REGULAR MEETING - ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS CITY OF NOVI

March 14, 2017

Proceedings taken in the matter of the ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS, at City of Novi, 45175 West Ten Mile Road, Novi, Michigan, on Tuesday, March 14, 2017

BOARD MEMBERS

Mav Sanghvi, Acting Chairperson

Jonathan Montville, Secretary

David Byrwa

Brent Ferrell

Linda Krieger

Thomas Nafso

Joe Peddiboyina

ALSO PRESENT:

Beth Saarela, City Attorney

Lawrence Butler

Coordinator: Monica Dreslinski, Recording Secretary

REPORTED BY: Jennifer L. Wall, Certified Shorthand

Reporter

3/14/2017

		Page 2
1		
2	INDEX	
3	Case No. Page	
4	PZ17-0004 5	
5	PZ17-0005 14	
6	PZ17-0006 23	
7	PZ17-0007 44	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

3/14/2017

	Page 3
1	Tuesday, March 14, 2017
2	Novi, Michigan
3	7:00 p.m.
4	** ** **
5	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Good
6	evening. It's time to call the ZBA meeting for
7	the City of Novi to order.
8	Will you please all rise and
9	join me in the Pledge of Allegiance.
10	(Pledge recited.)
11	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
12	Monica, will you please call
13	the roll.
14	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Byrwa?
15	MR. BYRWA: Here.
16	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Ferrell?
17	MR. FERRELL: Here.
18	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Krieger?
19	MS. KRIEGER: Here.
20	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Nafso?
21	MR. NAFSO: Here.
22	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member
23	Montville?

3/14/2017

	Page 4
1	MR. MONTVILLE: Present.
2	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member
3	Peddiboyina is absent, unexcused.
4	Chairperson Sanghvi?
5	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Here.
6	MS. DRESLINSKI: And Chairperson
7	Gronachan is absent, excused.
8	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: At this
9	point, if anybody wants to make any statement
10	to the board about any subject other than those
11	on the agenda tonight, you are welcome to do it
12	at this point.
13	Seeing none, we will move onto
14	the next item on the agenda.
15	Is there any change in the
16	agenda?
17	MS. DRESLINSKI: The very first
18	case will be tabled until the April 11th
19	meeting. So it's PZ16-0063.
20	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: All right.
21	Chair will entertain a motion to table Case No.
22	PZ16-0063.
23	MR. FERRELL: So moved.

3/14/2017

	Page 5
1	MS. KRIEGER: Second.
2	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Motion has
3	been made and seconded. All those in favor
4	signify by saying aye.
5	THE BOARD: Aye.
6	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Motion
7	carries.
8	We will move onto the first
9	case of the evening. That is PZ17-0004, C & S
10	Twelve Mile Center, 41935 Twelve Mile Road,
11	south of Twelve Mile Road and west of
12	Meadowbrook, 50-22-14-200-025.
13	If the applicant is here,
14	please kindly come to the podium.
15	Would you please state your
16	name and address for our recording secretary.
17	Are you an attorney?
18	MR. MURPHY: No.
19	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Will you
20	please be sworn in by our secretary. State
21	your name and address.
22	MR. MURPHY: David Murphy, 706
23	Florence Avenue, Royal Oak, Michigan 48067.

Page 6 1 The property that I am standing here for is --2 MR. MONTVILLE: Swear you in if 3 you don't mind. 4 Raise your right hand. Do you 5 promise to tell the truth in the testimony you 6 are about to give? 7 MR. MURPHY: I do. 8 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Please 9 state your case. Thank you. 10 (Whereupon Member Peddiboyina is now present.) 11 MR. MURPHY: We are requesting a dimensional variance of about two feet eight 12 13 inches for 41935 West 12 Mile Road. It's C & S 14 Twelve Mile Center. 15 I have a presentation to give. 16 I'll kind of explain to you guys the site and 17 what we are looking to do. So this is the overall site. 18 19 We have an existing three story building on it, 20 as it lies today. We have a new tenant moving into the facility and one of the stipulations 21 22 in the contract is to relocate a generator and 23 a switch gear associated with the generator.

Γ

	Page 7
1	So the entrance is at the
2	northwest corner of the site, Twelve Mile runs
3	this direction here (ind) and let's see.
4	Here is the proposed generator location. And
5	over here is the proposed switch gear location.
6	We have an existing dumpster
7	in the back corner here, and that is the
8	property line that goes all the way around.
9	So what we are looking to do
10	is close off the parking area in front of this
11	switch gear, and get a dimensional variance for
12	approximately two foot eight inches because we
13	do not meet the six foot setback from the
14	property line here.
15	So from this point to here, we
16	are about to the edge of the slab right about
17	three foot four inches and we're providing
18	arborvitaes screens on all three sides except
19	for the area where we are providing no parking.
20	The DTE Energy line turns onto
21	this site right at this location. That is one
22	of the reasons why we are proposing the
23	location, for us and for DTE to provide the

Page 8 1 switch gear in this location. 2 There is no adverse impact to 3 surrounding properties because we are screening 4 and we also have limited room in this location to put this switch gear. I mean, because of 5 6 how the parking lot is laid out, there is not 7 many other chances we can put -- other 8 locations where we can put the generator -- I 9 am sorry, the switch gear. 10 Here is the switch gear, it's 11 about eight inches wide, by 44 by 100 inches 12 tall. And we are asking you guys for the two foot eight inch variance closer to the property 13 line than six feet. 14 15 Any questions? 16 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. 17 We will come back to you, if necessary. Is 18 there anybody in the audience that would like 19 to make any comments about this case? 20 Seeing none, thank you. City, 21 do you have any comments to make? 22 MR. BUTLER: Yes. Ouestion for 23 I noticed that the screening that you had you.

	Page 9
1	around the switch gear, you didn't put none in
2	the front because that's facing the parking
3	lot, the concrete runs all the way into that
4	curb right there?
5	MR. MURPHY: Yep.
6	MR. BUTLER: You are aware that
7	it does have to meet the city's noise standards
8	for the generator?
9	MR. MURPHY: We do, yes.
10	MR. BUTLER: That's fine.
11	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
12	Got any kind of correspondence?
13	MR. MONTVILLE: Fourteen letters
14	mailed, one letter returned, zero approvals and
15	zero objections.
16	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
17	Open it up to the board.
18	MR. BYRWA: I was wondering, what
19	was the required amount of parking there? Did
20	you meet that or were you already short, or
21	MR. MURPHY: We meet it by very
22	few. I think we are a few parking spots over.
23	MR. BYRWA: So eliminating one,

3/14/2017

	Page 10
1	you're still within the required parking?
2	MR. MURPHY: Yes.
3	MR. BYRWA: Good. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Anybody
5	else?
6	MS. KRIEGER: According to the
7	fire marshal, you're installing a protective
8	barrier around the new generator?
9	MR. MURPHY: Correct, we are. We
10	have guard posts. I wasn't really focusing on
11	the generator here, but we do have guard posts
12	along the back side of the generator.
13	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Anybody
14	else?
15	I did come and see your
16	property and looked at it and I know where you
17	are going to place it. And I appreciate you
18	coming over here and making a presentation.
19	Thank you. Like to entertain a motion.
20	MS. KRIEGER: Do you have a
21	question?
22	MR. MONTVILLE: I'm prepared to
23	make a motion.

Page 11

1	In Case No. PZ17-0004, the
2	applicant, C & S Twelve Mile Center, LLC, I
3	move that we grant the variance as requested
4	for the following reasons. The petitioner has
5	shown a practical difficulty requiring the
6	additional generator and the corresponding
7	switch box on the east side of the lot. This
8	property is unique due to the non-created
9	nature of the existing structure and also the
10	DTE energy line entering the property at the
11	specified location given by the applicant where
12	the switch box is going.
13	The petitioner did not create
14	these conditions. And the relief, when
15	granted, will not unreasonably interfere with
16	any adjacent or surrounding properties as the
17	switch box will be screened in, and due to the
18	neighbor on the east side has a similar type of
19	an outpost or electrical structure, looks very
20	similar, so from an esthetic standpoint, there
21	will be no negative impact. The relief is
22	consistent with the spirit and intent of the
23	ordinance. For those reasons, I move that we

	Page 12
1	grant variance as has been requested.
2	MR. FERRELL: Second.
3	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Motion has
4	been made and seconded. Any further
5	discussion?
6	Seeing none, Madam Secretary,
7	will you please call the roll.
8	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Byrwa?
9	MR. BYRWA: Yes.
10	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Ferrell?
11	MR. FERRELL: Yes.
12	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Krieger?
13	MS. KRIEGER: Yes.
14	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Nafso?
15	MR. NAFSO: Yes.
16	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member
17	Montville?
18	MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
19	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member
20	Peddiboyina?
21	MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
22	MS. DRESLINSKI: Chairperson
23	Sanghvi?

3/14/2017

Page 13 1 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes. Thank 2 you. 3 MS. DRESLINSKI: Motion passes 4 seven to zero. 5 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: 6 Congratulations. Go ahead and 7 do the necessary things. 8 MR. MURPHY: Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: I am going 10 to go back where we started. The minutes for 11 January 2017. 12 Is there any additions or 13 deletions on the minutes as presented? 14 MS. DRESLINSKI: No. 15 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Seeing 16 none, may the Chair entertain a motion to 17 approve the minutes as presented. 18 MR. FERRELL: So moved. 19 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Motion has 20 been made, second? 21 MR. NAFSO: Second. 22 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: All those 23 in favor say aye.

	Page 14
1	THE BOARD: Aye.
2	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Those
3	opposed. None. Thank you.
4	Let's go onto the next item on
5	the agenda.
6	The next case for us is
7	PZ17-0005, Gary Ehlers, 1705 East Lake Drive,
8	north of Thirteen Mile Road and west of Novi
9	Road, Parcel #50-22-02-357-015.
10	The applicant is requesting a
11	variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance
12	Section 4.19 to allow for the installation of a
13	sunroom slash storage room in the side yard.
14	The property is zoned one family residential,
15	R4.
16	Is the applicant here? Will
17	you please come forward and state your name and
18	address and if you're not an attorney, please
19	be sworn in by our secretary.
20	MR. EHLERS: My name is Gary
21	Ehlers. I live at 170 East Lake Drive in Novi.
22	This is my fourth variation.
23	I have come four years in a row to ask for a

3/14/2017

	Page 15
1	variance on a little cottage. I probably could
2	have done this a little smarter, did it all at
3	once.
4	MR. MONTVILLE: Have you raise
5	your right hand and be sworn in.
6	Do you promise to tell the
7	truth in the testimony you are about to give?
8	MR. EHLERS: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Go ahead.
10	MR. EHLERS: The variance we are
11	asking for on this little cottage, it's on a
12	small lot, is for the it says on the side
13	yard, but it's the back of the house. That's
14	really where you can only park there. And we
15	looked at putting a garage in there. We didn't
16	have enough space.
17	You know, so what we are doing
18	is putting a shed and a sunroom in the back.
19	Because when you enter the house in the back,
20	that's where you park. When you walk right
21	into the house, I mean, with no sunroom and no
22	backyard, you're walking right into the house,
23	no place to put your coat, no mud room or

3/14/2017

	Page 16
1	anything like that, so it's a smaller shed,
2	with a closet in the sunroom and we are going
3	to have is a little sunroom in the back. And I
4	really believe it's just going to make it a lot
5	more usable. It's going to blend in with the
6	house.
7	The house has been, gosh,
8	pretty much redone many times already. And I
9	think that was the best thing we could do. We
10	still need parking in the back. We can still
11	get three vehicles in the back, but we couldn't
12	do a garage and get parking in the back, so
13	this is an opportunity.
14	But again, it's not on the
15	side yard, it's still within the dimensions of
16	the house from the front to back.
17	The only exception on the
18	drawing, if you have that on the last on the
19	lot, the builder came out and he would just as
20	soon take it from the back of the house to
21	13 feet instead of what it says 10 feet,
22	because there is we have got a cement
23	driveway. There is a saw cut right there. He

	Page 17
1	would like to do the saw cut right there, that
2	still leaves us almost 19 feet before we get to
3	the edge of Chapman. I don't know if Chapman
4	is really a road. I think it's a service road.
5	I don't know if there any houses or addresses
6	on it. It only runs from Endwell (ph) to
7	Monticello.
8	And I guess that's what we're
9	asking for the variance for, to add on a little
10	sunroom, a little storage unit in the back.
11	We currently have a storage
12	unit because that's going to be torn down.
13	It's going to be a smaller one, and the
14	sunroom, so that we can have some closets and
15	some stuff to get in the back of the house.
16	Because it's a little cottage, you never have
17	enough storage, so
18	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
19	Anybody in the audience who would like to make
20	any comments about this case?
21	Seeing none, city?
22	Mr. Butler?
23	MR. BUTLER: Yes. Due to the

3/14/2017

	Page 18
1	unique nature and shape of this lot, he doesn't
2	really have a whole lot of area to go to, so I
3	can see that's in the spirit of just trying to
4	find some parking for his car. He does have a
5	need for that. If he can't go anyplace else
6	without exceeding the request on setback
7	variances.
8	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
9	Mr. Secretary, any correspondence?
10	MR. MONTVILLE: We have 74
11	letters mailed, six letters returned, three
12	approvals all from the same individual, Mark
13	Adams, at 1721 East Lake Drive, looks great and
14	appreciates the design of the house and
15	mentions the applicant is a quote, nice guy.
16	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Members of
17	the Board?
18	MR. BYRWA: Yes, I got a question
19	for the city here.
20	Was there a check to see if
21	there was no easements or anything running
22	between the lot lines in the side yard?
23	MR. BUTLER: For the ZBA, no, but

3/14/2017

	Page 19
1	when he came up with the design of the house
2	that had to have been checked for the property.
3	MR. BYRWA: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Anybody
5	else?
6	MS. KRIEGER: Yes. Question.
7	You said on the map here, on the picture that
8	it's 10 feet and it's going to be 13 feet. Is
9	that encompassed in here?
10	MR. EHLERS: There should be a
11	I think the plot plan on the back, the last
12	one, it basically takes you from the edge of
13	the house, it says it's going back 10 feet,
14	then it says it's got 20 feet to the road.
15	Well, it's more it's going back 13 feet to
16	the saw cut and there is almost there is
17	about 19 feet, so this dimension is wrong. The
18	builder is asking can you do the 13, because he
19	wants to save the saw cut and do it
20	accordingly. I'm still 18, 19 feet plus.
21	MS. KRIEGER: Would that have to
22	be redone, then?
23	MR. BUTLER: Yes, if he's

3/14/2017

	Page 20
1	changing the dimensions of what he's requesting
2	as to what he's advertised, then he would have
3	to come back and resubmit.
4	MS. SAARELA: Only if he's
5	increasing.
6	MR. BUTLER: Are you
7	increasing
8	MS. SAARELA: The variances.
9	MR. EHLERS: Well, I guess he
10	said I guess I don't have to, but the builder
11	would like me to. But I guess I can. All I'm
12	going to do is change the bottom line, I guess.
13	Does that take a long time with
14	MS. SAARELA: You have to
15	renotice it with different variances. So it
16	would have to be next month.
17	MR. BYRWA: If we see the
18	non-conformity, we have to readvertise and
19	renotify everybody. Let anybody know that it's
20	different than what you actually proposed.
21	MS. KRIEGER: You have to repay
22	the application fee?
23	MR. BYRWA: No.

3/14/2017

	Page 21
1	MS. KRIEGER: It's up to you.
2	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Do we have
3	to table this?
4	MR. EHLERS: I don't know that I
5	want to wait
6	MS. DRESLINSKI: If you just want
7	to ask for the 10 feet, ask for the 10 feet,
8	maybe talk about it with your builder, if you
9	want to come back for the 13 feet, but you can
10	keep it at 10 feet if you want to get approval
11	or a straight objection today, it's up to you.
12	MR. EHLERS: I guess, I don't
13	want to really wait and run into May and June,
14	do all of that. I just like to approve it as
15	is and if that's what it is, I would just have
16	him, you know, deal with the 10 feet, I guess.
17	I mean, I guess just ignore my
18	request because I guess if I wanted to do that,
19	I would have to come back and apply and be
20	another what's that two months.
21	MS. DRESLINSKI: We could get you
22	on the April meeting.
23	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Your

3/14/2017

	Page 22
1	choice.
2	MR. EHLERS: Oh, boy. If you
3	could do it in April, what date?
4	MS. DRESLINSKI: April 11.
5	MR. EHLERS: Oh, boy. I am sorry
6	I'm taking this much time. I guess I will come
7	back then on the 11th. Can I just change this
8	back page and come in and see.
9	MS. DRESLINSKI: You will have to
10	submit new drawings, you can add the extra
11	three feet, then you will have to update your
12	application. We will have to readvertise
13	though.
14	MR. EHLERS: Sorry about that.
15	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: I move to
16	table it to the April 11 meeting.
17	MR. BYRWA: I would like to make
18	a motion to
19	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: I would
20	entertain a motion to table this case.
21	MR. FERRELL: Second.
22	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Motion has
23	been and seconded. All those in favor say aye.

Г

	Page 23
1	THE BOARD: Aye.
2	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Those
3	opposed. Motion passes. We will see you next
4	month.
5	Next case. PZ17-0006, 22575
6	Heslip Drive, north of Nine Mile Road. The
7	applicant is requesting a variance from the
8	City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 4.19 to
9	allow for the installation of an accessory use
10	structure in the side yard.
11	Please state your name,
12	address. And if you are not an attorney, be
13	sworn in by our secretary. Thank you.
14	MR. PROUX: Scott Proux, PM
15	Technologies at 29395 Wall Street, Wixom,
16	Michigan 48393.
17	MR. MONTVILLE: Raise your right
18	hand, sir. Do you promise to tell the truth in
19	the testimony you are about to give?
20	MR. PROUX: I do.
21	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Go ahead.
22	MR. PROUX: I have a little
23	presentation. Site location at 22575 Heslip

Page 24 Drive, Novi, Michigan. The site school 1 2 district is Novi, site zoning is I1, light industrial, the site uses are offices and 3 The adjoining zoning is northeast 4 warehouse. 5 and south are I1, light industrial, west is RM1, and the site size is approximately one 6 7 acre. 8 What we are proposing is a 9 generator installation at the existing building 10 at 22575 Heslip Drive in Novi, and northwest of the building between the parcel lot line to the 11 12 north and the building. 13 The paperwork submitted to the 14 ZBA was approved contingent to their recommendations of the generator sitting 10 15 16 foot off of the existing building, and shrubs on all three sides of the generator. 17 18 This is just a drawing of what 19 we are proposing. This is kind of like a site 20 drawing of the existing site off of Heslip Drive, but the back of the generator will be 21 ten foot off the building, the front of the 22 23 generator with the depth of 37 inches will put

3/14/2017

	Page 25
1	us at six foot nine inches of the property line
2	next door. We are going to have shrubs on all
3	three sides so those shrubs on the property
4	line will be three foot nine inches off the
5	property line.
6	The generator dimensions are
7	98 inches long, 37 inches deep and 43 inches
8	high.
9	This is a site layout. I
10	didn't put a thing in there, like I should
11	have, but you see where Heslip Drive is, the
12	northwest side of that building where the back
13	of the parking lot there is a blue car, just to
14	the north there, that's where we are proposing
15	to put the generator. That's right next to
16	where a dumpster sits.
17	This is the picture of the
18	site. My customer site at 22575 Heslip is on
19	the left. Their neighboring lot is on the
20	right.
21	This is the array of grass
22	that we have room to put the generator. It's
23	20 feet wide, so their property line is right

Page 26

1	where it's kind of right where the
2	neighbor's parking lot is, to where the grass
3	starts, that's where the property line is, it's
4	about 20 feet right there.
5	Where we are proposing to put
6	it, is next to where the dumpster sits, in this
7	back corner. Like I said, we are going to be
8	10 foot off the building, which would put us
9	six foot, nine inches off that property line,
10	with the depth of that generator being at
11	37 inches.
12	This is basically a picture of
13	what the generator was going to look like. It
14	got approved with the dba level. I know that
15	was a question earlier. It's a 67 dba. It's
16	the quietest generator on the market today. I
17	guess my after seeing I have never done
18	this before, so I didn't know how to do it.
19	Is there anything else you
20	would like to know about the property?
21	Anything I need to propose? When can we get
22	started on the installation? What's the next
23	steps?

3/14/2017

	Page 27
1	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
2	Is there anybody in the audience who would like
3	to make any comments about this case?
4	Seeing none, Mr. Butler.
5	MR. BUTLER: Yes, question. Some
6	of the dimensions that you are reading aren't
7	the dimensions that I have on my tab. So we
8	need to get a correction. Also you have an
9	option one and option two. You have to tell us
10	what option that you want.
11	MR. PROUX: The ZBA board, I got
12	an email about a week and a half ago, the ZBA
13	board did not approve number one because it's
14	too close to Heslip Drive. They approved
15	number two, which is back by the dumpster,
16	hence I changed it on my Power Point.
17	MS. DRESLINSKI: Do you mean the
18	Planning Commission?
19	MR. PROUX: Planning Commission.
20	Sorry.
21	MR. BUTLER: You had stated that
22	the back of the generator is going to be, in
23	your estimation, nine feet from the building?

Page 28 1 MR. PROUX: On my drawing, no, I 2 am putting 10 feet. That's what the Planning 3 Commission approved is 10 foot off the 4 building. There is other neighboring -- I did 5 get site photos, but I didn't want to cause 6 trouble here tonight. So there is other generators that are within three foot of the 7 8 building, which I originally proposed. But 9 they made the recommendation of being 10-foot 10 off the building, which is normally for a diesel generator, for a natural gas generator 11 12 that I am proposing is three foot is what the manufacture recommends. 13 But to meet the City of Novi's 14 15 ordinances, we are going to be 10-foot. 16 MR. BUTLER: The only problem we 17 have here is what we have here, the drawing 18 says three foot, you're saying ten foot, so 19 this is what was advertised. 20 MR. PROUX: I asked for three foot, because that's what the manufacturer 21 22 recommended, but the Planning Commission told 23 me that everything is approved contingent upon

3/14/2017

	Page 29
1	me meeting their requirements, which is 10 foot
2	off the building. If I could do three foot, I
3	would love to do three foot.
4	MS. KRIEGER: If it's 10 foot,
5	shouldn't it be safer?
6	MS. SAARELA: Is it closer to
7	meeting the standard that way?
8	MS. KRIEGER: I would think so
9	yes, because it's less if it's three feet is
10	the minimum, he's asking four something, that's
11	different better than minimum.
12	MR. BYRWA: But you're not giving
13	a true scenario to the neighboring properties.
14	You have to readvertise again, I would think.
15	But any deviation from the original proposal
16	MS. SAARELA: It's not a
17	deviation. If you are increasing the variance.
18	So you have to determine whether it's an
19	increase in the variance. If you are
20	decreasing the variance, you don't have to
21	readvertise.
22	MR. BYRWA: Right, but I would
23	think if you are adding numbers or adding

3/14/2017

Page 30 setbacks, you would be increasing, but we could 1 2 vote on that. 3 MS. KRIEGER: According to the 4 ordinance, I mean, it's a safer distance. Ιf it's closer to the building, it creates --5 because of the generator, it can create fire, 6 7 so closer to the building, more fire, if it's 8 farther away, you have a less chance of fire, 9 so it's safer. So then it's a decrease in 10 variance. 11 MR. BYRWA: But what you are 12 doing, if you were the neighbor --13 MS. SAARELA: I don't see where 14 this is changing the variance -- the terms of the ordinance. 15 MR. FERRELL: What is the 16 ordinance, is it 10 feet or three feet? 17 18 MS. SAARELA: It's not based on 19 feet. It's based on location. 20 MR. FERRELL: Why do they come up with 10 feet? 21 22 MS. SAARELA: The Planning 23 Commission is looking at some other standards,

3/14/2017

	Page 31
1	maybe safety standards. They're looking at
2	further 10 feet, but that's not what we are
3	looking at here. We are looking at a zoning
4	ordinance requirement to prohibit it in the
5	side yard. So we are just looking at the side
6	yard issue.
7	MR. FERRELL: Not the distance?
8	MS. SAARELA: No.
9	MR. FERRELL: So the three feet
10	that has been advertised it really doesn't
11	affect us?
12	MS. SAARELA: No.
13	MR. BUTLER: No. We should be
14	okay then.
15	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Before we
16	go to that, is there any correspondence?
17	MR. MONTVILLE: There were 27
18	letters mailed, two letters returned, and zero
19	approvals and zero objections.
20	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay. Now,
21	we can really open it to the board before we
22	get to that part.
23	So anybody wants to make a

3/14/2017

Г

	Page 32
1	motion?
2	MR. BUTLER: One last question.
3	Drainage for that site, do you run into any
4	drainage because there is a swell there that
5	you're going to have to deal with?
6	MR. PROUX: We didn't notice any.
7	There is no drains over on that side that we
8	noticed. On the two locations we submitted,
9	there was nothing near those.
10	MR. BUTLER: Okay. No further
11	questions.
12	MR. BYRWA: You know, my question
13	is, in a lot of cases, the original building,
14	when it was built, the size of that building
15	was based on or a lot of times they're based
16	on what they call an open perimeter increase,
17	which means the access of emergency fire trucks
18	and stuff can come around the building and
19	address any kind of emergency going on in the
20	building.
21	When you start putting up
22	things next to the building or far away from
23	the building, you start compromising the open

Page 33 1 perimeter increase that the size of the 2 building was granted on. 3 I quess the question to the 4 building department, did anybody check on the 5 allowable size of the building in the open 6 perimeter increase, and then secondly, if 7 putting up a generator would decrease the open 8 perimeter increase? 9 MS. DRESLINSKI: Does it help 10 that the fire marshal also already looked at 11 the plans and approved it? 12 MR. BYRWA: That would weigh a 13 lot, yes, okay. 14 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okav. 15 Anything else before we go to a motion? Okay. 16 I would entertain a motion. 17 MS. KRIEGER: I would like to say 18 you made a nice presentation. 19 MR. PROUX: Thank you. 20 MS. KRIEGER: In Case No. 21 PZ17-0006, for Preventative Maintenance 22 Technologies on Heslip Drive, I move to approve 23 the request -- grant the request for the

Page 34 1 variance to install the installation of the 2 accessory structure in the side yard. 3 That the petitioner has 4 established that the property is unique. It is in an Il district. The physical conditions 5 enable the generator to be placed where it is 6 7 It's not self-created. proposed. The 8 petitioner will be unreasonably prevented from 9 using it, so necessary when they looked at all 10 the areas, and the fire marshal approved the 11 location and the way it's positioned. And 12 established that the variance is the minimum variance necessary, and the requested variance 13 14 will not adversely impact surrounding neighboring properties or values or the 15 16 enjoyment of their properties since the 17 generator will be a quiet one. 18 MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Second. 19 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: A motion 20 has been made and seconded. Any further 21 discussion? Seeing none, please call the roll. 22 MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Byrwa? 23 MR. BYRWA: Yes.

3/14/2017

	Page 35
1	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Ferrell?
2	MR. FERRELL: Yes.
3	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Krieger?
4	MS. KRIEGER: Yes.
5	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Nafso?
6	MR. NAFSO: Yes.
7	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member
8	Montville?
9	MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
10	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member
11	Peddiboyina?
12	MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
13	MS. DRESLINSKI: Chairperson
14	Sanghvi?
15	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes.
16	MS. DRESLINSKI: Motion passes
17	seven to zero.
18	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
19	Congratulations.
20	Next case is P17-0007, 39475
21	Ten Mile Road. The applicant is requesting a
22	variance in the City of Novi Code of Ordinance,
23	28-5, to allow three 20.65 square foot wall

3/14/2017

Page 36 1 signs. This property is zoned is office 2 service. Is the applicant here. Go ahead. 3 Please state your name and 4 address, and if you are not an attorney, please 5 be sworn in by our secretary. Please go ahead. MS. DIACHENKO: My name is Eva 6 7 Diachenko, D-i-a-c-h-e-n-k-o. My address is 8 24849 Hathway Street, Farmington Hills, 9 Michigan 48335. 10 MR. DIACHENKO: Gene Diachenko, 11 Euko Signs, 24849 Hathaway, Farmington Hills, 12 Michigan 48335. 13 MR. MONTVILLE: Can you both raise your right hands, please. Do you promise 14 15 to tell the truth in the testimony you are 16 about to give. 17 MS. DIACHENKO: Yes. 18 MR. DIACHENKO: Yes. 19 MS. DIACHENKO: On behalf of 20 Comerica Bank, we are requesting a variance to install three wall signs on the tower that will 21 be constructed on their site at 39475 West Ten 22 23 Construction on the site is beginning Mile.
Page 37

1 today or tomorrow this week. 2 Each wall sign that is 3 proposed is approximately two foot by 10-foot 4 which actually complies with the 65 square foot 5 maximum that a business with a ground sign is 6 allowed. So we are not asking for more square 7 footage and signage, we are just simply asking 8 for more signs. 9 Currently the site has a 10 monument sign, which is approximately two foot 11 by 10-foot, with a one foot by eight foot lower 12 section. And there is no wall signage on the To have three wall signs on the branch 13 site. tower would watch Comerica Bank's standard 14 signage guidelines for all the new towers that 15 16 are being constructed. 17 We do have some additional 18 photos of other branches across the State of 19 Michigan that have multiple signs on all the 20 side of the towers. Typically three sides of 21 the tower has a wall sign. If we left one side of the 22 23 tower blank, visually it might appear

Page 38

1 unbalanced and a bit awkward so a sign on each 2 of the visible tower walls would be the best in 3 terms of design and architecture for the 4 building. 5 In terms of safety, for signage, the three tower signs will increase 6 visibility for people finding the branch and 7 8 turning into the bank. During peak travel 9 times in that area, such as rush hour, and 10 around the lunch time, the area can be very congested, so if people are able to see the 11 branch from a greater distance, they might be 12 13 able to more safely turn into the branch's driveways as they approach, instead of quickly 14 15 maneuvering and causing a bad traffic 16 situation. 17 The signs also will indicate 18 the bank's entrance to people as far as when 19 they're on the site, where they need to go to 20 enter the branch. 21 Other nearby businesses, such as the restaurant to the east of the bank have 22 23 been allowed additional signage, also at

	Page 39
1	Comerica's Beck and Grand River location in
2	Novi, additional signage was also allowed.
3	So it doesn't seem that out of
4	line with the city's image given the fact that
5	signage will be constructed with high quality
6	materials to industry standards and will
7	reflect a corporate professional image that
8	Comerica Bank has.
9	It not only will benefit the
10	bank as a business, but we think the community
11	as a whole because signage reflects growth
12	within a community, which seems to align with
13	the same philosophy and vitality that the City
14	of Novi has.
15	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
16	Anybody in the audience would like to make any
17	comments about this case, this is the time to
18	do so.
19	Seeing none, Mr. Butler.
20	MR. BUTLER: Just wanted to
21	clarify that the monument sign, is it
22	remaining?
23	MS. DIACHENKO: Yes.

	Page 40
1	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI:
2	Mr. Secretary, any
3	correspondence?
4	MR. MONTVILLE: Yes, there were
5	38 letters mailed, two letters returned and
6	zero approvals and zero objections.
7	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
8	Open it up to the board. Ms. Krieger.
9	MS. KRIEGER: Question, how is
10	the business doing now as it's currently
11	sitting, and then in the proposed building, the
12	tower itself is signage, so how is that
13	self-created?
14	MS. DIACHENKO: How the bank is
15	doing right now for business?
16	MS. KRIEGER: Yes.
17	MS. DIACHENKO: Okay. I mean,
18	it's kind of difficult to see that branch
19	actually driving by, so I think that is a
20	problem that's always remained with that
21	particular branch. Just especially around
22	congested traffic.
23	MS. KRIEGER: You don't have

	Page 41
1	statistics on the usage of it?
2	MS. DIACHENKO: No, I don't.
3	MS. KRIEGER: And then developing
4	the tower, that increases visibility by itself.
5	MS. DIACHENKO: The tower, yes.
б	Comerica's branding standard has always been to
7	put their logo on the towers that are being
8	constructed. They're refurbishing several
9	branches, so it's basically an identity for
10	their business.
11	MS. KRIEGER: I am just having
12	difficulty because, usually it's the building
13	had signage and a monument sign, so that's
14	where I am sitting right now. Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
16	MR. MONTVILLE: With the way the
17	building sits right now, it's kind of tucked
18	back to the southern part of Ten Mile. The
19	monument sign is nice, but it is difficult to
20	see, especially if you are coming from
21	Haggerty, and two, even pretty quick flow, it's
22	not really backed up, it's relatively quick
23	flow on Ten Mile, it matches up to you really

3/14/2017

Page 42 1 quick. 2 The way the building sits back 3 visually, it's tough to really pick it up unless you are almost on the top of it. So, 4 5 you know, I understand the tower, but I don't 6 have a problem with that being self-created. Ι 7 think the actual location of the building being 8 tucked back is not self-created and adding some 9 visibility elevated, would make it a lot easier 10 I don't have any problems with the to see. request, but again, I will open it up to fellow 11 12 board members as well. 13 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Anybody 14 else? 15 MR. NAFSO: I would also add when 16 you're heading west down Ten Mile, the business 17 that is directly to the east of the bank comes out much further than the bank does. So as you 18 19 are crossing Haggerty, in fact, when you're 20 further east of Haggerty, you really can't even 21 see the bank, you can only see the business that's -- the restaurant that's to the 22 23 immediate east of the business, so I would say

Page 43 that having a sign that's higher up would 1 2 certainly help increase visibility, especially 3 if you are coming off the intersection of 4 Haggerty. 5 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you, 6 Mr. Nafso. 7 MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Just say I 8 don't (unintelligible) the tower and the name, 9 Comerica Bank, do you think the business is 10 going to be improved? 11 MS. DIACHENKO: I think it would 12 definitely. It will make the business's presence more great within the City of Novi. 13 Right there, you know, it's the border of the 14 Novi and Farmington Hills, if you're traveling 15 16 west, so kind of welcomes people into the city, 17 so to speak. 18 MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Ι 19 have no issue. 20 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you. 21 MS. KRIEGER: One other question. 22 Is the footprint of the new building going to 23 be closer to Ten Mile?

3/14/2017

	Page 44
1	MS. DIACHENKO: I don't believe
2	SO.
3	MR. DIACHENKO: Just the distance
4	of the tower, it's just a few feet.
5	MS. KRIEGER: Okay. Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Very good.
7	I have no known where you have been ever since
8	you started there, long before that. I have no
9	difficulty finding your place, but I can see
10	the problem coming from the east side and
11	coming to it's not easy to see very quickly
12	to be bale to turn in there. Traffic volume
13	has changed a lot since I came to Novi 40 years
14	ago.
15	And I can see the need for
16	more visibility, and I also see the need for
17	keeping up with the corporate kind of policy to
18	have a tower and all of that. So I have no
19	problem with your request.
20	Having said that, would
21	anybody like to make a motion? Go ahead.
22	MR. MONTVILLE: In Case No.
23	PZ17-0007, sought by Euko Designs,

3/14/2017

Γ

	Page 45
1	Incorporated, I move that we grant the variance
2	for the three wall signs as requested, as the
3	petitioner has shown a practical difficulty
4	requiring the addition of these three signs for
5	safety reasons and visibility for the business,
6	and the rezoning of the office service zoning.
7	Without the variance, the
8	petitioner will be limited with the respect to
9	the visibility of the business and also
10	potential security concerns with the high
11	traffic volume at Ten Mile and Haggerty.
12	This property is unique due to
13	the preexisting building and being setback from
14	Ten Mile creating a visibility barrier. The
15	petitioner did not create that particular
16	uniqueness to the property, and the relief when
17	granted will not unreasonably interfere with
18	any adjacent or surrounding properties as the
19	designs of the three additional signs are
20	professional and esthetically pleasing and fit
21	within the environment and current or the
22	new proposed building design, remodeling of the
23	bank.

3/14/2017

	Page 46
1	The relief is consistent with
2	the spirit and intent of the ordinance. For
3	those reasons, I move that we grant the
4	variance as it's been requested.
5	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you.
6	Second?
7	MR. BYRWA: Second.
8	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Any further
9	discussion?
10	MR. FERRELL: I have a question.
11	So there is three wall signs going to be put
12	up? I guess I missed so three put up and
13	leave the monument sign, so a total of four?
14	Any directional signage in
15	MR. DIACHENKO: Just for the
16	entrance signs.
17	MR. FERRELL: So those are there
18	now?
19	MR. DIACHENKO: Those are there
20	now.
21	MR. FERRELL: How many signs
22	would that be total then?
23	MR. DIACHENKO: Three, four,

3/14/2017

	Page 47
1	five, six. I'm not sure if there is two
2	pretty sure there is one entrance sign and one
3	exit sign. There is a secondary directional
4	sign within the property, for the drive-up ATM,
5	and the drive-up service to try and keep
6	traffic flowing. So there is three.
7	MR. FERRELL: Before the motion,
8	I should have talked about I feel like this
9	is a lot of signs for one property. Even
10	though some of these are directional signs and
11	stuff like this. Little excessive.
12	MR. DIACHENKO: Directional signs
13	are critical just for traffic flow.
14	MR. FERRELL: I get that. I am
15	just saying in addition to that many signs.
16	I don't know if the other board members feel
17	like that.
18	MR. BYRWA: Wouldn't the ones be
19	exempt then if they are directional? You could
20	get real picky and say, well, the ones that
21	say, ATM for the drive-thru that's a sign, too.
22	You know, how far are you going to go you
23	know, I think we are mainly looking at signs

	Page 48
1	advertising Comerica Bank, and some of the
2	convenient small signs that direct traffic or
3	direct you to the ATM, we are really not
4	counting those.
5	MR. FERRELL: They are still
6	considered signs, as far as I know.
7	MS. KRIEGER: Due to the
8	footprint and the setback of the building, I
9	can approve for the variance.
10	MR. DIACHENKO: One comment for
11	me. The directional signs never require a
12	permit, as far as you can have as many as you
13	need basically.
14	MR. FERRELL: Do they count
15	though?
16	MR. DIACHENKO: Yes. It is a
17	dedicated driveway.
18	MR. BUTLER: Directional signs
19	usually fall under planning, they're approved
20	within the site plan, so they're not involved
21	in the ZBA right now for that many signs.
22	MR. FERRELL: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Any further

3/14/2017

	Page 49
1	discussion? Seeing none, please call the roll.
2	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Byrwa?
3	MR. BYRWA: Yes.
4	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Ferrell?
5	MR. FERRELL: Yes.
6	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Krieger?
7	MS. KRIEGER: Yes.
8	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member Nafso?
9	MR. NAFSO: Yes.
10	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member
11	Montville?
12	MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
13	MS. DRESLINSKI: Member
14	Peddiboyina?
15	MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
16	MS. DRESLINSKI: Chairperson
17	Sanghvi?
18	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Yes.
19	MR. NAFSO: Motion passes seven
20	to zero.
21	CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI:
22	Congratulations. Next any
23	other matters for discussion tonight?

Page 50 1 MR. BYRWA: I have a question for 2 the City Attorney. Would it be more accurate 3 when we put off a case to another evening to 4 use the word postponed rather than tabling? My 5 understanding of the word tabling means that we 6 bring it back up at the same meeting when 7 something is tabled, but when something is 8 postponed, it's to another meeting. 9 MS. SAARELA: We have had this 10 discussion before. I don't have a preference 11 for what word is used. We are using it in the context of putting it over to the next meeting. 12 13 I think it's pretty clear what we are doing. It's not being brought back up at the same 14 15 meeting. 16 MS. DRESLINSKI: I am going to 17 write postponed in the minutes. 18 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: It's 19 semantics. 20 MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Sorry for the delay in the meeting. I was four minutes late 21 for traffic. 22 23 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Seeing

3/14/2017

Pa 1 none, I would entertain a motion to adjourn t 2 meeting.	ige 51
2 meeting.	he
3 MR. FERRELL: So moved.	
4 MR. BYRWA: Second.	
5 CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Motion	
6 moved and seconded all those in favor.	
7 THE BOARD: Aye.	
8 (The meeting was adjourned at 7:50 p.m	n.)
9 ** ** **	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

	Page 52
1	STATE OF MICHIGAN)
2) ss.
3	COUNTY OF OAKLAND)
4	I, Jennifer L. Wall, Notary Public within and
5	for the County of Oakland, State of Michigan,
6	do hereby certify that the meeting was taken
7	before me in the above entitled matter at the
8	aforementioned time and place; that the
9	meeting was stenographically recorded and
10	afterward transcribed, and that the said
11	meeting is a full and correct transcript.
12	I further certify that I am not connected by
13	blood or marriage with any of the parties or
14	their attorneys, and that I am not an
15	employee of either of them, nor financially
16	interested in the action.
17	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my
18	hand at the City of Walled Lake, County of
19	Oakland, State of Michigan.
20	4-19-17
21	Jennifer L. Wall CSR-4183
22	Oakland County, Michigan
23	My Commission Expires 11/12/22