 39 | 39 | 0 |
| Cabot Drive | Lewis to 13 Mile | 25 | 35 | 38 | 38 | 0 |
|  | South of Lewis | 25 | 35 | 37 | 37 | 0 |
|  | North of 12 Mile (curves) | 25 | 30 | 34 | 34 | 0 |
| Lewis Drive | Haggerty to Cabot | 25 | 35 | 35 | 37 | +2 |
| Beck Road | 11 Mile to Grand River | 40 | 45 | 47 | 47 | 0 |
|  | 10 Mile to 11 Mile | 40 | 45 | 45 | 49 | +4 |
|  | Nine Mile to 10 Mile | 40 | 45 | 48 | 46 | -2 |
|  | Eight Mile to Nine Mile | 40 | 45 | 47 | 49 | +2 |
| Average Change |  |  |  |  |  | +0.8 |

## Proposed Speed Limits

The enclosed studies demonstrate that the speed limits that are currently posted on the subject road segments are not being observed by the majority of drivers. Further, since the posted speeds lack traffic control orders or engineering studies to support the posted speed limits, they must be reviewed to establish legal speed limits. A summary of the proposed speed limits is shown in the table below, with the justification for each recommendation included in the enclosed studies.

| Road | Segment | Current Posted Speed Limit | 85 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed | Recommended Posted Speed Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Novi Road | 12 Mile to 1,640 feet south of 13 Mile | 40 | 47 | 45 |
|  | 1,640 feet south of 13 Mile to 13 Mile | 35 | 45 | 45 |
|  | 13 Mile Road to 14 Mile Road | 40 | 48 | $45{ }^{\text {* }}$ ) |
| Meadowbrook Road | 12 Mile Road to Meadowbrook Elem | 30 | 36 | 35 |
|  | 13 Mile Road to Meadowbrook Elem | 25 | 36 | 35 (**) |
| 13 Mile Road | Meadowbrook Road to Haggerty Road | 40 | 46 | 45 |
| West Park Drive | 12 Mile Road to West Road | 40 | 47 | 45 |
| Crowe Drive | Novi Road to Ingersol Drive | 25 | 24 | 25 |
| Ingersol Drive | Crescent Blvd to Crowe Drive | 25 | 27 | 25 |
| Crescent Blvd | Novi Road to Town Center Drive | 25 | 33 | 30 |
| Town Center Drive | Crescent Blvd to 11 Mile Road | 25 | 33 | 30 |
|  | Grand River Ave to 11 Mile Road | 25 | 29 | 30 |

(*)A 30 mph school speed zone is proposed for this segment during school arrival and dismissal times
$\left(^{* *)}\right.$ A 25 mph school speed zone is proposed for this segment during school arrival and dismissal times

As noted in the table, there are two school speed zones proposed for implementation. The first is adjacent to Hickory Woods Elementary School on Novi Road. The posted speed limit is currently 40 mph at this location and there is no school speed zone at this time. The report recommends a school speed zone be set at 30 mph in the vicinity of the school property. The second school speed zone is proposed adjacent to Meadowbrook Elementary on Meadowbrook Road. The speed limit is currently set at 25 mph as a regular speed limit that is in effect all-day, every day. The report proposes the implementation of a school speed zone set at 25 mph in the vicinity of the school property. State law (MCL 257.627a) allows the speed limit to be decreased by 15 mph from the posted speed (but set at not less than 25 mph ) in a school zone for a period of 30 minutes to one hour before school and 30 minutes to one hour after school, when requested by the school superintendent. We have discussed the proposed school speed zones with Walled Lake Schools. They are supportive of the recommendations and intend to request the school speed zones as proposed.

## Public Notification

The majority of the segments being studied are located in nonresidential areas. Meadowbrook Road has the largest potential impact on the residents since there are several residential units along Meadowbrook Road in the existing 25 mph speed zone. A "Speed Limit Under Review" sign (as shown at right) has been installed at the north and south ends of the Meadowbrook Road segment (12 Mile Road to 13 Mile Road) to notify residents and motorists that the speed limit is being studied. We have received a few calls from residents who were primarily concerned with the speed limit near the school. Our staff has explained that a school speed zone is proposed which
 calmed their concerns.

## Implementation

We propose to prepare the traffic control orders for the speed limit recommendations from the studies for consideration by City Council on an upcoming agenda. Once approved by City Council, the new speed signs would be installed by Field Operations staff as recommended by the studies. The new signs would meet the federal retroreflectivity requirements and would be funded by the Traffic Control Sign Replacement Program as approved in the FY2010-11 budget.

[^0]May 10, 2010

Brian T. Coburn, P.E.<br>Engineering Div., Dept. of Public Services<br>City of Novi<br>26300 Delwal Drive<br>Novi, MI 48375<br>bcoburn@cityofnovi.org

Subject: Speed Limit Study of West Park Drive, 12 Mile Road to West Road
Dear Mr. Coburn:
Per your request, we have evaluated the above road segment (Figure 1) to determine an appropriate speed limit and evaluate the possible need for curve warning and/or advisory speed signs. This letter reports our findings and recommendation.

## Recommendation

The speed limit for West Park Drive between 12 Mile and West Road should be increased to 45 mph .

## Background

Construction plans for what was initially referred to as the "Taft Road Extension" (now West Park Drive) were prepared in 1998 by the City's engineering consultant. These plans indicate a design speed of 50 mph , a posted speed of 40 mph , and an anticipated first-year average daily traffic volume of 8,000 vehicles. Since there is apparently no Traffic Control Order on file for the $40-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit that was posted upon the completion of construction, Birchler Arroyo Associates was contracted to conduct this speed limit study.

## Criteria

In establishing a speed limit, it is appropriate to determine and consider (1) the prima facie limit, (2) the "speed of vehicular traffic" (typically expressed as the $85^{\text {t" }}$-percentile speed), and (3) other traffic and roadway characteristics (per the Michigan Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices).

A portion of the Michigan Vehicle Code (MCL 257.627) establishes prima facie speed limits based on (1) whether or not the road runs through a business district, and (2) the number of access points (driveways or intersecting roadways) within each half mile of road. In a business district or where there are 60 or more access points per half mile, the prima facie limit is 25 mph . Outside a business district, the prima facie limit is 35 mph for $45-59$ access points per half mile and 45 mph for $30-44$ access points per half mile.

Another portion of the law (MCL 257.628 ) indicates that a posted speed limit different than the prima facie limit may be determined based on an "engineering and traffic investigation." Relevant guidelines for such an investigation, found in Section 2B. 13 of the MMUTCD (approved jointly by the MDOT and the State Police), are as follows:

- "When a speed limit is to be posted, it should be within ... 5 mph of the $85^{\text {th}}$-percentile of freeflowing traffic.


Figure 1. West Park Drive, 12 Mile to West Road

- Other factors that may be considered when establishing speed limits are the following:
A. Road characteristics, shoulder condition, grade, alignment, and sight distance;
B. The pace speed;
C. Roadside development and environment;
D. Parking practices and pedestrian activity;
E. Reported crash experience for at least a 12 -month period."


## Data Collection and Analysis

Prima Facie Speed Limit . Our review of recent-vintage aerial photos (Figures 2-7) found an average of 4.7 access points per half mile for Meadowbrook between 12 and 13 Mile Road. This access-point frequency is well below any of the ranges specified in MCL 257.627; hence, the prima facie speed limit is 55 mph .

Design Speed - Design speed is a selected speed used to determine the various geometric design features of a roadway, such as the lengths of horizontal and vertical curves to provide driving comfort and adequate sight distance. Birchler Arroyo Associates has reviewed the construction plans for West Park Drive and reached the following conclusions relative to:

- Comfortable Speed on Horizontal Curves - Each of the three horizontal curves has a 1,200-ft radius and a maximum superelevation (or banking) of $0.04 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$. The methodology and assumed comfortable lateral friction recommended by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (in both the 1990 policy applicable at the time the design was prepared and the 2004 policy now in effect) yields a safe and comfortable speed of 55 mph .
- Stopping Sight Distance on Crests -- According to the rates of vertical curvature shown on the plans and relevant AASHTO criteria, the crest vertical curves along West Park Drive ensure stopping sight distance for 58 mph (at the bridge) to 60 mph (on the crests south of the bridge).

Given the above findings, it remains unclear why the stated design speed is 50 mph rather than 55 mph . This uncertainty should not remain an impediment, however, to possibly raising the posted speed limit to 45 mph . That limit would be (an adequate) 5 mph under the stated design speed; 10 mph under the inferred design speed on the horizontal curves (dictated by driving comfort with a large margin of safety relative to possible skidding); and $13-15 \mathrm{mph}$ under the inferred design speed on the vertical curves (more directly linked to safety via the need for stopping sight distance).
$85^{\text {th}}$-Percentile Speed - At our request, City personnel conducted automated speed and volume sampling over 48 -hour midweek periods. The two sampling locations are marked in red on Figures 1,3, and 6.

Table 1 summarizes the traffic statistics by location, direction, and day. Key findings are as follows:

- The current average daily volume ranges from about 6,900-7,300 vehicles, or somewhat less than the 8,000 vehicles expected by the road's designers upon its opening some ten years ago.
- The average speed ranges from 41.6 mph on the railroad overpass to 43.4 mph between Humboldt and Dylan. The corresponding $85^{\text {th}}$-percentile speeds are 45.9 mph and 48.5 mph , or approximately $6-8 \mathrm{mph}$ over the current speed limit of 40 mph .

Crash Experience - At our request, the Traffic Improvement Association searched its files for crashes occurring along West Park Drive from 12 Mile to West Road between 2005 and 2009, inclusive. Excluded


Figure 2. West Park Drive North from 12 Mile Road


Figure 3. West Park Drive, Second Section North of 12 Mile Road


Figure 4. West Park Drive, Third Section North of 12 Mile Road


Figure 5. West Park Drive Near Humboldt Drive


Figure 6. West Park Drive, Second Section South from West Road


Figure 7. West Park Drive South from West Road

Table 1. Summary of Speed Statistics for West Park Drive, 12 Mile to West Road for May 4-6, 2010

| Sampling Location | Dir. | Date | Sample Size | Speed (mph) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Average | 85th \%tile | 10-mph Pace | \% in Pace |
| \#1 <br> (North of Dylan) | NB | 5-04-10 (>11 am) | 2952 | 44.6 | 49.4 | 40-50 | 78.9\% |
|  |  | 5-05-10 | 3730 | 44.0 | 49.0 | 40-50 | 79.2\% |
|  |  | 5-06-10 (<11 am) | 730 | 43.7 | 49.2 | 40-50 | 75.6\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 3706 | 44.2 | 49.2 | 40-50 | 78.7\% |
|  | SB | 5-04-10 (>11 am) | 2149 | 42.6 | 47.9 | 40-50 | 68.5\% |
|  |  | 5-05-10 | 3589 | 42.4 | 47.7 | 35.45 | 69.2\% |
|  |  | 5-06-10 (<11 am) | 1505 | 43.0 | 47.9 | 40-50 | 74.0\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 3622 | 42.6 | 47.8 | . | . |
|  | Both | Average Day | 7328 | 43.4 | 48.5 | - | . |
| $\begin{gathered} \# 2 \\ \text { (on RR over } \\ \text { pass) } \end{gathered}$ | NB | 5-04-10 (>11 am) | 2810 | 42.2 | 47.1 | 35-45 | 74.8\% |
|  |  | 5-05-10 | 3345 | 41.7 | 46.6 | $35-45$ | 76.3\% |
|  |  | 5-06-10 (<11 am) | 545 | 41.8 | 46.5 | $35-45$ | 80.6\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 3350 | 41.9 | 46.8 | 35-45 | 76.0\% |
|  | SB | 5-04-10 (>11 am) | 1992 | 41.3 | 45.2 | 35-45 | 80.6\% |
|  |  | 5-05-10 | 3531 | 41.3 | 44.9 | 35-45 | 83.2\% |
|  |  | 5-06-10 (<11 am) | 1635 | 41.9 | 46.0 | 35-45 | 79.5\% |
|  |  | Average Day | 3579 | 41.4 | 45.2 | 35-45 | 81.6\% |
|  | Both | Average Day | 6929 | 41.7 | 46.0 | 35.45 | 78.9\% |

were intersection crashes at 12 Mile and at West, since such crashes would likely be due primarily to factors other than the speed limit on West Park.

As can be seen in Table 2, eight of the 14 reported crashes involved an animal (presumably a deer). Ice was involved in two interrelated crashes. Speed was potentially an issue in the remaining two crashes (bolded).

## Conclusions and Recommendation

- An overall speed limit of 45 mph is supported by the observed $85^{\text {n- }}$-percentile speeds, infrequent access points, design speed of 50 mph (stated) to 55 mph (inferred), and negligible number of speed-related crashes. No curve warning signs are warranted.
- In addition to revising the posted speed limit to 45 mph , it is recommended several Deer Warning (W11-3) signs be installed. Given the locations of the reported deer accidents, it would be appropriate to install one sign northbound just north of 12 Mile Road, one sign southbound just south of West Road, and two signs at the approximate midpoint (just south of Humboldt Drive). To ensure sign visibility, no new sign should be placed within 100 ft of an existing sign.

Sincerely,
BIRCHLER ARROYO ASSOCIATES, INC.


Rodney L. Arroyo, AICP
Vice President


William A. Stimpson, P.E. Director of Traffic Engineering

Table 2. 2005-2009 Crash History for West Park Drive between 12 Mile and West Roads

| Year | Date | Time | Cross Road | DistancefromCrossRoad | Crash (Type or \#) |  |  |  |  |  | Crash Severity (\# Persons) |  |  |  |  | Possible Contributing Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Angle | Head -On | Sideswipe |  | RearEnd | SingleVehicle | Fatal | Personal Injury |  |  | Property Damage Only |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Opposite <br> Direction | Same Direction |  |  |  | A | 8 | C |  |  |
| 2009 | 10/29 | 8 p | Humboldt | 51 N . |  |  |  |  |  | Animal |  |  |  |  | 1 | Likely deer crossing after dark. |
|  | 10/24 | $11 p$ | West | 500 S . |  |  |  |  |  | Animal |  |  |  |  | 1 | Likely deer crossing after dark. |
|  | 1/27 | 7 p | Humboldt | 250 S. |  |  |  |  |  | Animal |  |  |  |  | 1 | Likely deer crossing after dark. |
|  | 1/16 | 6 p | Humboldt | 300 S. |  |  |  |  |  | Animal |  |  |  |  | 1 | Likely deer crossing after dark. |
| 2008 | 1/15 | 8 p | 12 Mile | 1325 ' N. |  |  |  |  |  | Animal |  |  |  |  | 1 | Likely deer crossing after dark. |
|  | 1/14 | 7 a | West | 206'S |  |  |  |  | 3 veh |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | Chain reaction on snow \& ice. |
|  | 1/14 | 7 a | West | 201'S. |  |  |  |  |  | G-rail |  |  |  |  | 1 | Ran off icy rd to avoid above crash? |
| 2007 | $12 / 12$ | 7 a | 12 Mile | 528 ' N. |  |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | V\#2 waiting to turn left onto Dylan? |
| 2006 | 5/12 | Unk. | West | 300 ' S. |  |  |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  |  | ? | Speeding \& ran off road. |
| 2005 | 12/08 | 11 p | Humboldt | 150 S. |  |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | V\#2 slowing in through lane to turn? |
|  | 4/14 | 8 p | West | 250'S. |  |  |  |  |  | Cycle |  |  | 1 |  |  | Motorcyclist lost control; why? |
|  | 1/26 | $6 p$ | West | 1320' S. |  |  |  |  |  | Animal |  |  |  |  | 1 | Likely deer crossing after dark. |
|  | 1/22 | 12a | 12 Mile | $500{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. |  |  |  |  |  | Animal |  |  |  |  | 2 | Likely deer crossing after dark. |
|  | 1/18 | 3 a | 12 Mile | $500^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. |  |  |  |  |  | Animal |  |  |  |  | 1 | Likely deer crossing after dark. |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | Deer 8: Ice 2; Left lurns 2; Speed 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  | 21+ (no\# for 2006 crash) |  |  |  |  |  |

2005-2009 CRASH DATA


## West Park Dr between 12 Mile and West Rd

Request \# 0003943
Printed By Dommique Matich
Printed On 4232010

| FROM_DATE: | $1 / 1 / 2005$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| TO_DATE: | $12 / 31 / 2009$ |
| PR/MP | PR 4413388 FROM MP 0 TO MP 0 959 <br> [W Park Dr \& W 12 Mile Rd to W Park Dr \& Unknown] |


| \#1 Location: N WESTPARK | DR $(0.09)$ | 500 feet N of 12 MILE RD |  | Crash ID: 5887 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crash Date: $01 / 18 / 2005$ | Day: Tue | Hour: 3am | Weather: clear | Roadway: dry | Light: dark/td |
| Injuries K: 0 | Inj A: 0 | Inj B:0 | Inj C: 0 | Inj $0: 1$ | How: head-on |
| CVT: Novi | Area: straight | HBD:N | Drugs: N | Complaint No: 053495 |  |


| Unit No Veh Dir Action Prior Event 1 Event 2 | Event 3 | Event 4 | Haz Action | Veh Type | Damage |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | N | go straight | animal none | none | none | none | car | Ifffront |

\#2 Location: W PARK (0.09) 500 feet N of 12 MILE
Crash Date: 01/22/2005 Day: Sat Hour: 12am

## Injuries K: 0

CVT: Novi
$\operatorname{Inj} A: 0 \quad \operatorname{lnj} B: 0$
Area: straight HBD: N

Crash ID: 5887918
Roadway: dry
Light: dark/unltd
Inj 0: 2
Drugs: $N \quad$ Complaint No: 044299

| Unit No Veh Dir Action Prior Event 1 | Event 2 | Event 3 | Event 4 | Haz Action Veh Type Damage |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | N | go straight | animal none | none | none | none | pickup | rtside |

UD-10: 055408094
\#3 Location: W WESTPARK DR (0.71) 1320 feet S of WEST RD
Crash Date: 01/26/2005 Day: Wed Hour: 6pm Weather: cloudy
Injuries K: 0
CVT: Novi
$\operatorname{Inj} A: 0 \quad \operatorname{lnj} B: 0$
Area: straight HBD: N

Crash ID: 5889480
Roadway: dry
Inj 0: 1 Drugs: $N \quad$ Complaint No: 155041

| Unit No | Veh Dir Action Prior | Event 1 | Event 2 | Event 3 | Event 4 | Haz Action | Veh Type | Damage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $S$ | go straight | animal none | none | none | none | car | Iftfront |

UD-10: 055409681
\#4 Location: W PARK DR (0.91) 250 feet SW of WEST RD
Crash Date: 04/14/2005 Day: Thu Hour: $8 p m$ Weather:
Injuries K: 0
CVT: Novi

Inj A: 0 Inj B: 1
Area: straight
HBD: N Drugs: N
Drugs: $\mathrm{N} \quad$ Complaint No: 0519387

| Unit No Veh Dir Action Prior Event 1 | Event 2 | Event 3 | Event 4 | Haz Action Veh Type Damage |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S | go straight | other noncoll none none none | none | motorcycle iftside |


\#7 Location: W PARK AVE (0.10) 528 feet N of 12 MILE RD Crash Date: 12/12/2007 Day: Wed Hour: 7am Weather: cloudy Injuries K: 0
CVT: Novi
$\operatorname{Inj} A: 0 \quad \operatorname{Inj} B: 0 \quad \operatorname{Inj} C: 0$
Area: straight HBD: N

Roadway: icy Light: day
Inj 0: 2
Drugs: $N$
How: rr-end

Crash ID: 6866731

Complaint No: 0773868

| Unit No | Veh Dir | Action Prior | Event 1 | Event 2 | Event 3 | Event 4 | Haz Action | Veh Type | Damage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S | go straight | veh in transpt | none | none | none | unable to stop | car | ctfront |
| 2 | S | stop on road | veh in transpt | none | none | none | none | pickup | ctrrear |

\#8 Location: W PARK (0.71) 201 feet S of WEST ROAD
Crash Date: 01/14/2008 Day: Mon Hour: 7am Weather: snow

CVT: Novi

Inj A: $0 \quad \operatorname{Inj} B: 0$
Area: fwy ramp
HBD: N

Crash ID: 6900896
Roadway: icy Light: dawn
Inj 0: $1 \quad$ How: single
Drugs: $\mathrm{N} \quad$ Complaint No: 0801990

Unit No Veh Dir Action Prior Event 1 Event 2 Event 3 Event 4 Haz Action Veh Type Damage 1 S go straight loss of control cross ctrline/med guardrail face none speeding sm truck ctfront: UD-10: 080031855
\#9 Location: W PARK (0.25) 1325 feet N of 12 MILE RD Crash Date: 01/15/2008 Injuries K: 0 CVT: Nov

Day: Tue Hour: 8 pm Weather: cloudy Inj A: 0 Inj B: $0 \quad$ Inj C: 0
Area: straight HBD: N

Crash ID: 6900899
Roadway: dry Light: dark/unltd
Inj 0: $1 \quad$ How: single
Drugs: $\mathrm{N} \quad$ Complaint No: 082328

| Unit No | Veh Dir | Action Prior | Event 1 | Event 2 | Event 3 | Event 4 | Haz Action | Veh Type | Damage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S | go straight | animal none | none | none | none | car | rtfront |  |

UD-10: 080031861
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## Crash Type

| Count | Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | uncoded |
| 10 | single |
| 1 | head-on |
| 0 | head-on/lt |
| 0 | angle |
| 3 | rr-end |
| 0 | rr-end/tit |
| 0 | rr-end/rt |
| 0 | ss-same |
| 0 | ss-opp |
| 0 | unknown |
| Totals: | 14 |

Vehicle Type

| Count | Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | uncoded |
| 10 | car |
| 0 | other |
| 0 | truck/bus |
| 2 | van |
| 2 | pickup |
| 3 | sm truck |
| 1 | molorcycle |
| 0 | moped |
| 0 | go-cart |
| 0 | snowmobile |
| 0 | off-rd veh |
| Totals: | 18 |

Light Conditions

| Count | Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | uncoded |
| 1 | day |
| 2 | dawn |
| 0 | dusk |
| 1 | dark/ld |
| 10 | dark/unlitd |
| 0 | unknown |
| Totals: | 14 |

Weather

| Count | Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | uncoded |
| 6 | clear |
| 4 | cloudy |
| 0 | fog/smoke |
| 1 | rain |
| 3 | snow |
| 0 | wind |
| 0 | sleethail |
| 0 | unknown |
| Totals: | 14 |

Road Condition

| Count | Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | uncoded |
| 9 | dry |
| 1 | wet |
| 3 | icy |
| 1 | snowry |
| 0 | muddy |
| 0 | slushy |
| 0 | debris |
| 0 | unknown |
| Totals: | 14 |

Hazardous Action

| Count | Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| 13 | none |
| 2 | speeding |
| 0 | impro/no signal |
| 0 | impro backing |
| 3 | unable to stop |
| 0 | other |
| 0 | unknown |
| 0 | reckls driving |
| 0 | negl driving |
| 0 | spd too slow |
| 0 | failed to yeild |
| 0 | disrgd traffic entrl |
| 0 | wrong way |
| 0 | left of center |
| 0 | imprp passing |
| 0 | imprp lane use |
| 0 | imprp turn |
| Totals: | 18 |
|  |  |

Unit Type

| Count | Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | uncoded |
| 18 | vehicle |
| 0 | pedestrian |
| 0 | bicyclist |
| 0 | engineer |
| Totals: | 18 |

Crash Severity

|  | FATAL | A | B | C | No Inj | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Persons | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 21 |
| Crashes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 14 |

## Alcohol in Crashes

|  | FATAL | PI | PD | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Drinking | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Not Drinking | 0 | 1 | 12 | 13 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 13 | 14 |

Crashes per Hour by Day

|  | Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday | Unknown | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $12 a-1 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $1 a-2 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $2 a-3 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $3 a-4 a$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $4 a-5 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $5 a-6 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $6 a-7 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $7 a-8 a$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $8 a-9 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $9 a-10 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $10 a-11 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $11 a-12 p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $12 p-1 p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $1 p-2 p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $2 p-3 p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $3 p-4 p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $4 p-5 p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $5 p-6 p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $6 p-7 p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $7 p-8 p$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $8 p-9 p$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $9 p-10 p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $10 p-11 p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $11 p-12 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Unknown Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 14 |


[^0]:    cc: David Molloy, Public Safety Director/Police Chief Matt Wiktorowski, Field Operations Senior Manager Terry Whitfield, Police Department

