REGULAR MEETING - PLANNING COMMISSION

CITY OF NOVI

July 13, 2016

Proceedings taken in the matter of the PLANNING COMMISSION, at City of Novi, 45175 West Ten Mile Road, Novi, Michigan, on Wednesday, July 13, 2016

BOARD MEMBERS

Mark Pehrson, Chairperson

David Baratta

Michael Lynch

Robert Giacopetti

Ted Zuchlewski

Tony Anthony

ALSO PRESENT: Barbara McBeth, Director of Community Development Rick Meader, Landscape Architect, Kirsten Mellem, Planner, Sri Komaragiri, Planner, Thomas Schultz, City Attorney, Jeremy Miller, Staff Engineer

Certified Shorthand Reporter: Jennifer L. Wall

Page 2
Novi, Michigan.
Wednesday, July 13, 2016
7:00 p.m.
** ** **
CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: I'd like to
call to order the regular meeting of the
Planning Commission for July 13th, 2016.
Sri, will you call the roll.
MR. KOMARAGIRI: Good evening.
Thank you. Member Anthony?
MR. ANTHONY: Here.
MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Baratta?
MR. BARATTA: Here.
MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member
Giacopetti?
MR. GIACOPETTI: Here.
MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Greco?
CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Absent,
excused.
MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Lynch?
MR. LYNCH: Here.
MR. KOMARAGIRI: Chair Pehrson?
CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Here.
MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member
Zuchlewski?

	Page 3
1	MR. ZUCHLEWSKI: Here.
2	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: With that,
3	if we could rise for the Pledge of
4	Allegiance.
5	Member Anthony, can you lead
6	us in the Pledge.
7	(Pledge recited.)
8	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Thank you,
9	sir.
10	Look for a motion to approve
11	the agenda or make any changes thereof.
12	MR. LYNCH: Motion to approve.
13	MR. ANTHONY: Second.
14	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: All those
15	in favor.
16	THE BOARD: Aye.
17	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Anyone
18	opposed?
19	(No audible responses.)
20	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: We have an
21	agenda.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Come to our
23	first item is the presentation of the Capital
24	Improvement, Millage proposal. Thank you.
25	MS. MCBETH: Thank you,

Mr. Chair.

We have Mayor Pro Tem Stout here this evening. He's going to talk a little bit about the Capital Improvement Programs, the CIP Millage. The Planning Commission, as you know, reviews the CIP every year and makes recommendation approves that as part of the city budget.

CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Does he know how to work the equipment?

MR. STOUT: I don't know. I'm not normally on this side of the table. They told me it was up, but great let's see how it works.

Thank you for having me.

This is the -- in nine years, this is the first time I've addressed the Planning

Commission. And it's a pleasure being here.

I think I was probably asked to do this because this particular millage idea was something that grew out of a discussion three or four years ago, when things were particularly tough in the City of Novi and throughout southeastern Michigan and Michigan as a whole.

1 You know, with the kind of 2 vision that we are provided with our 3 leadership through the City Manager and the Mayor, we started to think about what we were 4 5 going to do and things turned around. 6 little did we know that after a period of 7 very terrible times in terms of having to 8 deal with personnel and costs that we would get into another period of growth in the City

of Novi.

And so I'm just going to give you a little bit of a background on really where this all came from and why are we asking for this, and much the root of the -- this particular millage request comes -- goes all the way back to the late '90s, early 2000s with the (unintelligible) lawsuit.

During that period of time, and probably the period of seven or eight years after that, virtually all of the excess revenue the city was generating was going to pay off that lawsuit and the associated costs to it.

And about that time I became

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

a member of the park commission, and for the first three or four years, we had absolutely no capital improvement money, and it kind of set a tone for me long term as I evolved through there, into the economic development corporation and then on the City Council, that capital improvement dollars were very difficult to come by, and that with -- the way we did things as a community, we basically took the excess dollars we were generating through our general fund millage and reallocated those to capital improvements.

It was a good thing, but it was also a very bad thing, because we had very little to spend. And as time went on, things got even more difficult. We had issues with long-term employee costs that we had to address, roads became a bigger issue. Much, much less money was available for the things that really allowed us to grow our community.

So about three, four years ago we were sitting around just kind of chatting about, what we want to do and the

idea came up of a dedicated millage that would be nothing but capital improvements.

We weren't in any position to ask the taxpayers at that time for any money. We were just coming out of probably the worst recession in 30 years, so we kind of back burnered it, moved forward to our annual planning meeting this January, and it just seemed like the right time. Things have turned around and we have a great improvement in development in our community, housing has returned, our property values are coming back, our taxable values are still lagging behind because of penalty, but we make due with what we have to do.

So we talked about it at our planning meeting, brought it forward to the budget, and all agreed that making a commitment to our city through a single millage dedicated to capital improvements for a limited term, and as you can see this millage is for a ten year term.

This isn't something that we put in the chart as a long-term money grab, to fund whatever we -- we have some very

specific goals that we are looking at, and this is what this millage is for.

One of the important things in parts of this millage is that it's a pay as you go system. Novi has a AAA bond rating. We have the ability to borrow as money was need to, for virtually any purpose. People would love to lend us money.

But it's been our history over the past ten years to not do that. We are probably far and away the least debted community in the State of Michigan. Other than our two bonds for our revenue bonds, we have one bond primarily remaining, and that is the library, and it's roughly 14, \$15 million.

So we have a great capacity for debt, but we choose just not to take it on because giving away interest to banks and other entities isn't our thing.

So we talked about it, City Council as a whole, and this group of seven agreed that the best way to move forward was to move forward with a millage as opposed to asking for a bond. That millage, 1 mill,

which would equate to roughly \$3.2 million at the beginning, but hopefully as time goes on, that would grow to nearly \$4 million, as we grow our tax base and as our city continues the great development that we have going through.

The one mill is important in that during the past several years, it started about I think, five years ago, when we first introduced our first millage decrease, and it was during the time that things were particularly difficult and it was probably more symbolic than it was -- an affect on things, but we reduced our millage down to 10.4 mills. This past year we reduced it below 10 mills, putting us only second to Grand Rapids in the State of Michigan.

Now, our millage rate, while it is low, the dollars are still considerable. So we're very aware of what we're asking taxpayers to pay. And it's very important to us though that we showed a commitment towards reducing our cost to the city and have done a tremendous job doing

that.

When things were very tough we really downsized the City of Novi and we've slowly been returning back to the size that is necessary. We are all about right size.

So this one mill is really dedicated towards several important things, and I'd like to break it down really into four different things. One is property acquisition.

By pure coincidence, and timing is everything, we have an opportunity to buy the property next to the community sports park. It's never been for sale before. It's never been made available to us. We have a small committee where we went out and looked at properties around the City of Novi and identified that property as something that was important to us, and after a series of telephone calls, we located the buyers down in I think Texas and they expressed an interest in selling this property.

This is a highly developable

25

piece of land, and as we move forward, it's becoming clearer and clearer that there are fewer and fewer pieces of property in Novi that would serve the purposes that we are looking towards. So this one is directly next to the community sports park. something that we're interested in, but not only that, one of the things about this piece of property is that we went out, reached out to a group of people. We reached out to the Novi Jaguars, we reached out to Novi youth baseball, we reached out to the Michigan DNR. We talked to the (inaudible) clubs around metro Detroit, all have expressed an interest in working with us to develop that property in a way that they would provide funding for many of the improvements. That's the kind of partnership that we are looking for in all the things that we're doing, so, you know, while we may have to buy the property, hopefully we can work through the partnerships with these various groups to develop that property into a world class facility, whether it's for a crick and pitch, whether it's for fishing, the DNR, you know,

it's a trail head into the Maybury Park area which, you know, the non-motorized master plan has been an important part, what we have been working on. And this really gives us a big foot forward.

So that's one of the really important areas.

On a completely different front, we've been looking at what we want to do at fire station number one. It's unfortunately located in a place that we would really like to see a lot more economic development, growth and a lot less of a fire station.

And we have an opportunity to purchase property nearby and relocate that fire station and potentially work with other developers to use a portion of that property to develop for economic benefit.

So it's not something that we are just looking to buy and put a fire station there, and let the property set dormant. It's something where we would look to partner with other people to develop that property for both development or residential

or whatever we can work out with them.

So those are just a couple of examples. This slide presentation has a lot of nice pictures in it, and one of the things we are looking at is the Lakeshore Park pavilion, the building there is nearly 40 years old. It needs to be replaced. It doesn't represent the kind of facility that we want in the City of Novi, that part of the city is very underserved by us and this would provide an opportunity for a meeting space. It certainly isn't going to be a banquet facility, but it would definitely be a place where groups could get together and we could have events for children at Lakeshore Park.

Also we are looking at a storage building on the ITC property, that building would act as both concession, restrooms and storage for our vehicles.

One of the common things that you will see is that we have a lot of things, a lot of trucks and a lot of vehicles that are basically not in very good storage areas. Over the years one of the things that have suffered is the our ability to build

buildings that protect our capital equipment.

And this would be a building that would do

that. In addition, we also would look at -
we talked a little bit about the fire

station.

Fire station number one was set up originally as an office complex as much as it was a fire station. Now we, with the re-do to a public safety department, most of our management staff is now located at the police station, so we need something that's much more dedicated to a fire station and training and the things that we're doing at like, for example, fire station number four.

This is one of the big projects, and probably one of the least seen. That building is a public services facility.

We have invested millions of dollars in snow plows and equipment, and most of it is sitting out in the elements, in the yard, and that dramatically reduces the life of that piece of property. So, this is very important. It would be an improvement for our maintenance facilities. It would give us the ability to put all our trucks and all our

vehicles under our roof, and hopefully really lengthen the life of that equipment. You know, here we are, the balance has been out for probably a week and a half, two weeks.

And so the opportunity to vote on this is actually live right now, and to any residents out there, you know, we have been stalwart as City Council and making sure that we don't waste the taxpayer's dollars in the city.

And this is one of those times where we are coming back and asking for an investment.

An investment is another word for tax. But tax is what we are really looking for. We are looking for dollars to reinvest in our community. And nothing is going to staff, nothing is going to interest if we could help it. This is really something that is important long-term to the growth of Novi.

The things that we are looking for are long overdue and we really need to consider what the future brings with these capital improvement investments. We have \$93 million on the books of investment that we want to make. This is providing a

portion of that. The rest of it will be things like roads and other things that come out of other funds. But this is money that if we don't have it and we don't spend it, we are not going to have these things. It won't be as good in Novi without it.

So I would be happy to answer any questions. But I'd love for you guys to get out and support this on behalf of the City Council, who unanimously, seven/zero, which is -- it's a really good thing we voted seven/zero to support this, and it's something that I think personally is very important to our future.

CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: What is the date of the vote?

MR. STOUT: August 2nd is the election. Not much on the ballot this time around. Unfortunately, you know, there is not a lot of contested races and a lot of exciting things going.

We are afraid that the voters won't get out there, but this is something that is well worth your time to come out and support.

Page 17 1 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Anv 2 questions from the members? 3 MR. BARATTA: I do have a couple 4 of questions. So I was at that council 5 meeting when we had the vote. I thought it 6 went very, very well. 7 You sat down, I think, help 8 me with this. Because I analyzed this, 9 basically equity financing versus debt financing, if this were a business. Equity 10 11 financing means getting in and getting 12 capital from the shareholders or residents. So with the City of Novi's credit rating and 13 AAA or AA as the case may be, and knowing 14 15 that the -- that amount is being paid off and 16 seven years, I believe, so there shouldn't really be any debt from the city. 17 Have you looked at what the 18 19 benefit is of going out long-term and getting 20 the cost of financing of these historical 21 levels versus the quote, unquote equity 22 financing from the mill? 23 MR. STOUT: In the end it's 24 coming all through the same things. And, you

know, you could probably attribute it to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 18

personal preference. And, you know, my personal preference is we are going to borrow the money, for example, we want to buy a \$5 million piece of property that we borrowed internally through our water fund and our water fund interest, as opposed to paying a bank.

Many of the projects that we have can be done over a period of time. Thev don't necessarily need -- this isn't like a road bond where you have to build a big stretch of road. This is a series of projects that have been designed over a period of time and, you know, it's probably more philosophical than good financial management, but, you know, I grew up in a pay as you go environment, and we are in a position here where I think that, you know, being able to borrow some money internally, through our -- through some of the funds that we have and being able to pay it back, allows us to buy some of the larger items, but for the most part, this is the way we have been here for my nine years on council, that is, pay as you go, pay as you can afford.

MR. BARATTA: I agree with that strategy, by the way.

The projects that you're looking at, that you described, they absolutely make sense. It's to our advantage.

You made one comment earlier in the presentation, that we're one of the lowest communities on our millage right now. By adding this mill, I'm assuming that keeps us competitive or a little below --

MR. STOUT: Actually it takes us from second to maybe fifth in the state. So, you know, we're an exceptionally low millage rate now. Part of the reason for that is a mill in Novi is worth a lot of money, and therefore, you know, maybe the mileage rate isn't the best measure, but it is a measure and, you know, if we add this mill, we are going to go up to approximately 10.95, but then we are losing another three-tenths of a mill, we are going to be down around 10.6.

If you remember not too many years ago, I think four years ago, we were at 10.6491, or something like that, for ten

Page 20 1 So, we really aren't any higher than 2 we were for a ten year period. We're able to invest tens of millions of dollars back in 3 4 the community. 5 MR. BARATTA: Thank you. I think 6 it's a very good program. I am in favor of 7 it. 8 MR. STOUT: Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Any other comments? Mayor Stout, we appreciate your 10 11 time. Thank you very much. 12 Next on the agenda is the first audience participation. If there is 13 14 anyone in the audience that wishes to address 15 the Planning Commission at this time, please 16 step forward. (No audible responses.) 17 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Seeing no 18 19 one, we will close the first audience 20 participation. 21 Any correspondence? 22 Any committee reports. None 23 of these. City Planner report, Ms. McBeth. 24 MS. MCBETH: Good evening. 25 wanted to report that at the July 11 City

Council meeting, the City Council voted by resolution to distribute the master plan for land use and thoroughfare master plan drafts to the neighboring communities, the Oakland County Railroads and various public utilities for the 63 day review period.

Once that's completed, it will come back for public hearing and consideration for adoption.

CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Very good.

MS. MCBETH: Thank you,

Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Next we come to the public hearing section, item number one, Covington Estates, JSP15-02.

It's a public hearing to request the Biltmore Land, LLC, for Covington Estates, a residential unit development for preliminary site plan, site condominum, woodland permit, storm water management plan approval, located in Section 31 of the City of Novi, north of Eight Mile Road and west of Garfield.

The applicant is proposing a residential unit development, RUD on a 48.83 acre parcel to construct 38 single family

Page 22 1 residential units. The applicant is 2 proposing a gated community. 3 Sri, good evening. 4 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Thank you. The 5 subject property is located west of Garfield 6 Road, of Eight Mile in Section 31, City of 7 November. The current zoning of the property 8 is RA, residential acreage, the zoning to the 9 northeast and west is also RA, and to the south is Northville Township and Maybury 10 11 State Park. 12 The future land use map 13 indicates single family residential for the subject parcel and surrounding properties. 14 15 There are a few regulated wetlands on the 16 property. 17 The applicant is proposing a 38 unit single family residential unit 18 19 development on 48 acre property to preserve 20 some open space. 21 The proposed density is .8 22 units per acre, consistent with the 23 underlying RA residential acreage. 24 The original concept plan 25 was presented before Planning Commission a

couple of times earlier. The final revised RUD plan appeared for consideration, the Planning Commission on April 27 meeting. The Planning Commission voted to recommend approval to City Council and Council approved and the increment on June 27th.

The applicant has been working closely with the staff throughout the process to address all of the concerns of staff and public. No changes were made, the concept plan, the commission recommended back in April. All the deviations from the zoning ordinance have been approved by the Council, and included as part of the RUD agreement.

The applicant agrees that the temporary emergency access from Garfield will terminate on completion of the development of the property to the east, but the emergency access lots 12 and 13 in Covington Estates will be active and permanent.

The applicant is proposing a row of evergreen trees to screen the temporary access from neighboring residential property.

1 The current plan would 2 require the woodland permit for the proposed 3 woodland impacts. Woodland review states that the appropriate provision shall be made 4 5 to guarantee that the replacement trees shall 6 be preserved as planted such as to a 7 conservation or landscape easement to be 8 granted to the city. 9 All reviews are recommending approval, with few construction details to be 10 11 provided with the final. The Planning 12 Commission is asked tonight to approve the 13 preliminary site plan, site condominium, woodlands permit and storm water management 14 15 plan. 16 The applicant, Mr. Stollman, 17 is here tonight to answer any questions you may have, and as always, I'm happy to answer 18 19 any questions you have. 20 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: As always 21 you are. 22 Does the applicant wish to 23 address the Planning Commission at this time? MR. STOLLMAN: Good evening, 24 25 David Stollman, Biltmore Land.

Page 25 1 I think Sri covered, gave 2 you good overview of the project. We are 3 here to answer any questions, the plan really hasn't changed since the RUD process, which 4 5 is adding (inaudible). 6 Look forward to answer any 7 questions, if you have any. 8 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Thank you. 9 This is a public hearing. If there is anyone in the audience who wishes to address the 10 11 Planning Commission on this particular 12 matter, please step forward at this time. 13 (No audible responses.) 14 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Seeing no 15 one in the audience, is there any 16 correspondence? 17 MR. LYNCH: No correspondence. CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: 18 19 correspondence. We will close the public 20 hearing at this portion, turn it over to the 21 Planning Commission for consideration. 22 Member Baratta. 23 MR. BARATTA: Thank you, 24 Mr. Chair. 25 Rick, I have a question. Ι

have noticed in a plan we have a -- I believe it's eight foot pine trees. I think we have two foot pine bushes.

MR. MEADER: Where are you talking about?

MR. BARATTA: I'm talking about right off the main street, to the right of the plan. The pine trees there, pines don't do well in Novi. Have we looked at -- at least in my experience. Maybe here they work very well, but soil hasn't been really conducive. I see a lot of the pine trees dying. Have we look at other type of plant stock here?

MR. MEADER: No. There are some pines and spruces that do pretty well.

Austrian pines do not and we would not have allowed those. That's what we have probably seen the most. White pines, in that kind of situation would be all right. They don't have to do any particular screening. It's more of a decorative element, so that would be okay. And then whenever I see arborvitaes, I recommend them, they don't plant them because the deer eat them, but

Page 27 they survive okay, really a lot of evergreens 1 2 that do okay here. 3 MR. BARATTA: So it's your 4 opinion that what they have recommended here 5 is that is going to work for us, they going 6 to survive? 7 MR. MEADER: Uh-huh. 8 MR. BARATTA: Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Anyone else? Member Lynch. 10 11 MR. LYNCH: Thank you. I think 12 we have seen this before. Thanks for making 13 the changes. So with that I would like to 14 make a motion. 15 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Please. 16 MR. LYNCH: In the matter of 17 Covington Estates JSP15-02, motion to approve the preliminary site plan with site 18 19 condominium based on and subject to the 20 following. 21 A, the applicant agrees that 22 the temporary emergency access would 23 terminate on completion of the development of 24 the property to the east, when the emergency 25 access between lots 12 and 13, Covington

Page 28 Estates, will become active and permanent. 1 2 B, the findings of compliance of the ordinance standards and staff and consultant 3 review letters, the conditions and items 4 listed on those letters will be addressed in 5 6 the final site plan. 7 This motion is made because 8 the plan is otherwise in compliance with the 9 RUD agreement, Article 3, Article 4, Article 5 of the zoning ordinance and all other 10 11 applicant provisions of the ordinance. 12 MR. BARATTA: Second. CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: I have a 13 motion by Member Lynch and a second by Member 14 15 Any other comments? Baratta. 16 Sri, will you call the roll. 17 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Anthony? MR. ANTHONY: Yes. 18 19 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Baratta? 20 MR. BARATTA: Yes. 21 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member 22 Giacopetti? 23 MR. GIACOPETTI: Yes. 24 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Lynch? 25 MR. LYNCH: Yes.

Page 29 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Chair Pehrson? 1 2 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Yes. 3 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member 4 Zuchlewski? 5 MR. ZUCHLEWSKT: Yes. 6 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Most passes six 7 to zero. MR. LYNCH: In the matter of 8 9 Covington Estates JSP15-02, motion to approve the woodland permit based on and subject to 10 11 the following. The findings in compliance 12 with the ordinance standards in the staff and consultant review letters, conditions and 13 14 items listed in those letters being 15 addressed, in the final site plan. 16 This motion is a being made because the plan is otherwise in compliance 17 with the RUD agreement, and Chapter 37 of the 18 code of ordinances, and all other applicable 19 20 provisions of the ordinance. 21 MR. BARATTA: Second. 22 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Motion by 23 Member Lynch, second by Member Baratta. 24 Any other comments? 25 (No audible responses.)

	Page 30
1	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Sri,
2	please.
3	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member
4	Giacopetti?
5	MR. GIACOPETTI: Yes.
6	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Lynch?
7	MR. LYNCH: Yes.
8	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Chair Pehrson?
9	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Yes.
10	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member
11	Zuchlewski?
12	MR. ZUCHLEWSKI: Yes.
13	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Anthony?
14	MR. ANTHONY: Yes.
15	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Baratta?
16	MR. BARATTA: Yes.
17	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Motion passes
18	six to zero.
19	MR. LYNCH: Finally in the matter
20	of Covington Estates, JSP15-02, motion to
21	approve the storm water management plan based
22	on and subject to the following.
23	The findings are in
24	compliance with the ordinance standards,
25	staff and consultant review letters and the

	Page 31
1	conditions and items listed in those letters,
2	being addressed on the final site plan. This
3	motion is being made because the plan is
4	otherwise in compliance with the RUD
5	agreement and Chapter 11 of the code of
6	ordinances, and all other applicable
7	provisions in the ordinance.
8	MR. BARATTA: Second.
9	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: We have a
10	motion by Member Lynch, second by Member
11	Baratta. Any other comments?
12	(No audible responses.)
13	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Sri, can
14	you please.
15	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member
16	Zuchlewski?
17	MR. ZUCHLEWSKI: Yes.
18	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Anthony?
19	MR. ANTHONY: Yes.
20	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Baratta?
21	MR. BARATTA: Yes.
22	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member
23	Giacopetti?
24	MR. GIACOPETTI: Yes.
25	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Lynch?

Page 32 1 MR. LYNCH: Yes. 2 Chair Pehrson? MR. KOMARAGIRI: 3 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Yes. 4 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Motion passes 5 six to zero. 6 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Thank you, 7 gentlemen. 8 Next on the agenda, matters 9 for consideration, Daifuku, JSP16-23. It's the consideration and request for Northern 10 11 Equities for approval of preliminary site 12 plan, storm water management plan for -subject property is 7.36 acres located in 13 14 section one of the City of Novi, located 15 north of Thirteen Mile Road and east of Cabot 16 Drive. 17 The applicant is proposing to construct a two story office building, 18 consisting of 76,547 square feet of office 19 20 space and associated site improvements for 21 office area and research use for Daifuku 22 North America Holding Company headquarters. 23 Sri? 24 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Thank you. This 25 subject property is located in Haggerty

Corridor Corporate Park, north between M5 and Haggerty Road, north of Thirteen Mile. This is located south of Adams Technology Center.

The subject property is zoned OST, office service technology, and is surrounded by the same zoning on all sides.

The future land use map indicates office research development and technology for the subject property and surrounding properties.

There are few regulated wetlands and woodlands along the southern property boundary.

The applicant has worked with the city previously on existing and ongoing developments within the corridor park. The applicant is now proposing to construct a two-story office building consisting of about 76,000 square feet office space and associated site improvements for office and research use for Daifuku headquarters.

The company is committed to creating 85 new jobs in Michigan, of which 33 will be in Novi headquarters. The economic

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 34

statement, this was submitted along with the response letter, lists more detail about Daifuku operations.

The proposed site plan is in general conformance with the ordinance requirements, except for a few items noted in the motion sheet. A Planning Commission waiver is required for reduction of parking lot setback along north property line and additional landscape area is provided to make up for the loss of parking setback area. Landscape has also identified a couple of waivers that would require Planning Commission's approval, one to permit the absence of required berm along the section of frontage south of Cabot Road, due to conflict with existing detention basis side-slopes, and to permit the reduction of required berm along Cabot Drive frontage and the right-of-way greenbelt quantities between the existing sidewalk and the proposed parking lot along Cabot Drive and to permit reduction of a number of canopy trees within parking lot islands due to the same ICT easement.

The site plan also indicates

Page 35

some of the existing woodland replacement trees to be removed. The applicant is proposing to replace them on-site. Planning and landscape support the waivers requested and recommend approval provided additional comments to be addressed in the final site plan.

Engineering, traffic, facade and fire also recommend approval with additional information to be submitted with the next site plan. The Planning Commission is asked to approve the preliminary site plan, woodland permit and storm water management tonight.

The applicant, Matthew Sosin from Northern Equities is here tonight, if you have any questions, and so I am. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Thank you, Sri.

Would the applicant wish to address the Planning Commission at this time.

MR. SOSIN: Good evening, Matthew Sosin, 39000 Country Club Drive, Farmington Hills, Michigan.

1 As I was saying, I love 2 being in front of the Planning Commission, it 3 means we will be able to build again. I think Sri summed it up 4 5 nicely. Also Mark Bentley, who is here from 6 Daifuku for questions I, can't answer about 7 what's going. Thanks for your consideration. 8 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Thank you, 9 sir. With that, we will turn it over to the Planning Commission for their consideration. 10 11 Who would like to start? Member Lynch. 12 MR. LYNCH: Just a couple of 13 questions. Thank you. On the motion sheet, you have got items A, B, C, and we recognize 14 15 that being six items, A, B, C, D, E and F, 16 with the preliminary site plan approval. And also I didn't see a woodland member approval, 17 I didn't see it in the motion sheet. 18 19 there a woodland approval? 20 MR. KOMARAGIRI: The additional 21 permit is not required for this site plan. 22 They are simply stating there was existing 23 replacement trees that were approved before, 24 they are just replacing because. 25 MR. LYNCH: Okay. With that, I'd

Page 37 like to make a motion for the approval, if 1 2 there is no other comments from my 3 colleagues. In the matter of Daifuku, 4 5 JSP16-23, motion to approve the preliminary 6 site plan based upon and subject to the six 7 items listed on the motion sheet. This motion is being made 8 9 because the plan is otherwise in compliance with Article 3, Article 4 and Article 5 of 10 11 the zoning ordinance, and all other 12 applicable provisions in the ordinance. 13 MR. BARATTA: Second. CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: We have a 14 15 motion by Member Lynch, and a second by 16 Member Baratta. Any other any comments? 17 Sri, can you call the roll. MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Anthony? 18 19 MR. ANTHONY: Yes. 20 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Baratta? 21 MR. BARATTA: Yes. 22 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member 23 Giacopetti? 24 MR. GIACOPETTI: Yes. 25 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Lynch?

Page 38 MR. LYNCH: Yes. 1 2 Chair Pehrson? MR. KOMARAGIRI: 3 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Yes. MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member 4 Zuchlewski? 5 6 MR. ZUCHLEWSKI: Yes. 7 MR. KOMARAGIRI: Motion passes 8 six to zero. 9 MR. LYNCH: Finally, in the matter of Daifuku, JSP16-23, motion to 10 11 approve the storm water management plan based 12 on and subject to the following, findings of compliance with ordinance standards and the 13 staff and consultant review letters, and the 14 15 conditions and items listed in those letters 16 being addressed on the final site plan. This motion is being made 17 because the plan is otherwise in compliance 18 19 with Chapter 11, of the code of ordinances, 20 and all other applicable provisions of the 21 ordinance. 22 MR. BARATTA: Second. 23 CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Motion by 24 Member Lynch, second by Member Baratta. 25 Any other comments? Sri,

	7. 20
1	Page 39
1	please.
2	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member
3	Giacopetti?
4	MR. GIACOPETTI: Yes.
5	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Lynch?
6	MR. LYNCH: Yes.
7	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Chair Pehrson?
8	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Yes.
9	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member
10	Zuchlewski?
11	MR. ZUCHLEWSKI: Yes.
12	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Anthony?
13	MR. ANTHONY: Yes.
14	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Baratta?
15	MR. BARATTA: Yes.
16	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Motion passes
17	six to zero.
18	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: All set,
19	sir. Thank you.
20	MR. SOSIN: Thank you very much.
21	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Next on the
22	agenda is the approval of the June 8, 2016
23	Planning Commission minutes. Any
24	modifications, changes or an approval?
25	MR. BARATTA: Motion to approve.
	

	Page 40
1	MR. GIACOPETTI: Second.
2	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Motion by
3	Member Baratta and second by Member
4	Giacopetti.
5	Any other comments? Sri,
6	can you call the roll, please.
7	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Anthony?
8	MR. ANTHONY: Yes.
9	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Baratta?
10	MR. BARATTA: Yes.
11	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member
12	Giacopetti?
13	MR. GIACOPETTI: Yes.
14	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member Lynch?
15	MR. LYNCH: Yes.
16	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Chair Pehrson?
17	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Yes.
18	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Member
19	Zuchlewski?
20	MR. ZUCHLEWSKI: Yes.
21	MR. KOMARAGIRI: Motion passes
22	six to zero.
23	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: Any other
24	matters for discussion? Anybody else wish to
25	bring forward? Supplemental issues?

7/13/2016

	Page 41
1	Our last chance for audience
2	participation. If you want to come up, now
3	is your chance.
4	We will close the audience
5	participation and look for a motion to
6	adjourn.
7	MR. ZUCHLEWSKI: Motion to
8	adjourn.
9	MR. BARATTA: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON PEHRSON: All those
11	in favor.
12	THE BOARD: Aye.
13	(The meeting was adjourned at 7:35 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 42
1	** **
2	STATE OF MICHIGAN)
3) ss.
4	COUNTY OF OAKLAND)
5	I, Jennifer L. Wall, Notary Public within and for the
6	County of Oakland, State of Michigan, do hereby certify that the
7	proceedings taken were stenographically recorded in the presence
8	of myself and afterward transcribed by computer under my personal
9	supervision, and that the said proceedings are a full, true and
10	correct transcript.
11	I further certify that I am not connected by blood or
12	marriage with any of the parties.
13	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at the
14	City of Walled Lake, County of Oakland, State of Michigan, this
15	18th day of August 2016.
16	
17	Janufer Fritale
18	- Johnson Johnson
19	Jennifer L. Wall CSR-4183 Oakland County, Michigan
20	My Commission Expires 11/12/16
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	