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MR. SANGHVI: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: This is a public hearing format, and the rules of conduct are in the back by the door to come in. Also it's televised at home for those that can't make it here, and we also have the pedestal with the overhead that if there are pictures, that they can see at home, too.

And that brings us to the approval of our agenda. We have an agenda as is? Any changes?

MS. OPPERMANN: The changes were changed from the original newspaper notice in that PZ18-0045 was cancelled in its entirety, and PZ18-0046 has been postponed until the November meeting.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Very good. So we have an agenda. And all in favor?

THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Okay. None opposed.
Minutes for August 2018, any changes or -none. We'll have a motion.

MR. SANGHVI: So moved.
MS. GRONACHAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Then all in favor?
THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: None opposed. Very
good, we have our minutes approved.

Public remarks. If you have a statement about the case that -- public remarks other than what the case is before us, then people are usually free to come up, but since we don't have any, then we'll just close that and go to our public hearings which leads us to our first case, PZ18-0043, Ahmad Ozeir, 44015 Settlers Creek, west of Novi and south of Grand River. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.5 for a 16 foot variance for a proposed 19 foot rear yard setback for a sunroom addition, 35 minimum required. And the property is zoned Single Family Residential (R-4).

And if you can come to the podium, state your name, spell your name for the court recorder, and proceed with your case, thank you. And be sworn. Thank you.

MR. OZEIR: My name is Ahmad Ozeir, A-h-m-a-d O-z-e-i-r.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Are you an attorney? MR. OZEIR: No, I'm not.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Our secretary will swear you in. MR. OLSEN: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth in this case? MR. OZEIR: I do.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Proceed. Thank you. MR. OZEIR: Basically I think on the application this is what we stated that we'd like the variance to put a sunroom there. In the back of our house there is a pond or a small lake, manmade lake where we get a lot of mosquitos, so we don't get to enjoy basically our summers outside. So basically the sunroom would be mostly glass, and it's going to be sitting on the top of an existing deck. So we're not going any further than what the deck is built now, but we're going to basically put the glass on the top of it and then a roof.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: All right. And that's it?

MR. OZEIR: That's it.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Okay. And seeing no audience participation, I will go to the correspondence first.

MR. OLSEN: There were 32 letters mailed, one letter returned, zero approvals, zero objections. CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Very good. And from the city?

MR. BUTLER: Basically I just want to say that our staff commented that the new sun porch is supposed to built on an existing pad taking up the
same square footage as that pad that's there. And also that the pond is approximately 100 feet away from the location of the sunroom. So during the wet seasons, there's probably a lot of water sitting in there. Also, open decks are allowed to extend 18 feet into the rear yard setback. Covered in porches are normally not according to code, and that's why he's here for the variance.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Thank you, Mr. Butler.

We'll open it up to the board for questions.

Yes, Member Sanghvi.
MR. SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and visited your place last Saturday and looked around.

MR. OZEIR: I wish I would have knew. I would have had you up for coffee.

MR. SANGHVI: It's not a very easy street going the long way in from the cross street, but you have a beautiful home in a very nice neighborhood. I see you don't have much room other than asking for the variance. So I will be in support of your variance request. Thank you.

MR. OZEIR: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Other questions?

Yes, Member Gronachan.
MS. GRONACHAN: I really don't have a question. I concur with the previous speaker in that there was an existing deck. It's pretty wooded back there. With that pond I'm sure and in Michigan given the weather conditions and as you mentioned that mosquitos, 100 feet is not a big distance when you have the challenges that you have in your backyard. So given that this is already an existing deck, and it's because of the ordinance that is requiring this variance, given the topography, also given the conditions that we cannot predict with the weather and the amount of rain that we have, I would be in full support of this.

MR. OZEIR: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: I'm curious. The deck, is that on a cement pad or how are you -MR. OZEIR: It's not currently, but when we do the sunroom, we're going to take the deck out and pour a cement instead.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: I'm also in favor as per previous members' speeches and wish you good luck. MR. OZEIR: Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Member Peddiboyina.

MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. I came to your application and I also see the photograph here of what you're building, and I have no objections. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Member Byrwa.
MR. BYRWA: Yes. This is more of a building question. But he would be required to put a footing under that slab to prevent heaving or moving of the glass structure?

MR. BUTLER: Yes.
MR. OZEIR: The plan is have an architect draw it, and then we're going to submit it basically to the city for --

MR. BYRWA: Yes. You don't want it heaving or moving once that ground freezes, and you would be well-served and I think it's going to be required that you have an actual footing under there so that nothing moves when that ground freezes.

MR. OZEIR: Gotcha. Will do.
MR. BYRWA: Great. Thank you.
MR. OZEIR: Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Very good.
MR. BUTLER: Madam Chair. Also, there were no previous variances on this property.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you.

Do we have a motion?
MR. OLSEN: I will.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Thank you.
MR. OLSEN: I move that we grant the variance in Case Number PZ18-0043 sought by Ahmad Ozeir for the 16 foot variance in proposed 19 rear yard setback because the petitioner has established that the property is unique as it has numerous large trees and backs up to an existing park which causes practical difficulty relating to the property including some or all the following criteria.

The petitioner has established that the property is unique because of the shape of the lot and due to the proximity of the park and pond, and the condition is not a personal or economic hardship because the need for the variance is not self-created as the park was put there before and it makes it difficult to enjoy it outside during the wet years.

It won't make it unnecessarily burdensome to comply with the regulation because they're looking to replace the deck with a sunroom and not necessarily build outward past where it currently is.

The petitioner has established that the variance is the minimum variance necessary, because a lesser variance would not allow them to have a closed
area from where the deck currently rests.
The requested variance will not cause adverse impact on the surrounding property, property values, or the enjoy of the property in the neighborhood or zoning district because it's an improvement to the property and should not impact its neighbors.

MS. GRONACHAN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: All right. We have a motion and a second. We'll have Katherine call the roll.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Byrwa?
MR. BYRWA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Ferrell?
MR. FERRELL: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Gronachan?
MS. GRONACHAN: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Krieger?
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Olsen?
MR. OLSEN: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Peddiboyina?
MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MR. SANGHVI: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Congratulations.
MR. OZEIR: Thank you very much.
Appreciate it.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: All right. Since the other two cases have been cancelled or postponed from today, that moves us to our public hearing or other matters. Are there any other matters?

Seeing none, a move to adjournment?
MS. GRONACHAN: So moved.
MR. SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: All in favor?
THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: All right. We're
adjourned. Thank you.
(Meeting adjourned at 7:10 p.m.)
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